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## PREFACE: AN OVERVIEW OF THE ASSESSMENTS

## THE KEYSTONE EXAMS FROM 2008 TO PRESENT <br> COMPREHENSIVE GRADUATION COMPETENCY ASSESSMENT PROGRAM

In 2008, the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania initiated a comprehensive graduation competency assessment program. The goals of this program include the following:

- To provide a system that is aligned, focused, standards-based, accurate, universally applicable, and publicly accessible
- To develop, produce, distribute, administer (both online and in paper-and-pencil), collect, score, analyze, track, and report results of graduation competency assessments for ten high schoollevel content areas: Algebra I, Algebra II, Biology, Chemistry, Civics and Government, English Composition, Geometry, Literature, U.S. History, and World History, with each area or course comprised of modules containing unique content
- To provide graduation competency testing opportunities for students three times each school year-spring, summer, and fall-with students permitted to retake modules until proficiency is achieved on each module
- To report graduation competency results under accelerated timelines
- To ensure validity and reliability of the assessment systems through technically sound test development and psychometric practices, detailed statistical analyses and research studies, and well-documented processes and quality procedures

The Keystone Exams, as the graduation competency assessments are named, are just one component of Pennsylvania's system of high school graduation requirements. Keystone Exams are designed to help school districts guide students toward meeting state standards - standards aligned with expectations for success in college and the workplace. In order to receive a diploma, students are also required to meet local district credit and attendance requirements and to complete a culminating project, along with any additional district requirements.

For graduating classes, students are to demonstrate successful completion of secondary-level course work in Algebra I, Biology, and Literature, in which the Keystone Exam served as the final course exam. Students' Keystone Exam scores count for at least one-third of the final course grades.

Based upon Chapter 4 regulations, each Keystone Exam is designed in modules that reflect distinct, related academic content common to the traditional progression of course work. Students who do not score Proficient or above on a Keystone Exam module may choose to complete a project-based assessment for that module based upon other specific requirements.

## ASSESSMENT ACTIVITIES OCCURRING FROM 2010 TO PRESENT

The first assessment activities took place in the 2010-2011 school year. Prior to November 2010, there were no Keystone Exams assessment events. The table below outlines the field tests and operational exams administered during the 2010-11 school year.

Following the development of Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content, exams were developed for the initial field test in 2010 and were subsequently administered as operational exams in 2011. Additional exams, which were based on the Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content developed in 2009 and 2010, were developed for the initial field test in 2011. Detailed information about the operational exam activities that occurred during the 2010-2011 school year is in the Keystone Exams Spring 2011 Algebra I, Biology, and Literature Technical Report.

Field Test and Operational Exams during the 2015-16 School Year

| Exam | Assessment Activity | Date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Algebra I | Initial Stand-Alone Field Test | Fall 2010 (November) |
| Algebra I | Inaugural Operational Exam Administration | Spring 2011 (May) |
| Algebra II | Initial Stand-Alone Field Test | Spring 2011 (May) |
| Biology | Initial Stand-Alone Field Test | Fall 2010 (November) |
| Biology | Inaugural Operational Exam Administration | Spring 2011 (May) |
| English Composition | Initial Stand-Alone Field Test | Spring 2011 (May) |
| Geometry | Initial Stand-Alone Field Test | Spring 2011 (May) |
| Literature | Initial Stand-Alone Field Test | Fall 2010 (November) |
| Literature | Inaugural Operational Exam Administration | Spring 2011 (May) |

Following a one-year program hiatus in 2012, the field test items embedded in the Spring 2011 operational forms were used to construct the forms for the next four administrations (spring, summer, winter, and possible breach). The table below outlines exams administered during the 2012-13 school year. Detailed information about the operational exam activities that occurred during the 2012-2013 school year is in the Keystone Exams Spring 2013 Algebra I, Biology, and Literature Technical Report.

Operational Exams during the 2012-13 School Year

| Exam | Assessment Activity | Date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Algebra I | Operational Retest Exam Administration | Winter 2012/2013 <br> (December-January) |
| Algebra I | Operational Exam Administration | Spring 2013 (May) |
| Algebra I | Operational Retest Exam Administration | Summer 2013 (August) |
| Biology | Operational Retest Exam Administration | Winter 2012/2013 <br> (December-January) |
| Biology | Operational Exam Administration | Spring 2013 (May) |
| Biology | Operational Retest Exam Administration | Summer 2013 (August) |
| Literature | Operational Retest Exam Administration | Winter 2012/2013 <br> (December-January) |
| Literature | Operational Exam Administration | Spring 2013 (May) |
| Literature | Operational Retest Exam Administration | Summer 2013 (August) |

Some of the field test items embedded in the Spring 2013 operational forms were used to construct the forms for the next four administrations (spring, summer, winter, and possible breach). The core items on the 2013-2014 forms also consisted of items that appeared on the core forms of the administrations two years prior. More information on these core-to-core overlap items can be found in Chapter 3. The table below outlines exams administered during the 2013-14 school year. Detailed information about the operational exam activities that occurred during the 2013-2014 school year is in the Keystone Exams Spring 2014 Algebra I, Biology, and Literature Technical Report.

Operational Exams during the 2013-14 School Year

| Exam | Assessment Activity | Date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Algebra I | Operational Retest Exam Administration | Winter 2013/2014 <br> (December-January) |
| Algebra I | Operational Exam Administration | Spring 2014 (May) |
| Algebra I | Operational Retest Exam Administration | Summer 2014 (August) |
| Biology | Operational Retest Exam Administration | Winter 2013/2014 <br> (December-January) |
| Biology | Operational Exam Administration | Spring 2014 (May) |
| Biology | Operational Retest Exam Administration | Summer 2014 (August) |
| Literature | Operational Retest Exam Administration | Winter 2013/2014 <br> (December-January) |
| Literature | Operational Exam Administration | Spring 2014 (May) |
| Literature | Operational Retest Exam Administration | Summer 2014 (August) |

Some of the field test items embedded in the Spring 2014 operational forms were used to construct the forms for the next year's administrations (spring, summer, winter). The core items on the 2014-2015 forms also consisted of items that appeared on the core forms of the administrations two years prior. More information on these core-to-core overlap items can be found in Chapter Three. The table below outlines exams administered during the 2014-15 school year.

Operational Exams during the 2014-15 School Year

| Exam | Assessment Activity | Date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Algebra I | Operational Retest Exam Administration | Winter 2014/2015 <br> (December-January) |
| Algebra I | Operational Exam Administration | Spring 2015 (May) |
| Algebra I | Operational Retest Exam Administration | Summer 2015 (August) |
| Biology | Operational Retest Exam Administration | Winter 2014/2015 <br> (December-January) |
| Biology | Operational Exam Administration | Spring 2015 (May) |
| Biology | Operational Retest Exam Administration | Summer 2015 (August) |
| Literature | Operational Retest Exam Administration | Winter 2014/2015 <br> (December-January) |
| Literature | Operational Exam Administration | Spring 2015 (May) |
| Literature | Operational Retest Exam Administration | Summer 2015 (August) |

Some of the field test items embedded in the Spring 2015 operational forms were used to construct the forms for the next year's administrations (spring, summer, winter). The core items on the 2015-2016 forms also consisted of items that appeared on the core forms of the administrations two years prior. More information on these core-to-core overlap items can be found in Chapter Three. The table below outlines exams administered during the 2015-16 school year.

Operational Exams during the 2015-16 School Year

| Exam | Assessment Activity | Date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Algebra I | Operational Retest Exam Administration | Winter 2015/2016 <br> (December-January) |
| Algebra I | Operational Exam Administration | Spring 2016 (May) |
| Algebra I | Operational Retest Exam Administration | Summer 2016 (August) |
| Biology | Operational Retest Exam Administration | Winter 2015/2016 <br> (December-January) |
| Biology | Operational Exam Administration | Spring 2016 (May) |
| Biology | Operational Retest Exam Administration | Summer 2016 (August) |
| Literature | Operational Retest Exam Administration | Winter 2015/2016 <br> (December-January) |
| Literature | Operational Exam Administration | Spring 2016 (May) |
| Literature | Operational Retest Exam Administration | Summer 2016 (August) |

Some of the field test items embedded in the Spring 2016 operational forms were used to construct the forms for the next year's administrations (spring, summer, winter). The core items on the 2016-2017 forms also consisted of items that appeared on the core forms of the administrations two years prior. More information on these core-to-core overlap items can be found in Chapter Three. The table below outlines exams administered during the 2016-17 school year.

Operational Exams during the 2016-17 School Year

| Exam | Assessment Activity | Date <br> Algebra I <br> (December-January) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Algebra I | Operational Retest Exam Administration | Spring 2017 (May) Exam Administration |
| Algebra I | Operational Retest Exam Administration | Summer 2017 (July-August) |
| Biology | Operational Retest Exam Administration | Winter 2016/2017 <br> (December-January) |
| Biology | Operational Exam Administration | Spring 2017 (May) |
| Biology | Operational Retest Exam Administration | Summer 2017 (July-August) |
| Literature | Operational Retest Exam Administration | Winter 2016/2017 <br> (December-January) |
| Literature | Operational Exam Administration | Spring 2017 (May) |
| Literature | Operational Retest Exam Administration | Summer 2017 (July-August) |

Some of the field test items embedded in the spring 2017 operational forms were used to construct the forms for the next year's administrations (spring, summer, winter). The core items on the 2017-2018 forms also consisted of items that appeared on the core forms of the administrations two years prior. More information on these core-tocore overlap items can be found in Chapter Three. The table below outlines exams administered during the 20172018 school year.

Operational Exams during the 2017-18 School Year

| Exam | Assessment Activity | Date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Algebra I | Operational Retest Exam Administration | Winter 2017/2018 |
| (December- <br> January) | Operational Exam Administration | Spring 2017 (May) |
| Algebra I | Operational Exam Administration | Spring 2018 (May) |
| Algebra I | Operational Retest Exam Administration | Summer 2018 (July-August) |
| Biology | Operational Retest Exam Administration | Winter 2017/2018 |
| (December- <br> January) | Operational Retest Exam Administration | Summer 2017 (July-August) |
| Biology | Operational Exam Administration | Spring 2018 (May) |
| Biology | Operational Retest Exam Administration | Summer 2018 (July-August) |
| Literature | Operational Retest Exam Administration | Winter 2017/2018 |
| (December- <br> January) |  |  |
| Literature | Operational Exam Administration | Spring 2018 (May) |
| Literature | Operational Retest Exam Administration | Summer 2018 (July-August) |

## CHAPTER ONE: BACKGROUND OF THE KEYSTONE EXAMS

This brief overview of the Pennsylvania Keystone Exams summarizes the history of the program's development process, intent and purpose, and recent changes.

## ASSESSMENT HISTORY IN PENNSYLVANIA

Pennsylvania's involvement in statewide assessment actually began in the 1969-1970 school year with a purely school-based assessment known as Educational Quality Assessment (EQA), which continued through the 1987-1988 school year. A state-mandated student competency testing program called Testing for Essential Learning and Literacy Skills (TELLS) also operated from the school years of 1984-1985 through 1990-1991. Also in 1990, the state initiated an on-demand writing assessment.

The Pennsylvania System of School Assessment (PSSA) program was instituted in 1992 as a school evaluation model with reporting at the school level only. The PSSA initially measured performance in the content areas of mathematics and reading at grades 5,8 , and 11 , and in writing at grades 6 and 9 . Starting in 1994, as part of Chapter 5 regulations, the PSSA added student-level reports. In 1999, as part of Chapter 4 regulations, the State Board of Education adopted the Pennsylvania Academic Standards for mathematics and for reading, writing, speaking, and listening. Proficiency levels for Advanced, Proficient, Basic, and Below Basic were defined in 2000. In 2001 and 2004, the reading and mathematics assessments underwent various content enhancements to improve alignment to the 1999 Academic Standards. Grade 11 was added to the writing assessment in 2001. Then, in 2004-2005, the PSSA Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content were developed to clarify content structure and improve articulation between assessment and instruction. In addition, in 2005, the grade 6 and 9 writing assessments were moved to grades 5 and 8. By 2006, the operational mathematics and reading assessments incorporated grades 3 through 8 and 11. In 2007, the PSSA and the PSSA Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content underwent additional content enhancements. In 2008, science was added to the PSSA as an operational assessment. Starting with the 2013 field test, PSSA began a multiyear transition to a new set of standards called the Pennsylvania Core Standards. Detailed information about the operational exam activities that occurred during the 2013-2014 school year is in the 2014 PSSA Technical Report.

## THE KEYSTONE EXAMS

In 2008, the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania initiated a comprehensive graduation competency assessment program. As a key piece of this program, the Keystone Exams are designed to assess proficiency in various subject areas, including Algebra I, Algebra II, Biology, Chemistry, Civics and Government, English Composition, Geometry, Literature, U.S. History, and World History. The Keystone Exams are just one component of Pennsylvania's high school graduation requirements. Students must also earn state-specified credits, fulfill the state's service learning and attendance requirements, and complete any additional local school system requirements to receive a Pennsylvania high school diploma.

The stated goals of the Keystone program are to

- provide for a system that is aligned, focused, standards-based, accurate, universally applicable, and publicly accessible.
- develop, produce, distribute, administer (both online and in paper-and-pencil), collect, score, analyze, track, and report results of graduation competency assessments for ten high school-level content areas: Algebra I, Algebra II, Biology, Chemistry, Civics and Government, English Composition, Geometry, Literature, U.S. History, and World History, with each area or course composed of modules containing unique content.
- provide graduation competency testing opportunities for students three times each school year-spring, summer, and fall-with students permitted to retake modules until proficiency is achieved in each module.
- report graduation competency results under accelerated timelines.
- ensure validity and reliability of the assessment systems through technically sound test development and psychometric practices, detailed statistical analyses and research studies, and well-documented processes and quality procedures.


## GRADUATION REQUIREMENTS AND THE KEYSTONE EXAMS

Based upon Chapter 4 regulations, each Keystone Exam is designed in modules that reflect distinct, related academic content common to the traditional progression of coursework. Students who do not score Proficient or above on a Keystone Exam module may choose to complete a project-based assessment for that module based on the requirements detailed below.

If a student is unable to meet the requirements in § 4.24(b)(1)(iv)(A) (relating to high school graduation requirements) after two attempts on a Keystone Exam, the student may supplement a Keystone Exam score with satisfactory completion of a project-based assessment. Points earned through satisfactory performance on one or more project modules related to the Keystone Exam module or modules that the student did not pass shall be added to the student's highest Keystone Exam score.

A student may qualify to participate in one or more project-based assessments if the student has met all of the following conditions:

1. The student has taken the course.
2. The student was unsuccessful in achieving a score of Proficient on the Keystone Exam after at least two attempts.
3. The student has met the district's attendance requirements for the course.
4. The student has participated in a satisfactory manner in supplemental instructional services under § 4.24(i).

## KEYSTONE ASSESSMENT ANCHORS AND ELIGIBLE CONTENT

In 2009, the state initiated development of test designs and test blueprints for the Keystone Exams based on Pennsylvania Keystone Course Standards. Committees of Pennsylvania educators met in 2009, 2010, and 2011 to write, review, and approve Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content statements and sample exam items. To provide initial focus, each test blueprint committee was presented with materials specific to the exam in question, including a basic blueprint structure, the Pennsylvania State Standards, and draft Eligible Content statements based on the standards. The results from the initial committee work were evaluated by national, state, and local subject experts, and following revisions, they were ultimately validated by another committee of Pennsylvania educators. Following committee approval, the Keystone Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content statements for literacy, mathematics, and science were approved by the State Board of Education in September 2010.

- Mathematics
- The first committee meetings took place in April 2009, where initial drafts of the test blueprints were developed for Algebra I, Algebra II, and Geometry.
- A follow-up committee meeting for the three mathematics exams was held in August 2009.
- Literacy
- The first committee meetings took place in April 2009, where initial drafts of the test blueprints were developed for English Composition and Literature.
- A follow-up committee meeting for the two literacy exams was held in November 2009.
- Science
- The first committee meetings took place in October 2009, where the initial draft of the test blueprint was developed for Biology.
- A follow-up committee meeting for Biology was held in January 2010.
- In addition, in January 2010, the initial draft of the test blueprint was developed for Chemistry.
- Chemistry was part of a follow-up committee meeting held in late January 2010.
- The first committee meetings took place in November 2010, where initial drafts of the test blueprints were developed for Civics and Government, U.S. History, and World History.
- A follow-up committee meeting for the Civics and Government exam was held in October 2011.
- A follow-up committee meeting for U.S. History and World History remains unscheduled pending further decisions about the future of these Keystone exams.


## WAVE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE EXAMS

The implementation plan for the Keystone Exams envisioned the ten Keystone Exams becoming operational through a series of waves. The initial wave included Algebra I, Biology, and Literature. These first three exams were field tested in fall 2010 and reached operational status with the spring 2011 administration. The second wave included Algebra II, English Composition, and Geometry; these were field tested in spring 2011. English Composition is scheduled to reach operational status at a future date. Civics and Government is projected to reach operational status following English Composition. The implementation of the five remaining courses, Algebra II, Geometry, Chemistry, U.S. History, and World History, is currently unscheduled. The Pennsylvania Department of Education continues to evaluate the implementation schedule. Table 1-1 reflects the implementation plans as of September 2018.

Table 1-1. Keystone Exams Wave Implementation Plan

| Wave | Exam(s) | Initial <br> Field Test | First <br> Operational |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Algebra I, Biology, Literature | Fall 2010 | Spring 2011 |
| 2 | English Composition | Spring 2011 | TBD |
| 2 | Algebra II, Geometry | Spring 2011 | Not Scheduled |
| 3 | Civics and Government | TBD | Not Scheduled |
| 4 | Chemistry, U.S. History, World History | TBD | Not Scheduled |

## MODE OF DELIVERY FOR THE EXAMS

One key feature of the Keystone Exams is the dual mode of delivery of the testing materials that is available to districts. In addition to the traditional paper-and-pencil format, the Keystone Exams are also available in a computer-based online format using test-delivery software.

While exam materials are still available in the traditional format (two pieces of exam materials-a test book and a separate answer book [or, in the case of English Composition, a single test/answer book]), districts are given the option to administer the exams using computer-based online testing software instead of the paper-and-pencil format.

For more information about how the online exams were developed in concert with the traditional paper-and-pencil format, see Chapter Three.

## MULTIPLE TESTING OPPORTUNITIES

Another key feature of the Keystone Exams is the multiple testing opportunities provided to students. Main administrations in both spring and winter provide options for students completing course work at various times of the year and accommodate both traditional and block scheduling. In addition, a summer retest opportunity is also available. More information about the spring, winter, and summer administrations can be found in Chapter Seven.

## PERFORMANCE LEVELS FOR THE KEYSTONE EXAMS

The State Board approved a set of criteria defining Advanced, Proficient, Basic, and Below Basic levels of performance for the Keystone Exams. More information about these Performance Level Descriptors (PLDs) is found in Chapter Thirteen.

## OPERATIONAL TEST DESIGN INFORMATION

The test definition of each of the operational Keystone Exams, including information about exam-specific test designs, test blueprints, test layouts, item types, and other exam elements, is detailed in Chapter Three.

## CHAPTER TWO: TEST DEVELOPMENT OVERVIEW OF THE KEYSTONE EXAMS

## KEYSTONE BLUEPRINT/ASSESSMENT ANCHORS AND ELIGIBLE CONTENT

The Keystone Test Blueprints - known as the Keystone Exams Assessment Anchors and Eligible Contentare based on Pennsylvania Keystone Course Standards and the Pennsylvania Core Standards. Prior to the development of the Assessment Anchors, multiple groups of Pennsylvania educators convened to create a set of standards for each of the Keystone Exams. Derived from a review of existing standards, these Enhanced Standards (Course Standards) focus on what students need to know and be able to do in order to be ready for college and career.

Although the Keystone Course Standards indicate what students should know and be able to do, Assessment Anchors are designed to indicate the parts of the Keystone Course Standards (Instructional Standards) that will be assessed on the Keystone Exams. Based on recommendations from Pennsylvania educators, the Assessment Anchors were designed as a tool to improve the articulation of curricular, instructional, and assessment practices. The Assessment Anchors clarify what is expected and focus the content of the standards into what is assessable on a large-scale exam. The Assessment Anchor documents also serve to communicate Eligible Content-the range of knowledge and skills from which the Keystone Exams are designed.

The Keystone Exams Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content have been designed to hold together, or anchor, the state assessment system and curricular/instructional practices in schools by following these design parameters:

- Clear: The Assessment Anchors are easy to read and user friendly; they clearly detail which standards are assessed on the Keystone Exams.
- Focused: The Assessment Anchors identify a core set of standards that can be reasonably assessed on a large-scale assessment; this keeps educators from having to guess which standards are critical.
- Rigorous: The Assessment Anchors support the rigor of the state standards by assessing higher order and reasoning skills.
- Manageable: The Assessment Anchors define the standards in a way that can be easily incorporated into a course to prepare students for success.

The Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content are organized into cohesive blueprints, each structured with a common labeling system. This framework is organized by increasing levels of detail: first, Module (Reporting Category); second, Assessment Anchor; third, Anchor Descriptor; and fourth, Eligible Content statement. The common format of this outline is followed across the Keystone Exams.

A description of each level in the labeling system for the Keystone Exams is as follows:

- Module: The Assessment Anchors are organized into two thematic modules for each of the Keystone Exams, and these modules serve as the Reporting Categories for the Keystone Exams. The module title appears at the top of each page in the Assessment Anchor document. The module level is also important because the Keystone Exams are built using a module format, with each of the Keystone Exams divided into two equally sized test modules. Each module is made up of two or more Assessment Anchors.
- Assessment Anchor: The Assessment Anchor appears in the shaded bar across the top of each Assessment Anchor table in the Assessment Anchor document. The Assessment Anchors represent categories of subject matter that anchor the content of the Keystone Exams. Each Assessment Anchor is part of a module and has one or more Anchor Descriptors unified under it.
- Anchor Descriptor: Below each Assessment Anchor in the Assessment Anchor document is a specific Anchor Descriptor. The Anchor Descriptor level details the scope of content covered by the Assessment Anchor. Each Anchor Descriptor is part of an Assessment Anchor and has one or more Eligible Content unified under it.
- Eligible Content: The column to the right of the Anchor Descriptor in the Assessment Anchor document contains the Eligible Content statements. The Eligible Content is the most specific description of the content that is assessed in the Keystone Exams. This level is considered the assessment limit. It helps educators identify the range of content covered on the Keystone Exams.
- Enhanced Standard: In the column to the right of each Eligible Content statement is a code representing one or more Enhanced Standards that correlate to the Eligible Content statement. Some Eligible Content statements include annotations that clarify the scope of an Eligible Content.
- Notes: There are three types of notes included in the Assessment Anchor document.
"e.g." ("for example")-sample approach, but not a limit to the Eligible Content
"i.e." ("that is")-specific limit to the Eligible Content
"Note"-content exclusions or definable range of the Eligible Content
The Assessment Anchor's coding is read like an outline. The coding includes the Subject (Exam), Reporting Category/Module, Assessment Anchor, Anchor Descriptor, and Eligible Content. Each exam has two modules. Each module has two or more Assessment Anchors. Each of the Assessment Anchors has one or more Anchor Descriptors, and each Anchor Descriptor has at least one Eligible Content (generally more than one). The Assessment Anchors form the basis of the test design for the exams undergoing test development. In turn, this hierarchy is the basis for organizing the total module and exam scores (based on the core [common] portions).

Table 2-1. Sample Keystone Assessment Anchor Coding

| Sample Code | Subject (Exam) | Reporting Category (Module) | Assessment Anchor (AA) | Anchor Descriptor (AD) | Eligible Content (EC) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A1.1.1.2.1 | A1 Algebra I | 1 Operations and Linear Equations \& Inequalities | 1 Linear Equations | 2 Write, solve, and/or graph linear equations using various methods. | 1 Write, solve, and/or apply a linear equation (including problem situations). |
| BIO.A.2.1.1 | BIO Biology | A Cells and Cell Processes | 2 The Chemical Basis for Life | 1 Describe how the unique properties of water support life on Earth | 1 Describe the unique properties of water and how these properties support life on Earth (e.g., freezing point, high specific heat, cohesion). |
| L.F.2.4.1 | L Literature | F Fiction | 2 Analyzing and Interpreting Literature-Fiction | 4 Use appropriate strategies to interpret and analyze the universal significance of literary fiction. | 1 Interpret and analyze works from a variety of genres for literary, historical, and/or cultural significance. |

The complete set of Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content can be referenced at PDE's Standards Aligned System (SAS) website: http://www.pdesas.org/Standard

## HIGH-LEVEL TEST DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

The Keystone Exams employ two types of test items (questions): multiple choice and constructed response. These item types assess different levels of knowledge and provide different information about achievement. Psychometrically, multiple-choice items are very useful and efficient tools for collecting information about a student's academic achievement. Constructed-response performance tasks generally generate fewer scorable points than multiple-choice items generate in the same amount of testing time; however, they provide tasks that are more realistic and sample eligible content that best lends itself to this item type. Furthermore, well-constructed scoring guides have made it possible to include constructed-response tasks in large-scale assessments, and trained scorers apply the scoring guides to efficiently score large numbers of student responses in a highly reliable way. The design of the Keystone Exams attempts to achieve a reasonable balance between the two item types.

Table 2-2. Keystone Exams High-Level Design Considerations

| Exam | MC as <br> Percentage <br> of Core <br> Points | CR as <br> Percentage <br> of Core <br> Points | Number of <br> Points per <br> MC | Number of <br> Points per CR | Number of <br> Modules | Number of <br> Assessment <br> Anchors | Number <br> of Eligible <br> Content <br> Algebra I <br> 60 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Biology | 73 | 40 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 33 |
| Literature | 65 | 35 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 8 | 38 |

## DEPTH OF KNOWLEDGE

The goal of each Keystone Exam is for each item to be of sufficient rigor, or Webb's Depth of Knowledge (DOK) Level 3. Webb's DOK was created by Norman Webb of the Wisconsin Center for Education Research. Webb's definition of depth of knowledge is the degree or complexity of knowledge that the content curriculum standards and expectations require. Therefore, when reviewing items for depth of knowledge, the item is reviewed to determine whether it is as demanding cognitively as what the actual content curriculum standard expects. In the case of the Pennsylvania Keystone items, the item meets the criterion if the DOK of the item is in alignment with the DOK of the Assessment Anchor as defined by the Eligible Content. Webb's DOK includes four levels, from the lowest (basic recall) level to the highest (extended thinking) level.

In some specific cases, DOK level 2 was allowed when the cognitive intent of an Eligible Content was level 2. For more information on DOK, see Chapter Three and Appendix A.

## ONLINE TESTING DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

The Keystone Exams were designed from the beginning to provide a dual mode of test delivery, using traditional paper-and-pencil forms and using computer-based online forms. The computer-based online testing environment (called INSIGHT) is designed to provide a testing experience that mirrors the elements of traditional paper-and-pencil-based test delivery. This includes not only standard ancillary testing materials available in or with the printed forms, like formula sheets, periodic tables, scoring guidelines, and response spaces, but also analogs of the mechanical elements of response generation not necessarily associated with a computer-screen interface. These elements include line guides, rulers, screen highlighters, magnifiers, equation-building software, online calculators and graphing tools, and keyboard shortcuts.

Consideration of other components of online testing-like item layout, passage layout, font, screen resolution, navigation tools, and other interface mechanisms - all played a role in the overall design constraints, with some considerations having a more meaningful impact on specific exams. For more information on how the online test design impacted the overall test design considerations, see the sections below under each exam. See also Chapter Twenty for more information on a study comparing the use of both modes of delivery.

