Pennsylvania
Department of Education
Teacher and Principal Evaluation Information
Individual LEA Data
For the 2013-14 Rating Period

GENERAL INFORMATION

Name of LEQ or Charter School:
A W Beattie Career Center

AUN Number:
103020407

Address of LEA or Charter School:
9600 Babcock Boulevard Allison Park, PA 15101

Name Superintendent or Chief School Administrator:
Eric C. Heasley

For Information Contact:
Eric C. Heasley

Email:
eric.heasley@beattiech.com

Phone:
412-847-1900

TEACHER INFORMATION

If the LEA does not use their teacher evaluation system as a basis for the following, their decision criteria is provided:

a. Professional Development?

b. Teacher Compensation?
   Annual teacher compensation is based on contract language established in the education association contract with the Joint Operating Committee.

c. Teacher Advancement/Promotions?
d. Teacher Retention and Removal?

Does the LEA use weighting formula(e) and/or rubric(s) to guide teacher evaluators? (Charter Schools Only)
   a. Yes or No? If Yes, describe background and process.

Does the LEA teacher evaluation system described above include the following as evaluation criterion: (Charter Schools Only)
   a. Student Achievement Outcomes?
   b. Student Growth Data?

How often does the LEA formally evaluate:
   a. Temporary Professionals (Less than 3 Years)? Twice a year
   b. Professionals (More than 3 Years)? Annually

LEA Teacher Evaluations Summary:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number Rated</th>
<th>Number Not Rated</th>
<th>Total Number Employed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LEA Teacher Evaluations Detail:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Employed</th>
<th>Not Rated</th>
<th>Level 1</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
<th>Level 4</th>
<th>Unsatisfactory</th>
<th>Satisfactory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Denominator)</td>
<td>(Numerator) %</td>
<td>(Numerator) %</td>
<td>(Numerator) %</td>
<td>(Numerator) %</td>
<td>(Numerator) %</td>
<td>(Numerator) %</td>
<td>(Numerator) %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A W Beattie Career Center</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0 0%</td>
<td>0 0%</td>
<td>0 0%</td>
<td>29 93.5%</td>
<td>2 6.5%</td>
<td>0 0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### PRINCIPAL INFORMATION

Describe the LEA's system used to evaluate the performance of your Principals:

The principal evaluation system within A.W. Beattie Career Center is a continuous process that is formally documented once or twice a year based on the years of service. However, the general observations throughout the year indicate if the individual has developed a set of goals and outcomes that they are striving to achieve with the student body and instructional staff. Student performance on testing is only one part of the observation, school climate and positive relationship building drive outcomes. These areas together are continually reviewed and built upon during the evaluation process. The rating form for the evaluation is based upon Enhancing Professional Practice by Charlotte Danielson and is conducted by the Executive Director.

If the LEA does not use their principal evaluation system as a basis for the following, their decision criteria is provided:

- Principal Development?
- Principal Compensation?
- Principal Promotions?
- Principal Retention and Removal?

Does the LEA principal evaluation system described above include the following as evaluation criterion:

- Student Achievement Outcomes? No
- Student Growth Data? No

How often does the LEA formally evaluate:

- New Principals (Less than 3 Years)? Twice a year
- Experienced Principals (More than 3 Years)? Annually

Does the LEA use weighting formula(e) and/or rubric(s) to guide principal evaluators?

- Yes or No? If Yes, describe background and process. Yes
  
  Principal evaluation is based upon Enhancing Professional Practice by Charlotte Danielson.

Does your LEA have at least one Principal position? Yes
Does your LEA have at Standardized Principal Evaluation System?  Yes

LEA Principal Evaluations Summary:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number Rated</th>
<th>Number Not Rated</th>
<th>Total Number Employed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LEA Principal Evaluation Detail:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Employed (Denominator)</th>
<th>Not Rated (Numerator)</th>
<th>Level 1 (Numerator) %</th>
<th>Level 2 (Numerator) %</th>
<th>Level 3 (Numerator) %</th>
<th>Level 4 (Numerator) %</th>
<th>Level 5 (Numerator) %</th>
<th>Level 6 (Numerator) %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unsatisfactory/Satisfactory</td>
<td></td>
<td>Un satisfactory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>* * %</td>
<td>* * %</td>
<td>* * %</td>
<td>* * %</td>
<td>* * %</td>
<td>* * %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: - All Total percentages are the result of dividing the total number of ratings at each level (Numerator) by the overall total (Denominator).

*In order to ensure that individual ratings can not be deduced, we have not reported any Teacher or Principal information if building level or LEA level data is less than or equal to 5.