Pennsylvania
Department of Education
Teacher and Principal Evaluation Information
Individual LEA Data
For the 2013-14 Rating Period

GENERAL INFORMATION

Name of LEQ or Charter School:
Alliance for Progress CS

AUN Number:
126512990

Address of LEA or Charter School:
1821-39 Cecil B Moore Ave Philadelphia, PA 19121

Name Superintendent or Chief School Administrator:
Joanna Hightower

For Information Contact:
Joanna Hightower

Email:
jhightower@afpcs.org

Phone:
215-232-4892

TEACHER INFORMATION

If the LEA does not use their teacher evaluation system as a basis for the following, their decision criteria is provided:

a. Professional Development?

b. Teacher Compensation?
   Teacher compensation is based on teaching certifications and number of years employed as a full time teacher with a school district.

c. Teacher Advancement/Promotions?
d. Teacher Retention and Removal?

Does the LEA use weighting formula(e) and/or rubric(s) to guide teacher evaluators? (Charter Schools Only)
a. Yes or No? If Yes, describe background and process. No

Does the LEA teacher evaluation system described above include the following as evaluation criterion? (Charter Schools Only)
a. Student Achievement Outcomes? No
b. Student Growth Data? No

How often does the LEA formally evaluate:
a. Temporary Professionals (Less than 3 Years)? Other
b. Professionals (More than 3 Years)? Twice a year

LEA Teacher Evaluations Summary:
Number Rated 26
Number Not Rated 0
Total Number Employed 26

LEA Teacher Evaluations Detail:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Employed</th>
<th>Not Rated</th>
<th>Level 1</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
<th>Level 4</th>
<th>Unsatisfactory</th>
<th>Satisfactory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Denominator)</td>
<td>(Numerator) %</td>
<td>(Numerator) %</td>
<td>(Numerator) %</td>
<td>(Numerator) %</td>
<td>(Numerator) %</td>
<td>(Numerator) %</td>
<td>(Numerator) %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alliance for Progress CS</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0 0%</td>
<td>2 7.7%</td>
<td>8 30.8%</td>
<td>11 42.3%</td>
<td>5 19.2%</td>
<td>2 7.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Describe the LEA's system used to evaluate the performance of your Principals:

The school Principal was evaluated by the school Chief Executive Officer (Mrs. Maria Snipe) twice per year. This evaluation was completed directly from the Principal's job description for the 2013-2014 school year. After both written evaluations, the CEO and Principal would meet to discuss areas to refine and reinforce. At the final evaluation, the CEO would determine if the Principal's performance was satisfactory or unsatisfactory.

If the LEA does not use their principal evaluation system as a basis for the following, their decision criteria is provided:

a. Principal Development?

b. Principal Compensation?

c. Principal Promotions?

d. Principal Retention and Removal?

Does the LEA principal evaluation system described above include the following as evaluation criterion:

a. Student Achievement Outcomes? No

b. Student Growth Data? No

How often does the LEA formally evaluate:

a. New Principals (Less than 3 Years)? Twice a year

b. Experienced Principals (More than 3 Years)? Twice a year

Does the LEA use weighting formula(e) and/or rubric(s) to guide principal evaluators?

a. Yes or No? If Yes, describe background and process. No

Does your LEA have at least one Principal position? Yes

Does your LEA have at Standarized Principal Evaluation System? No
LEA Principal Evaluations Summary:

Number Rated: 1
Number Not Rated: 0
Total Number Employed: 1

LEA Principal Evaluation Detail:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Employed</th>
<th>Not Rated</th>
<th>Level 1</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
<th>Level 4</th>
<th>Level 5</th>
<th>Level 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Denominator)</td>
<td>(Numerator)</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Unsatisfactory/Satisfactory
Unsatisfactory
Satisfactory

Totals

*In order to ensure that individual ratings can not be deduced, we have not reported any Teacher or Principal information if building level or LEA level data is less than or equal to 5.

Note: All Total percentages are the result of dividing the total number of ratings at each level (Numerator) by the overall total (Denominator).