Online testing also provides an opportunity to utilize software to generate scores for student responses. In cases where responses to questions invoke numerical strings or equations, online responses can be scored through the use of lookup tables. Lookup tables are automated scoring rubrics that contain common correct and incorrect responses. When a response does not match a record in the lookup table, a human scorer is used to adjudicate the score. Operational autoscoring was only used for the Algebra I Exam; see below for more information on its use in Algebra I. For more information on scoring, see Chapter Eight.

## ALGEBRA I

The Keystone Algebra I Exam has two reporting categories: Module 1, Operations and Linear Equations \& Inequalities, and Module 2, Linear Functions and Data Organizations. Both modules include three Assessment Anchors. Module 1 has 18 Eligible Content, and Module 2 has 15 Eligible Content. Each module corresponds to specific content aligned to statements and specifications included in the course-specific Assessment Anchor documents. The Algebra I content included in the Keystone Algebra I multiple-choice items aligns with the Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content statements. The process skills, directives, and action statements also specifically align with the Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content statements. The content included in Algebra I constructed-response items aligns with content included in the Eligible Content statements. The process skills, directives, and action statements included in the performance demands of the Algebra I constructed-response items align with specifications included in the Assessment Anchor statements, the Anchor Descriptor statements, and/or the Eligible Content statements. In other words, the verbs or action statements used in the constructedresponse items or stems can come from the Eligible Content, Anchor Descriptor, or Assessment Anchor statements.

## ALGEBRA I ONLINE CONSIDERATIONS

Students taking the computer-based online delivery of the Algebra I exam are provided with online versions of several common tools typically available to a student taking a traditional paper-and-pencil exam. Each student has access to the following online tools: a standard four-function calculator, a scientific calculator, a graphing tool (similar, but not identical to, a graphing calculator), a ruler (available in metric and English units), a highlighter, a line guide, a magnifier, a sticky note generator, and a cross-off tool. In addition, an equation builder-which allows students to generate complex equations not normally possible with a standard keyboard-is also made available with all constructed-response items. Also, if the constructed-response item requests that the student draw, label, or otherwise change a graph, special graph-drawing tools are provided for on-screen graph generation. The Algebra I general scoring guideline and formula sheets are also available to students.

Layout of both the multiple-choice and constructed-response items is optimized for minimal screen manipulation (minimal scrolling required to see graphics or text that extend beyond the visible working space on the computer screen), and exam items are scrutinized carefully in both print and online versions for continuity and accuracy.

## ALGEBRA I MULTIPLE-CHOICE ITEMS

Sixty percent of the possible points on the Algebra I Exam are derived from multiple-choice items. This item type is especially efficient for measuring a broad range of content. Each multiple-choice item has four response options, only one of which is correct. The student is awarded one point for choosing the correct response. Distractors typically represent incorrect concepts, incorrect logic, incorrect application of an algorithm, or computational errors.

Algebra I multiple-choice items are intended to take about one and a half minutes of response time per item. They are used to assess a variety of skill levels, including problem solving. Algebra I items involving application emphasize the requirement to carry out some mathematical process to find an answer rather than simply recalling information from memory.

## ALGEBRA I CONSTRUCTED-RESPONSE ITEMS

Constructed-response items (tasks) require that students read a problem description and develop an appropriate solution. Algebra I constructed-response items are designed to take about ten minutes of response time per item. Most of the constructed-response items have several components in the overall task that may enable students to enter or begin the problem at different places. In some items, each successive component is designed to assess progressively more difficult skills or higher knowledge levels. Certain components may ask students to explain their reasoning for applying particular operations or for arriving at certain conclusions. The types of tasks utilized do not necessarily require computations. Students may also be asked to perform such tasks as constructing a graph, shading some portion of a figure, or listing object combinations that meet specified criteria.

Constructed-response tasks are especially useful for measuring students' problem-solving skills in Algebra. They offer the opportunity to present real-life situations that necessitate that the students solve problems using mathematics abilities learned in the classroom. Students must read the task carefully, identify the necessary information, devise a method of solution, perform the calculations, enter the solution directly in the answer document, and, when required, offer an explanation. This provides insight into the students' mathematical knowledge, abilities, and reasoning processes.

The constructed-response Algebra items are scored on a 0-4 point scale using an item-specific scoring guideline. The item-specific scoring guideline outlines the requirements for each score point. Item-specific scoring guidelines are based on the Algebra I General Description of Scoring Guidelines. The general guidelines describe a hierarchy of responses, which represent the five score levels. See Appendix B or these portals:

- https://pa.drcedirect.com [Click on "Documents" under the "General Information" tab.]
- www.education.pa.gov [Roll over "Data and Reporting". Click on "Assessment and Accountability". Click on "Keystone Exams". Click on "General Scoring Guidelines" under the "Resource Materials".]

The Algebra I Keystone Exam includes two types of constructed-response items: Scaffolded Constructed Response Items (SCR) and Extended Constructed Response Items (ECR). Both types are scored on the same $0-4$ point scale using the same Algebra I General Description of Scoring Guidelines as the base. SCR items are constructed to generally elicit four distinct responses (a response may contain more than one answer blank), and each response has the potential to earn a discrete number of score points (generally just one [1] score point per response). In turn, the four distinct responses are generally organized into four sections, with each labeled as a "Part" within an SCR. The next table shows a generic (nonauthentic) illustration of the application of this concept.

Table 2-3. Generic Example [Nonauthentic] Showing Concept of Four Distinct Responses

| Stem | Part A | Part B | Part C | Part D |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Presents a numerical <br> distribution | In the answer spaces, <br> write the list of numbers <br> from least to greatest. | Write the mean in an <br> answer blank. | Write the median in an <br> answer blank. | Write the mode in an <br> answer blank. |
| 4 points | 1 distinct point even <br> though students enter <br> more than one number | 1 distinct point with one <br> distinct entry | 1 distinct point with one <br> distinct entry | 1 distinct point with one <br> distinct entry |

- SCR items do not require narrative, explanation, or "show all your work" responses.
- Most SCR item responses lend themselves to automatic scoring; however, not all items can be automatically scored exclusively with the use of lookup tables. The full application of Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content sometimes requires item construction that is incompatible with lookup tables.

In familiar and probably the most descriptive terms, Algebra I ECR items-in form, format, and scoring provisionsadhere to the philosophy of PSSA OE item format. Like SCR items, development is based on the item qualities that best measure the skills and concepts with which the item aligns.

- ECR items intentionally elicit narrative, explanation of reasoning, "explain why . . .", and/or "show your work" responses.
- In contrast to SCR items, in which DOK level 3 cognitive engagement is inferred from student responses, ECR items (through explanations and recorded work) often provide direct evidence of DOK level 3 engagement. This aspect of ECR items is intentionally included during development. Following initial development, the ECR item will be approved by PDE as accepted by the review committee, or PDE and DRC will collaborate in amending the item.


## BIOLOGY

The Keystone Biology Exam has two reporting categories: Module 1[A], Cells and Cell Processes; and Module 2[B], Continuity and Unity of Life. Both modules have four Assessment Anchors. Module A has 16 Eligible Content, and Module B has 22 Eligible Content. Each module corresponds to specific content aligned to statements and specifications included in the course-specific assessment anchor documents. The Biology content included in the Keystone Biology multiple-choice items aligns with the Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content statements. The process skills, directives, and action statements also specifically align with the Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content statements. The content included in Biology constructed-response items aligns with content included in the Eligible Content statements. The process skills, directives, and action statements included in the performance demands of the Biology constructed-response items align with specifications included in the Assessment Anchor statements, the Anchor Descriptor statements, and/or the Eligible Content statements. In other words, the verbs or action statements used in the constructed-response items or stems can come from the Eligible Content, Anchor Descriptor, or Assessment Anchor statements.

## BIOLOGY ONLINE CONSIDERATIONS

Students taking the computer-based online delivery of the Biology Exam are provided with online versions of several common tools typically available to a student taking a traditional paper-and-pencil exam. Each student has access to the following online tools: a highlighter, a line guide, a magnifier, a sticky note generator, and a cross-off tool. The Biology general scoring guideline and a periodic table are also provided to students.

Layout of both the multiple-choice and constructed-response items is optimized for minimal screen manipulation (minimal scrolling to see graphics or text that extend beyond the visible working space on the computer screen), and exam items are scrutinized carefully in both print and online versions for continuity and accuracy.

## BIOLOGY MULTIPLE-CHOICE ITEMS

Seventy-three percent of the possible points on the Biology Exam are derived from multiple-choice items. Multiplechoice items are especially efficient for measuring a broad range of content. Each multiple-choice item has four response options, only one of which is correct. The student is awarded one point for choosing the correct response. Distractors typically represent incorrect concepts, incorrect logic, or incorrect application of a biological principle.

Biology multiple-choice items are intended to take about one and a quarter minutes of response time per item. They are used to assess a variety of skill levels, including the application of Biology content. Biology items involving application emphasize the requirement to utilize science content to find an answer rather than simply recalling information from memory.

## BIOLOGY CONSTRUCTED-RESPONSE ITEMS

Constructed-response items (tasks) require students to read a description of a Biology problem and to develop an appropriate solution. Biology constructed-response items are designed to take about eight minutes of response time per item. Constructed-response tasks are especially useful for measuring students' skills in biology. These tasks may present real-life situations that require students to solve problems using science abilities learned in the classroom. Students must read a task carefully, identify the necessary information, devise a method of solution, enter the solution directly into the answer document, and when required, offer an explanation. This provides insight into students' science knowledge, abilities, and reasoning processes.

The constructed-response science items are scored on a 0-3 point scale with an item-specific scoring guideline, and each task is carefully constructed with a scoring guideline reflecting the task requirements. The general guidelines describe a hierarchy of responses, which represents the four score levels. Each item-specific scoring guideline outlines the requirements at each score point, and each item-specific scoring guideline is based on the Biology General Description of Scoring Guidelines. See Appendix B or these portals:

- https://pa.drcedirect.com [Roll over "Data and Reporting". Click on "Assessment and Accountability". Click on "Keystone Exams". Click on "General Scoring Guidelines" under the "Resource Materials".]
- www.education.pa.gov [Click on the green check mark and select "Keystone Exams."]


## LITERATURE

The Keystone Literature Exam has two reporting categories: Module 1, Fiction; and Module 2, Nonfiction. Both modules have two Assessment Anchors. Module 1 has 25 Eligible Content, and Module 2 has 33 Eligible Content. The Literature Exam employs two types of test items, multiple-choice and constructed-response, and the content included aligns with content included in the Eligible Content statements. The items are designed to measure students' comprehension of the content contained in the literature passages. Each module corresponds to specific content aligned to statements and specifications included in the course-specific Assessment Anchor documents. The Literature content included in the Keystone Literature multiple-choice items aligns with the Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content statements. The process skills, directives, and action statements also specifically align with the Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content statements. The content included in Literature constructed-response items aligns with content included in the Eligible Content statements. The process skills, directives, and action statements included in the performance demands of the Literature constructed-response items align with specifications included in the Assessment Anchor statements, the Anchor Descriptor statements, and/or the Eligible Content statements. In other words, the verbs or action statements used in the constructedresponse items or stems can come from the Eligible Content, Anchor Descriptor, or Assessment Anchor statements.

## LITERATURE ONLINE CONSIDERATIONS

Students taking the computer-based online delivery of the Literature Exam are provided with online versions of several common tools typically available to a student taking a traditional paper-and-pencil exam. Each student has access to the following online tools: a highlighter, a line guide, a magnifier, a sticky note generator, and a cross-off tool. The Literature general scoring guideline is also provided to students.

Layout of passages, multiple-choice items, and constructed-response items is optimized for minimal screen manipulation (minimal scrolling to see text and graphics that extend beyond the visible working space on the computer screen), and exam items are scrutinized carefully in both print and online versions for continuity and accuracy. In addition, the amount of space devoted to the passage compared to the amount of space devoted to the exam questions was also optimized.

## LITERATURE MULTIPLE-CHOICE ITEMS

Sixty-five percent of the possible points on the Literature Exam are derived from multiple-choice items. Literature multiple-choice items are intended to take about one minute of response time per item. They are designed to measure how well students comprehend the overall meaning of a passage or make basic inferences about it. At times, asking students to choose a preferred answer is the best way to determine whether they have gleaned certain information from a story. Such information may include central idea, setting, or main events and their sequence.

Each Literature multiple-choice item has four response options, only one of which is correct. The student is awarded one point for choosing the correct response. Distractors typically represent some kind of misinterpretation, predisposition, unsound reasoning, or casual reading.

## LITERATURE CONSTRUCTED-RESPONSE ITEMS

Constructed-response items (tasks) are designed to address comprehension of text in ways that multiple-choice items cannot. Literature constructed-response items are designed to take about five minutes of response time per item. A short written response allows students to prepare an answer and summarize using supporting details or examples derived from the text.

The Literature constructed-response items are scored on a 0-3 point scale using an item-specific scoring guideline. Each task is text-dependent and is carefully constructed with the scoring guideline reflecting the task requirements. All item-specific scoring guidelines are based on the Literature General Description of Scoring Guidelines. The general guidelines describe a hierarchy of responses, which represent the four score levels. See Appendix B or these portals:

- https://pa.drcedirect.com [Click on "Documents" under the "General Information" tab.]
- www.education.pa.gov [Roll over "Data and Reporting". Click on "Assessment and Accountability". Click on "Keystone Exams". Click on "General Scoring Guidelines" under the "Resource Materials".]


## LITERATURE PASSAGES

One of the key requirements of the Keystone Literature Exam is that students should be able to read and comprehend both literature and informational texts of sufficient text complexity and quality as required by the Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content. For example, the Literature Keystone Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content require students to engage with appropriately complex literary fiction, literary nonfiction, and informational works. Passage genres include, but are not limited to, the following: stories; excerpts from novels, biographies, and autobiographies; letters; dramas; poems; myths from diverse cultures and different time periods; texts in history/ social studies, science, and other disciplines; seminal U.S. documents; the classics of American, British, and world literature; and current articles and editorials.

## TEXT COMPLEXITY

Text complexity involves three components: matching reader to text and task, qualitative evaluation of the text, and quantitative evaluation of the text.

## MATCHING READER TO TEXT AND TASK

A number of factors are taken into consideration when deciding whether a passage will be placed in the pool for possible use on the Keystone Literature Exam. The factors include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Are the conceptual load, vocabulary, syntactic patterns, sentence length, and clarity appropriate for the grade level?
- Does the passage stand the test of time as an example of literary fiction, literary nonfiction, and/or informational text, and is it judged by the committee of Pennsylvania educators as having sufficient quality?
- Is the passage "rich" enough to generate a variety of items?
- Do the passages represent a range of reading levels appropriate to the grade level?
- Do the passages lend themselves well to measuring the Keystone Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content, including text structures and elements?
- Are the passages free of issues of bias, fairness, and/or sensitivity?
- Does the pool of passages represent diversity in the areas of gender, culture, ethnicity, urban/rural status, socioeconomic status, physical differences, and age?


## QUALITATIVE EVALUATION OF THE TEXT

Evaluating the text complexity of a passage is essentially a judgmental process by individuals familiar with the classroom context and what is linguistically appropriate at a given grade level. All Keystone passages to be included in the pool of passages for possible use on the Keystone Literature Exam are reviewed and approved by PDE and the Pennsylvania Reading Content Committee (a committee of Pennsylvania educators). The passages are reviewed by Pennsylvania educators to judge whether each passage meets the criteria outlined above. All potential passages are also reviewed by the Pennsylvania Bias, Fairness, and Sensitivity Committee.

## QUANTITATIVE EVALUATION OF THE TEXT

Each readability program uses different methods to determine the readability for a particular passage (e.g., syllables, sentence length, number of words, vocabulary lists). Each readability formula is designed for a particular grade range of materials. When using the various readability formulas, a wide range of readability levels may be identified for a particular passage. Some readability formulas are better suited to a particular grade level. If a particular formula being used is outside of the intended range, then the results may be unreliable.

Readability of the Keystone Literature Exam passages has been determined using several of the most widely accepted readability formulas. These formulas are not used in a rigid way, but rather more informally to provide for several "snapshots" of a passage. The readability formulas used for the passages that appear on the Keystone Literature Exam are the Dale-Chall Formula, the Flesch Grade Level Formula, and the Fry Graph.

## CHAPTER THREE: ITEM AND TEST DEVELOPMENT PROCESSES

## GENERAL KEYSTONE TEST DEVELOPMENT PROCESSES

The 2018 Keystone Exams continued to use the core-to-core biennial overlap. Approximately $30 \%$ to $50 \%$ of the operational points in each module overlap with items used operationally 2 years prior. The 2018 Keystone Exam cores were made up of items that had appeared on the Spring 2015, Summer 2015, and/or Winter 2015/2016 cores. The remainder of the operational 2018 exams were made up of items that were field tested on the Spring 2017 Keystone Exams embedded field test administration. Table 3-1 is a graphic representation of the basic process flow and overlap of the development cycles.

Table 3-1. General Development and Usage Cycle of the Algebra I, Biology, and Literature Keystone Exams

| Admin Year | Events Occurring in 2014 | Events Occurring in 2015 | Events Occurring in 2016 | Events Occurring in 2017 | Events Occurring in 2018 | Events Occurring in 2019* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2014- \\ & 2015 \end{aligned}$ | Winter 2014/15 <br> Admin; New Item Dev. for Spring 2015 FT | Spring 2015 Oper. \& Embedded FT; Data Review of Spring 2015 FT; Summer 2015 Admin |  | Biennial Core-toCore Overlap (2015 core included as a portion of the 2017 core) |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2015- \\ & 2016 \end{aligned}$ |  | Winter 2015/16 <br> Admin; New Item Dev. for Spring 2016 FT | Spring 2016 Oper. \& Embedded FT; Data Review of Spring 2016 FT; Summer 2016 Admin |  | Biennial Core-toCore Overlap (2016 core included as a portion of the 2018 core) |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2016- \\ & 2017 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Winter 2016/17 <br> Admin; New Item Dev. for Spring 2017 FT | Spring 2017 Oper. \& Embedded FT; Data Review of Spring 2017 FT; Summer 2017 Admin |  | Biennial Core-toCore Overlap (2017 core included as a portion of the 2019 core) |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2017- \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | Winter 2017/18 <br> Admin; New Item Dev. for Spring 2018 FT | Spring 2018 Oper. \& Embedded FT; Data Review of Spring 2018 FT; Summer 2018 Admin |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2018- } \\ & 2019^{\star} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | Winter 2018/19 Admin; New Item Dev. for Spring 2019 FT | Spring 2019 Oper. \& Embedded FT; Data Review of Spring 2019 FT; Summer 2019 Admin |

[^0]
## GENERAL TEST DEFINITION

The plan for the Keystone Exam was developed through the collaborative efforts of the Pennsylvania Department of Education (PDE) and Data Recognition Corporation (DRC). The exams are presented online or in two printed testing materials, a test book and a separate answer book. The test book contains multiple-choice (MC) items. The answer book contains scannable pages for multiple-choice responses, constructed-response (CR) items with response spaces, and demographic data collection areas. All MC items are worth 1 point. Algebra I CR items receive a maximum of 4 points (on a scale of 0-4), and all Biology and Literature CR items receive a maximum of 3 points (on a scale of 0-3). In spring 2018, each test form contained operational (core) items (identical on all forms) along with embedded field test items.

## CORE-TO-CORE OVERLAP ITEMS

The operational items consist of a set of core items taken by all students. Starting in 2014 these core items included core-to-core overlapping items, which are items that also appeared on the core form of the administration two years before. The overlap connects the spring and summer administrations of year $x$ and the winter administration of year $x+1$, with the year $x+2$ spring and summer and year $x+3$ winter administrations. The first biennial core-to-core overlap from the spring 2011 and winter 2011-2012 core was scheduled to begin with the spring 2013 administration. However, when the program was placed on hiatus during the 2011-2012 school year, the overlap was moved to the spring 2014 administration.

## ALGEBRA I TEST DEFINITIONS

The Spring 2018 Algebra I Keystone Exam was composed of 20 forms. All of the forms contained operational core items identical for all students and sets of generally unique items. The following two tables display the design for Algebra I for forms 1 through 20. The column entries for these tables denote the following:

- Number of unique core MC items
- Number of unique core CR items
- Number of embedded MC field test items
- Number of embedded CR field test items
- Total number of MC and CR items in the form

Table 3-2. Algebra I Test Plan (Spring 2018) per Operational Form

| Module | Core <br> per Form MC <br> Items | Core <br> per Form <br> Items | Field Test <br> per Form MC <br> Items | Field Test <br> per Form <br> Items | Total <br>  <br> FT MC Items | Total <br>  <br> FT CR Items |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 18 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 23 | 4 |
| 2 | 18 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 23 | 4 |
| Total | 36 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 46 | 8 |

Table 3-3. Algebra I Test Plan (Spring 2018) per 20 Operational Forms

| Module | Core <br> per 20 Forms MC <br> Items | Core <br> per 20 Forms CR <br> Items | Field Test <br> per 20 Forms MC <br> Items | Field Test <br> per 20 Forms CR <br> Items | Total <br> per 20 Forms <br> Core \& FT MC <br> Items |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 18 | 3 | 100 | Total <br> per 20 Forms <br> Core \& FT CR <br> Items |  |
| 2 | 18 | 3 | 100 | 20 | 118 |
| Total | 36 | 6 | 200 | 40 | 118 |

The operational (core) portions of the Spring 2018, Summer 2018, and the Winter 2018/2019 administrations came from the same sources. Therefore $30 \%$ to $50 \%$ of the 2018/2019 Winter, Spring and Summer cores overlap with the Spring 16, Summer 16, and Winter 16/17 cores. The remaining core items that appeared on the 2018/2019 forms were field tested on prior administrations. Although each spring administration includes embedded field test items, the summer, winter, and breach forms do not include any embedded field test items due to the lower $n$-counts for these administrations. However, summer, winter, and breach forms still include the same number of items that appear in the spring administration. Instead of field test items, the slots in these exams are filled by placeholder (PH) items. Table 3-4 displays the design for the Algebra I Summer, Winter, and Breach operational forms.

Table 3-4. Algebra I Test Plan (2018 Summer, Winter, and Breach) per Operational Form

| Module | Gore per Form MC Items | Core <br> per Form CR Items | Placeholders per Form MC Items | Placeholders per Form CR Items | Total per Form Core \& PH MC Items | Total per Form Core \& PH CR Items | Number of Forms Master Core | Number of Forms Scrambles |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 18 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 23 | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| 2 | 18 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 23 | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| Total | 36 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 46 | 8 | 1 | 3 |

Since an individual student's score is based solely on the operational (or core) items, the total number of operational points is 60 for Algebra I. The total score is obtained by combining the points from the core MC ( 1 point each) and core CR (up to 4 points each) portions of the exam as follows:

Table 3-5. Algebra I Core Points

| Gategory | Module 1 MC <br> Items | Module 1 CR <br> tems | Module 2 MC <br> Items | Module 2 CR <br> Items | Total MC Items |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Total CR Items

The Algebra I Exam results will be reported in two categories based on the two modules of the Algebra I Exam. The code letters for these Assessment Anchor categories are

1. Operations and Linear Equations \& Inequalities
2. Linear Functions and Data Organization

The distribution of Algebra I items into these two categories is shown in the following table.
Table 3-6. Algebra I Module and Anchor Distribution

| Algebra I <br> Module | Raw <br> Points | Module <br> Weight | Number of <br> Anchors | Number of Eligible <br> Content |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 30 | $50 \%$ | 3 | 18 |
| 2 | 30 | $50 \%$ | 3 | 15 |

The reporting categories are further subdivided for specificity and Eligible Content (limits). Each subdivision is coded by adding an additional character to the framework of the labeling system. These subdivisions are called Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content. More information about Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content is in Chapter Two.

For more information concerning the process used to convert the Algebra I operational test plan into forms (i.e., form construction), see Chapter Six.

For more information concerning the test sessions, timing, and layout for the Algebra I operational exam, see Chapter Seven.

## BIOLOGY TEST DEFINITIONS

The Spring 2018 Biology Keystone Exam was composed of 20 forms. All of the forms contained operational core items identical for all students and sets of generally unique items. The following two tables display the design for Biology for forms 1 through 20. The column entries for these tables denote the following:

- Number of unique core MC items
- Number of unique core CR items
- Number of embedded MC field test items
- Number of embedded CR field test items
- Total number of MC and CR items in the form

Table 3-7. Biology Test Plan (Spring 2018) per Operational Form

| Module | Core <br> per Form <br> MC Items | Core <br> per Form CR <br> Items | Field Test <br> per Form <br> MC Items | Field Test <br> per Form CR <br> Items | Total <br> per Form Core <br> \& FT <br> MC Items | Total <br>  <br> FT CR Items |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 24 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 32 | 4 |
| 2 | 24 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 32 | 4 |
| Total | 48 | 6 | 16 | 2 | 64 | 8 |

Table 3-8. Biology Test Plan (Spring 2018) per 20 Operational Forms

| Module | Core <br> per 20 Forms <br> MC Items | Core <br> per 20 Forms CR <br> Items | Field Test <br> per 20 Forms <br> MC Items | Field Test <br> per 20 Forms CR <br> Items | Total <br> per 20 Forms <br> Core \& FT <br> MC Items | Total <br> per 20 Forms <br> Core \& FT CR <br> Items |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 24 | 3 | 160 | 20 | 184 | 23 |
| 2 | 24 | 3 | 160 | 20 | 184 | 23 |
| Total | 48 | 6 | 320 | 40 | 368 | 46 |

The operational (core) portions of the Spring 2018, Summer 2018, and the Winter 2018/2019 administrations came from the same sources. Therefore $30 \%$ to $50 \%$ of the 2018/2019 Winter, Spring and Summer cores overlap with the Spring 16, Summer 16, and Winter 16/17 cores. The remaining core items that appeared on the 2018/2019 forms were field tested on prior administrations. Although each spring administration includes embedded field test items, the summer, winter, and breach forms do not include any embedded field test items due to the lower $n$-counts for these administrations. However, summer, winter, and breach forms still include the same number of items that appear in the spring administration. Instead of field test items, the slots in these exams are filled by placeholder (PH) items. Table 3-9 displays the design for the Biology Summer, Winter, and Breach operational forms.

Table 3-9. Biology Test Plan (2018 Summer, Winter, and Breach) per Operational Form

| Module | Core per Form MC Items | Core per Form CR Items | Placeholders per Form MC Items | Placeholders per Form CR Items | Total <br> per Form <br> Core \& PH <br> MC Items | Total <br> per Form <br> Core \& PH <br> CR Items | Number <br> of Forms <br> Master <br> Core | Number of Forms Scrambles |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 24 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 32 | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| 2 | 24 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 32 | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| Total | 48 | 6 | 16 | 2 | 64 | 8 | 1 | 3 |

Since an individual student's score is based solely on the operational (or core) items, the total number of operational points is 66 for Biology. The total score is obtained by combining the points from the core MC (1 point each) and core CR (up to 3 points each) portions of the exam as follows:

Table 3-10. Biology Core Points

| Category | Module 1 MC <br> Items | Module 1 CR <br> Items | Module 2 MC <br> Items | Module 2 CR <br> Items | Total MC Items | Total CR <br> Items |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total Points | $33(50 \%)$ | $33(50 \%)$ | $66(100 \%)$ |  |  |  |
| Core Items | 24 | 3 | 24 | 3 | 48 | 6 |
| Core Points | 24 | 9 | 24 | 9 | 48 | 18 |

The Biology Exam results will be reported in two categories based on the two modules of the Biology Exam.

