Name of LEQ or Charter School: Connellsville Area Career & Technical Center

AUN Number: 101266007

Address of LEA or Charter School: 720 Locust Street Connellsville, PA 15425

Name Superintendent or Chief School Administrator: Kevin J. O'Donnell

For Information Contact: Bruce Jaynes

Email: bjaynes@casdfalcons.org

Phone: 724 626 0236 - 2850

TEACHER INFORMATION

If the LEA does not use their teacher evaluation system as a basis for the following, their decision criteria is provided:

a. Professional Development?
   Performance evaluations are used.

b. Teacher Compensation?
   Collective bargaining is used.
c. Teacher Advancement/Promotions?
   N/A

d. Teacher Retention and Removal?
   N/A

---

Does the LEA use weighting formula(e) and/or rubric(s) to guide teacher evaluators? (Charter Schools Only)

a. Yes or No? If Yes, describe background and process.

---

Does the LEA teacher evaluation system described above include the following as evaluation criterion?: (Charter Schools Only)

a. Student Achievement Outcomes?
b. Student Growth Data?

---

How often does the LEA formally evaluate:

a. Temporary Professionals (Less than 3 Years)? Twice a year
b. Professionals (More than 3 Years)? Annually

---

LEA Teacher Evaluations Summary:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number Rated</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number Not Rated</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Number Employed</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

LEA Teacher Evaluations Detail:
Connellsville Area Career & Te

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Employed</th>
<th>Not Rated</th>
<th>Level 1</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
<th>Level 4</th>
<th>Unsatisfactory</th>
<th>Satisfactory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Denominator)</td>
<td>(Numerator)</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>(Numerator)</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connellsville Area Career &amp; Te</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0 0%</td>
<td>0 0%</td>
<td>0 0%</td>
<td>26 100%</td>
<td>0 0%</td>
<td>26 100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0 0%</td>
<td>0 0%</td>
<td>0 0%</td>
<td>26 100%</td>
<td>0 0%</td>
<td>26 100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *All Building percentages are the result of dividing the number of ratings at each level (Numerator) by the building total (Denominator).* 
*All Total percentages are the result of dividing the total number of ratings at each level (Numerator) by the overall total (Denominator).* 
*In order to ensure that individual ratings cannot be deduced, we have not reported any Teacher or Principal information if building level or LEA level data is less than or equal to 5.*

**PRINCIPAL INFORMATION**

Describe the LEA’s system used to evaluate the performance of your Principals:

Since I was not employed here last year and since the person who was in charge of the evaluation is retired and unreachable, I have no way to properly answer this question.

If the LEA does not use their principal evaluation system as a basis for the following, their decision criteria is provided:

a. Principal Development?
   - Performance Evaluations are used.

b. Principal Compensation?
   - Collective Bargaining is used.

c. Principal Promotions?
   - 0

d. Principal Retention and Removal?
   - Performance Evaluations are used.

Does the LEA principal evaluation system described above include the following as evaluation criterion:

a. Student Achievement Outcomes? No
b. Student Growth Data? No

How often does the LEA formally evaluate:
a. New Principals (Less than 3 Years)? Twice a year
b. Experienced Principals (More than 3 Years)? Annually

Does the LEA use weighting formula(e) and/or rubric(s) to guide principal evaluators?
a. Yes or No? If Yes, describe background and process. No

N/A

Does your LEA have at least one Principal position? Yes
Does your LEA have a Standardized Principal Evaluation System? Yes

LEA Principal Evaluations Summary:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total Employed</th>
<th>Not Rated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number Rated</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number Not Rated</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Number Employed</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LEA Principal Evaluation Detail:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Total Employed</th>
<th>Not Rated</th>
<th>Level 1</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
<th>Level 4</th>
<th>Level 5</th>
<th>Level 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unsatisfactory/Satisfactory</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>* %</td>
<td>* %</td>
<td>* %</td>
<td>* %</td>
<td>* %</td>
<td>* %</td>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Totals: * * % * * % * * % * * % * * % * * % * * % * * % * * %

Note: * All Total percentages are the result of dividing the total number of ratings at each level (Numerator) by the overall total (Denominator).

*In order to ensure that individual ratings cannot be deduced, we have not reported any Teacher or Principal information if building level or LEA level data is less than or equal to 5.