Pennsylvania
Department of Education
Teacher and Principal Evaluation Information
Individual LEA Data
For the 2013-14 Rating Period

GENERAL INFORMATION

Name of LEQ or Charter School:
Center for Student Learning CS at Pennsbury

AUN Number:
122090001

Address of LEA or Charter School:
345 Lakeside Drive  Levittown, PA  19054

Name Superintendent or Chief School Administrator:
Charles Bonner PhD

For Information Contact:
David Cambridge EdD

Email:
dcambridge@cscharter.org

Phone:
215-269-7390 - 10

TEACHER INFORMATION

If the LEA does not use their teacher evaluation system as a basis for the following, their decision criteria is provided:

a. Professional Development?

b. Teacher Compensation?
   Teacher are on a yearly Step schedule in which pay raises are given in accordance with years of service, completion of Level II teacher certification and attaining a Masters degree.

c. Teacher Advancement/Promotions?
Does the LEA use weighting formula(e) and/or rubric(s) to guide teacher evaluators? (Charter Schools Only)
   a. Yes or No?  If Yes, describe background and process. No

Does the LEA teacher evaluation system described above include the following as evaluation criterion: (Charter Schools Only)
   a. Student Achievement Outcomes? Yes
   b. Student Growth Data? Yes

CSL uses PVASS scores from the PSSA and Keystone standardize tests to use in the PA-ETEP evaluation software as a variable for the teacher's final evaluation score.

How often does the LEA formally evaluate:
   a. Temporary Professionals (Less than 3 Years)? Annually
   b. Professionals (More than 3 Years)? Twice a year

LEA Teacher Evaluations Summary:
Number Rated 17
Number Not Rated 0
Total Number Employed 17

LEA Teacher Evaluations Detail:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Employed</th>
<th>Not Rated</th>
<th>Level 1</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
<th>Level 4</th>
<th>Unsatisfactory</th>
<th>Satisfactory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Denominator)</td>
<td>(Numerator) %</td>
<td>(Numerator) %</td>
<td>(Numerator) %</td>
<td>(Numerator) %</td>
<td>(Numerator) %</td>
<td>(Numerator) %</td>
<td>(Numerator) %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center for Student Lrng CS Pe</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0 0%</td>
<td>0 0%</td>
<td>0 0%</td>
<td>17 100%</td>
<td>0 0%</td>
<td>0 0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0 0%</td>
<td>0 0%</td>
<td>0 0%</td>
<td>17 100%</td>
<td>0 0%</td>
<td>0 0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: - All Building percentages are the result of dividing the number of ratings at each level (Numerator) by the building total (Denominator)
- All Total percentages are the result of dividing the total number of ratings at each level (Numerator) by the overall total (Denominator)
*In order to ensure that individual ratings can not be deduced, we have not reported any Teacher or Principal information if building level or LEA level data is less than or equal to 5

---

**PRINCIPAL INFORMATION**

Describe the LEA’s system used to evaluate the performance of your Principals:

If the LEA does not use their principal evaluation system as a basis for the following, their decision criteria is provided:

- Principal Development?
- Principal Compensation?
- Principal Promotions?
- Principal Retention and Removal?

Does the LEA principal evaluation system described above include the following as evaluation criterion:

- Student Achievement Outcomes?
- Student Growth Data?

How often does the LEA formally evaluate:

- New Principals (Less than 3 Years)?
- Experienced Principals (More than 3 Years)?

Does the LEA use weighting formula(e) and/or rubric(s) to guide principal evaluators?

- Yes or No? If Yes, describe background and process.

Does your LEA have at least one Principal position?  No

Does your LEA have at Standarized Principal Evaluation System?
### LEA Principal Evaluations Summary:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number Rated</th>
<th>Number Not Rated</th>
<th>Total Number Employed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### LEA Principal Evaluation Detail:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unsatisfactory/Satisfactory</th>
<th>Level 1</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
<th>Level 4</th>
<th>Level 5</th>
<th>Level 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not Rated</td>
<td>(Numerator) %</td>
<td>(Numerator) %</td>
<td>(Numerator) %</td>
<td>(Numerator) %</td>
<td>(Numerator) %</td>
<td>(Numerator) %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsatisfactory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Notes:
- All Total percentages are the result of dividing the total number of ratings at each level (Numerator) by the overall total (Denominator).
- *In order to ensure that individual ratings cannot be deduced, we have not reported any Teacher or Principal information if building level or LEA level data is less than or equal to 5.