1. Cells and Cell Processes
2. Continuity and Unity of Life

The distribution of Biology items into these two categories is shown in the following table.
Table 3-11. Biology Module and Anchor Distribution

$\left.$| Biology <br> Module | Raw <br> Points | Module <br> Weight | Number of <br> Anchors |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | Number of Eligible |
| :--- |
| Content | \right\rvert\, | 1 | 33 | $50 \%$ | 4 | 16 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 33 | $50 \%$ | 4 | 22 |

The reporting categories are further subdivided for specificity and Eligible Content (limits). Each subdivision is coded by adding an additional character to the framework of the labeling system. These subdivisions are called Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content. More information about Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content is in Chapter Two.

For more information concerning the process used to convert the Biology operational test plan into forms (i.e., form construction), see Chapter Six.

For more information concerning the test sessions, timing, and layout for the Biology operational exam, see Chapter Seven.

## LITERATURE TEST DEFINITIONS

The Spring 2018 Literature Keystone Exam was composed of 20 forms. All of the forms contained operational core items identical for all students and sets of generally unique items. The following two tables display the design for Literature for forms 1 through 20. The column entries for these tables denote the following:

- Number of unique core passages
- Number of unique core MC items
- Number of unique core CR items
- Number of embedded field test passages
- Number of embedded MC field test items
- Number of embedded CR field test items
- Total number of passages, MC items, and CR items in the form

Table 3-12. Literature Test Plan (Spring 2018) per Operational Form

| Module | Core per <br> Form <br> Passages | Core per Form MC Items | Core per Form CR Items | Field Test per Form Passages | Field Test per Form MC Items | Field Test per Form CR Items | Total per <br> Form <br> Passages | Total per Form Core \& FT MC Items | Total per Form Core \& FT CR Items |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 17 | *3 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 23 | 4 |
| 2 | 2 | 17 | *3 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 23 | 4 |
| Total | 4 | 34 | 6 | 2 | 12 | 2 | 6 | 46 | 8 |

*For each module, one core passage has two CRs and one core passage has one CR.
Table 3-13. Literature Test Plan (Spring 2018) per 20 Operational Forms

| Module | Core <br> per 20 <br> Forms <br> Passages | Core <br> per 20 <br> Forms <br> MC Items | Core <br> per 20 <br> Forms <br> CR Items | Field Test <br> per 20 <br> Forms <br> Passages | Field Test <br> per 20 <br> Forms <br> MC Items | Field Test <br> per 20 <br> Forms CR Items | Total <br> per 20 <br> Forms <br> Passages | Total <br> per 20 <br> Forms <br> Core \& FT <br> MC Items | Total <br> per 20 <br> Forms <br> Core \& FT <br> CR Items |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 17 | *3 | 12 | 120 | 20 | 10 | 137 | 23 |
| 2 | 2 | 17 | *3 | 12 | 120 | 20 | 10 | 137 | 23 |
| Total | 4 | 34 | 6 | 24 | 240 | 40 | 20 | 274 | 46 |

*For each module, one core passage has two CRs and one core passage has one CR.
The operational (core) portions of the Spring 2018, Summer 2018, and the Winter 2018/2019 administrations came from the same sources. Therefore $30 \%$ to $50 \%$ of the $2018 / 2019$ Winter, Spring and Summer cores overlap with the Spring 16, Summer 16, and Winter 16/17 cores. The remaining core items that appeared on the 2018/2019 forms were field tested on prior administrations. Although each spring administration includes embedded field test items, the summer, winter, and breach forms do not include any embedded field test items due to the lower $n$-counts for these administrations. However, Summer, Winter, and breach forms still include the same number of items that appear in the Spring administration. Instead of field test items, the slots in these exams are filled by placeholder (PH) items. Table 3-14 displays the design for the Literature Summer, Winter, and Breach operational forms.

Table 3-14. Literature Test Plan (2018 Summer, Winter, and Breach) per Operational Form

| Module | Core per <br> Form MC <br> Items | Core per <br> Form CR <br> Items | Placeholders <br> per Form MC <br> Items | Placeholders <br> per Form CR <br> Items | Total per <br>  <br> PH MC Items | Total per <br> Form Core \& Items | Number <br> of Forms <br> Master <br> Core | Number <br> of Forms <br> Scrambles |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 2 | 17 | $* 3$ | 1 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| 2 | 2 | 17 | $* 3$ | 1 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Total | 4 | 34 | 6 | 2 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 3 |

*For each module, one core passage has two CRs and one core passage has one CR.
Since an individual student's score is based solely on the operational (or core) items, the total number of operational points is 52 for Literature. The total score is obtained by combining the points from the core MC (1 point each) and core CR (up to 3 points each) portions of the exam as follows:

Table 3-15. Literature Core Points

| Category | Module 1 <br> Passages | Module 1 MC Items | Module 1 <br> CR Items | Module 2 <br> Passages | Module 2 MC Items | Module 2 CR Items | Total Passages | Total MC Items | Total CR Items |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Points | 26 (50\%) |  |  | 26 (50\%) |  |  | 52 (50\%) |  |  |
| Core Items | 2 | 17 | 3 | 2 | 17 | 3 | 4 | 34 | 6 |
| Core Points | NA | 17 | 9 | NA | 17 | 9 | NA | 34 | 18 |

The Literature Exam results will be reported in two broad categories based on the two modules of the Literature Exam.

1. Fiction Literature

## 2. Nonfiction Literature

The distribution of Literature items into these two categories is shown in the following table.
Table 3-16. Literature Module and Anchor Distribution

| Literature <br> Module | Raw <br> Points | Module <br> Weight | Number of <br> Anchors | Number of Eligible <br> Content |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 26 | $50 \%$ | 2 | 25 |
| 2 | 26 | $50 \%$ | 2 | 31 |

The reporting categories are further subdivided for specificity and Eligible Content (limits). Each subdivision is coded by adding an additional character to the framework of the labeling system. These subdivisions are called Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content. More information about Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content is in Chapter Two.

For more information concerning the process used to convert the Literature operational test plan into forms (i.e., form construction), see Chapter Six.

For more information concerning the test sessions, timing, and layout for the Literature operational exam, see Chapter Seven.

## ITEM DEVELOPMENT CONSIDERATIONS

Alignment to the Keystone Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content, course-level appropriateness (as specified by PDE), depth of knowledge (DOK), item/task level of complexity, estimated difficulty level, relevancy of context, rationale for distractors, style, accuracy, and correct terminology were major considerations in the item development process. The Standards for Educational and Psychological Testing (American Educational Research Association, American Psychological Association, and National Council on Measurement in Education, 1999) and Universal Design (Thompson, Johnstone, \& Thurlow, 2002) guided the development process. In addition, Fairness in Testing: Training Manual for Issues of Bias, Fairness, and Sensitivity (DRC, 2010) was used for developing items. All items were reviewed for fairness by bias and sensitivity committees and for content by Pennsylvania educators and field specialists.

## BIAS, FAIRNESS, AND SENSITIVITY OVERVIEW

At every stage of the item and test development process, DRC employs procedures that are designed to ensure that items and tests meet Standard 7.4 of the Standards for Educational and Psychological Testing (AERA, APA, \& NCME, 1999):

Standard 7.4: Test developers should strive to identify and eliminate language, symbols, words, phrases, and content that are generally regarded as offensive by members of racial, ethnic, gender, or other groups, except when judged to be necessary for adequate representation of the domain.

To meet Standard 7.4, DRC uses a series of internal quality steps. DRC provides specific training for test developers, item writers, and reviewers on how to write, review, revise, and edit items related to issues of bias, fairness, and sensitivity (as well as based on technical quality). Training also includes an awareness of and sensitivity to issues of cultural diversity. In addition to providing internal training in reviewing items in order to eliminate potential bias, DRC also provides external training to the review panels of minority experts, teachers, and other stakeholders.

DRC's guidelines for bias, fairness, and sensitivity include instruction concerning how to eliminate language, symbols, words, phrases, and content that might be considered offensive by members of racial, ethnic, gender, or other groups. Areas of bias that are specifically targeted include, but are not limited to, stereotyping, gender, region/geography, ethnic/cultural, socioeconomics/class, religion, experience, and biases against a particular age group (ageism) or persons with disabilities. DRC catalogues topics that should be avoided and maintains balance in gender and ethnic emphasis within the pool of available items and passages.

See the sections below in this chapter for more information about the Bias, Fairness, and Sensitivity Review meetings conducted for the Keystone Exams.

## UNIVERSAL DESIGN OVERVIEW

The principles of universal design were incorporated throughout the item development process to allow participation of the widest possible range of students in the Keystone Exams. The following checklist was used as a guideline:

- Items measure what they are intended to measure.
- Items respect the diversity of the assessment population.
- Items have a clear format for text.
- Stimuli and items have clear pictures and graphics.
- Items have concise and readable text.
- Items allow changes to other formats, such as Braille, without changing meaning or difficulty.
- The arrangement of the items on the test has an overall appearance that is clean and well organized.

A more extensive description of the application of the principles of universal design is provided in Chapter Four.

## DEPTH-OF-KNOWLEDGE OVERVIEW

An important element in statewide graduation exams is the alignment between the overall assessment system and the state's standards. A methodology developed by Norman Webb $(1999,2006)$ offers a comprehensive model that can be applied to a wide variety of contexts. With regard to the alignment between standards statements and the assessment instruments, Webb's criteria include five categories, one of which deals with content. Within the content category is a useful set of levels for evaluating DOK. According to Webb (1999), "depth-of-knowledge consistency between standards and assessments indicates alignment if what is elicited from students on the assessment is as demanding cognitively as what students are expected to know and do as stated in the standards" (Webb, 1999, pp. 7-8). The four levels of cognitive complexity (i.e., DOK) are as follows:

- Level 1: Recall
- Level 2: Application of Skill/Concept
- Level 3: Strategic Thinking
- Level 4: Extended Thinking

DOK levels were incorporated into the item writing and review process, and items were coded with respect to the level they represented. The DOK level for MC and CR items are at Level 3, Level 2, or Level 1 depending on the cognitive intent of an Eligible Content. DOK Level 4 items are not included on the Keystone Exams. For more information on DOK (and a comparison of DOK to Bloom's Taxonomy), see Appendix A.

## PASSAGE READABILITY OVERVIEW

Evaluating the readability of a passage is essentially a judgment by individuals familiar with the classroom context and what is linguistically appropriate (PDE recommends that the Literature Keystone Exam be administered at grade 10). Although various readability indices were computed and reviewed, it is recognized that such methods measure different aspects of readability and are often fraught with particular interpretive liabilities. Thus, the commonly available readability formulas were not used in a rigid way, but more informally to provide for several snapshots of a passage that senior test development staff considered, along with experience-based judgments in guiding the passage-selection process. In addition, passages were reviewed by committees of Pennsylvania educators who evaluated each passage for readability and grade-level appropriateness. For more information on Literature passages, see Chapter Two and the literature passage-selection process described below.

## TEST ITEM READABILITY OVERVIEW

Careful attention was given to the readability of the items to make certain that the assessment focus of the item did not shift based on the difficulty of reading the item. Subject/course areas such as Algebra I or Biology contain many content-specific vocabulary terms. As a result, readability formulas were not used. However, wherever it was practicable and reasonable, every effort was made to keep the vocabulary at or one level below the course level for non-Literature exams. There was a conscious effort made to ensure that each question was evaluating a student's ability to build toward mastery of the course standards rather than evaluating the student's reading ability. Resources used to verify the vocabulary level were the EDL Core Vocabularies and the Children's Writer's Word Book.

In addition, every test question is brought before several different committees composed of Pennsylvania educators who are course-level/grade-level experts in the content field in question. They review each question from the perspective of the students they teach, determine the validity of the vocabulary used, and work to minimize the level of reading required.

Vocabulary was also addressed at the Bias, Fairness, and Sensitivity Review, although the focus was on how certain words or phrases may represent possible sources of bias or issues of fairness or sensitivity. See the sections that follow in this chapter for more information about the Bias, Fairness, and Sensitivity Review meetings conducted for the Keystone Exams.

## ITEM AND TEST DEVELOPMENT CYCLE

The item development process for items followed a logical cycle and time line, which are outlined in the table and figure on the next pages. On the front end of the schedule, tasks were generally completed with the goal of presenting field test candidate items to committees of Pennsylvania educators. On the back end of the schedule, all tasks led to the field test data review and operational test construction. This process represents a typical life cycle for an embedded Keystone field test event, not a stand-alone field test event or an accelerated development cycle.

The process flowchart, also shown below, illustrates the interrelationship among the steps in the primary cycle that occurs in a normal process of development (i.e., when the items for field testing are primarily from new development, as opposed to being selected from an existing item bank). In addition, a detailed process table describing the item and test development processes also appears in Appendix C .

Table 3-17. General Item and Test Development Life Cycle for Spring Keystone Administrations

| Cycle | Steps in Development Life Cycle | Time Line | Approximate Window |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primary | Development Planning | Summer/Fall | Month 1-4 | Jul-0ct |
| Primary | Literature Passage Selection | Summer/Fall | Month 1-6 | Jul-Dec |
| Primary | Item Writer Training | Fall | Month 5 | Nov |
| Primary | Initial Item Authoring | Fall/Winter | Month 5-9 | Nov-Mar |
| Primary | Internal Reviews and PDE Reviews | Fall to Spring | Month 6-12 | Dec-Jun |
| Primary | Bias, Fairness, and Sensitivity Review | Summer | Month 13 | Jul |
| Primary | New Item Content Committee Review (PA Educators) | Summer | Month 13 | Jul |
| Primary | Post-Review Resolution and Cleanup | Summer | Month 13-14 | Jul-Aug |
| Primary | Build Field Test Forms | Summer/Fall | Month 15-16 | Sep-0ct |
| Primary | Internal Form Reviews and PDE Reviews | Summer/Fall | Month 15-18 | Sep-Dec |
| Primary | Final Form and Printer Proof Approvals | Fall/Winter | Month 18-19 | Dec-Jan |
| Primary | Ancillary and Accommodated Form Development | Fall/Winter | Month 18-20 | Dec-Feb |
| Primary | Form Printing, Spiraling, Packaging, and Shipping | Winter/Spring | Month 19-22 | Jan-Apr |
| Primary | Field Test Administration | Spring | Month 23 | May |
| Primary | Materia//Data Processing, Rangefinding, and Scoring | Spring/Summer | Month 23-26 | May-Aug |
| Primary | Field Test Item Data Review (PA Educators) | Summer | Month 27 | Sept |
| Primary | Select Operational Items | Summer/Fall | Month 27-28 | Sep-0ct |
| Primary | Build Operational Forms | Fall | Month 28-29 | Oct-Nov |
| Primary | Internal Form Reviews and PDE Reviews | Fall | Month 29-30 | Nov-Dec |
| Primary | Final Form and Printer Proof Approvals | Fall/Winter | Month 30-31 | Dec-Jan |
| Primary | Ancillary and Accommodated Form Development | Fall/Winter | Month 31-33 | Jan-Mar |
| Primary | Form Printing, Spiraling, Packaging, and Shipping | Winter/Spring | Month 31-33 | Jan-Mar |
| Primary | Operational Test Administration | Spring | Month 35 | May |
| Primary | Material/Data Processing and Scoring | Spring/Summer | Month 35-36 | May-Jun |
| Primary | Score Reporting | Summer | Month 35-39 | May-Sep |
| Secondary | Select Biennial Core-to-Core Overlap Items (Operational Items) | Summer/Fall | Month 51-52 | Sep-0ct |
| Secondary | Build Operational Forms | Fall/Winter | Month 52-53 | Oct-Nov |
| Secondary | Internal Form Reviews and PDE Reviews | Winter | Month 53-54 | Nov-Dec |
| Secondary | Final Form and Printer Proof Approvals | Winter | Month 54-55 | Dec-Jan |
| Secondary | Ancillary and Accommodated Form Development | Winter/Spring | Month 55-57 | Jan-Mar |
| Secondary | Form Printing, Spiraling, Packaging, and Shipping | Winter/Spring | Month 56-58 | Feb-Apr |
| Secondary | Second Operational Test Administration | Spring | Month 59 | May |
| Secondary | Material/Data Processing and Scoring | Spring/Summer | Month 59-60 | May-Jun |
| Secondary | Score Reporting | Summer | Month 59-63 | May-Sep |
| Tertiary | Release Core-to-Core Overlap Items in Samplers | Fall | Month 63 | Sep |

Figure 3-1. DRC Item and Test Development Primary Cycle


## GENERAL ITEM AND TEST DEVELOPMENT PROCESS

This section describes the processes which lead up to an operational exam. These processes were used to develop the entire pool of items that appeared in the field test and operational administrations.

## ITEM DEVELOPMENT PLANNING MEETING

Prior to the start of any item development work, DRC's test development staff meets with PDE's assessment office to discuss the test development plans for the next administration, including the test blueprint, the field test plan (including development counts), procedures, time lines, etc. With a complete development cycle lasting about three years (from item authoring through field test, data review, and operational usage), the initial planning begins well in advance of the anticipated administration.

## ITEM WRITER TRAINING

Item writers were selected and trained for the subject areas of Algebra I, Biology, and Literature. Qualified writerseither hired independently by the testing vendor, DRC, or through subcontractors like Victory - were college graduates with teaching experience and a demonstrated base of knowledge in the content area. Many of these writers were content assessment specialists and curriculum specialists. The writers were trained individually and had previous experience in writing MC and CR items. Prior to developing items for the Keystone Exams, the cadre of item writers was trained with regard to the following areas:

- Keystone Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content
- Webb's levels of cognitive complexity, DOK
- Subject-specific general scoring guidelines
- Specific and general guidelines for item writing
- Bias, fairness, and sensitivity guidelines
- Principles of universal design
- Item quality technical style guidelines
- Reference information
- Sample items


## LITERATURE PASSAGE SELECTION

The task of searching for passages was conducted by DRC professionals with classroom experience in reading/ language arts. These professionals also underwent specialized training (provided by DRC) in the characteristics of acceptable passages. Guidelines for passage selection included appropriate length, text structure, density, and vocabulary. A judgment was also made about whether the reading level required by a particular passage was at the independent level-that is, where the average student should be able to read 90 percent of words in the text independently. Passage finders were given the task of searching for a specified number of passages for each genre. Generally, they looked for at least twice as many passages as were needed. Passages acquired were either authentic (permissioned), in that they were culled from published materials, or commissioned by experienced authors. See Chapter Two for more information on the types of passages used on the Literature Keystone Exam.

For permissioned passages, approval to reprint was secured from the publishers. Passages underwent an internal review by several test development content editors to judge their merit with regard to the following criteria:

- Passages have interest value for students.
- Passages are appropriate in terms of vocabulary and language characteristics.
- Passages are free of bias, fairness, and sensitivity issues.
- Passages represent different cultures.
- Passages are from a variety of sources.
- Passages are able to stand the test of time.
- Passages are sufficiently rich to generate a variety of MC and CR items.
- Passages are complete with all necessary permissions documentation.
- Passages avoid dated subject matter unless a relevant historical context is provided.
- Passages should not require students to have extensive background knowledge in a certain discipline or area to understand a text.

Once through the internal review process, the passages deemed potentially acceptable were reviewed by the Reading Content Committee and the Bias, Fairness, and Sensitivity Committee for final approval.

## ITEM AUTHORING AND TRACKING

Initially, items are generated with software-prepared Keystone Exams Item Cards, which allow for preliminary sorting and reviewing. Although very similar, the Keystone Exams Item Card for Multiple-Choice Items differs from the Keystone Exams Item Card for Constructed-Response Items in that the former has a location at the bottom of the card for comments regarding the distractors. Blank examples of these two cards are shown in Appendix D. In both instances, a column against the right margin includes codes to identify the subject area, grade, content categories, passage information (in the case of reading), item type, DOK (cognitive complexity), estimated difficulty, answer key (for MC items), and calculator use (for mathematics items).

All items undergoing field testing were entered into the DRC Item Development and Educational Assessment System (IDEAS), which is a comprehensive, secure, online item banking system. It accommodates item writing, item viewing and reviewing, and item tracking and versioning. IDEAS manages the transition of an item from its developmental stage to its approval for use in a test form (for both print and online delivery). The system supports item history records that include item usage within a form, item-level notes, content categories and subcategories, item statistics from both classical and Rasch item analyses, and classifications derived from analyses of differential item functioning (DIF). A sample IDEAS Item Card is presented in Appendix D.

## INTERNAL REVIEWS AND PDE REVIEWS

To ensure that the items produced were sufficient in number and adequately distributed across subcategories and levels of difficulty, item writers were informed of the required quantities of items. As items were written, an item authoring card was completed. It contained information about the item, such as subject, content category, and subcategories. Based on the item writer's classroom teaching experience, his/her knowledge of the content area curriculum, and the cognitive demands required by the item, estimates were recorded for level of cognitive complexity and difficulty level. Items were written to provide for a range of difficulty and for cognitive complexity focused on DOK Level 3.

As part of the item construction process, each item was reviewed by content specialists and editors at DRC. Content specialists and editors evaluated each item to make sure that it measured the intended Eligible Content and/or Assessment Anchor. They also assessed each item to make certain that it was appropriate for the intended grade and that it provided and cued only one correct answer (MC items only). In addition, the difficulty level, DOK, graphics, language demand, and distractors were also evaluated. Other elements considered in this process included, but were not limited to, universal design, bias, source of challenge, grammar/punctuation, and Keystone style.

Following this internal process, items were reviewed by content specialists at PDE, who then consulted with DRC about any general issues or concerns (e.g., style, format, interpretation of Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content) and about edits to specific items. Following PDE's review, the items were prepared for the content review meetings conducted with Pennsylvania educators.

## ITEM CONTENT REVIEWS IN AUGUST 2017

Prior to the 2018 field testing, all newly developed test items were submitted to content committees for review. The content committees consisted of Pennsylvania educators from school districts throughout the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, some with postsecondary university affiliations. The primary responsibility of the content committee was to evaluate items with regard to quality and content classification, including grade-level (course) appropriateness, estimated difficulty, DOK, and source of challenge. They also suggested revisions and made recommendations for reclassification of items. In some cases when an item was deleted, the committee suggested a replacement item and/or reviewed a suggested replacement item provided by the facilitators. The committee also reviewed the items for adherence to the principles of universal design, including language demand and issues of bias, fairness, and sensitivity.

With source of challenge, items were identified where the cognitive demand was focused on an unintended content, concept, or skill (Webb, 2002). Source of challenge may be a contributing factor if the reason that an answer could be given results from a cultural bias, an inappropriate reading level, a flawed graphic in an item, or if an item requires specialized, noncontent-related knowledge to answer. Source of challenge could result in a student who has mastered the intended content or skill answering the item incorrectly or a student who has not mastered the intended content or skill answering the item correctly. Committee members were asked to note any items with a source of challenge and to suggest revisions to remove the source of challenge.

The content review meetings were held on August 8-11, 2017, for Algebra I, Biology, and Literature. Committee members were approved by PDE, and PDE-approved invitations were sent to them by DRC. PDE also selected internal staff members for attendance. The meeting commenced with a welcome by PDE and DRC. This was followed by an overview of the test development process by DRC. PDE, along with DRC, also provided training on the procedures and forms to be used for item content review.

DRC content assessment specialists facilitated the reviews and were assisted by representatives of PDE.
Committee members, grouped by exam, worked through and reviewed the items for quality and content, as well as for the following categories:

- Assessment Anchor alignment
- Content limits
- Grade-level (course-level) appropriateness
- Difficulty level
- DOK
- Appropriate source of challenge
- Correct answer
- Quality of distractors
- Graphics in regards to appropriateness
- Appropriate language demand
- Freedom from bias

The members then came to consensus and assigned a status to each item: Approved, Accepted with Revision, or Rejected. All comments were recorded, and a master rating sheet was completed. Committee facilitators recorded the committee consensus on the Item Review Rating Sheet. A sample form and rating criteria may be found in Appendix E.

Security was addressed by adhering to a strict set of procedures. Items in binders were distributed for committee review by number and signed for by each member on a daily basis. All attendees, with the exception of PDE staff, were required to sign a confidentiality agreement. All materials not in use at any time were stored in a locked room. Secure materials that did not need to be retained after the meetings were deposited in secure barrels, the contents of which were shredded.

## BIAS, FAIRNESS, AND SENSITIVITY REVIEWS IN AUGUST 2017

Prior to the 2018 field testing, all newly developed test items were also submitted to a Bias, Fairness, and Sensitivity Committee for review. This review took place from July 30 -August 3, 2018, for Algebra I, Biology, and Literature. The committee's primary responsibility was to evaluate items with regard to bias, fairness, and sensitivity issues. They also made recommendations for changes or deletion of items in order to remove the potential for issues of bias, fairness, and/or sensitivity. Included in the review were proposed reading passages. An expert, multiethnic committee composed of men and women was trained by a DRC test development lead to review items for bias, fairness, and sensitivity issues. Training materials included a manual developed by DRC (DRC, 2017). Members of the committee also had expertise with special needs students and English Language Learners (ELL). PDE staff members were also trained and participated in the review. All items were read by a cross-section of committee members. Each member noted bias, fairness, and/or sensitivity comments on tracking sheets and on the item, if needed, for clarification. Committee members individually categorized any concerns as related to ageism, disability, ethnicity/culture, gender, region, religion, socioeconomics, or stereotypes. These categories were the framework through which recommendations for modification or rejection of items occurred during the subsequent committee consensus process. The committee discussed each of the issues as a group and came to consensus as to which decisions should represent the view of the committee. All consensus comments were then compiled, and the suggested actions on these items were recorded and submitted to PDE. This review followed the same security procedures as outlined above. The table below shows the gender and race/ethnicity of the members of the bias committee who reviewed the Keystone items and passages for bias, fairness, and sensitivity.

Table 3-18. Demographic Composition of the 2018 Keystone Bias, Fairness, and Sensitivity Committee
The results from the 2018 Bias, Fairness, and Sensitivity Committee reviews are summarized in the next table.

| Member \# | Gender | Race/Ethnicity | Background |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | Male | Asian American | National Consultant (Retired Educator) |
| 2. | Female | Native American | Title II Supervisor/Coordinator (Bilingual) |
| 3. | Female | Caucasian American | National Consultant (SPED expertise) |
| 4. | Female | Caucasian American | Educator (Special Education) |
| 5. | Male | Caucasian American | University Professor |
| 6. | Male | Caucasian American | Director of Curriculum and Assessment |
| 7. | Male | African American | Middle School Educator |
| 8. | Female | African American | Literacy Coach, Education Director |
| 9. | Female | African American | National Consultant (SPED expertise) |
| 10. | Female | Latino | Migrant education student support specialist |
| 11. | Female | Latino | National Consultant (Community Leader, <br> Disability Rights Activist) |
| Totals | 7 Females, <br> 4 Males | 2 Latinos, <br> 1 Asian American, <br> 4 Caucasian Americans, <br> 1 Native American, <br> 3 African Americans |  |

Table 3-19A. Number of Items - Bias, Fairness, and Sensitivity Committee Review: Algebra I

| Date | Total Reviewed | Accepted As Is | Accepted with Revision | Rejected |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| August 2018 | 270 Items | 266 Items | 4 Items | 0 ltems |

Table 3-19B. Number of Items - Bias, Fairness, and Sensitivity Committee Review: Biology

| Date | Total Reviewed | Accepted As Is | Accepted with Revision | Rejected |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| August 2018 | 15 Scenarios, 370 Items | 15 Scenarios, 369 Items | 0 Scenarios, 1 Items | 0 Scenarios, 0 Items |

Table 3-19L. Number of Items-Bias, Fairness, and Sensitivity Committee Review: Literature

| Date | Total Reviewed | Accepted As Is | Accepted with Revision | Rejected |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| August 2018 | 22 Passages, 337 Items | 18 Passages, 326 Items | 3 Passages, 3 Items | 1 Passage, 8 Items |

Table 3-19T. Number of Items—Bias, Fairness, and Sensitivity Committee Review: Total

| Date | Total Reviewed | Accepted As Is | Accepted with Revision | Rejected |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| August 2018 | 15 Scenarios, 22 Passages, <br> 977 Items | 15 Scenarios, 18 Passages, <br> 961 Items | 0 Scenarios, 3 Passages, 8 <br> Items | 0 Scenarios, 1 <br> Passages, 8 Items |

## CHAPTER FOUR: UNIVERSAL DESIGN PROCEDURES APPLIED TO THE KEYSTONE EXAMS TEST DEVELOPMENT PROCESS

## UNIVERSAL DESIGN

Universally designed assessments allow participation of the widest possible range of students and contribute to valid inferences about participating students. Principles of Universal Design are based on the premise that each child in school is a part of the population to be tested and that testing results should not be affected by disability, gender, race, or English language ability (Thompson, Johnstone, \& Thurlow, 2002). At every stage of the item and test development process, procedures were employed to ensure that items and subsequent tests (in both print and online delivery methods) were designed and developed using the elements of universally designed assessments established by the National Center on Educational Outcomes (NCEO).

