Pennsylvania  
Department of Education  
Teacher and Principal Evaluation Information  
Individual LEA Data  
For the 2013-14 Rating Period  

GENERAL INFORMATION  

Name of LEQ or Charter School:  
Central Westmoreland CTC  
AUN Number:  
107651207  
Address of LEA or Charter School:  
240 Arona Road  New Stanton, PA  15672  
Name Superintendent or Chief School Administrator:  
Dr. Luanne Matta  
For Information Contact:  
Brad Elwood  
Email:  
belwood@cwctc.org  
Phone:  
724-925-3532 - 1306  

TEACHER INFORMATION  

If the LEA does not use their teacher evaluation system as a basis for the following, their decision criteria is provided:  

a. Professional Development?  
b. Teacher Compensation?  
   Compensation is through the CBA and not tied to the teacher evaluation.  
c. Teacher Advancement/Promotions?
d. Teacher Retention and Removal?

Does the LEA use weighting formula(e) and/or rubric(s) to guide teacher evaluators? (Charter Schools Only)

a. Yes or No? If Yes, describe background and process.

Does the LEA teacher evaluation system described above include the following as evaluation criterion? (Charter Schools Only)

a. Student Achievement Outcomes?

b. Student Growth Data?

How often does the LEA formally evaluate:

a. Temporary Professionals (Less than 3 Years)?

   Twice a year

b. Professionals (More than 3 Years)?

   Annually

LEA Teacher Evaluations Summary:

Number Rated 31
Number Not Rated 0

Total Number Employed 31

LEA Teacher Evaluations Detail:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Employed</th>
<th>Not Rated</th>
<th>Level 1</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
<th>Level 4</th>
<th>Un satisfactory</th>
<th>Satisfactory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Denominator)</td>
<td>(Numerator)</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Westmoreland CTC</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>87.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Describe the LEA's system used to evaluate the performance of your Principals:

Currently we do not have a standardized system for Principal evaluation.

If the LEA does not use their principal evaluation system as a basis for the following, their decision criteria is provided:

a. Principal Development?
   The Principal position receives professional development based on individual needs or noted deficiencies.

b. Principal Compensation?
   The Principal position receives compensation based on the individual compensation plan which is not directly linked to performance assessments.

c. Principal Promotions?
   The Principal position only receives advancement/promotion opportunities whenever either the Assistant Director or Administrative Director positions are available.

d. Principal Retention and Removal?
   The Principal position removal is only invoked when a failure to fulfill the duties and responsibilities of the position are not met.

Does the LEA principal evaluation system described above include the following as evaluation criterion:

a. Student Achievement Outcomes? No
b. Student Growth Data? No

How often does the LEA formally evaluate:

a. New Principals (Less than 3 Years)? Annually
b. Experienced Principals (More than 3 Years)? Annually

Does the LEA use weighting formula(e) and/or rubric(s) to guide principal evaluators?

a. Yes or No? If Yes, describe background and process. No
Does your LEA have at least one Principal position? Yes
Does your LEA have at Standarized Principal Evaluation System? No

**LEA Principal Evaluations Summary:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number Rated</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number Not Rated</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Number Employed</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LEA Principal Evaluation Detail:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Employed</th>
<th>Not Rated</th>
<th>Level 1</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
<th>Level 4</th>
<th>Level 5</th>
<th>Level 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Denominator)</td>
<td>(Numerator)</td>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>(Numerator)</td>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>(Numerator)</td>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>(Numerator)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsatisfactory/Satisfactory</td>
<td>Unsatisfactory</td>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: - All Total percentages are the result of dividing the total number of ratings at each level (Numerator) by the overall total (Denominator)

*In order to ensure that individual ratings can not be deduced , we have not reported any Teacher or Principal information if building level or LEA level data is less than or equal to 5*