

Pennsylvania
Department of Education
Teacher and Principal Evaluation Information
Individual LEA Data
For the 2011-12 Rating Period

GENERAL INFORMATION

LEA Name:

Bensalem Township SD

AUN Number:

122091002

Address:

3000 Donallen Dr Bensalem, PA, 19020-1898

Name Superintendent or Chief School Administrator:

David E. Baugh

For Information Contact:

Robert J. Cardillo

Email:

rcardillo@bensalemsd.org

Phone:

215-750-2800

TEACHER INFORMATION

Describe the LEA's system used to evaluate the performance of your teachers:

Bensalem School District's current teacher evaluation system utilizes the following forms: PDE 426, Semi-Evaluation Form for Instructional I Teachers, PDE 427 Instructional I to Instructional II Assessment Form, PDE 428 Annual Employee Evaluation Form, Bensalem School District (BSD) Data Collection Form, BSD Post Observation Form, and the Pathwise Framework Observation Program Feedback Form. Use of the Pathwise Framework Observation Program Feedback Form, published by the Teaching & Learning Division of the Educational Testing Services (ETS), is predicated in part on the research and published material of Charlotte Danielson and Thomas McGreal. When performing a formal classroom observation, the evaluator utilizes the Pathwise Feedback Form rubric to assist in determining the level of performance displayed by the teacher during the classroom observation. The level of performance range is unsatisfactory, basic, proficient and distinguished. Four (4) major domains are evaluated. They are Planning and Preparation, the Classroom Environment, Instruction, and Professional Responsibility. The evaluated teacher then receives a copy of the Pathwise Feedback rubric form. The teacher is subsequently scheduled for a Post Observation conference that each teacher has with the evaluator.

Does the LEA use the results of the teacher evaluation system described above in decisions regarding:

- a. Teacher Development? No
- b. Teacher Compensation? No
- c. Teacher Promotions? No
- d. Teacher Retention and Removal? Yes

Two (2) unsatisfactory evaluations may lead to termination of employment. Teachers who receive an unsatisfactory performance rating/evaluation are routinely placed on an improvement plan which allows the teacher the opportunity of eliminating their deficiencies.

Does the LEA teacher evaluation system described above include the following as evaluation criterion:

- a. Student Achievement Outcomes? No
- b. Student Growth Data? No

How often does the LEA formally evaluate:

- a. New Teachers (Less than 3 Years)? More than twice a year
- b. Experienced Teachers (More than 3 Years)? Annually

Does the LEA use weighting formula(e) and/or rubric(s) to guide teacher evaluators?

- a. Yes or No? If Yes, describe background and process. Yes

Utilization of the "Pathwise Framework Observation Program Feedback Form" rubric, published by the Teaching & Learning Division of the Educational Testing Services (ETS), was predicated on the research and published material of Charlotte Danielson and Thomas McGreal.

Does the LEA publicly report teacher evaluation data by school?

- a. Yes or No? (Web link provided if applicable.) No

LEA Teacher Evaluations Summary:

Number Rated	432
Number Not Rated	7
Total Number Employed	<hr/> 439 <hr/> <hr/>

LEA Teacher Evaluations Detail:

	Total Employed	Not Rated	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 6
	(Denominator)	(Numerator) %	(Numerator) %	(Numerator) %	(Numerator) %	(Numerator) %	(Numerator) %	(Numerator) %
Unsatisfactory/Satisfactory			Unsatisfactory					Satisfactory
Robert K Shafer MS	49	0 0 %	0 0 %	0 0 %	0 0 %	0 0 %	0 0 %	49 100 %
Russell C Struble El Sch	33	1 3 %	0 0 %	0 0 %	0 0 %	0 0 %	0 0 %	32 97 %
Valley El Sch	37	1 2.7 %	0 0 %	0 0 %	0 0 %	0 0 %	0 0 %	36 97.3 %
Cecelia Snyder MS	39	2 5.1 %	0 0 %	0 0 %	0 0 %	0 0 %	0 0 %	37 94.9 %
Belmont Hills El Sch	38	2 5.3 %	0 0 %	0 0 %	0 0 %	0 0 %	0 0 %	36 94.7 %
Bensalem Twp HS	131	0 0 %	1 0.8 %	0 0 %	0 0 %	0 0 %	0 0 %	130 99.2 %
Benjamin Rush El Sch	34	0 0 %	1 2.9 %	0 0 %	0 0 %	0 0 %	0 0 %	33 97.1 %
Samuel K Faust El Sch	41	1 2.4 %	0 0 %	0 0 %	0 0 %	0 0 %	0 0 %	40 97.6 %
Cornwells El Sch	37	0 0 %	0 0 %	0 0 %	0 0 %	0 0 %	0 0 %	37 100 %
Totals	439	7 1.6 %	2 0.5 %	0 0 %	0 0 %	0 0 %	0 0 %	430 97.9 %