Federal legislation addresses the need for universally designed assessments. The No Child Left Behind Act (Elementary and Secondary Education Act) requires that each state must "provide for the participation in [statewide] assessments of all students" [Section 1111(b)(3)(C)(ix)(I)]. Both Title I and IDEA regulations call for universally designed assessments that are accessible and valid for all students, including English Language Learners and students with disabilities. The benefits of universally designed assessments not only apply to these groups of students, but to all individuals with wide-ranging characteristics.

DRC's test development team was trained in the elements of Universal Design as they relate to developing largescale statewide assessments. Team leaders were trained directly by NCEO, and other team members were subsequently trained by team leaders. Committees involved in content review included some members who were familiar with the unique needs of students with disabilities and English Language Learners. Likewise, some members of the Bias, Fairness, and Sensitivity Committee were conversant with these issues. What follows are the Universal Design guidelines that were followed during all stages of the item development process for the Keystone Exams.

## ELEMENTS OF UNIVERSALLY DESIGNED ASSESSMENTS

After a review of research relevant to the assessment development process and the Principles of Universal Design (Connell et al., 1997), NCEO has produced seven elements of Universal Design as they apply to assessments (Thompson, Johnstone, \& Thurlow, 2002). These elements served to guide item development for the Keystone Exams.

- Inclusive Assessment Population

The target population includes students attending Commonwealth schools who participate in one or more of the graduation competency exams.

- Precisely Defined Constructs

An important function of well-designed assessments is that the assessments actually measure what they are intended to measure. The Keystone Exams Assessment Anchor Content Standards (Assessment Anchors) provided clear descriptions of the constructs to be measured on each of the exams. Universally designed assessments must remove all non-construct-oriented cognitive, sensory, emotional, and physical barriers.

- Accessible, Nonbiased Items

DRC conducted both internal and external reviews of items and test specifications to ensure that they did not create barriers due to lack of sensitivity to disability, culture, or other subgroups. Items and test specifications were developed by a team who understood the varied characteristics of items that might create difficulties for any group of students. Accessibility is incorporated as a primary dimension of test specifications, so accessibility was woven into the fabric of the test rather than being added after the fact.

- Amenable to Accommodations

Even though items on universally designed assessments are accessible for most students, there are some students who continue to need accommodations. This essential element of a universally designed assessment requires that the exam is compatible with accommodations and a variety of widely used adaptive equipment and assistive technology (see also the section on Assessment Accommodations later in this chapter).

- Simple, Clear, and Intuitive Instructions and Procedures

Assessment instructions should be easy to understand regardless of a student's experience, knowledge, language skills, or current concentration level. Questions that are posed using complex language can invalidate the test if students cannot understand how they are expected to respond to a question. To meet this guideline, directions and questions were prepared in simple, clear, and understandable language that underwent multiple reviews.

## - Maximum Readability and Comprehensibility

A variety of guidelines exist to ensure the maximum readability and comprehensibility of a test. These features go beyond what is measured by readability formulas. Readability and comprehensibility are affected by many factors, including student background, sentence difficulty, and text organization. All of these features were considered as item text was developed.

Plain language is a concept now being highlighted in research on assessments. Plain language has been defined as language that is straightforward and concise. The following strategies for editing text to produce plain language were used during the editing process of the new Keystone Exam items:

- Reduction of excessive length
- Use of common words
- Avoidance of ambiguous words
- Avoidance of irregularly spelled words
- Avoidance of proper names
- Avoidance of inconsistent naming and graphic conventions
- Avoidance of unclear signals about directing attention
- Maximum Legibility

Legibility is the physical appearance of text, the way that the shapes of letters and numbers enable people to read text easily. Bias can result when tests contain physical features that interfere with a student's focus on or understanding of the constructs that test items are assessing. A style guide was developed and utilized that included dimensions of style consistent with Universal Design.

## GUIDELINES FOR UNIVERSALLY DESIGNED ITEMS

All test items written and reviewed adhered closely to the following guidelines for Universal Design. Item writers and reviewers used a checklist during the item development process to ensure that each aspect was followed. For more information on the checklist, see the Universal Design Overview section in Chapter Three of this report.

1. Items measure what they are intended to measure. Item writing training included making certain that writers and reviewers had a clear understanding of Pennsylvania's Academic Standards and the Keystone Assessment Anchors. During all phases of test development, items were presented with content-standard information to ensure that each item reflected the intended Assessment Anchor. Careful consideration of the content standards was important in determining which skills involved in responding to an item were extraneous and which were relevant. With certain types of items, an additional skill was necessary, such as the Algebra I test, which requires the student to read.
2. Items respect the diversity of the assessment population. To develop items that avoid content that might unfairly advantage or disadvantage any student subgroup, item writers, test developers, and reviewers were trained to write and review items to avoid issues of bias, fairness, and sensitivity. Training also included an awareness of and sensitivity to issues of cultural and regional diversity.
3. Items have a clear format for text. Decisions about how items were presented to students must allow for maximum readability for all students. Appropriate fonts and point sizes were employed with minimal use of italics, which are far less legible and are read considerably more slowly than standard typeface. Captions, keys, and legends were at least a 12 -point size, while footnotes and sentence numbers used a 10-point font. ${ }^{1}$ Legibility was enhanced by sufficient spacing between letters, words, and lines. Blank space was used around paragraphs and between columns and staggered right margins.
4. Stimuli and items have clear pictures and graphics. When pictures and graphics were used, they were designed to provide essential information in a clear and uncluttered manner. Illustrations were placed directly next to the information to which they referred, and labels were used when possible. Sufficient contrast between the background and text, with minimal use of shading, increased readability for students with visual impairments. Color was not used to convey important information.
5. Items have concise and readable text. Linguistic demands of stimuli and items can interfere with a student's ability to demonstrate knowledge of the construct being assessed. During item writing and review, the following guidelines were used:

- Simple, clear, commonly used words were used whenever possible.
- Extraneous text was omitted.
- Vocabulary and sentence complexity were appropriate for the grade level being assessed.
- Technical terms and abbreviations were used only if they were related to the content being measured.
- Definitions and examples were clear and understandable.
- Idioms were avoided unless idiomatic speech was being assessed.
- Questions to be answered were clearly identifiable.

6. Items allow changes to format without changing meaning or difficulty. A Braille version was available for each operational exam. Attention was given to using items that allow for Braille. Specific accommodations were permitted, such as signing to a student, the use of oral presentation under specified conditions, and the use of various assistive technologies. A Spanish version of the Algebral and Biology exams was available for use by English Language Learners who would benefit from this accommodation and who were in U.S. schools for less than three years.
7. The test has an overall appearance that is clean and organized. Information was organized in a left-right, top-bottom format. Images, pictures, and text that may not be necessary (e.g., sidebars, overlays, callout boxes, shading, visual crowding caused by excess information) and that could be potentially distracting to students were avoided. Also avoided were purely decorative features that did not serve a purpose.

## ITEM DEVELOPMENT

DRC worked closely with the Pennsylvania Department of Education to ensure that the Keystone Exams complied with nationally recognized principles of Universal Design. The implementation of accommodations on large-scale statewide assessments for students with disabilities was supported in the development of the Keystone Exams. In addition to the principles of Universal Design as described in the Pennsylvania Technical Report, DRC applied to each exam the standards for test accessibility as described in Tests Access: Making Tests Accessible for Students with Visual Impairments - A Guide for Test Publishers, Test Developers, and State Assessment Personnel (Allman, 2004).

[^1]To this end, DRC embraces the following precepts:

- Test directions are worded to allow for alternate responses to constructed-response items.
- During item and bias reviews, committee members are made aware of the Principles of Universal Design and of issues that may adversely affect students with disabilities. The goal is to make certain that the Keystone Exams are bias free for all students. With the goal of ensuring that the Keystone Exams are accessible to the widest range of diverse student populations, PDE instructs DRC to limit item types that are difficult to format in Braille and that may become distorted when published in large print. DRC is instructed to limit the following on the Keystone Exams.
- Algebra I: Complicated tessellations; charts or graphs that extend beyond one page
- Literature: Graphics and illustrations that are not germane to the content presented
- All exams: Unnecessary boxes and framing of text, unless enclosing the text provides necessary context for the student; use of italics (limited to only when it is absolutely necessary, such as with variables)


## ITEM FORMAT

For all Keystone Exams (both online and print), DRC formats the items to maximize accessibility for all students by using text that is in an easily readable size and font style. DRC limits shading, graphics, charts, and the number of items per page so that there is sufficient white space on each page. Whenever possible, DRC ensures that graphics, pictures, diagrams, charts, and tables are positioned on the page with the associated test items. DRC uses high contrast for text and background when possible to convey pertinent information. Tests are published on dull-finish paper to avoid the glare encountered on glossy paper. DRC pays close attention to the binding of the exam books to ensure that they lie flat for two-page viewing and ease of reading and handling.

DRC ensures consistency across Keystone Exams by following these Principles of Universal Design:

- High contrast and clarity is used to convey detailed information.
- Typically, shading is avoided; when necessary for content purposes, 10-percent screens are used as the standard.
- Overlaid print on diagrams, charts, and graphs is avoided.
- Charts, graphs, diagrams, and tables are clearly labeled with titles and with short descriptions when applicable.
- Only relevant information is included in diagrams, pictures, and graphics.
- Symbols used in keys and legends are meaningful and provide reasonable representations of the topics they depict.
- $\quad$ Pictures that require physical measurement are true to size.


## ASSESSMENT ACCOMMODATIONS

While universally designed assessments provide for participation of the widest range of students, many students require accommodations in order to participate in the regular assessment. Clearly, the intent of providing accommodations for students is to make certain that students are not unfairly disadvantaged during testing and that the accommodations used during instruction, if appropriate, are made available as students take the test. The literature related to assessment accommodations is still evolving and often focuses on state policies regulating accommodations rather than on providing empirical data that supports the reliability and validity of the use of accommodations. On a yearly basis, the Pennsylvania Department of Education examines accommodations policies and current research to ensure that valid, acceptable accommodations are available for students. Three accommodations manuals for Pennsylvania assessments titled Accommodations Guidelines for Students with IEPs and Students with 504 Plans, Accommodations Guidelines for Students without IEPs and 504 Plans, and Accommodations Guidelines for English Language Learners were developed for use with the Keystone Exams. The manuals can be accessed by going to www.pdesas.org/Assessment/Keystone and selecting the corresponding document under the Test Accommodations section.

In addition, Spanish-language versions, translated from the original English versions were made available for both the Algebra I Exam and the Biology Exam. The Spanish-translation editions of the exams are discussed in Chapter Six.

## CHAPTER FIVE: FIELD TEST LEADING TO THE SPRING 2018 CORE

## FIELD TEST OVERVIEW

Approximately $50 \%$ of the core items appearing on the Spring 2018 Pennsylvania Keystone Exams came from the field test (nonlinking) items on the Spring 2017 Keystone Exams. The remaining core items were part of the biennial core-to-core overlap. For more information about the core-to-core overlapping items, please see Chapter Three. The purpose of administering field test items is to obtain statistics for them so they can be reviewed before becoming operational (core). Based on this statistical review, many of the field test items appearing in the Spring 2017 Keystone Exams were selected for use as common (core) items in the 2018 Keystone Exams.

As shown in Table 5-1, the overall Keystone Exams Field Test Plan uses a given spring administration to augment the pool of items available for use in core positions in the subsequent administration cycle starting with the next spring administration. Each spring field test is designed to yield up to three cores worth of items to fill the core administrations of the subsequent spring, summer, and winter cores.

Table 5-1. General Pattern Showing Path from Field Test to Core and to Core-to-Core Overlap for a Given Keystone Exam

|  | Initial Core Use Spring | Initial Core Use Summer | Initial Core Use Winter | Core-to-Core Overlap Use Spring | Core-to-Core Overlap Use Summer | Core-to-Core Overlap Use Winter |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spring Year X | X+1 | X+1 | X+2 | X+3 | X+3 | X+4 |
| Spring Year $\mathrm{X}+1$ | X+2 | $x+2$ | X+3 | X+4 | X+4 | X+5 |
| Spring Year $\mathrm{X}+2$ | X+3 | X+3 | X+4 | X+5 | X+5 | X+6 |

See Chapter Three (concerning the test definitions for the individual Keystone Exams) for more details about the number of embedded field test (EFT) items appearing in a Keystone Exam within a typical operational form setting.

## SPRING 2017 KEYSTONE EXAMS EMBEDDED FIELD TEST

For 2017, the embedded field test (in spring) was designed to yield enough items to construct portions of the following operational forms: spring 2018, summer 2018, winter 2018/2019, and a possible breach form. The next tables describe the embedded field test plans for the Keystone Exams in the spring of 2017.

## SPRING 2017 ALGEBRA I KEYSTONE EXAM EMBEDDED FIELD TEST PLAN

The Spring 2017 Algebra I Keystone Exam was composed of 20 forms. All of the forms contained core items that were identical for all students and sets of generally unique items. The following two tables display the design for Algebra I for forms 1 through 20. The column entries for these tables denote the following:

- Number of unique core MC items
- Number of unique core CR items
- Number of embedded MC field test items
- Number of embedded CR field test items
- Total number of MC and CR items in the form

Table 5-2. Algebra I Test Plan (Spring 2017) per Operational Form

| Module | Core per Form <br> MC Items | Core per Form <br> CR Items | Field Test <br> per Form <br> MC Items | Field Test <br> per Form <br> CR Items | Total per Form <br> Core \& FT <br> MC Items | Total | per Form <br> Core \& FT <br> CR Items |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 18 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 23 | 4 |  |
| 2 | 18 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 23 | 4 |  |
| Total | 36 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 46 | 8 |  |

Table 5-3. Algebra I Test Plan (Spring 2017) per 20 Operational Forms

| Module | Core per 20 <br> Forms <br> MC Items | Core per 20 <br> Forms <br> CR Items | Field Test per 20 <br> Forms <br> MC Items | Field Test per 20 <br> Forms <br> CR Items | Field Test per 20 <br> Forms Gore \& FT <br> MC Items | Total per 20 <br> Forms Core \& FT <br> CR Items |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 18 | 3 | 100 | 20 | 118 | 23 |
| 2 | 18 | 3 | 100 | 20 | 118 | 23 |
| Total | 36 | 6 | 200 | 40 | 236 | 46 |

## SPRING 2017 BIOLOGY KEYSTONE EXAM EMBEDDED FIELD TEST PLAN

The Spring 2017 Biology Keystone Exam was composed of 20 forms. All of the forms contained core items that were identical for all students and sets of generally unique items. The following two tables display the design for Biology for forms 1 through 20. The column entries for these tables denote the following:

- Number of unique core MC items
- Number of unique core CR items
- Number of embedded MC field test items
- Number of embedded CR field test items
- Total number of MC and CR items in the form

Table 5-4. Biology Test Plan (Spring 2017) per Operational Form

| Module | Core per Form <br> MC Items | Core per Form <br> CR Items | Field Test <br> per Form <br> MC Items | Field Test <br> per Form <br> CR Items | Total per Form <br> Core \& FT <br> MC Items | Total per Form <br> Core \& FT <br> CR Items |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 24 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 32 | 4 |
| 2 | 24 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 32 | 4 |
| Total | 48 | 6 | 16 | 2 | 64 | 8 |

Table 5-5. Biology Test Plan (Spring 2017) per 20 Operational Forms

| Module | Core per 20 <br> Forms MC Items | Core per 20 <br> Forms CR Items | Field Test per 20 <br> Forms MC Items | Field Test per 20 <br> Forms CR Items | Field Test per 20 Forms Core \& FT MC Items | Total per 20 Forms Core \& FT CR Items |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 24 | 3 | 160 | 20 | 184 | 23 |
| 2 | 24 | 3 | 160 | 20 | 184 | 23 |
| Total | 48 | 6 | 320 | 40 | 368 | 46 |

## SPRING 2017 LITERATURE KEYSTONE EXAM EMBEDDED FIELD TEST PLAN

The Spring 2017 Literature Keystone Exam was composed of 20 forms. All of the forms contained common items that were identical for all students and sets of generally unique items. The following two tables display the design for Literature for forms 1 through 20. The column entries for these tables denote the following:

- Number of unique core passages
- Number of unique core MC items
- Number of unique core CR items
- Number of embedded field test passages
- Number of embedded MC field test items
- Number of embedded CR field test items
- Total number of passages, MC items, and CR items in the form

Table 5-6. Literature Test Plan (Spring 2017) per Operational Form

| Module | Core Per <br> Form <br> Passages | Core Per <br> Form <br> MC Items | Core Per <br> Form <br> CR Items | Field Test <br> per Form <br> Passages | Field Test <br> per Form <br> MC Items | Field Test <br> Per Form <br> CR Items | Total per <br> Form <br> Core \& FT <br> Passages | Total per <br> Form <br> Core \& FT <br> MC Items | Total per <br> Form <br> Core \& FT <br> CR ITEMS |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 2 | 17 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 23 | 4 |
| 2 | 2 | 17 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 23 | 4 |
| Total | 4 | 34 | 6 | 2 | 12 | 2 | 6 | 46 | 8 |

Table 5-7. Literature Test Plan (Spring 2017) per 20 Operational Forms

| Module | Core Per Passages | Core Per 20 Forms MC Items | Core Per <br> 20 Forms CR Items | Field Test <br> per 20 <br> Forms <br> Passages | Field Test <br> per 20 <br> Forms MC Items | Field Test per 20 Forms CR Items | Total per 20 Forms Core \& FT Passages | Total per 20 Form Core \& FT MC Items | Total per 20 Form Core \& FT CR ITEMS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 17 | 3 | 10 | 120 | 20 | 14 | 137 | 23 |
| 2 | 2 | 17 | 3 | 10 | 120 | 20 | 14 | 137 | 23 |
| Total | 4 | 34 | 6 | 20 | 240 | 40 | 28 | 274 | 46 |

## STATISTICAL ANALYSES AND RESULTS

All field test items were analyzed statistically following conventional item analysis methods. For MC items, traditional, or classical, item statistics included the corrected point-biserial correlation (Pt. Bis.) for the correct and incorrect responses (distractors), the percent correct (pvalue), and the percentage selecting incorrect responses. For CR items, the statistical indices included the item-total test correlation, the point-biserial correlation for each score category or level, the percentage in each score category, and the percentage of nonscorable responses.

In general, more-capable students are expected to respond correctly to easy items and less-capable students are expected to respond incorrectly to difficult items. If either of these situations does not occur, the item will be reviewed by DRC test development staff and committees of Pennsylvania educators to determine the nature of the problem and the characteristics of the students affected. The primary way of detecting such conditions is through the point-biserial correlation coefficient for MC items and the item-total correlation for CR items. In each case the statistic will be positive if the total-test mean score is higher for the students who respond correctly to MC items (or attain a higher CR item score) and negative when the reverse is true. A detailed explanation of item statistics based on the classical test theory (CTT) can be found in Chapter Eleven.

## DIFFERENTIAL ITEM FUNCTIONING

The differential item functioning (DIF) analysis was also conducted on all the field test items. Differential item functioning occurs when examinees with the same ability level but different group memberships do not have the same probability of answering an item correctly. This pattern of results may suggest the presence of item bias. As a statistical concept, however, DIF can be differentiated from item bias, which is a content issue that can arise when an item presents negative group stereotypes, uses language that is more familiar to one subpopulation than to another, or is presented in a format that disadvantages certain learning styles. While the source of item bias is often plain to trained judges, DIF may have no clear cause. However, studying how DIF arises and how it presents itself can help to detect and correct for it.

## DIF DETECTION PROCEDURES

For MC items, the Mantel-Haenszel (MH) procedure (Mantel \& Haenszel, 1959) for detecting DIF is a commonly used technique in educational testing. It does not depend on the application or the fit of any specific measurement model. However, it does have significant philosophical overlap with the Rasch model since it uses a test's total score to organize the analysis.

The procedure as implemented by DRC contrasts a focal group with a reference group. While it makes no practical difference in the analysis which group is defined as the focal group, the group most apt to be disadvantaged by a biased measurement is typically defined as the focal group. In these analyses, the focal group was female for gender-based DIF, black and Hispanic for ethnicity-based DIF, and computer-based-test (CBT) group for the test administration mode-based DIF; reference groups were male, white, and paper-and-pencil test (PPT) group respectively. The MH statistic for each item is computed from a contingency table. It has two groups (focal and reference) and two outcomes (right or wrong). The ability groups are defined by the test's score distribution for the total examinee populations.

The basic MH statistic is a single degree of freedom chi-square that compares the observed number in each cell to the expected number. The expected counts are computed to ensure that the analysis is not confounded with differences in the achievement level of the two groups.

For CR items, a comparable statistic is computed based on the standardized mean difference (SMD) (Dorans, Schmitt, \& Bleistein, 1992), computed as the differences in mean scores for the focal and reference groups if both groups had the same score distribution.

To assist the review committees in interpreting the analyses, the items are assigned a severity code based on the magnitude of the MH statistic (Zwick \& Erickan, 1989) and the effect size for the SMD. Items classified as A+ or A- have little or no statistical indication of DIF. Items classified as B+ or B- have some indication of DIF but are acceptable for future use. Items classified as C+ or C- have strong evidence of DIF and should be reviewed and possibly rejected from the eligible item pool. The plus sign indicates that the item favors the focal group and a minus sign indicates that the item favors the reference group.

## LIMITATIONS OF STATISTICAL DETECTION

No statistical procedure should be used as a substitute for rigorous, hands-on reviews by content and bias specialists. The statistical results can help organize the review so the effort is concentrated on the most problematic cases. Further, no items should be automatically rejected simply because a statistical method flagged them or accepted because they were not flagged.

Statistical detection of DIF is an inexact science. There have been a variety of methods proposed for detecting DIF, but no one statistic can be considered either necessary or sufficient. Different methods are more or less successful depending on the situation. No analysis can guarantee that a test is free of bias, but almost any thoughtful analysis will uncover the most flagrant problems.

A fundamental shortcoming of all statistical methods used in DIF evaluation is that all are intrinsic to the test being evaluated. If a test is unbiased overall but contains one or two DIF items, any method will locate the problems. If, however, all items on the test show consistent DIF to the disadvantage of a given subpopulation, a statistical analysis of the items will not be able to separate DIF effects from true differences in achievement.

## CRITERIA USED TO FLAG ITEMS

Item statistics are used as a means of detecting items that deserve closer scrutiny rather than as a mechanism for automatic retention or rejection. Toward this end, a set of criteria was used as a screening tool to identify items needing a closer review by committees of Pennsylvania educators.

For all the items, the following criteria were used to flag items:

1. $p$-value less than 0.3 or greater than 0.9
2. Item-total correlation less than 0.25
3. Gender (male vs. female), ethnicity (white vs. black or Hispanic), and/or test administration mode (PPT vs. CBT) DIF code of $\mathrm{C}+$ or $\mathrm{C}-$

For an MC item to be flagged, the following two additional criteria were also used:
4. Point-biserial correlation for any incorrect response greater than 0.0
5. Percentage responding to any incorrect responses greater than the percent correct

For a CR item to be flagged, the following additional criterion was used:
6. Score proportion less than 0.05

The intent of the above criteria is to flag everything that should be reviewed. For this purpose, the preference is to over-identify rather than under-identify the outliers. Any of these flags should cause the item to be reviewed by content experts, but there are many reasons the experts might want to keep an item in spite of the statistics.

## RESULTS AND OBSERVATIONS

Details of the samples used for the spring 2017 field test item analysis can be found in Chapter 9 of the 2017 Pennsylvania Keystone Exams Technical Report (Pennsylvania Department of Education, 2017). Overall, the samples used to analyze the field test items embedded in 20 forms were equivalent, so the classical statistics for all the field test items across forms can be compared.

This section focuses on reporting the number ( $N$ ) and percentage (\%) of items flagged by different criteria (see Tables 5-8 to 5-10). For the DIF analysis, the number and percentage of items were provided not only for the Cand C+ bias codes, which were used as the criteria to flag items, but also for the bias codes A-, A+, B-, and B+.

Table 5-8. Summary of Items Flagged by the CTT-Based Statistics

| Item Type | Flagging Criterion* | Alg. 1 Total N | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Alg. I } \\ \mathrm{N} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Alg. I } \\ \% \end{array}$ | Bio. Tota $\mathrm{N}$ | Bio. N | Bio. \% | Lit. Total N | Lit. N | Lit. \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MC | 1 | 200 | 23 | 11.5 | 320 | 26 | 8.1 | 240 | 14 | 5.8 |
| MC | 2 | 200 | 32 | 16.0 | 320 | 73 | 22.8 | 240 | 30 | 12.5 |
| MC | 4 | 200 | 34 | 17.0 | 320 | 57 | 17.8 | 240 | 29 | 12.1 |
| MC | 5 | 200 | 31 | 15.5 | 320 | 39 | 12.2 | 240 | 16 | 6.7 |
| CR | 6 | 40 | 31 | 77.5 | 40 | 7 | 17.5 | 40 | 0 | 0.0 |

* See section Criteria Used to Flag Items for what 1-6 stands for.

