Pennsylvania
Department of Education
Teacher and Principal Evaluation Information
Individual LEA Data
For the 2013-14 Rating Period

GENERAL INFORMATION

Name of LEQ or Charter School:
Eastern Center for Arts & Technology

AUN Number:
123465307

Address of LEA or Charter School:
3075 Terwood Rd  Willow Grove, PA  19090

Name Superintendent or Chief School Administrator:
Thomas Allen

For Information Contact:
Linda McAlpine

Email:
hr@eastech.org

Phone:
215-784-4800 - 141

TEACHER INFORMATION

If the LEA does not use their teacher evaluation system as a basis for the following, their decision criteria is provided:

a. Professional Development?

b. Teacher Compensation?

c. Teacher Advancement/Promotions?
d. Teacher Retention and Removal?

Does the LEA use weighting formula(e) and/or rubric(s) to guide teacher evaluators? (Charter Schools Only)
  a. Yes or No? If Yes, describe background and process.

Does the LEA teacher evaluation system described above include the following as evaluation criterion: (Charter Schools Only)
  a. Student Achievement Outcomes?
  b. Student Growth Data?

How often does the LEA formally evaluate:
  a. Temporary Professionals (Less than 3 Years)? Twice a year
  b. Professionals (More than 3 Years)? Annually

LEA Teacher Evaluations Summary:
  Number Rated 17
  Number Not Rated 0
  Total Number Employed 17

LEA Teacher Evaluations Detail:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Employed</th>
<th>Not Rated</th>
<th>Level 1</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
<th>Level 4</th>
<th>Unsatisfactory</th>
<th>Satisfactory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Denominator)</td>
<td>(Numerator) %</td>
<td>(Numerator) %</td>
<td>(Numerator) %</td>
<td>(Numerator) %</td>
<td>(Numerator) %</td>
<td>(Numerator) %</td>
<td>(Numerator) %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Center for Arts &amp; Tech</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0 0%</td>
<td>0 0%</td>
<td>1 5.9%</td>
<td>15 88.2%</td>
<td>1 5.9%</td>
<td>0 0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Describe the LEA's system used to evaluate the performance of your Principals:

Administrative evaluations at EASTERN are conducted using a Management by Objective (MBO) model. Goals distributed to Administrators by July 1; Mid-Year Narrative by Employee by December 22; Mid-Year Conference by January 15; Mid-Year Evaluation by Director by January 30; End of Year Narrative by Employee by May 1; End of Year Conference by May 15; End of Year Evaluation by Director by May 30. Evaluations are conducted by the Administrative Director.

If the LEA does not use their principal evaluation system as a basis for the following, their decision criteria is provided:

a. Principal Development?

b. Principal Compensation?

c. Principal Promotions?

d. Principal Retention and Removal?

Does the LEA principal evaluation system described above include the following as evaluation criterion:

a. Student Achievement Outcomes? No

b. Student Growth Data? No

How often does the LEA formally evaluate:

a. New Principals (Less than 3 Years)? Twice a year

b. Experienced Principals (More than 3 Years)? Twice a year

Does the LEA use weighting formula(e) and/or rubric(s) to guide principal evaluators?

a. Yes or No? If Yes, describe background and process. No

Does your LEA have at least one Principal position? Yes

Does your LEA have at Standarized Principal Evaluation System? Yes
### LEA Principal Evaluations Summary:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number Rated</th>
<th>Number Not Rated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Number Employed</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### LEA Principal Evaluation Detail:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><strong>Total Employed</strong></th>
<th><strong>Not Rated</strong></th>
<th><strong>Level 1</strong></th>
<th><strong>Level 2</strong></th>
<th><strong>Level 3</strong></th>
<th><strong>Level 4</strong></th>
<th><strong>Level 5</strong></th>
<th><strong>Level 6</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Denominator)</td>
<td>(Numerator)</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>(Numerator)</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>(Numerator)</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>(Numerator)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsatisfactory/Satisfactory</td>
<td></td>
<td>Unsatisfactory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>* %</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>* %</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>* %</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: All Total percentages are the result of dividing the total number of ratings at each level (Numerator) by the overall total (Denominator)*

*In order to ensure that individual ratings cannot be deduced, we have not reported any Teacher or Principal information if building level or LEA level data is less than or equal to 5*