Note: - All Building percentages are the result of dividing the number of ratings at each level (Numberator) by the building total (Denominator)

- All Total percentages are the result of dividing the total number of ratings at each level (Numberator) by the overall total (Denominator)

***In order to ensure that individual ratings can not be deduced , we have not reported any Teacher or Principal information if building level or LEA level data is less than or equal to 5**

PRINCIPAL INFORMATION

Describe the LEA's system used to evaluate the performance of your Principals:

Principals are evaluated on an annual basis, in part utilizing the PDE 5501 Form and the Administrative Appraisal Performance Assessment Scale Rubric. In addition, myriad of other evaluative/performance indicators are utilized to determine the overall proficiency of the principal. Sixteen (16) minimal performance assessments are evaluated, including Personal Characteristics, Leadership Characteristics, Success in Problem Solving, Professional Knowledge and Understanding, Success in Supervision, Ability to Build morale, Community Relations, Attention to Detail and Routine, Instructional Program, Financial Responsibilities, Relations with Students, Relations with Departments of the Office of the Superintendent, Behavior as a Team Player, Customer Service, Progress Towards meeting annual Goals, and School/Plant Facilities Utilization/Management.

Does the LEA use the results of the principal evaluation system described above in decisions regarding:

a. Principal Development? Yes

Principal performance successes or failures identified may cause initiation of individual or group professional development activities designed to address shortcomings and/or improve performance even further.

- b. Principal Compensation? No
- c. Principal Promotions? No
- d. Principal Retention and Removal? Yes

In part, the formal principal performance evaluations are utilized to assist in determining the appropriateness of continued employment. In all cases, performance improvement plans would be developed for below basic principal evaluations in order to assist

Does the LEA principal evaluation system described above include the following as evaluation criterion:

- a. Student Achievement Outcomes? Yes
- b. Student Growth Data? Yes

In addition to the completion of the principal's performance assessment rubric, a written anecdotal narrative evaluation and comments are provided to each building principal. This narrative document would/may include comments relative to student achievement

How often does the LEA formally evaluate:

- a. New Principals (Less than 3 Years)? Annually
- b. Experienced Principals (More than 3 Years)? Annually

Does the LEA use weighting formula(e) and/or rubric(s) to guide principal evaluators?

- a. Yes or No? If Yes, describe background and process. Yes

Principals are evaluated based upon sixteen (16) performance assessment categories. Each category is defined. The range of evaluation is unsatisfactory, basic, proficient and distinguished.

Does the LEA publicly report principal evaluation data by school?

- a. Yes or No? (Web link provided if applicable.) No

Does your LEA have at least one Principal position? Yes

Does your LEA have at Standardized Principal Evaluation System? Yes

LEA Principal Evaluations Summary:

Number Rated	9
Number Not Rated	0
Total Number Employed	9

LEA Principal Evaluation Detail:

	Total Employed	Not Rated	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 6
	(Denominator)	(Numerator) %	(Numerator) %	(Numerator) %	(Numerator) %	(Numerator) %	(Numerator) %	(Numerator) %
Unsatisfactory/Satisfactory			Unsatisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory			Satisfactory
Totals	9	0 0%	0 0%	9 100%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%

Note: - All Total percentages are the result of dividing the total number of ratings at each level (Numerator) by the overall total (Denominator)

*In order to ensure that individual ratings can not be deduced , we have not reported any Teacher or Principal information if building level or LEA level data is less than or equal to 5