Table 5-9. DIF Summary - MC Items

| Reference Group | Focal Group | Bias Code | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Alg. I } \\ \text { (Total } \\ \mathrm{N}=240 \text { ) } \\ \mathrm{N} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Alg. I } \\ \text { (Total } \\ \mathrm{N}=240) \\ \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Biology } \\ \text { (Total } \\ \mathrm{N}=384 \text { ) } \\ \mathrm{N} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Biology } \\ \text { (Total } \\ \mathrm{N}=384) \\ \% \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Literature } \\ \text { (Total } \\ \mathrm{N}=288) \\ \mathrm{N} \end{array}$ | Literature $\begin{gathered} \text { (Total } \\ \mathbf{N}=288) \end{gathered}$ $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | Female | A- | 86 | 43.0 | 143 | 44.7 | 87 | 36.3 |
| Male | Female | A+ | 107 | 53.5 | 176 | 55.0 | 129 | 53.8 |
| Male | Female | B- | 5 | 2.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 2.1 |
| Male | Female | B+ | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.3 | 17 | 7.1 |
| Male | Female | C- | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.4 |
| Male | Female | C+ | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.4 |
| White | Black | A- | 152 | 76.0 | 236 | 73.8 | 172 | 71.7 |
| White | Black | A+ | 34 | 17.0 | 77 | 24.1 | 31 | 12.9 |
| White | Black | B- | 13 | 6.5 | 6 | 1.9 | 31 | 12.9 |
| White | Black | B+ | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.4 |
| White | Black | C- | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.3 | 5 | 2.1 |
| White | Black | C+ | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| White | Hispanic | A- | 132 | 66.0 | 236 | 73.8 | 172 | 71.7 |
| White | Hispanic | A+ | 61 | 30.5 | 80 | 25.0 | 25 | 10.4 |
| White | Hispanic | B- | 7 | 3.5 | 4 | 1.3 | 36 | 15.0 |
| White | Hispanic | B+ | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| White | Hispanic | C- | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 2.9 |
| White | Hispanic | C+ | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| PPT | CBT | A- | 92 | 46.0 | 155 | 48.4 | 143 | 59.6 |
| PPT | CBT | A+ | 107 | 53.5 | 165 | 51.6 | 90 | 37.5 |
| PPT | CBT | B- | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 2.1 |
| PPT | CBT | B+ | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.8 |
| PPT | CBT | C- | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| PPT | CBT | C+ | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |

Table 5-10. DIF Summary - CR Items

| Reference Group | Focal Group | Bias <br> Code | Alg. I <br> (Total <br> $\mathrm{N}=46$ ) <br> N | Alg. I <br> (Total <br> $\mathrm{N}=46$ ) <br> \% | Biology (Total $\mathrm{N}=48$ ) N | Biology (Total $\mathrm{N}=48$ ) \% | Literature <br> (Total $\mathrm{N}=48$ ) <br> N | Literature (Total $\mathrm{N}=48$ ) \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | Female | A- | 18 | 45.0 | 10 | 25.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Male | Female | A+ | 19 | 47.5 | 29 | 72.5 | 16 | 40.0 |
| Male | Female | B- | 2 | 5.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Male | Female | B+ | 1 | 2.5 | 1 | 2.5 | 21 | 52.5 |
| Male | Female | C- | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Male | Female | C+ | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 7.5 |
| White | Black | A- | 28 | 70.0 | 28 | 70.0 | 30 | 75.0 |
| White | Black | A+ | 1 | 2.5 | 3 | 7.5 | 9 | 22.5 |
| White | Black | B- | 9 | 22.5 | 5 | 12.5 | 1 | 2.5 |
| White | Black | B+ | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| White | Black | C- | 2 | 5.0 | 4 | 10.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| White | Black | C+ | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| White | Hispanic | A- | 30 | 75.0 | 32 | 80.0 | 25 | 62.5 |
| White | Hispanic | A+ | 4 | 10.0 | 3 | 7.5 | 10 | 25.0 |
| White | Hispanic | B- | 5 | 12.5 | 3 | 7.5 | 5 | 12.5 |
| White | Hispanic | B+ | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| White | Hispanic | C- | 1 | 2.5 | 2 | 5.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| White | Hispanic | C+ | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| PPT | CBT | A- | 22 | 55.0 | 17 | 42.5 | 30 | 75.0 |
| PPT | CBT | A+ | 14 | 35.0 | 21 | 52.5 | 2 | 5.0 |
| PPT | CBT | B- | 4 | 10.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 15.0 |
| PPT | CBT | B+ | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 5.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| PPT | CBT | C- | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 5.0 |
| PPT | CBT | C+ | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |

## REVIEW OF ITEMS WITH DATA

In the preceding section on statistical analysis of item data, it was stated that content-area test development specialists used certain statistics from item and DIF analyses of the spring 2017 embedded field test to identify items for further review. Specific flagging criteria for this purpose were specified in the previous section. Items not identified for this review were those that had good statistical characteristics and, consequently, were regarded as statistically acceptable. Likewise, items of extremely poor statistical quality were regarded as unacceptable and needed no further review. However, there were some items that DRC content-area test development specialists and DRC psychometric specialists regarded as needing further review by a committee of Pennsylvania educators. The intent was to capture all items that needed a closer look; thus, the criteria employed tended to over-identify rather than under-identify items.

The review of the items with data was conducted by more than 30 Pennsylvania educators (teachers and PDE staff) broken out into exam-based committees. The review took place on September 6 and 7, 2017. In these sessions, committee members were first trained by a representative from DRC's psychometrics staff with regard to the statistical indices used in item evaluation. This training was followed by a discussion with examples concerning reasons an item might be retained regardless of the statistics. The committee review process involved a brief exploration of possible reasons for the statistical profile of an item (e.g., possible bias, grade appropriateness, instructional issues) and a decision regarding acceptance. DRC content-area test development specialists facilitated the review of the items. Each committee reviewed the pool of flagged embedded field test (EFT) items and made recommendations on each item. The results of the committee reviews are show in the table below. Further discussion on how this information was used is covered in Chapter Six.

Table 5-11. Spring 2017 Keystone Exam Data Review Results

| Exam | Module | Number of Items in Spring 2017 EFT | Number of Items* | \% of Field Test* | Number of Items** | \% of Field Test** | Number of Items*** | \% of Field <br> Test*** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algebra I | 1 | 120 | 61 | 34.2\% | 18 | 15.0\% | 19 | 15.8\% |
| Algebra I | 2 | 120 | 68 | 30.8\% | 12 | 10.0\% | 12 | 10.0\% |
| Biology | 4 | 180 | 69 | 28.3\% | 28 | 15.6\% | 28 | 15.6\% |
| Biology | 2 | 180 | 47 | 26.7\% | 25 | 13.9\% | 25 | 13.9\% |
| Literature | 1 | 120 | 23 | 19.2\% | 5 | 4.2\% | 5 | 4.2\% |
| Literature | 2 | 120 | 25 | 20.8\% | 11 | 9.2\% | 11 | 9.2\% |
|  | Total | 840 | 225 | 26.8\% | 99 | 11.8\% | 100 | 11.9\% |

*Flagged Items in Spring 2017 EFT Examined at Sept 2017 Data Review Committee
**Flagged Items in Spring 2017 EFT Rejected by Sept 2017 Data Review Committee
*** Items Classified as "Rejected" from Spring 2017 EFT (all sources: Data Review Committee, PDE, and DRC)

## CHAPTER SIX: OPERATIONAL FORMS CONSTRUCTION FOR 2018 ADMINISTRATIONS

## FINAL SELECTION OF ITEMS AND KEYSTONE FORMS CONSTRUCTION

Approximately $50 \%$ of the items that made up the Spring 2018, Summer 2018, and Winter 2018/2019 operational forms emerged from the Spring 2017 embedded field test. The remaining operational (core) items were part of the biennial core-to-core overlap. For more information about the core-to-core overlapping items, please see Chapter Three. Prior to being placed on the operational tests, these items had undergone multiple reviews, including the following:

- Reviews by Data Recognition Corporation (DRC) content-area test development specialists and curriculum specialists to ensure that all items were properly aligned with content standards
- Formal bias, fairness, and sensitivity review by the Bias, Fairness, and Sensitivity Committee, which consisted of a multiethnic group of men and women having expertise with special-needs students and English Language Learners (ELL)
- Formal review by the content committees consisting of Pennsylvania educators, including teachers as well as district personnel
- Pennsylvania Department of Education (PDE) review
- Item data review by members of the PDE subject-area teacher committees

The item and bias reviews are detailed in Chapter Three. The results of the data review are summarized in Chapter Five.

The end product of the above process was an item status designation for each field test item. All items having an item status code of Accepted/Operational Ready were candidates to be selected for the 2018 Keystone Exams. To have an item status code of Accepted/Operational Ready meant that the item met the following criteria:

- Appropriately aligned with its designated Keystone Assessment Anchor Content Standard (Assessment Anchor) and subclassifications
- Acceptable in terms of bias/fairness/sensitivity issues, including differential item functioning (for gender and ethnicity)
- Acceptable in terms of psychometric standards, including a special review of flagged items

Next, all relevant information regarding the acceptable items, including associated graphics, was entered into the item banking system known as IDEAS (Item Development and Education Assessment System). From IDEAS and other database sources, Microsoft Excel files were created for each exam. These files contained all relevant content codes and statistical characteristics. IDEAS also created an item card displaying each acceptable item, any associated graphic, and all relevant exam codes and item statistics for use by the subject-area test development specialists and psychometric services staff.

DRC test development specialists reviewed the test design blueprint, including the number of items per strand for each content-area test. Psychometricians provided content-area test development specialists with an overview of the psychometric guidelines for forms construction.

Senior DRC content-area test development specialists reviewed all items in the operational pool to make an initial selection (pull) for common (core) positions according to test blueprint requirements and psychometric guidelines. Changes to items were not encouraged since alterations could affect how an item would perform in subsequent testing.

For these common items, this meant that the combination of multiple-choice (MC) and constructed-response (CR) items would yield the appropriate range of points while tapping an appropriate variety of the Assessment Anchors and related Eligible Content within each Reporting Category (module). Items selected in the first round were examined with regard to how well they fit together as a set. Of particular concern were the following:

- One item providing cues as to the correct answer to another item
- Context redundancy (e.g., mathematics items with a sports context)
- Presence of clang (distractors not unique from one another)
- Diversity of names and artwork for gender and ethnicity

A core-building software tool known as PerForm was used in concert with performance data and metadata from IDEAS to aid in the organization and communication of the pulled data. PerForm automatically tabulates the statistical characteristics of the proposed core, updating instantly whenever item swaps were performed. Using PerForm, the first round of items was then evaluated for statistical features such as an acceptable point-biserial correlation and whether correct answers were distributed equally -that is, whether approximately 25 percent of correct answers appeared in each of the four possible positions (A, B, C, or D). Selected items that were deemed psychometrically less advantageous in contrast to the overall psychometric characteristics of the core resulted in a search by the senior reviewer for suitable replacements. At this point, the second round of items was analyzed. If necessary, this iterative process between content-based selections and statistical properties continued in an effort to reach the best possible balance.

Once the recommendations were finalized for the core items, they were submitted to PDE for review. Department staff provided feedback, which could be in the form of approval or recommendations for replacement of certain items. Any item replacement was accomplished by the collective effort of the test development specialists, psychometricians, and PDE staff until final PDE approval was given. See Appendix F for the Keystone Exams Tally Sheets.

Following final approval by PDE, test development specialists developed print and online forms based on the approved core and approved embedded field test items. Both modes of delivery were built using IDEAS. Highly skilled test development specialists and editors used specialized checklists to verify accuracy of layout and formatting in both modes of delivery. Following final approval to print, the documents were prepared for the printing presses. See Chapter 8 for more information about the steps following the final approval to print.

## SPECIAL FORMS USED WITH THE OPERATIONAL 2018 KEYSTONE EXAMS

## SPANISH TRANSLATION

Starting with the operational exams in spring 2011, school personnel had the option of allowing Spanish-speaking students who had been enrolled in schools in the United States for less than three years to respond to a Spanish version of the Keystone Exams for Algebra I and Biology. The original translation of the items and the Directions for Administration Manual was initiated by Language Services Associates and completed/verified by Exact Communications. These companies use translators with varying cultural and regional backgrounds to create the Spanish versions. The translations were then reviewed and verified by DRC's internal Spanish group. As part of the internal review, a Spanish style guide is maintained to document Spanish word choice from administration-toadministration and across exams within an administration.

Following PDE's approval of the translation, the translated text was typeset into print delivery forms. The test book is constructed with a side-by-side format with the English text and Spanish-translated text on facing pages. The Spanish-translated text is on the left-hand side followed by the original English text on the right-hand (facing) side. Each CR item covered either two or four pages in the answer book, depending on the length of the original Englishlanguage item. In the case of four-page open-ended items, the first set of facing pages of an item was presented in Spanish. The second set of facing pages of an item was presented in the original English.

Those students using this accommodated version are permitted to write their answers on either the English language pages or on the translated Spanish language pages. Their answers can be written in English, Spanish, or a combination of both Spanish and English because all pages are evaluated and scored, and the highest possible scores from those combinations are recorded for the students.

On a yearly basis, the PDE examines accommodations policies and current research to ensure that valid, acceptable accommodations are available for students. Three accommodations manuals for Pennsylvania assessments titled Accommodations Guidelines for Students with IEPs and Students with 504 Plans, Accommodations Guidelines for Students without IEPs or 504 Plans, and Accommodations Guidelines for English Language Learners were developed for use with the Keystone Exams. The manuals can be accessed by going to www.pdesas.org/Assessment/Keystone and selecting the corresponding document under the Test Accommodations section. For more information about the general on-screen testing aids available to students taking the online mode of delivery, see Chapter Two.

## AUDIO

For students requiring an auditory presentation accommodation, a text-to-speech synthesizer is available to students taking the Algebra I and/or Biology Exams using the online mode of test delivery. For each operational exam, one form was selected for the creation of the audio version. Special scripts are crafted, writing out each item, distractor, graphic, and directions to utilize the rich, synthesized voice features while accounting for specific nuances of the intended sounds. The resulting audio information is provided to students receiving the accommodation. Since additional software is required to generate the vocalization from the scripted text and since headphones are required to minimize disruptions within a computer lab setting, local school personnel generally must preplan to use the audio version in order to ensure that the student has a properly equipped computer and a proper setting.

## BRAILLE, LARGE PRINT, AND VIDEO SIGN LANGUAGE

Students were able to respond to test materials that were available in Braille, large print, or Video Sign Language. At each grade level assessed, one form was selected for the creation of these accommodations.

The large print edition is a replication of the standard print form; 8.5 X 11 standard form is enlarged to an 11X17 page format to achieve a font size of approximately 18 -point. A side-by-side verification is completed between the standard print and large print forms to ensure that the integrity of all formatting and graphics is maintained on the large print forms.

For Braille production, the final selected form is delivered to American Printing House for the Blind (APH) via APH's secure website. APH ensures that all tests are translated correctly and accurately by using a translator and a validator. After all Braille booklets are printed, APH conducts a quality assurance step to ensure all items are bound in order and directions are included. All Braille booklets are shipped from APH to DRC via UPS.

DRC applies a security barcode to each large print and Braille booklet for purposes of shipping, distributing, and collecting the materials. This security barcode is used with DRC's Operations Materials Management System (Ops MMS).

School personnel were directed to transcribe all student answers (SR and CR) into scannable answer documents exactly as the student responded. No alterations or corrections of student work were permitted, and the transcribed answer document had to have the same form designation as the Braille and large print version.

DRC utilizes Victory Productions for the production of Sign Language Videos. The items are passed to Victory Productions via a secure ftp site. Two to three different interpreters are used to interpret and validate the translations during video recording. After the interpretations are recorded and returned to DRC via a secure ftp site, DRC loads these videos in the online test engine. When school personnel assign the specific sign language accommodation, the student will be able to play each video next to the item.

## CHAPTER SEVEN: TEST ADMINISTRATION PROCEDURES

## SECTIONS, SESSIONS, TIMING, AND LAYOUT OF THE KEYSTONE EXAMS

The design for most Keystone Exams utilizes separate test books and answer books. An answer book is used to respond to the multiple-choice (MC), evidence based selected response (EBSR), and constructed-response (CR) items and to collect demographic information. The MC items and all stimulus text are placed within the test book. One exam uses a single consumable book. When a single scannable answer book is utilized, the contents of the answer book and the test book are combined into one integrated book. The table below identifies the exam material format for each 2018 Keystone Exam.

Table 7-1. Book Type by Exam

$\left.$| Exam | Test <br> Book |  | Answer <br> Book |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | Single Consumable |
| :--- |
| Book | \right\rvert\,

Generally, a separate test book and answer book are used to separate the MC items and the CR items. For passage-based exams, like Literature, the separate exam materials allow the students to reference stimulus materials at the same time that a response to a CR item is composed. In addition, since all student responses must be scanned for scoring and storage purposes, a separate answer book limits the volume of data that must be stored.

## SECTIONS AND SESSIONS

Each operational Keystone Exam is organized around two equally sized test modules; the focus of each is on two or more specific, thematically linked Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content. The content in each module remains separate, and items measuring the Eligible Content in a module appear only in that module. The module design is identical in the print (paper-and-pencil) and online modes of delivery.

Each exam section is administered in an exam session. Local districts must schedule the two modules as two separate exam sessions (morning and afternoon or two separate days), and an individual module must be completed in one exam session.

Each test session is to be completed within a prescribed testing window. The testing windows below reflect both online and paper-based administrations in the 2017-2018 school year. The testing windows also include all makeup testing. Schools were able to choose one of the two testing windows ("waves") for the winter administration. Two windows were provided to accommodate different semester end dates for schools with block scheduling.

Table 7-2. Winter 2017/2018 Operational Keystone Exam Testing Windows

| Exam | Wave 1 Dates | Wave 2 Dates |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Algebra I | December 4-15, 2017 | January 8-22, 2018 |
| Biology | December 4-15, 2017 | January 8-22, 2018 |
| Literature | December 4-15, 2017 | January 8-22, 2018 |

Table 7-3. Spring 2018 Operational Keystone Exam Testing Window

| Exam | Dates |
| :--- | :--- |
| Algebra I | May 14-25, 2018 |
| Biology | May 14-25, 2018 |
| Literature | May 14-25, 2018 |

Table 7-4. Summer 2018 Operational Keystone Exam Testing Window

| Exam | Dates |
| :--- | :--- |
| Algebra I | July 30-August 3, 2018 |
| Biology | July 30-August 3, 2018 |
| Literature | July 30-August 3, 2018 |

## TIMING

In general, the estimated testing times allow 1-2 minutes per MC item on the Keystone Exams, depending on the exam. The CR items are estimated to take approximately 5-10 minutes per item, also depending on the exam. Each stimulus passage on the Literature exam is estimated to take about 10 minutes to read. There was no difference in the timing for online and print forms of delivery.

Test administrators were instructed that each section (module) in a form should be scheduled as a separate exam session. Exam modules were not to have been scheduled back-to-back in the morning (or in the afternoon). Instead, the exam modules were to be divided across two days or divided across the morning and afternoon of the same day.

Since not all students are expected to finish the exam sections at the same time, test administrators are advised to use the flexibility of the time limits to the students' advantage. For example, test administrators manage the testing time so that students do not feel rushed while they are taking any assessment section, and no student is penalized because he or she works slowly. It is also stressed to test administrators that a student should not be given an opportunity to waste time. Students are told to close their exam materials when they have finished the section of the exam in which they have been working. Students who finish early are allowed to sit quietly or read for pleasure until all students have finished. Students with special requirements and/or abilities (i.e., physical, visual, auditory, or learning disabilities as defined by their IEP or service contracts) and students who just work slowly may require extended time. Special assessment situations are arranged for these students. When all students in a testing session indicate that they have finished an exam section, test administrators end the section.

Scheduled extended time is provided by a test administrator, and students are allowed to request extended time if they indicate that they have not completed the task. Such requests are granted if the test administrator finds the request to be educationally valid. Test administrators are advised that not permitting ample time for students to complete the assessment might impact the students' and school's performances.

As a general guideline, however, when all students indicate that they have finished a section, that section is closed. Students requiring time beyond the majority of the student population are allowed to continue immediately following the regularly scheduled session in another setting. When such accommodations are made, school personnel ensure that students are monitored at all times to prevent sharing of information. Students are not permitted to continue a section of the assessment after a significant lapse of time from the original session.

Table 7-5. Keystone Testing Load and Duration by Exam

| Exam | Total No. of MC <br> Ittems per Form per <br> Administration | Total No. of CR <br> Items per Form per <br> Administration | Total Estimated <br> Testing Time per <br> Form (in minutes) | Total Estimated <br> Administration Time per <br> Form (in minutes) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Algebra I | 46 | 8 | 150 | $170-180$ |
| Biology | 64 | 8 | 144 | $164-174$ |
| Literature | 46 | 8 | 146 | $166-176$ |

Table 7-6. Keystone Testing Load and Duration by Type per Unit (in minutes)

| Exam | Administration <br> Tasks | Stimulus <br> Passages | MC Points per <br> Minute [PPM]* |  | CR [PPM]* |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | Estimated <br> Overall PPM $^{* *}$ |
| :--- |
| Algebra I |
| Biology |

*Based on rates per item type
**Based on total testing time
Prior to beginning the exam, students were asked to verify that they understood the Code of Conduct for Test Takers by marking the circle in the exam. Additionally, an Attention statement was added to the beginning of the exams to notify students of the penalties incurred if exam materials are copied.

## LAYOUT

The layout of the operational Keystone Exams follows a general sequence regardless of the exam. Each exam is divided into thematically linked sets of content called modules. Within each module, there are core (common) items and field test items. Both core and field test items are represented though MC and CR items.

Stimulus material (like passages), text for MC items, answer options, and any stimulus materials associated with MC items or answer options appear in the test book. Answer bubbles, text for CR items, and associated response spaces appear in the answer book.

Within a non-passage-based module (like Algebra I and Biology), the sequencing of items follows this pattern:
1st: Approximately half of the MC items
2nd: Half of the CR items
3rd: Remaining half of the MC items
4th: Remaining CR items

Within a passage-based module (like Literature), the sequencing of items follows this pattern:
1st: Stimulus Passage $X$
2nd: MC items associated with Passage $X$
3rd: CR items associated with Passage $X$
4th: Stimulus Passage $Y$
5th: MC items associated with Passage $Y$
6th: CR items associated with Passage $Y$
7th: Stimulus Passage $Z$
8th: MC items associated with Passage $Z$
9th: CR items associated with Passage Z
Regardless of sequencing pattern, the field test items appear in the relative middle of each module, and item sequencing is self-contained within a module.

For more information about the test layout of the operational Keystone Exams, see Appendix G.

## SHIPPING, PACKAGING, AND DELIVERY OF MATERIALS

There were two shipments sent out by DRC for the Keystone Exams operational assessments:

- Shipment one contained the Handbook for Assessment Coordinators and the Directions for Administration Manuals for each subject at schools participating in the Algebra I, Biology, and Literature Keystone Exams. Shipment one was delivered four weeks prior to the start of the test window.
- Shipment two contained the administrative materials (e.g., Return Shipping labels, District/School labels, Do Not Score labels, Student Precode labels) and secure materials (e.g., consumable test/answer books) for each subject at schools participating in the Algebra I, Biology, and Literature Keystone Exams. Shipment two was delivered two weeks prior to the start of the test window.

DRC ensured that all exam materials were assembled correctly prior to shipping. DRC operations staff used the automated Operations Materials Management System (Ops MMS) to assign secure materials to a school at the time of ship out. This system used barcode technology to provide an automated quality check between items requested for a site and items shipped to a site. A shipment box manifest was produced for and placed in each box shipped. DRC operations staff double-checked all box contents with the box manifest prior to sealing the box for shipping to ensure accurate delivery of materials. DRC operations staff performed lot acceptance sampling on both shipments. Districts and schools were selected at random and examined for correct and complete packaging and labeling. This sampling represented a minimum of 10 percent of all shipping sites.

DRC's materials management system, along with the systems of shippers, allowed DRC to track materials from DRC's warehouse facility to receipt at the district, school, or testing site. All DRC shipping facilities, materials processing facilities, and storage facilities are secure. Access is restricted by security code. Non-DRC personnel are escorted by a DRC employee at all times. Only DRC inventory control personnel have access to stored secure materials. DRC employees are trained in and made aware of the high level of security that is required.

DRC used United Parcel Service (UPS) to deliver the secure materials to the testing sites.

## ONLINE TESTING

Online administration is managed through the DRC eDIRECT client portal that provides tiered, secure access to all required administrative functions. Within eDIRECT, users manage student information and create test sessions.

Student information from the Pennsylvania Information Management System (PIMS) is imported into eDIRECT via file transfer or LEAs upload student directly into eDIRECT. From here, LEAs are able to view all of the demographic information associated with the students from PIMS before placing them in test sessions for test tickets.

Once the student data is loaded into Test Setup, users organize students into test sessions. Test sessions can be created by class, grade, or school. Through Test Setup, users can also update student accommodation information, print test tickets, and monitor student testing status.

The student login ticket contains unique login credentials used by the student to access the testing software. For a selected test session, users can download and print a PDF document containing instructions, a roster of student tickets being printed, and the actual test tickets. Student test tickets are considered secure materials and LEAs are required to keep printed tickets in a predetermined, locked, secure storage area.

The web-based test engine, DRC INSIGHT Online Learning System, is downloaded onto computers that students will access during the assessment. Test items and forms can only be accessed using a valid test ticket. During testing, responses are sent to a DRC server each time the student navigates away from an item or clicks the Next button to submit an answer. The system is configured to allow students to review answers before submitting their test.

## TEST SECURITY MEASURES

Test security is essential to obtaining reliable and valid scores for accountability purposes. Test Security Certifications were required to be signed by each building Principal, School Assessment Coordinator, District Assessment Coordinator, Test Administrator, and Proctor after the assessment is administered. All signed Certifications were returned to the Chief School Administrator who must retain the Certifications for three years. The purpose of the Certifications was to serve as a tool to document that the individuals responsible for administering the assessments both understood and acknowledged the importance of test security and accountability. Additional details can be found in the Handbook for Assessment Coordinators. A screen shot of the Test Administrator Certificate is provided in Figure 7-1.

Figure 7-1. Test Administrator and Proctor Test Security Certification
pennsylvania
DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

# Spring 2018 Keystone Exam Test Security Certification Form 

(Test Administrator and Proctor)

District: $\qquad$
School: $\qquad$
AUN: $\qquad$
Maintaining the security and integrity of all assessment materials, preventing any dishonest or fraudulent behavior in the administration and handling of the assessment, and promoting a fair and equitable testing environment are essential in order to obtain reliable and valid student scores. In that regard, I certify the following:

Prior to the administration of the assessment, I completed the Pennsylvania State Test Administration Training, and I understand that the assessment materials are secure, confidential, and proprietary documents owned by the Pennsylvania Department of Education.

I have not reviewed, discussed, disseminated, described, or otherwise revealed the contents of the assessment to anyone. I have not removed any assessment materials from the school building unless I was specifically authorized to administer the assessment to a student on homebound instruction. I have not kept, copied, reproduced, released, or used any assessment, assessment question, specific assessment content, or examinee response to any item or any section of the secure assessment in any manner that is inconsistent with the instructions provided by or through the Pennsylvania Department of Education. I have not provided any examinee with an answer to an assessment question or in any way influenced an examinee's response to any assessment question. I have not in any manner altered or caused the alteration of any examinee response, assessment booklet, or papers used by examinees.

I understand that any breach in assessment security could result in the invalidation of assessment results, professional discipline, and/or criminal prosecution.

I understand that false statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S. § 4904.

Administrator/Proctor Name
Administrator/Proctor Signature
Date of Signature

## SAMPLE MANUALS

Copies of the Handbook for Assessment Coordinators and the Directions for Administration Manuals can be found on the PDE website at www.education.pa.gov.

## TESTING WINDOW ASSESSMENT ACCOMMODATIONS

The Accommodations Guidelines was developed by PDE for use with the Keystone Exams. This manual can be found on the PDE website at www.education.pa.gov. Additional information regarding assessment accommodations can be found in Chapter Four of this report.

## CHAPTER EIGHT: PROCESSING AND SCORING

## RECEIPT OF MATERIALS

Receipt of Pennsylvania Keystone Exams' test materials began five days after the start of the test window. DRC's Operations Materials Management System (Ops MMS) was utilized to receive assessment materials securely, accurately, and efficiently. This system features innovative automation and advanced barcode scanners. Captured data was organized into reports, which provided timely information with respect to suspected missing material.

