Pennsylvania
Department of Education
Teacher and Principal Evaluation Information
Individual LEA Data
For the 2013-14 Rating Period

GENERAL INFORMATION

Name of LEQ or Charter School:
Chester Co Family Academy CS

AUN Number:
124152880

Address of LEA or Charter School:
323 E Gay St  West Chester, PA  19380

Name Superintendent or Chief School Administrator:
Susan Flynn

For Information Contact:
Rachel Stabb CAO

Email:
ceo@ccfaschool.org

Phone:
610-696-5910

TEACHER INFORMATION

If the LEA does not use their teacher evaluation system as a basis for the following, their decision criteria is provided:

a. Professional Development?
   Staff size is so small that professional development is based on individual needs and whole school developmental needs.

b. Teacher Compensation?
   N/A-Teacher's compensation is based on an overall cost of living increase.
c. Teacher Advancement/Promotions?
   Do to small staff and only 4 classrooms there is limited opportunities for advance promotion.

d. Teacher Retention and Removal?
   Our staff is highly qualified and dedication to the schools mission and vision. The retention of staff is due to the salary levels compared to public schools.

Does the LEA use weighting formula(e) and/or rubric(s) to guide teacher evaluators? (Charter Schools Only)
   a. Yes or No? If Yes, describe background and process. No

Does the LEA teacher evaluation system described above include the following as evaluation criterion? (Charter Schools Only)
   a. Student Achievement Outcomes? No
   b. Student Growth Data? No

How often does the LEA formally evaluate:
   a. Temporary Professionals (Less than 3 Years)? Annually
   b. Professionals (More than 3 Years)? Other

LEA Teacher Evaluations Summary:
   Number Rated 4
   Number Not Rated 1
   Total Number Employed 5

LEA Teacher Evaluations Detail:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Employed</th>
<th>Not Rated</th>
<th>Level 1</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
<th>Level 4</th>
<th>Unsatisfactory</th>
<th>Satisfactory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Denominator)</td>
<td>(Numerator) %</td>
<td>(Numerator) %</td>
<td>(Numerator) %</td>
<td>(Numerator) %</td>
<td>(Numerator) %</td>
<td>(Numerator) %</td>
<td>(Numerator) %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chester Co Family Academy C</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*%</td>
<td>*%</td>
<td>*%</td>
<td>*%</td>
<td>*%</td>
<td>*%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*%</td>
<td>*%</td>
<td>*%</td>
<td>*%</td>
<td>*%</td>
<td>*%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: All Building percentages are the result of dividing the number of ratings at each level (Numerator) by the building total (Denominator).
- All Total percentages are the result of dividing the total number of ratings at each level (Numerator) by the overall total (Denominator).
*In order to ensure that individual ratings can not be deduced, we have not reported any Teacher or Principal information if building level or LEA level data is less than or equal to 5.

**PRINCIPAL INFORMATION**

Describe the LEA's system used to evaluate the performance of your Principals:

If the LEA does not use their principal evaluation system as a basis for the following, their decision criteria is provided:

a. Principal Development?

b. Principal Compensation?

c. Principal Promotions?

d. Principal Retention and Removal?

Does the LEA principal evaluation system described above include the following as evaluation criterion:

a. Student Achievement Outcomes?

b. Student Growth Data?

How often does the LEA formally evaluate:

a. New Principals (Less than 3 Years)?

b. Experienced Principals (More than 3 Years)?

Does the LEA use weighting formula(e) and/or rubric(s) to guide principal evaluators?
Does your LEA have at least one Principal position?  

No

Does your LEA have at Standarized Principal Evaluation System?

LEA Principal Evaluations Summary:

Number Rated: ___
Number Not Rated: 0

Total Number Employed: ___

LEA Principal Evaluation Detail:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Employed (Denominator)</th>
<th>Not Rated (Numerator) %</th>
<th>Level 1 (Numerator) %</th>
<th>Level 2 (Numerator) %</th>
<th>Level 3 (Numerator) %</th>
<th>Level 4 (Numerator) %</th>
<th>Level 5 (Numerator) %</th>
<th>Level 6 (Numerator) %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unsatisfactory/Satisfactory</td>
<td>Un satisfactory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: - All Total percentages are the result of dividing the total number of ratings at each level (Numerator) by the overall total (Denominator)

*In order to ensure that individual ratings can not be deduced, we have not reported any Teacher or Principal information if building level or LEA level data is less than or equal to 5