The first step in Ops MMS was Box Receipt. When a shipment arrived at DRC, the boxes were removed from the carrier's truck and passed under a barcode reader, which read the barcode printed on the return label and identified the district and school. The number of boxes was immediately compared to what was picked up at the district. The data collected in this process was stored in the Ops MMS database. After the barcode data was captured, the boxes were placed on a pallet and assigned a corresponding pallet number.

Once the Box Receipt process was completed, the Materials Separation phase began. Warehouse personnel opened the boxes and sorted materials by grade, subject, and status (used and/or unused booklets) into scanning boxes. Every booklets' security barcode and precode barcode were hand scanned to link each document to the original box. As the booklets were sorted, Ops MMS guided the floor operator to the box in which to place the document. Ops MMS kept count and record of the materials placed in each box. This count remained correlated to the box as an essential quality-control step throughout the secure booklet processing and provided a target number for all steps of the check-in process. Once a box was closed, an MMS Processing Label was placed on that box.

Once labeled, the sorted and counted boxes proceeded to Quality Assurance, where a secure booklet check-in operator used a hand scanner to scan the MMS Processing Label. This procedure identified the material type and quantity parameters for what Ops MMS should expect within a box. The box contents were then loaded into the stream feeder.

The documents were fed past oscillating scanners that captured both the security code and precode from the booklets. A human operator monitored an Ops MMS screen that displayed scan errors, an ordered accounting of what was successfully scanned, and the document count for each box. The system ensured that all material within the box matched the information obtained from the original hand-scanning process.

When all materials were scanned and the correct document count was confirmed, the box was sealed and placed on a pallet. If the correct document count was not confirmed, or if the operator encountered difficulties with material scanning, the box and its contents were delivered to an exception-handling station for resolution.

This check-in process occurred immediately upon receipt of materials; therefore, DRC provided feedback to districts and schools regarding any missing materials based on actual receipt versus expected receipt. Sites that had 100 percent of their materials missing after the date they were due to DRC were contacted, and any issues were resolved.

Throughout the process of secure booklet check-in, DRC project management ran a daily Missing Materials Report. Every site that was missing any number of booklets was contacted by DRC. Results of these correspondences were recorded for inclusion in the final Missing Materials Report if the missing booklets were not returned by the testing site. DRC produced the Missing Materials Report for PDE upon completion of secure booklet check-in. The report listed all schools in each participating district, along with security barcodes for any booklets not returned to DRC.

After scannable materials (used answer booklets) were processed through booklet check-in, the materials became available to the DRC Document Processing log-in staff for document log-in. The booklets were logged in using the following process:

- A DRC scannable barcode batch header was scanned, and a batch number was assigned to each box of booklets.
- The DRC box label barcode was scanned into the system to link the box and booklets to the newly created batch and to create a Batch Control Sheet.
- The DRC box label barcode number and the number of booklets in the box were printed on the Batch Control Sheet for document-tracking purposes. All booklets linked to the box barcode were assigned to the batch number and tracked through all processing steps. As booklets were processed, DRC staff dated and initialed the Batch Control Sheet to indicate that proper processing and controls were observed.

Before the booklets were scanned, all batches went through a quality inspection to ensure batch integrity and correct document placement.

After a quality check-in at the DRC Document Processing log-in area, the spines were cut off the scannable documents, and the pages were sent to DRC's Imaging and Scoring System.

## SCANNING OF MATERIALS

Customized scanning programs for all scannable documents were prepared to read the books and to format the scanned information electronically. Before materials arrived, all image-scanning programs went through a quality review process that included scanning of mock data from production books to ensure proper data collection.

DRC's image scanners were calibrated using a standard deck of scannable pages with 16 known levels of gray. On a predefined page location, the average pixel darkness was compared to the standard calibration to determine the level of gray. Marks with an average darkness level of 4 or above on a scale of 16 ( 0 through F) were determined to be valid responses, per industry standards. If multiple marks were read for a single item and the difference between the grayscale reads was greater than four levels, the lighter mark was discarded. If the multiple marks had fewer than four levels of grayscale difference, the response was flagged and forwarded to an editor for resolution.

DRC's image scanners read selected-response, demographic, and identification information. The image scanners also used barcode readers to read preprinted barcodes from a label on the book.

The scannable documents were automatically fed into the image scanners where predefined processing criteria determined which fields were to be captured electronically. Open-ended (OE) response images were separated out for image-based scoring.

During scanning, a unique serial number was printed on each sheet of paper. This serial number was used to ensure document integrity and to maintain sequencing within a batch of books.

A monitor randomly displayed images, and the human operator adjusted or cleaned the scanner when the scanned image did not meet DRC's strict quality standards for image clarity.

All images passed through a process and a software clean-up program that despeckled, deskewed, and desmeared the images. A random sample of images was reviewed for image quality approval. If any document failed to meet image quality standards, the document was returned for rescanning.

Page-scan verification was performed to ensure that all predefined portions of the booklets were represented in their entirety in the image files. If a page was missing, the entire book was flagged for resolution.

After each batch was scanned, books were processed through a computer-based editing program to detect potential errors as a result of smudges, multiple marks, and omissions in predetermined fields. Marks that did not meet the predefined editing standards were routed to editors for resolution.

Experienced DRC Document Processing editing staff reviewed all potential errors detected during scanning and made necessary corrections to the data file. The imaging system displayed each suspected error. The editing staff then inspected the image and made any necessary corrections using the unique serial number printed on the document during scanning.

Upon completion of editing, quality control reports were run to ensure that all detected potential errors were reviewed again and a final disposition was determined.

Before batches of books were extracted for scoring, a final edit was performed to ensure that all requirements for final processing were met. If a batch contained errors, it was flagged for further review before being extracted for scoring and reporting.

During this processing step, the actual number of documents scanned was compared to the number of books assigned to the box during book receipt. Count discrepancies between book receipt and books scanned were resolved at this time.

Once all requirements for final processing were met, the batch was released for scoring and student level processing.

Table 8-1 shows the number of answer books received through book check-in, the number of books that contained student responses that were scanned and scored, the number of test books received, and the total number of books received for the Algebra I, Biology, and Literature Keystone Exams.

Table 8-1. Counts of 2018 Keystone Exams Materials Received: Algebra I, Biology, and Literature

| Exam | Answer Books <br> Received | Used Answer <br> Books Received | Test Books <br> Received | Total Books <br> Received | Total Books <br> Shipped |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Algebra I (Winter) | 94,857 | 53,308 | 94,857 | 189,714 | 189,726 |
| Biology (Winter) | 72,650 | 39,033 | 72,650 | 145,300 | 145,300 |
| Literature (Winter) | 64,721 | 35,510 | 64,722 | 129,443 | 129,446 |
| Algebra I (Spring) | 221,973 | 157,758 | 221,956 | 443,929 | 443,960 |
| Biology (Spring) | 182,128 | 132,664 | 182,125 | 364,253 | 364,274 |
| Literature (Spring) | 171,471 | 124,406 | 171,463 | 342,934 | 343,034 |
| Algebra I (Summer) | 4,328 | 1,012 | 4,328 | 8,656 | 8,656 |
| Biology (Summer) | 3,513 | 691 | 3,513 | 7,026 | 7,026 |
| Literature (Summer) | 2,952 | 308 | 2,952 | 5,904 | 5,904 |

Figure 8-1 illustrates the production workflow for DRC's Ops MMS and Image Scanning and Scoring System from receipt of materials through all processing of materials and the presentation of scanned images for scoring.

Figure 8-1. Workflow System


## MATERIALS STORAGE

Upon completion of processing, student response documents were boxed for security purposes and final storage as follows:

- Project-specific box labels were created containing unique customer and project information, material type, batch number, pallet/box number, and the number of boxes for a given batch.
- Boxes were stacked on pallets that were labeled with the project information and a list of the pallet's contents before delivery to the Materials Distribution Center for final secure storage.

Materials will be destroyed one year after the contract year ends with PDE written approval.

## ONLINE TESTING

The DRC INSIGHT test engine runs on a custom web browser that is designed to ensure a fully secure environment during testing. The secure browser "locks down" the student's testing device, preventing the student from accessing the desktop, the Internet, and other external programs. For non-secure testing such as practice and training sessions, students can use the Online Tools Training (OTT) environment, which runs on a standard web browser.

The custom browser software is downloaded from eDIRECT and installed onto student testing devices. The secure browser can be installed on computers individually, or it can be downloaded to a central location, copied, and distributed to multiple computers simultaneously using common network distribution tools. Everything needed for testing is found within the secure browser, eliminating the need for districts to coordinate updates to third-party software.

Prior to operational use, DRC's quality assurance staff will perform full system-level tests in an independent test environment that simulates the production configuration. Tests are run on all supported computer platforms and browsers and include comprehensive review of system functionality, usability, reliability, security, and overall performance. Test content is also validated during this process.

Multiple methods are used to ensure secure data transfer, including encryption technologies and Secure Sockets Layer (SSL) protocol through Hypertext Transfer Protocol Secure (HTTPS). Test content is encrypted at the host server, and remains encrypted throughout all network transmissions; content is decrypted only once the student login is validated. Decrypted test content on the student workstation is stored only in memory during each test session. Once the session is ended (the test is completed or the student logs out), computer memory is purged to ensure security of test content is maintained.

Responses are saved automatically every 45 seconds during testing, or when the student navigates away from an item or answers a selected-response item (whichever comes first). If a particular question takes the student longer than 45 seconds to answer, then the partial, incomplete responses are submitted at 45 -second intervals until the student completes the item. This auto-save helps safeguard against students losing their work on longer items, such as constructed-response items. When the student returns to the test after a break or interruption, the student is returned to the point that they left off without having to navigate through all previously answered questions.

Table 8-2. Counts of 2018 Keystone Exams Online Assessments

| Grade/Subject | Total Online <br> Assessments Completed |
| :--- | ---: |
| Algebra I (Winter) | 4,348 |
| Biology (Winter) | 4,468 |
| Literature (Winter) | 3,568 |
| Algebra I (Spring) | 12,172 |
| Biology (Spring) | 14,324 |
| Literature (Spring) | 11,552 |
| Algebra (Summer) | 332 |
| Biology (Summer) | 221 |
| Literature (Summer) | 116 |

Figure 8-2 illustrates the secure transfer of online test responses between the student and DRC.
Figure 8-2. Architecture of the Student Testing Experience

## STUDENT EXPERIENCE ARCHITECTURE



## SCORING MULTIPLE-CHOICE ITEMS

For both online and paper-and-pencil modes, the scoring process included the scoring of multiple-choice (MC) items against the answer key and the aggregation of raw scores from the OE responses. A student's raw score is the actual number of points achieved for tested elements of an assessment. From the raw scores, the scale scores were calculated.

The student file was scored against the final and approved MC answer key. Items were scored as right, wrong, omitted, or double-gridded (more than one answer was bubbled for an item). Sections of the exam were evaluated as a whole, and an attempt status was determined for each student for each subject. The score program defined all data elements for reporting at the student level.

## RANGEFINDING

After student answer documents were received and processed, DRC's Performance Assessment Services (PAS) staff assembled groups of responses that exemplified the different score points for each subject. The score point ranges were represented by the following scoring guidelines:

- 0-3 item-specific scoring guidelines for Literature
- $0-4$ item-specific scoring guidelines for Algebra 1 (some items were divided into separate parts that were scored on a $0-1,0-2$, or $0-3$ point scale, but the sum of the parts always resulted in an overall score of $0-4$ for each item)
- 0-3 item-specific scoring guidelines for Biology

Responses were pulled from the embedded field test portion of the Keystone Exams for each subject. Once examples of all score points were selected for each item, sets were assembled for rangefinding and copies were made for each rangefinding participant. Rangefinding committees consisted of Pennsylvania educators, PDE staff members, DRC Test Development staff, and DRC Performance Assessment Services staff. The Algebra I and Biology rangefinding meetings were held from July 9-12 at the Sheraton Harrisburg-Hershey, Harrisburg. The Literature rangefinding meetings were held from July 9-13, also at the Sheraton Harrisburg-Hershey.

Each rangefinding meeting began in a joint session with a review of the history of the assessment as well as a discussion of the purpose of the rangefinding meeting and the role rangefinding plays within the item development process. The session then broke into subject/grade-specific committees. Sets of student responses were presented to the committees, one item at a time. Each committee initially reviewed and scored student responses as a group to ensure consistency in the interpretation of the scoring guidelines. Committee members then went on to score responses independently. For each student response, committee members' scores were discussed until a consensus was reached. Only those responses for which there was strong agreement among committee members were chosen for inclusion in training materials for DRC raters.

Discussions of student responses included the mandatory use of scoring guideline language. This ensured that committee members remained focused on the specific requirements of each score level. DRC PAS staff took notes addressing how and why the committees arrived at score point decisions, and this information was used by the scoring directors in rater training.

DRC and PDE discussed scoring guideline edits suggested by the rangefinding committees. Changes approved by PDE were incorporated into the scoring guidelines by DRC Test Development staff. The edited scoring guidelines were used in the preparation of materials and the training of raters.

## RATER RECRUITMENT/QUALIFICATIONS

DRC retains a number of raters from year to year; the overall return rate in 2018 was $54 \%$. This pool of experienced raters was drawn from to staff the scoring of the 2018 Keystone Exams. To complete the rater staffing for this project, recruiting events were held and applications for rater positions were screened by DRC's recruiting staff. Candidates were personally interviewed by DRC staff. In addition, each candidate was required to provide an ondemand writing sample, an on-demand math sample, references, and proof of a four-year college degree. In this screening process, preference was given to candidates with previous experience scoring large-scale assessments and degrees emphasizing expertise in the subjects being scored. In some locations, staffing partners were used to augment hiring using the same practices as those employed by DRC. The rater pool consisted of educators and other professionals with content-specific backgrounds. These individuals were valued for their content-specific knowledge, but were required to set aside their own biases about student performance and accept the scoring standards of the Keystone Exams.

## LEADERSHIP RECRUITMENT/QUALIFICATIONS

Scoring directors and team leaders were selected from a pool of employees who displayed expertise as raters and leaders on previous DRC projects. These individuals had strong backgrounds in mathematics, English language arts, or science, and demonstrated organizational, leadership, communication, and management skills. All scoring directors had previous leadership experience working on large scale assessments. All scoring directors, team leaders, and raters were required to sign confidentiality agreements before handling secure materials.

Each room of raters was assigned a scoring director. All handscoring activities were led by a scoring director for the duration of the project. Scoring directors also assisted in rangefinding, worked with supervisors to create training materials, conducted team leader training, and were responsible for training the raters. The scoring director made sure that handscoring reports were available in each room and interpreted those reports for the raters. The scoring director also supervised the team leaders. Scoring directors were monitored by the project managers throughout the project.

Team leaders assisted the scoring director with rater training by answering individual questions that raters may not have felt comfortable asking in a large group. Once raters were qualified, team leaders were responsible for monitoring and maintaining the accuracy and workload of each team member. Ongoing monitoring identified those individuals having difficulty maintaining accuracy. These raters received one-on-one retraining from the team leader or scoring director. Any rater who could not be successfully retrained had his/her scores purged and was released from the project.

## TRAINING

As part of preparation for the 2018 Keystone Exams, DRC's PAS staff assembled the PDE-approved scoring guidelines (rubrics) and student responses scored and approved by rangefinding committees (anchor papers) into sets used for training raters. These item-specific scoring guides served as the raters' constant reference. Responses that were relevant in terms of the scoring concepts they illustrated were annotated and included in an anchor set. The full range of each score point was clearly represented and annotated in the anchor set, which was used for reference by raters throughout the project.

Training sets and qualifying sets contained student responses consensus-scored by rangefinding committee members. Raters were instructed on how to apply the scoring guidelines and were required to demonstrate a clear comprehension of each anchor set by performing well on the associated training materials. Responses were selected for training to show raters the range of each score point (e.g., high, mid, and low 2s). Examples of 0s were also included for all items. This process helped raters recognize the various ways that a student could respond in order to earn each score point outlined and defined in the item-specific scoring guidelines.

The scoring director conducted a team leader training session before training the raters. This session followed the same procedures as rater training, but standards were more stringent due to the extra responsibilities required of team leaders. During team leader training, all pertinent training materials were reviewed and discussed. Team leaders were required to annotate all of their training materials with committee justifications from the rangefinding meetings. To facilitate scoring consistency, it was imperative that all team leaders imparted the same rationale for each training response's score. Once the team leaders were qualified, leadership responsibilities were reviewed and team assignments were given. A ratio of one team leader per 7-10 raters ensured sufficient monitoring rates for team members.

Rater training began with the scoring director providing an intensive review of the scoring guidelines and anchor papers. Next, raters practiced by independently scoring the responses in the training sets. After each training set, the scoring director led a thorough discussion of the responses. Once the scoring guidelines, anchor papers, and training sets were thoroughly discussed, each rater was required to demonstrate understanding of the scoring criteria by qualifying (i.e., scoring with acceptable agreement to the true scores) on at least one of the qualifying sets. Raters who failed to achieve at least 70 percent exact agreement on the first qualifying set were given additional, individual training. Raters who did not perform at the required level of agreement by the end of the qualifying process were not allowed to score any student responses. These individuals were removed from the pool of potential raters in DRC's imaging system and released from the project.

The 2018 assessment included the opportunity for students to respond in Spanish to Algebra 1 and Biology items. Rater training for the Spanish language response scoring was conducted at Tri-Lin Integrated Services in San Antonio, Texas, and was overseen by a DRC scoring director, who is a Spanish language speaker with a strong mathematics and science background. This individual has worked closely with the Keystone exams since they were first administered. All Spanish raters were bilingual and hired specifically to score the Spanish portion of the assessment and were required to meet the same standards set for raters of the English language version of the assessment.

Table 8-3. Qualification Rates for 2018 Keystone Open-Ended Response Items - Winter

$\left.$| Subject | \% Qualifying |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | | \% That Did Not |
| ---: |
| Qualify | \right\rvert\,

Table 8-4. Qualification Rates for 2018 Keystone Open-Ended Response Items - Spring

$\left.$| Subject | \% Qualifying |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | | \% That Did Not |
| ---: |
| Qualify | \right\rvert\,

Table 8-5. Qualification Rates for 2018 Keystone Open-Ended Response Items - Summer

$\left.$| Subject | \% Qualifying |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | | \% That Did Not |
| ---: |
| Qualify | \right\rvert\,

## HANDSCORING PROCESS

Student responses were scored independently. All responses were scored once, and ten percent of the responses were scored a second time. The data collected from the ten-percent double-read portion was used to calculate the exact and adjacent agreement rates in the Scoring Summary Reports. The responses that were used for the ten percent read behind were randomly chosen by the imaging system at the item level. Additional read behinds by the team leaders and scoring directors were done to further ensure reliability.

Raters scored the imaged student responses on PC monitors at scoring locations in Sharonville, Ohio; Columbus, Ohio; Plymouth, Minnesota; Woodbury, Minnesota; King of Prussia, Pennsylvania; Jacksonville, Florida; Indianapolis, IN; and San Antonio, Texas.

In all locations, raters were seated at tables with individual imaging stations. Image distribution was controlled, ensuring that student images were sent only to designated groups of raters qualified to score those items. Imaged student responses were electronically separated for routing to individual raters by item. Raters were only provided with student responses for items that they were qualified to score. Scores were keyed into DRC's imaging system.

To handle possible alerts (i.e., student responses indicating potential issues related to students' safety and wellbeing that sometimes require attention at the state or local level), DRC's imaging system allows raters to forward responses needing attention to the scoring director. These alerts are reviewed by project management, who then notifies the students' schools and PDE of the occurrences. PDE does not receive any identifying information about the students. At no point in the alerts process do raters, or other DRC handscoring staff, acquire any knowledge concerning a student's personal identity.

## HANDSCORING VALIDITY PROCESS

One of the training tools PAS utilized to ensure rater accuracy was the validity process. The goal of the validity process is to ensure that scoring standards are maintained. Specifically, the objective is to make sure that raters score student responses in a manner consistent with statewide standards both within a single administration of the Keystones and across consecutive administrations. In scoring the 2018 Keystone Exams, scoring consistency was maintained, in part, through the validity process.

The validity process began with the selection of scored responses. Forty validity papers were selected for each core open-ended (OE) item. These 40 papers were drawn from a pool of exemplars (responses that are representative of a particular score point and have been verified by the scoring director). The scores on validity responses are considered true scores.

The validity papers were then implemented to test rater accuracy. The responses were selected within the imaging system and dispersed intermittently to the raters. By the end of the project, raters had scored all 40 validity papers for any items they were qualified to score. Raters were unaware of when they were being dealt pre-scored validity responses and assumed that they were scoring live student responses. This helped bolster the internal validity of the process. All raters who received validity papers had already successfully completed the training/qualifying process.

The scores that the raters assigned to the validity papers were compared to the true scores in order to determine the validity of the raters' scores. For each item, the percentage of exact agreement as well as the percentage of high and low scores was computed. This data was accessed through the Validity Item Detail Report. The same sort of data was also computed for each specific rater. This data was accessed through the Validity Reader Detail Report. Both of these may be run as daily or cumulative reports.

The Validity Reader Detail Report was used to identify particular raters for retraining. If a rater on a certain day generated a lower rate of agreement on a group of validity papers, it was immediately apparent in the Validity Reader Detail Report. A lower rate of agreement was defined as anything below 70 percent exact agreement with the true scores. Any time a rater's validity agreement rate fell below 70 percent, the scoring director was cued to examine that rater's scoring. First, the scoring director attempted to ascertain what kind of validity papers the rater was scoring incorrectly. This was done to determine whether there was any sort of a trend (e.g., trending low on the $1-2$ line). Once the source of the low agreement rate was determined, the rater was retrained. If it was determined that the rater had been scoring live responses inaccurately, then his/her scores were purged for that day, and the responses were re-circulated and scored by other raters.

The cumulative Validity Item Detail Report was utilized to identify potential room-wide trends in need of correction. For instance, if a particular validity response with a true score of 3 was given a score of 2 by a significant number of raters within the room, that trend would be revealed in the Validity Item Detail Report. To correct a trend of this sort, the scoring director would look for student responses similar to the validity response being scored incorrectly. Once located, these responses would be used in room-wide re-training, usually in the form of an annotated handout or a short set of responses without printed scores given to raters as a recalibration test.

Validity was employed on all core Algebra 1, Biology, and Literature OE items. Each 40-paper validity set was formulated to mirror the score point distribution that the item generated during its previous administration. Each validity set included at least five examples of each score point. Examples of different types of responses were included to ensure that raters were tested on the full spectrum of response types.

The exact rater agreement rate generated during the validity process was often higher than the inter-rater agreement rate for the same item. The reason for this discrepancy has to do with how validity sets are formulated. The 40 validity responses for each item are intended to cover the full breadth of each score point. For example, each validity set contains examples of high, mid, and low 2 s . This scope ensures that the validity process is truly valid in terms of addressing the complete spectrum of response types. However, certain types of responses are generally not included in validity sets. These include line responses (i.e., examples of score points that are so close to the adjacent score point that raters are instructed to consult with a supervisor before assigning a score) and responses that, because of poor word choice/writing, are difficult to understand. The reason for these exclusions is that confusing/line/illegible papers often do not impart a teachable lesson. Since these types of responses are usually unique, any potential lesson the response might teach would apply only to that particular response. Conversely, the responses in validity sets are chosen because they represent common response types and teach lessons that can be applied to other similar papers. Due to this distinction, validity sets often generate a slightly higher agreement rate than is typically generated during operational scoring.

## QUALITY CONTROL

Rater accuracy was monitored throughout the scoring session by means of daily and on-demand reports. These reports ensured that an acceptable level of scoring accuracy was maintained throughout the project. Inter-rater reliability was tracked and monitored with multiple quality control reports that were reviewed by quality assurance analysts. These reports and other quality control documents were generated at the scoring centers, where they were reviewed by the scoring directors, team leaders, and project managers. The following reports and documents were used during the scoring of the open-ended items:

The Scoring Summary Report (includes two related reports).

- The Reader Monitor Report monitored how often raters were in exact agreement with one another and ensured that an acceptable agreement rate was maintained throughout the project. This report provided daily and cumulative exact and adjacent inter-rater agreement on the ten percent that was double read.
- The Score Point Distribution Report monitored the percentage of responses given each of the score points. For example, the Algebra 1 daily and cumulative reports showed what percentage of 0s, 1s, 2s, 3 s , and 4 s a rater-or room of raters-had given to all the responses scored at the time the report was produced. It also indicated the number of responses read by each rater so that production rates could be monitored.

The Item Status Report monitored the progress of handscoring. This report tracked each response and indicated the status (e.g., not read, complete, awaiting supervisor review, etc.). This report ensured that all responses were scored by the end of the project.

The Reader Score Report identified all responses scored by an individual rater. This report was useful if any responses needed rescoring due to possible rater drift.

The Validity Reports (addressed in detail on previous page) tracked how raters performed by comparing prescored responses to raters' scores for the same responses. If a rater's scoring fell below the 70 percent determined agreement rate, remediation occurred. Raters who did not retrain to the required level of agreement were released from the project. The Read-Behind Log was used by the team leader/scoring director to monitor individual rater reliability. Team leaders read randomly-selected, scored responses from each team member on a daily basis. If the team leader disagreed with a rater's score, remediation occurred. This was a particularly effective form of feedback because it was performed in real time with live student responses scored by each rater.

Recalibration Sets were used throughout the scoring sessions to ensure accuracy by comparing each rater's scores with the true scores on a pre-selected set of responses. Recalibration sets helped to refocus raters on particular Pennsylvania scoring standards. These checks made sure there was no change in the scoring pattern as the project progressed. Raters failing to achieve 70 percent agreement with the recalibration true scores were given additional training to achieve the highest degree of accuracy possible. Raters who were unable to recalibrate were released from the project. The process used for creating and administering recalibration sets was similar to the process employed for creating and administering training sets.

Table 8-6. Inter-Rater Agreement and Percentage Awarded for Each Score Point for CR Items Winter 2018

| Exam | Module | Item ID | Item <br> Part | Score Point Range | Inter-Rater Agreement \% Exact | Inter-Rater Agreement \% Adjacent | \% Validity Agreement | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \text { Os } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ 1 \mathrm{~s} \end{gathered}$ | \% | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & 3 s \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & 4 s \end{aligned}$ | \% <br> B/ NS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alg. 1 | 1 | 672731 | A | 0-2 | 99 | 1 | 98 | 82 | 3 | 4 | NA | NA | 10 |
| Alg. 1 | 1 | 672731 | B | 0-1 | 100 | 0 | 99 | 66 | 24 | NA | NA | NA | 10 |
| Alg. 1 | 1 | 672731 | C | 0-1 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 80 | 9 | NA | NA | NA | 10 |
| Alg. 1 | 1 | 724688 |  | 0-4 | 96 | 4 | 96 | 35 | 34 | 9 | 6 | 1 | 14 |
| Alg. 1 | 1 | 704029 | A | 0-1 | 99 | 1 | 99 | 76 | 10 | NA | NA | NA | 14 |
| Alg. 1 | 1 | 704029 | B | 0-1 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 50 | 36 | NA | NA | NA | 14 |
| Alg. 1 | 1 | 704029 | C | 0-1 | 98 | 2 | 99 | 39 | 45 | NA | NA | NA | 14 |
| Alg. 1 | 1 | 704029 | D | 0-1 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 50 | 35 | NA | NA | NA | 14 |
| Alg. 1 | 2 | 734699 | A | 0-1 | 99 | 1 | 100 | 34 | 54 | NA | NA | NA | 11 |
| Alg. 1 | 2 | 734699 | B | 0-1 | 99 | 1 | 99 | 81 | 7 | NA | NA | NA | 11 |
| Alg. 1 | 2 | 734699 | C | 0-1 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 75 | 14 | NA | NA | NA | 11 |
| Alg. 1 | 2 | 734699 | D | 0-1 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 88 | 1 | NA | NA | NA | 11 |
| Alg. 1 | 2 | 640130 | A | 0-2 | 99 | 1 | 99 | 46 | 5 | 36 | NA | NA | 13 |
| Alg. 1 | 2 | 640130 | B | 0-1 | 100 | 0 | 99 | 82 | 5 | NA | NA | NA | 13 |
| Alg. 1 | 2 | 640130 | C | 0-1 | 98 | 2 | 99 | 59 | 28 | NA | NA | NA | 13 |
| Alg. 1 | 2 | 640130 | MU | 0-1 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 85 | 1 | NA | NA | NA | 13 |
| Alg. 1 | 2 | 704033 | A | 0-1 | 99 | 1 | 100 | 78 | 8 | NA | NA | NA | 14 |
| Alg. 1 | 2 | 704033 | B | 0-1 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 58 | 27 | NA | NA | NA | 14 |
| Alg. 1 | 2 | 704033 | C | 0-2 | 99 | 1 | 97 | 71 | 5 | 10 | NA | NA | 14 |
| Bio. | 1 | 735493 |  | 0-3 | 92 | 8 | 90 | 51 | 24 | 9 | 3 | NA | 13 |
| Bio. | 1 | 741444 |  | 0-3 | 97 | 3 | 99 | 28 | 28 | 23 | 9 | NA | 12 |
| Bio. | 1 | 714177 |  | 0-3 | 95 | 5 | 98 | 29 | 30 | 25 | 2 | NA | 14 |
| Bio. | 2 | 678296 |  | 0-3 | 91 | 9 | 95 | 8 | 19 | 36 | 24 | NA | 13 |
| Bio. | 2 | 641227 |  | 0-3 | 95 | 5 | 91 | 66 | 7 | 4 | 2 | NA | 21 |
| Bio. | 2 | 737647 |  | 0-3 | 87 | 13 | 90 | 18 | 38 | 23 | 10 | NA | 11 |
| Lit. | 1 | 682808 |  | 0-3 | 83 | 17 | 85 | 9 | 32 | 37 | 10 | NA | 11 |
| Lit. | 1 | 644219 |  | 0-3 | 87 | 13 | 91 | 26 | 35 | 24 | 3 | NA | 12 |
| Lit. | 1 | 644220 |  | 0-3 | 83 | 17 | 87 | 26 | 31 | 21 | 5 | NA | 17 |
| Lit. | 2 | 705116 |  | 0-3 | 84 | 16 | 89 | 9 | 41 | 29 | 7 | NA | 13 |
| Lit. | 2 | 683537 |  | 0-3 | 80 | 20 | 80 | 35 | 29 | 16 | 4 | NA | 15 |
| Lit. | 2 | 683540 |  | 0-3 | 88 | 12 | 88 | 7 | 41 | 32 | 3 | NA | 18 |

Notes: $\mathrm{B}=$ blank; NS = non-scorable. NA= non-applicable. Algebra I responses received a possible total of $0-4$ points. For some Algebra I items, readers applied a single score of $0,1,2$, 3 , or 4 ; however, many Algebra I items were divided into separate parts that were scored on $0-1,0-2$, or $0-3$-point scales, the sum of which always resulted in an overall score of 0-4 points. For example, an Algebra I item might have a part A, a part B, a part C, and a part $D$, each of which was scored on a 0-1-point scale, resulting in a summed 0-4-point total score scale. Additionally, some Algebra I items with multiple parts could receive up to one point for "minimal understanding" (MU) even if the student did not receive a point, or points, for any of the item's individual parts.

Table 8-7. Inter-Rater Agreement and Percentage Awarded for Each Score Point for CR Items Spring 2018

| Exam | Module | Item ID | Item <br> Part | Score <br> Point Range | Inter-Rater Agreement \% Exact | Inter-Rater Agreement \% Adjacent | \% Validity Agreement | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Os } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ 1 \mathrm{~s} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { 2s } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { \%s } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & 4 s \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { B/ } \\ \text { NS } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alg. 1 | 1 | 818113 | A | 0-1 | 98 | 2 | 99 | 29 | 64 | NA | NA | NA | 7 |
| Alg. 1 | 1 | 818113 | B | 0-2 | 99 | 1 | 100 | 28 | 5 | 61 | NA | NA | 7 |
| Alg. 1 | 1 | 818113 | C | 0-1 | 99 | 1 | 100 | 57 | 36 | NA | NA | NA | 7 |
| Alg. 1 | 1 | 724685 | A | 0-1 | 99 | 1 | 99 | 42 | 48 | NA | NA | NA | 10 |
| Alg. 1 | 1 | 724685 | B | 0-1 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 70 | 21 | NA | NA | NA | 10 |
| Alg. 1 | 1 | 724685 | C | 0-1 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 85 | 5 | NA | NA | NA | 10 |
| Alg. 1 | 1 | 724685 | D | 0-1 | 99 | 1 | 99 | 79 | 12 | NA | NA | NA | 10 |
| Alg. 1 | 1 | 821569 |  | 0-4 | 90 | 10 | 94 | 29 | 37 | 15 | 7 | 1 | 11 |
| Alg. 1 | 2 | 734697 |  | 0-4 | 85 | 15 | 94 | 11 | 29 | 29 | 22 | 1 | 8 |
| Alg. 1 | 2 | 817339 | A | 0-1 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 43 | 49 | NA | NA | NA | 8 |
| Alg. 1 | 2 | 817339 | B | 0-1 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 45 | 47 | NA | NA | NA | 8 |
| Alg. 1 | 2 | 817339 | C | 0-1 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 66 | 26 | NA | NA | NA | 8 |
| Alg. 1 | 2 | 817339 | D | 0-1 | 100 | 0 | 99 | 91 | 1 | NA | NA | NA | 8 |
| Alg. 1 | 2 | 673354 |  | 0-4 | 91 | 9 | 92 | 28 | 30 | 8 | 16 | 9 | 10 |
| Bio. | 1 | 812685 |  | 0-3 | 93 | 7 | 95 | 35 | 22 | 28 | 4 | NA | 11 |
| Bio. | 1 | 735487 |  | 0-3 | 80 | 19 | 90 | 28 | 30 | 24 | 8 | NA | 9 |
| Bio. | 1 | 677888 |  | 0-3 | 92 | 8 | 97 | 31 | 23 | 18 | 16 | NA | 13 |
| Bio. | 2 | 809203 |  | 0-3 | 91 | 8 | 94 | 43 | 19 | 14 | 12 | NA | 13 |
| Bio. | 2 | 741581 |  | 0-3 | 91 | 9 | 96 | 26 | 22 | 21 | 13 | NA | 17 |
| Bio. | 2 | 702998 |  | 0-3 | 87 | 13 | 82 | 5 | 48 | 24 | 11 | NA | 11 |
| Lit. | 1 | 703955 |  | 0-3 | 83 | 17 | 81 | 16 | 26 | 37 | 10 | NA | 11 |
| Lit. | 1 | 824935 |  | 0-3 | 80 | 20 | 90 | 8 | 30 | 40 | 12 | NA | 10 |
| Lit. | 1 | 824936 |  | 0-3 | 79 | 21 | 84 | 9 | 29 | 36 | 13 | NA | 13 |
| Lit. | 2 | 683204 |  | 0-3 | 83 | 17 | 87 | 5 | 28 | 39 | 19 | NA | 8 |
| Lit. | 2 | 826264 |  | 0-3 | 79 | 21 | 82 | 12 | 21 | 42 | 14 | NA | 11 |
| Lit. | 2 | 826283 |  | 0-3 | 83 | 17 | 82 | 9 | 24 | 40 | 12 | NA | 14 |

Notes: B = blank; NS = non-scorable. NA= non-applicable. Algebra I responses received a possible total of 0-4 points. For some Algebra I items, readers applied a single score of $0,1,2,3$, or 4 ; however, many Algebra I items were divided into separate parts that were scored on $0-1,0-2$, or $0-3$-point scales, the sum of which always resulted in an overall score of $0-4$ points. For example, an Algebra I item might have a part A, a part B, a part C, and a part D , each of which was scored on a 0-1-point scale, resulting in a summed 0-4-point total score scale. Additionally, some Algebra I items with multiple parts could receive up to one point for "minimal understanding" (MU) even if the student did not receive a point, or points, for any of the item's individual parts.

Table 8-8. Inter-Rater Agreement and Percentage Awarded for Each Score Point for CR Items Summer 2018

| Exam | Module | Item ID | Item Part |  | Inter-Rater Agreement \% Exact | Inter-Rater Agreement \% Adjacent | \% Validity Agreement | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & \text { Os } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { 1s } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \text { \%s } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & \text { 3s } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & 4 s \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { B/ } \\ \text { NS } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alg. 1 | 1 | 795492 |  | 0-4 | 95 | 5 | 97 | 6 | 25 | 32 | 25 | 8 | 3 |
| Alg. 1 | 1 | 673351 | A | 0-1 | 100 | 0 | 99 | 26 | 70 | NA | NA | NA | 4 |
| Alg. 1 | 1 | 673351 | B | 0-1 | 99 | 1 | 99 | 72 | 24 | NA | NA | NA | 4 |
| Alg. 1 | 1 | 673351 | C | 0-1 | 99 | 1 | 100 | 54 | 42 | NA | NA | NA | 4 |
| Alg. 1 | 1 | 673351 | D | 0-1 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 62 | 34 | NA | NA | NA | 4 |
| Alg. 1 | 1 | 711789 |  | 0-4 | 91 | 9 | 96 | 52 | 37 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| Alg. 1 | 2 | 701634 | A | 0-1 | 99 | 1 | 100 | 9 | 89 | NA | NA | NA | 2 |
| Alg. 1 | 2 | 701634 | B | 0-1 | 99 | 1 | 100 | 48 | 49 | NA | NA | NA | 2 |
| Alg. 1 | 2 | 701634 | C | 0-1 | 95 | 5 | 100 | 74 | 23 | NA | NA | NA | 2 |
| Alg. 1 | 2 | 701634 | D | 0-1 | 97 | 3 | 100 | 63 | 35 | NA | NA | NA | 2 |
| Alg. 1 | 2 | 704027 |  | 0-4 | 86 | 14 | 95 | 27 | 25 | 34 | 9 | 1 | 5 |
| Alg. 1 | 2 | 714557 | A | 0-1 | 99 | 1 | 98 | 64 | 30 | NA | NA | NA | 5 |
| Alg. 1 | 2 | 714557 | B | 0-1 | 99 | 1 | 98 | 66 | 29 | NA | NA | NA | 5 |
| Alg. 1 | 2 | 714557 | C | 0-1 | 99 | 1 | 99 | 82 | 12 | NA | NA | NA | 5 |
| Alg. 1 | 2 | 714557 | D | 0-1 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 94 | 1 | NA | NA | NA | 5 |
| Bio. | 1 | 736837 |  | 0-3 | 91 | 9 | 99 | 41 | 16 | 26 | 12 | NA | 5 |
| Bio. | 1 | 741444 |  | 0-3 | 98 | 2 | 100 | 26 | 25 | 33 | 13 | NA | 4 |
| Bio. | 1 | 808704 |  | 0-3 | 97 | 3 | 98 | 33 | 56 | 3 | 5 | NA | 4 |
| Bio. | 2 | 736549 |  | 0-3 | 94 | 6 | 96 | 36 | 37 | 18 | 3 | NA | 5 |
| Bio. | 2 | 737644 |  | 0-3 | 90 | 10 | 93 | 26 | 40 | 22 | 7 | NA | 6 |
| Bio. | 2 | 810325 |  | 0-3 | 87 | 13 | 93 | 48 | 27 | 16 | 5 | NA | 5 |
| Lit. | 1 | 614660 |  | 0-3 | 85 | 15 | 92 | 6 | 31 | 53 | 8 | NA | 2 |
| Lit. | 1 | 820824 |  | 0-3 | 88 | 13 | 92 | 9 | 38 | 45 | 5 | NA | 3 |
| Lit. | 1 | 820826 |  | 0-3 | 91 | 9 | 91 | 12 | 54 | 26 | 1 | NA | 6 |
| Lit. | 2 | 824988 |  | 0-3 | 87 | 13 | 88 | 6 | 46 | 38 | 5 | NA | 5 |
| Lit. | 2 | 643189 |  | 0-3 | 83 | 17 | 92 | 9 | 36 | 39 | 9 | NA | 6 |
| Lit. | 2 | 643188 |  | 0-3 | 84 | 16 | 97 | 9 | 53 | 25 | 5 | NA | 8 |

Notes: $\mathrm{B}=$ blank; $\mathrm{NS}=$ non-scorable. $\mathrm{NA}=$ non-applicable. Algebra I responses received a possible total of 0-4 points. For some Algebra I items, readers applied a single score of 0, 1, 2, 3, or 4; however, many Algebra I items were divided into separate parts that were scored on $0-1,0-2$, or $0-3$-point scales, the sum of which always resulted in an overall score of $0-4$ points. For example, an Algebra I item might have a part A, a part B, a part C, and a part D, each of which was scored on a 0-1-point scale, resulting in a summed 0-4-point total score scale. Additionally, some Algebra I items with multiple parts could receive up to one point for "minimal understanding" $(\mathrm{MU})$ even if the student did not receive a point, or points, for any of the item's individual parts.

## CHAPTER NINE: DESCRIPTION OF DATA SOURCE

This section describes the filtering process and data sources used for the various analysis procedures discussed in the remaining sections of this report. Psychometric analyses were conducted at several points for the Winter 2017/2018, Spring 2018, and Summer 2018 Pennsylvania Keystone Exams in Algebra I, Biology, and Literature: 1) key verification analyses for quality-control purposes; 2) post-equating check; 3) item analysis and calibration of field test items embedded in the spring forms; and 4) analyses for this technical report.

## STUDENT FILTERING CRITERIA

Students' records included in all the psychometric analyses needed to meet at least the following psychometric analyses criteria:

- Module 1 Attempted Status $=1(1=$ the student attempted a minimum of five items in Module 1$)$
- Module 2 Attempted Status = 1 ( $1=$ the student attempted a minimum of five items in Module 2)
- Module 1 Invalidated $=\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{N}=$ the student's score was not invalidated)
- Module 2 Invalidated $=\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{N}=$ the student's score was not invalidated)
- Student Duplication Status $=\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{N}=$ no duplication $)$
- Module 1 Form Number = Module 2 Form Number
- Module_1_Form_Name $\neq 01 \mathrm{~V}$ (exclude the VSL form)
- Module_2_Form_Name $=01 \mathrm{~V}$ (exclude the VSL form)

For each specific analysis conducted at different times, additional criteria might be needed to filter students. For example, the following criteria were used in addition to the ones listed above for the post-equating check, since the analyses were conducted during the scoring window:

- Module 1 Complete Status = 01
- Module 2 Complete Status = 01

The value 01 represents the response string which includes scores on the multiple-choice (MC) and constructedresponse (CR) operational items. When the analyses were conducted by using the final data files, these criteria were no longer necessary since all operational CR items had been scored.

Item analysis and calibration of embedded field test items were conducted using the first-time testers only (i.e., retester $=\mathrm{N}$ ). The classical item statistics for the field test items analyzed by using the first-time testers were more comparable to the results of the Spring 2011 Keystone Exams, which were given to the first-time test takers. Students who took form 1 and with accommodations were removed from the analyses so the results can be comparable to other forms which did not provide accommodations.

Because a large number of students took the Keystone Exams, only a representative sample of students' responses on field test CR items was scored within each content area. For the item analysis of field test CR items, the following additional criteria were used to select only those who were sampled for hand-scoring:

- Module 1 CR Score Sample $=Y$
- Module 2 CR Score Sample $=Y$

For the analyses such as reliability analyses that used the final data files, the following filtering criteria were used as well. Any student with an exclusion code 00 received a scale score.

- Module 1 Exclusion Code $=00$
- Module 2 Exclusion Code $=00$


## KEY VERIFICATION DATA

The key verification data are mentioned only for completeness, as no formal results are provided in this technical document. A key verification is often conducted early in the scoring process to ensure the keys for the MC items are applied correctly. The data files used for the key verification analysis are usually (but not always) based on the student data from early-return schools. The sample representativeness is not required for this internal quality check. Available student data typically suffices as long as there is reasonable variability in the total-test scores of students. The details about the sample sizes for the winter, spring, and summer administrations can be found in Table 9-1.

## CALIBRATION OF OPERATIONAL TEST DATA

The post-equating check data included all students who met the inclusion criteria and were scored by 02/20/2018, 06/29/2018, and 08/29/2018 for the winter, spring, and summer administrations respectively. Note that the students included in the post-equating check data included those who had testing accommodations.

## FINAL DATA

The final data files were used to conduct item analyses for the operational items and analyses conducted for Chapters 16-19 in this technical report. The final data contained students' responses to both the MC and CR items. All students' responses included in the analyses met the filtering criteria. The final sample sizes (or $N$ counts) can be found in the column labeled "Final" in Table 9-1.

Table 9-1. Data Source $\boldsymbol{N}$-Counts

| Administration | Content Area | Key Verification | Post-Equating Check | Final |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Winter | Algebra | 14,976 | 53,547 | 53,759 |
| Winter | Biology | 9,662 | 40,010 | 40,370 |
| Winter | Literature | 11,102 | 35,891 | 36,078 |
| Spring | Algebra I | 58,369 | 161,066 | 161,851 |
| Spring | Biology | 39,658 | 138,308 | 139,180 |
| Spring | Literature | 40,381 | 126,607 | 127,042 |
| Summer | Algebra I | 1,295 | 1,291 | 1,302 |
| Summer | Biology | 883 | 873 | 886 |
| Summer | Literature | 407 | 400 | 407 |

## SPIRALING OF FORMS

During the administration of Keystone Exams, test forms were spiraled at the student level. The goal of spiraling is to achieve equivalent samples of students across forms so the classical statistics (e.g., p-value and pointbiserial correlation) for all the field test items can be compared. Given that the field test items were embedded in the spring administration only, the equivalence of samples was checked for the spring administration instead of all administrations. When spiraling achieves randomly equivalent samples, the forms will have equal means (within sampling error) over the operational items.

Appendix H provides summary statistics for all the spring forms for each content area exam. The tables provide the form number (Form), number of students ( $N$ ), test length in items (L), total points (Pts.), minimum (Min) score, maximum (Max) score, mean (Mean) score, median (Med) score, and standard deviation (SD). The extent to which the mean raw scores across forms are similar indicates the extent to which the student populations taking each form are of approximately equal ability. This equivalence of ability distributions across forms is the desired outcome of spiraling and allows for optimum analysis of the embedded field test items.

In Figure 9-1, the form mean raw scores are plotted (circle-shaped marker) with standard error of mean lines. For each form, the standard error of mean was computed by taking the standard deviation of all student scores (assumed as the population standard deviation divided by the square root of the form $n$-count). The mean score across all forms is indicated by the red horizontal broken line. If the three standard error band captures the horizontal line, then that suggests only random differences exist between the form mean and the population mean. This is true for all forms in all content areas.

Figure 9-1. Form Mean Scores with +/- Three Standard Error (SE) Bands


Figure 9-1 (continued). Form Mean Scores with +/- Three Standard Error (SE) Bands


Figure 9-1 (continued). Form Mean Scores with +/- Three Standard Error (SE) Bands


## CHAPTER TEN: SUMMARY DEMOGRAPHIC AND ACCOMMODATION DATA FOR SPRING 2018 KEYSTONE EXAMS

## ASSESSED STUDENTS

Students assessed on the Keystone Exams include students from public schools who are required to participate by virtue of being in the graduating class of 2020, students in a school district planning to use the Keystone Exams to meet graduation requirements, and students enrolled in Algebra I, Biology, or Literature during the 2017-2018 school year. The operational Keystone Exams were administered in both paper-and-pencil test (PPT) and computerbased test (CBT) formats.

Statistical tables and associated commentary embedded in this chapter are based on data from the Spring 2018 test administration of the Keystone Exams. There were two other administrations during the school year, each of which involved fewer students than the spring. One occurred during Winter 2017/2018 and the other in the Summer 2018. Tables summarizing results from these two administrations can be found in Appendix I.

Results for this chapter are presented in sets of tables for the three Keystone Exams administered in Spring 2018 (Algebra I, Biology, and Literature). Accompanying each numbered table is a letter (A, B, or L) to designate the content area. Tables 10-1A through 10-1L provides a summary of tests processed and scored, which are displayed separately by student grade level. The first two rows present the number processed for each administration mode (PPT and CBT). The total number of tests processed is presented on the third row. The fourth row shows the number and percentage of students with a Keystone Exam score, while the fifth row presents the number and percentage not receiving a score. Please note that the percent of students assessed (received a total score) is typically in the high 90s across grade levels.

Table 10-1A. Students Assessed on the Spring 2018 Keystone Exam: Algebra I

| Description | Other* | Gr. 6 | Gr. 7 | Gr. 8 | Gr. 9 | Gr. 10 | Gr. 11 | Gr. 12 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total number of PPT processed (Number) | 74 | 352 | 7,443 | 31,662 | 59,035 | 36,450 | 18,440 | 212 | 153,668 |
| Total number of CBT processed (Number) | 4 | 28 | 663 | 2,964 | 3,869 | 2,883 | 1,715 | 46 | 12,172 |
| Total number of tests processed (Number) | 78 | 380 | 8,106 | 34,626 | 62,904 | 39,333 | 20,155 | 258 | 165,840 |
| Total number of tests processed with a score (Number) | 74 | 380 | 8,078 | 34,416 | 60,788 | 36,880 | 17,817 | 214 | 158,647 |
| Total number of tests processed with a score (Percent) | 94.9 | 100 | 99.7 | 99.4 | 96.6 | 93.8 | 88.4 | 82.9 | 95.7 |
| Total number of tests processed without a score (Number) | 4 | 0 | 28 | 210 | 2,116 | 2,453 | 2,338 | 44 | 7,193 |
| Total number of tests processed without a score (Percent) | 5.1 | 0 | . 3 | . 6 | 3.4 | 6.2 | 11.6 | 17.1 | 4.3 |

*Other combines students coded as (1) below Grade 6, (2) ungraded, or (3) without a coded grade

Table 10-1B. Students Assessed on the Spring 2018 Keystone Exam: Biology

| Description | Other* | Gr. 8 | Gr. 9 | Gr. 10 | Gr. 11 | Gr. 12 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total number of PPT processed (Number) | 21 | 261 | 47,854 | 61,756 | 18,928 | 246 | 129,066 |
| Total number of CBT processed (Number) | 0 | 2 | 4,760 | 7,275 | 2,220 | 67 | 14,324 |
| Total number of tests processed (Number) | 21 | 263 | 52,614 | 69,031 | 21,148 | 313 | 143,390 |
| Total number of tests processed with a score (Number) | 19 | 262 | 51,360 | 66,218 | 18,565 | 253 | 136,677 |
| Total number of tests processed with a score (Percent) | 90.5 | 99.6 | 97.6 | 95.9 | 87.8 | 80.8 | 95.3 |
| Total number of tests processed without a score (Number) | 2 | 1 | 1,254 | 2,813 | 2,583 | 60 | 6,713 |
| Total number of tests processed without a score (Percent) | 9.5 | . 4 | 2.4 | 4.1 | 12.2 | 19.2 | 4.7 |

*Other combines students coded as (1) below Grade 8, (2) ungraded, or (3) without a coded grade
Table 10-1L. Students Assessed on the Spring 2018 Keystone Exam: Literature

| Description | Other* | Gr.8 | Gr.9 | Gr.10 | Gr.11 | Gr.12 | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total number of PPT <br> processed (Number) | 6 | 44 | 7,708 | 93,728 | 17,571 | 151 | 119,208 |
| Total number of CBT <br> processed (Number) | 0 | 2 | 547 | 9,013 | 1,952 | 38 | 11,552 |
| Total number of tests <br> processed (Number) | 6 | 46 | 8,255 | 102,741 | 19,523 | 189 | 130,760 |
| Total number of tests <br> processed with a score <br> (Number) | 6 | 46 | 7,593 | 99,587 | 17,140 | 163 | 124,535 |
| Total number of tests <br> processed with a score <br> (Percent) | 100 | 100 | 92 | 96.9 | 87.8 | 86.2 | 95.2 |
| Total number of tests <br> processed without a score <br> (Number) | 0 | 0 | 662 | 3,154 | 2,383 | 26 | 6,225 |
| Total number of tests <br> processed without a score <br> (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 8 | 3.1 | 12.2 | 13.8 | 4.8 |

*Other combines students coded as (1) below Grade 8, (2) ungraded, or (3) without a coded grade

## REASONS FOR STUDENT NON-ASSESSMENT

As observed from the bottom row of Table 10-1, a small percent of students were not assessed. Although there are a variety of reasons for this, the major ones pertain to:

- Extended absence from school that continued beyond the assessment window.
- Absence without make-up for at least one section of a test.
- Failure to meet the attempt criteria on one or more test modules and no exclusion code marked by school personnel. The attempt criteria required a minimum of five items to be completed in each module.
- Medical emergency.
- Parental request due to a religious reason.
- Other reasons.

The number of students without a total test score for each of these reasons is provided in Tables 10-2A through $10-2 \mathrm{~L}$. Associated with this number is the percent of the total of non-assessed students in each column (grade level) attributed to a particular reason.

Table 10-2A. Counts/Percentages of Students without Scores on the Spring 2018 Keystone Exam: Algebra I

| Reason for Non-Assessment | Other* | Gr.6 | Gr.7 | Gr.8 | Gr. 9 | Gr.10 | Gr.11 | Gr.12 | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Extended absence from school <br> (Number) | 0 | 0 | 7 | 58 | 623 | 723 | 665 | 21 | 2,097 |
| Extended absence from school <br> (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 25 | 27.6 | 29.4 | 29.5 | 28.4 | 47.7 | 29.2 |
| Non-attempt (Number) | 2 | 0 | 5 | 26 | 865 | 979 | 725 | 13 | 2,615 |
| Non-attempt (Percent) | 50 | 0 | 17.9 | 12.4 | 40.9 | 39.9 | 31 | 29.5 | 36.4 |
| Medical emergency (Number) | 0 | 0 | 8 | 55 | 115 | 107 | 56 | 0 | 341 |
| Medical emergency (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 28.6 | 26.2 | 5.4 | 4.4 | 2.4 | 0 | 4.7 |
| Parental request - Chapter 4 <br> (Number) | 1 | 0 | 4 | 26 | 97 | 152 | 225 | 1 | 506 |
| Parental request - Chapter 4 (Percent) | 25 | 0 | 14.3 | 12.4 | 4.6 | 6.2 | 9.6 | 2.3 | 7 |
| Parental request - Other reasons <br> (Number) | 0 | 0 | 4 | 29 | 180 | 189 | 232 | 3 | 637 |
| Parental request - Other reasons <br> (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 14.3 | 13.8 | 8.5 | 7.7 | 9.9 | 6.8 | 8.9 |
| Other reasons (Number) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 236 | 303 | 435 | 6 | 997 |
| Other reasons (Percent) | 25 | 0 | 0 | 7.6 | 11.2 | 12.4 | 18.6 | 13.6 | 13.9 |
| Total not assessed (Number) | 4 | 0 | 28 | 210 | 2,116 | 2,453 | 2,338 | 44 | 7,193 |

*Other combines students coded as (1) below Grade 6, (2) ungraded, or (3) without a coded grade

Table 10-2B. Counts/Percentages of Students without Scores on the Spring 2018 Keystone Exam: Biology

| Reason for Non-Assessment | Other* | Gr. 8 | Gr. 9 | Gr. 10 | Gr. 11 | Gr. 12 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Extended absence from school (Number) | 1 | 0 | 470 | 803 | 718 | 24 | 2,016 |
| Extended absence from school (Percent) | 50 | 0 | 37.5 | 28.5 | 27.8 | 40 | 30 |
| Non-attempt (Number) | 0 | 0 | 290 | 1,022 | 693 | 11 | 2,016 |
| Non-attempt (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 23.1 | 36.3 | 26.8 | 18.3 | 30 |
| Medical emergency (Number) | 0 | 0 | 92 | 147 | 59 | 1 | 299 |
| Medical emergency (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 7.3 | 5.2 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 4.5 |
| Parental request - Chapter 4 (Number) | 0 | 0 | 89 | 216 | 221 | 2 | 528 |
| Parental request - Chapter 4 (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 7.1 | 7.7 | 8.6 | 3.3 | 7.9 |
| Parental request - Other reasons (Number) | 0 | 1 | 142 | 189 | 329 | 11 | 672 |
| Parental request - Other reasons (Percent) | 0 | 100 | 11.3 | 6.7 | 12.7 | 18.3 | 10 |
| Other reasons (Number) | 1 | 0 | 171 | 436 | 563 | 11 | 1,182 |
| Other reasons (Percent) | 50 | 0 | 13.6 | 15.5 | 21.8 | 18.3 | 17.6 |
| Total not assessed (Number) | 2 | 1 | 1,254 | 2,813 | 2,583 | 60 | 6,713 |

*Other combines students coded as (1) below Grade 8, (2) ungraded, or (3) without a coded grade

Table 10-2L. Counts/Percentages of Students without Scores on the Spring 2018 Keystone Exam: Literature

| Reason for Non-Assessment | Other* | Gr.8 | Gr.9 | Gr.10 | Gr.11 | Gr.12 | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Extended absence from school <br> (Number) | 0 | 0 | 291 | 941 | 733 | 7 | 1,972 |
| Extended absence from school <br> (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 44 | 29.8 | 30.8 | 26.9 | 31.7 |
| Non-attempt (Number) | 0 | 0 | 136 | 1,114 | 665 | 6 | 1,921 |
| Non-attempt (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 20.5 | 35.3 | 27.9 | 23.1 | 30.9 |
| ELL in first year in U.S. schools <br> (Number) | 0 | 0 | 5 | 64 | 56 | 0 | 125 |
| ELL in first year in U.S. schools <br> (Percent) | 0 | 0 | .8 | 2 | 2.3 | 0 | 2 |
| Medical emergency (Number) | 0 | 0 | 22 | 209 | 50 | 0 | 281 |
| Medical emergency (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 3.3 | 6.6 | 2.1 | 0 | 4.5 |
| Parental request - Chapter 4 <br> (Number) | 0 | 0 | 9 | 244 | 245 | 2 | 500 |
| Parental request - Chapter 4 (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 1.4 | 7.7 | 10.3 | 7.7 | 8 |
| Parental request - Other reasons <br> (Number) | 0 | 0 | 59 | 187 | 194 | 7 | 447 |
| Parental request - Other reasons <br> (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 8.9 | 5.9 | 8.1 | 26.9 | 7.2 |
| Other reasons (Number) | 0 | 0 | 140 | 395 | 440 | 4 | 979 |
| Other reasons (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 21.1 | 12.5 | 18.5 | 15.4 | 15.7 |
| Total not assessed (Number) | 0 | 0 | 662 | 3,154 | 2,383 | 26 | 6,225 |

*Other combines students coded as (1) below Grade 8, (2) ungraded, or (3) without a coded grade

## DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS OF STUDENTS RECEIVING TEST SCORES

## COMPOSITION OF SAMPLE USED IN SUBSEQUENT TABLES

The following state summary statistic data analyses were completed using the final individual student data file containing records from the Spring 2018 administration, which was provided to the Pennsylvania Department of Education in July 2018. State summary statistics were based on students who received a total test score on the Spring 2018 administration with the exception of students who attended non-public schools or those who were home schooled. Also, students who took the non-Keystone Proficient assessments were excluded.

Demographic data for students taking the Keystone Exams is presented separately for each course (Tables 10-3A, 10-3B, 10-3L). Results for accommodations received were collected separately by course and are presented in separate tables as well. For example, tables involving accommodations for Biology are found in Tables 10-4B, $10-5 B, 10-6 B$, and 10-7B. Similar data from the Winter 2017/2018 and Summer 2018 test administrations can be found in Appendix I.

## COLLECTION OF STUDENT DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION

Data for analyses involving demographic characteristics were obtained primarily from information supplied by school district personnel through the Pennsylvania Information Management System (PIMS) and subsequently transmitted to DRC. Some data such as accommodation information are recorded by school personnel directly on the student answer document (PPT) or in eDIRECT Test Setup (CBT) at the time a Keystone Exam is administered.

## DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS

Frequency data for each demographic category is presented in Tables 10-3A through 10-3L. Data is presented by grade level with PPT and CBT formats combined into a single composite. Shown at the bottom of the appropriate table is the number of assessed students contributing to summary statistics on which the column percentages are based.

Table 10-3A. Demographic Characteristics of Students taking the Spring 2018 Keystone Exam: Algebra I

| Demographic or Educational Characteristic | Other* | Gr. 6 | Gr. 7 | Gr. 8 | Gr. 9 | Gr. 10 | Gr. 11 | Gr. 12 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female (Number) | 8 | 121 | 3,691 | 18,150 | 30,346 | 17,499 | 8,495 | 88 | 78,398 |
| Female (Percent) | 10.8 | 31.8 | 45.7 | 52.7 | 49.9 | 47.4 | 47.7 | 41.1 | 49.4 |
| Male (Number) | 17 | 258 | 4,381 | 16,231 | 30,433 | 19,377 | 9,316 | 125 | 80,138 |
| Male (Percent) | 23 | 67.9 | 54.2 | 47.2 | 50.1 | 52.5 | 52.3 | 58.4 | 50.5 |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native (not Hispanic) (Number) | 0 | 0 | 4 | 43 | 111 | 60 | 34 | 2 | 254 |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native (not Hispanic) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 1 | . 2 | . 2 | . 2 | . 9 | . 2 |
| Asian (not Hispanic) (Number) | 8 | 122 | 1,020 | 2,009 | 1,849 | 827 | 375 | 4 | 6,214 |
| Asian (not Hispanic) (Percent) | 10.8 | 32.1 | 12.6 | 5.8 | 3 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 3.9 |
| Black or African American (not Hispanic) (Number) | 1 | 3 | 183 | 2,045 | 10,435 | 8,007 | 4,146 | 70 | 24,890 |
| Black or African American (not Hispanic) (Percent) | 1.4 | . 8 | 2.3 | 5.9 | 17.2 | 21.7 | 23.3 | 32.7 | 15.7 |
| Hispanic (any race) (Number) | 2 | 8 | 273 | 1,788 | 7,624 | 6,245 | 3,241 | 40 | 19,221 |
| Hispanic (any race) (Percent) | 2.7 | 2.1 | 3.4 | 5.2 | 12.5 | 16.9 | 18.2 | 18.7 | 12.1 |
| Multi-Racial (not Hispanic) (Number) | 0 | 18 | 241 | 895 | 1,955 | 1,104 | 527 | 8 | 4,748 |
| Multi-Racial (not Hispanic) (Percent) | 0 | 4.7 | 3 | 2.6 | 3.2 | 3 | 3 | 3.7 | 3 |
| White (not Hispanic) (Number) | 14 | 228 | 6,347 | 27,555 | 38,748 | 20,593 | 9,471 | 88 | 103,044 |
| White (not Hispanic) (Percent) | 18.9 | 60 | 78.6 | 80.1 | 63.7 | 55.8 | 53.2 | 41.1 | 65 |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander (not Hispanic) (Number) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 41 | 55 | 39 | 18 | 1 | 156 |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander (not Hispanic) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 1 | . 1 | . 1 | . 1 | . 5 | . 1 |
| IEP (not gifted) (Number) | 3 | 11 | 152 | 1,143 | 8,990 | 9,159 | 5,176 | 88 | 24,722 |
| IEP (not gifted) (Percent) | 4.1 | 2.9 | 1.9 | 3.3 | 14.8 | 24.8 | 29.1 | 41.1 | 15.6 |
| Student exited IEP in last 2 years (Number) | 0 | 9 | 172 | 665 | 1,096 | 520 | 243 | 2 | 2,707 |
| Student exited IEP in last 2 years (Percent) | 0 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.4 | . 9 | 1.7 |
| Title I (Number) | 4 | 22 | 640 | 4,370 | 10,612 | 9,499 | 5,273 | 110 | 30,530 |
| Title I (Percent) | 5.4 | 5.8 | 7.9 | 12.7 | 17.5 | 25.8 | 29.6 | 51.4 | 19.2 |
| Title III served (Number) | 0 | 0 | 9 | 170 | 2,192 | 2,500 | 1,551 | 31 | 6,453 |
| Title III served (Percent) | 0 | 0 | . 1 | . 5 | 3.6 | 6.8 | 8.7 | 14.5 | 4.1 |
| Title III not served (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Title III not served (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Migrant student (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 76 | 140 | 82 | 3 | 311 |
| Migrant student (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 1 | . 4 | . 5 | 1.4 | . 2 |
| EL enrolled first year (Number) | 1 | 0 | 5 | 43 | 547 | 487 | 402 | 15 | 1,500 |
| EL enrolled first year (Percent) | 1.4 | 0 | . 1 | . 1 | . 9 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 7 | . 9 |

Table 10-3A (continued). Demographic Characteristics of Students taking the Spring 2018 Keystone Exam: Algebra

| Demographic or Educational Characteristic | Other* | Gr. 6 | Gr. 7 | Gr. 8 | Gr. 9 | Gr. 10 | Gr. 11 | Gr. 12 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EL enrolled not first year (Number) | 1 | 0 | 4 | 147 | 1,773 | 2,100 | 1,198 | 26 | 5,249 |
| EL enrolled not first year (Percent) | 1.4 | 0 | 0 | . 4 | 2.9 | 5.7 | 6.7 | 12.1 | 3.3 |
| Exited ESL/bilingual program and in first year of monitoring (Number) | 0 | 0 | 5 | 28 | 107 | 105 | 57 | 2 | 304 |
| Exited ESL/bilingual program and in first year of monitoring (Percent) | 0 | 0 | . 1 | . 1 | . 2 | . 3 | . 3 | . 9 | . 2 |
| Exited ESL/bilingual program and in 2nd year of monitoring (Number) | 0 | 1 | 16 | 87 | 145 | 59 | 55 | 0 | 363 |
| Exited ESL/bilingual program and in 2nd year of monitoring (Percent) | 0 | . 3 | . 2 | . 3 | . 2 | . 2 | . 3 | 0 | . 2 |
| Former EL no longer monitored (Number) | 0 | 5 | 117 | 688 | 1,239 | 828 | 338 | 2 | 3,217 |
| Former EL no longer monitored (Percent) | 0 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 2 | 2 | 2.2 | 1.9 | . 9 | 2 |
| LIFE first year (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 11 | 14 | 0 | 41 |
| LIFE first year (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 1 | 0 | 0 |
| LIFE not first year (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 39 | 27 | 0 | 89 |
| LIFE not first year (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 1 | . 2 | 0 | . 1 |
| Former EL exited and in 3rd year of monitoring (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Former EL exited and in 3rd year of monitoring (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Former EL exited and in 4th year of monitoring (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Former EL exited and in 4th year of monitoring (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Foreign exchange student (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 18 |
| Foreign exchange student (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 5 | 0 |
| Economically disadvantaged (Number) | 3 | 17 | 1,067 | 9,462 | 30,481 | 22,659 | 11,030 | 172 | 74,891 |
| Economically disadvantaged (Percent) | 4.1 | 4.5 | 13.2 | 27.5 | 50.1 | 61.4 | 61.9 | 80.4 | 47.2 |
| Historically Underperforming Subgroup (Number) | 7 | 28 | 1,192 | 10,194 | 34,835 | 26,470 | 13,142 | 193 | 86,061 |
| Historically Underperforming Subgroup (Percent) | 9.5 | 7.4 | 14.8 | 29.6 | 57.3 | 71.8 | 73.8 | 90.2 | 54.2 |
| Enrollment in school of residence after Oct 1 (Number) | 0 | 2 | 42 | 442 | 2,910 | 2,233 | 1,423 | 41 | 7,093 |
| Enrollment in school of residence after Oct 1 (Percent) | 0 | . 5 | . 5 | 1.3 | 4.8 | 6.1 | 8 | 19.2 | 4.5 |
| Enrollment in district of residence after Oct 1 (Number) | 0 | 2 | 33 | 350 | 2,170 | 1,774 | 1,228 | 32 | 5,589 |

Table 10-3A (continued). Demographic Characteristics of Students taking the Spring 2018 Keystone Exam: Algebra

| Demographic or Educational Characteristic | Other* | Gr. 6 | Gr. 7 | Gr. 8 | Gr. 9 | Gr. 10 | Gr. 11 | Gr. 12 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Enrollment in district of residence after Oct 1 (Percent) | 0 | . 5 | . 4 | 1 | 3.6 | 4.8 | 6.9 | 15 | 3.5 |
| Enrollment as PA resident after Oct 1 (Number) | 0 | 1 | 24 | 191 | 1,278 | 964 | 686 | 17 | 3,161 |
| Enrollment as PA resident after Oct 1 (Percent) | 0 | . 3 | . 3 | . 6 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 3.9 | 7.9 | 2 |
| Enrollment in school of residence after previous Oct 1 but on/before current Oct 1 (Number) | 3 | 188 | 1,708 | 4,011 | 27,175 | 9,232 | 3,057 | 64 | 45,438 |
| Enrollment in school of residence after previous Oct 1 but on/before current Oct 1 (Percent) | 4.1 | 49.5 | 21.1 | 11.7 | 44.7 | 25 | 17.2 | 29.9 | 28.6 |
| Enrollment in district of residence after previous Oct 1 but on/before current 0ct 1 (Number) | 1 | 23 | 211 | 1,102 | 7,859 | 3,846 | 2,065 | 56 | 15,163 |
| Enrollment in district of residence after previous Oct 1 but on/before current Oct 1 (Percent) | 1.4 | 6.1 | 2.6 | 3.2 | 12.9 | 10.4 | 11.6 | 26.2 | 9.6 |
| Military family (Number) | 0 | 1 | 24 | 93 | 195 | 141 | 54 | 1 | 509 |
| Military family (Percent) | 0 | . 3 | . 3 | . 3 | . 3 | . 4 | . 3 | . 5 | . 3 |
| Homeless (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeless (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Foster (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Foster (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Home schooled (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Home schooled (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Court/agency placed (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 30 | 39 | 16 | 110 |
| Court/agency placed (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 1 | . 2 | 7.5 | . 1 |
| Number of assessed students (Number) | 74 | 380 | 8,078 | 34,416 | 60,788 | 36,880 | 17,817 | 214 | 158,647 |

*Other combines students coded as (1) below Grade 6, (2) ungraded, or (3) without a coded grade

Table 10-3B. Demographic Characteristics of Students Taking the Spring 2018 Keystone Exam: Biology

| Demographic or Educational Characteristic | Other* | Gr. 8 | Gr. 9 | Gr. 10 | Gr. 11 | Gr. 12 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female (Number) | 3 | 127 | 26,413 | 32,026 | 9,026 | 94 | 67,689 |
| Female (Percent) | 15.8 | 48.5 | 51.4 | 48.4 | 48.6 | 37.2 | 49.5 |
| Male (Number) | 2 | 135 | 24,940 | 34,182 | 9,535 | 159 | 68,953 |
| Male (Percent) | 10.5 | 51.5 | 48.6 | 51.6 | 51.4 | 62.8 | 50.4 |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native (not Hispanic) (Number) | 0 | 0 | 70 | 100 | 33 | 2 | 205 |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native (not Hispanic) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | . 1 | . 2 | . 2 | . 8 | . 1 |
| Asian (not Hispanic) (Number) | 1 | 50 | 2,804 | 2,035 | 431 | 9 | 5,330 |
| Asian (not Hispanic) (Percent) | 5.3 | 19.1 | 5.5 | 3.1 | 2.3 | 3.6 | 3.9 |
| Black or African American (not Hispanic) (Number) | 0 | 3 | 5,293 | 10,428 | 3,918 | 94 | 19,736 |
| Black or African American (not Hispanic) (Percent) | 0 | 1.1 | 10.3 | 15.7 | 21.1 | 37.2 | 14.4 |
| Hispanic (any race) (Number) | 0 | 4 | 3,747 | 8,208 | 3,333 | 41 | 15,333 |
| Hispanic (any race) (Percent) | 0 | 1.5 | 7.3 | 12.4 | 18 | 16.2 | 11.2 |
| Multi-Racial (not Hispanic) (Number) | 0 | 8 | 1,435 | 1,774 | 530 | 12 | 3,759 |
| Multi-Racial (not Hispanic) (Percent) | 0 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 4.7 | 2.8 |
| White (not Hispanic) (Number) | 4 | 197 | 37,955 | 43,597 | 10,300 | 94 | 92,147 |
| White (not Hispanic) (Percent) | 21.1 | 75.2 | 73.9 | 65.8 | 55.5 | 37.2 | 67.4 |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander (not Hispanic) (Number) | 0 | 0 | 47 | 66 | 16 | 1 | 130 |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander (not Hispanic) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | . 1 | . 1 | . 1 | . 4 | . 1 |
| IEP (not gifted) (Number) | 0 | 7 | 5,184 | 11,858 | 4,447 | 78 | 21,574 |
| IEP (not gifted) (Percent) | 0 | 2.7 | 10.1 | 17.9 | 24 | 30.8 | 15.8 |
| Student exited IEP in last 2 years (Number) | 0 | 5 | 891 | 931 | 239 | 0 | 2,066 |
| Student exited IEP in last 2 years (Percent) | 0 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 0 | 1.5 |
| Title I (Number) | 2 | 52 | 7,162 | 12,281 | 5,055 | 125 | 24,677 |
| Title I (Percent) | 10.5 | 19.8 | 13.9 | 18.5 | 27.2 | 49.4 | 18.1 |
| Title III served (Number) | 0 | 4 | 710 | 2,732 | 1,508 | 30 | 4,984 |
| Title III served (Percent) | 0 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 4.1 | 8.1 | 11.9 | 3.6 |
| Title III not served (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Title III not served (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Migrant student (Number) | 0 | 0 | 22 | 150 | 76 | 1 | 249 |
| Migrant student (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 2 | . 4 | . 4 | . 2 |
| EL enrolled first year (Number) | 0 | 0 | 151 | 528 | 398 | 7 | 1,084 |
| EL enrolled first year (Percent) | 0 | 0 | . 3 | . 8 | 2.1 | 2.8 | . 8 |
| EL enrolled not first year (Number) | 0 | 4 | 617 | 2,302 | 1,153 | 26 | 4,102 |
| EL enrolled not first year (Percent) | 0 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 3.5 | 6.2 | 10.3 | 3 |
| Exited ESL/bilingual program and in first year of monitoring (Number) | 0 | 0 | 74 | 146 | 55 | 0 | 275 |

Table 10-3B (continued). Demographic Characteristics of Students Taking the Spring 2018 Keystone Exam: Biology

| Demographic or Educational Characteristic | Other* | Gr. 8 | Gr. 9 | Gr. 10 | Gr. 11 | Gr. 12 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exited ESL/bilingual program and in first year of monitoring (Percent) | 0 | 0 | . 1 | . 2 | . 3 | 0 | . 2 |
| Exited ESL/bilingual program and in 2nd year of monitoring (Number) | 0 | 1 | 128 | 131 | 69 | 0 | 329 |
| Exited ESL/bilingual program and in 2nd year of monitoring (Percent) | 0 | . 4 | . 2 | . 2 | . 4 | 0 | . 2 |
| Former EL no longer monitored (Number) | 0 | 10 | 1,090 | 1,472 | 376 | 1 | 2,949 |
| Former EL no longer monitored (Percent) | 0 | 3.8 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2 | . 4 | 2.2 |
| LIFE first year (Number) | 0 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 14 | 0 | 27 |
| LIFE first year (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 1 | 0 | 0 |
| LIFE not first year (Number) | 0 | 0 | 13 | 50 | 23 | 0 | 86 |
| LIFE not first year (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 1 | . 1 | 0 | . 1 |
| Former EL exited and in 3rd year of monitoring (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Former EL exited and in 3rd year of monitoring (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Former EL exited and in 4th year of monitoring (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Former EL exited and in 4th year of monitoring (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Foreign exchange student (Number) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 14 | 0 | 28 |
| Foreign exchange student (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Economically disadvantaged (Number) | 0 | 54 | 18,241 | 32,440 | 10,899 | 195 | 61,829 |
| Economically disadvantaged (Percent) | 0 | 20.6 | 35.5 | 49 | 58.7 | 77.1 | 45.2 |
| Historically Underperforming Subgroup (Number) | 0 | 59 | 20,760 | 37,581 | 12,754 | 212 | 71,366 |
| Historically Underperforming Subgroup (Percent) | 0 | 22.5 | 40.4 | 56.8 | 68.7 | 83.8 | 52.2 |
| Enrollment in school of residence after Oct 1 (Number) | 0 | 2 | 1,329 | 2,784 | 1,465 | 42 | 5,622 |
| Enrollment in school of residence after Oct 1 (Percent) | 0 | . 8 | 2.6 | 4.2 | 7.9 | 16.6 | 4.1 |
| Enrollment in district of residence after Oct 1 (Number) | 0 | 2 | 1,066 | 2,223 | 1,252 | 32 | 4,575 |
| Enrollment in district of residence after Oct 1 (Percent) | 0 | . 8 | 2.1 | 3.4 | 6.7 | 12.6 | 3.3 |
| Enrollment as PA resident after Oct 1 (Number) | 0 | 0 | 596 | 1,151 | 698 | 18 | 2,463 |
| Enrollment as PA resident after Oct 1 (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 3.8 | 7.1 | 1.8 |
| Enrollment in school of residence after previous Oct 1 but on/before current Oct 1 (Number) | 1 | 47 | 20,438 | 13,295 | 3,208 | 83 | 37,072 |
| Enrollment in school of residence after previous Oct 1 but on/before current Oct 1 (Percent) | 5.3 | 17.9 | 39.8 | 20.1 | 17.3 | 32.8 | 27.1 |
| Enrollment in district of residence after previous Oct 1 but on/before current Oct 1 (Number) | 1 | 15 | 4,744 | 5,021 | 2,114 | 55 | 11,950 |
| Enrollment in district of residence after previous Oct 1 but on/before current Oct 1 (Percent) | 5.3 | 5.7 | 9.2 | 7.6 | 11.4 | 21.7 | 8.7 |
| Military family (Number) | 0 | 0 | 119 | 266 | 65 | 1 | 451 |
| Military family (Percent) | 0 | 0 | . 2 | . 4 | . 4 | . 4 | . 3 |
| Homeless (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeless (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 10-3B (continued). Demographic Characteristics of Students Taking the Spring 2018 Keystone Exam: Biology

| Demographic or Educational Characteristic | Other* | Gr. 8 | Gr. 9 | Gr. 10 | Gr. 11 | Gr. 12 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Foster (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Foster (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Home schooled (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Home schooled (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Court/agency placed (Number) | 0 | 0 | 12 | 33 | 33 | 19 | 97 |
| Court/agency placed (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 2 | 7.5 | . 1 |
| Number of assessed students (Number) | 19 | 262 | 51,360 | 66,218 | 18,565 | 253 | 136,677 |

*Other combines students coded as (1) below Grade 8, (2) ungraded, or (3) without a coded grade

Table 10-3L. Demographic Characteristics of Students taking the Spring 2018 Keystone Exam: Literature

| Demographic or Educational Characteristic | Other* | Gr. 8 | Gr. 9 | Gr. 10 | Gr. 11 | Gr. 12 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female (Number) | 1 | 28 | 3,752 | 49,098 | 7,168 | 58 | 60,105 |
| Female (Percent) | 16.7 | 60.9 | 49.4 | 49.3 | 41.8 | 35.6 | 48.3 |
| Male (Number) | 0 | 18 | 3,839 | 50,485 | 9,967 | 105 | 64,414 |
| Male (Percent) | 0 | 39.1 | 50.6 | 50.7 | 58.2 | 64.4 | 51.7 |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native (not Hispanic) (Number) | 0 | 0 | 14 | 134 | 43 | 2 | 193 |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native (not Hispanic) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | . 2 | . 1 | . 3 | 1.2 | . 2 |
| Asian (not Hispanic) (Number) | 0 | 0 | 334 | 4,132 | 491 | 6 | 4,963 |
| Asian (not Hispanic) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 4.4 | 4.1 | 2.9 | 3.7 | 4 |
| Black or African American (not Hispanic) (Number) | 0 | 13 | 1,536 | 12,911 | 3,677 | 47 | 18,184 |
| Black or African American (not Hispanic) (Percent) | 0 | 28.3 | 20.2 | 13 | 21.5 | 28.8 | 14.6 |
| Hispanic (any race) (Number) | 0 | 1 | 763 | 9,320 | 2,907 | 27 | 13,018 |
| Hispanic (any race) (Percent) | 0 | 2.2 | 10 | 9.4 | 17 | 16.6 | 10.5 |
| Multi-Racial (not Hispanic) (Number) | 0 | 4 | 208 | 2,520 | 500 | 11 | 3,243 |
| Multi-Racial (not Hispanic) (Percent) | 0 | 8.7 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 6.7 | 2.6 |
| White (not Hispanic) (Number) | 1 | 28 | 4,727 | 70,473 | 9,502 | 70 | 84,801 |
| White (not Hispanic) (Percent) | 16.7 | 60.9 | 62.3 | 70.8 | 55.4 | 42.9 | 68.1 |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander (not Hispanic) (Number) | 0 | 0 | 9 | 93 | 15 | 0 | 117 |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander (not Hispanic) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | . 1 | . 1 | . 1 | 0 | . 1 |
| IEP (not gifted) (Number) | 0 | 3 | 1,112 | 14,158 | 5,093 | 60 | 20,426 |
| IEP (not gifted) (Percent) | 0 | 6.5 | 14.6 | 14.2 | 29.7 | 36.8 | 16.4 |
| Student exited IEP in last 2 years (Number) | 0 | 2 | 89 | 1,511 | 243 | 0 | 1,845 |
| Student exited IEP in last 2 years (Percent) | 0 | 4.3 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 0 | 1.5 |
| Title I (Number) | 0 | 34 | 1,723 | 15,024 | 4,854 | 94 | 21,729 |
| Title I (Percent) | 0 | 73.9 | 22.7 | 15.1 | 28.3 | 57.7 | 17.4 |
| Title III served (Number) | 0 | 0 | 214 | 2,333 | 1,521 | 12 | 4,080 |
| Title III served (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 8.9 | 7.4 | 3.3 |
| Title III not served (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Title Ill not served (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Migrant student (Number) | 0 | 0 | 5 | 112 | 74 | 0 | 191 |
| Migrant student (Percent) | 0 | 0 | . 1 | . 1 | . 4 | 0 | . 2 |
| EL enrolled first year (Number) | 0 | 0 | 38 | 281 | 244 | 4 | 567 |
| EL enrolled first year (Percent) | 0 | 0 | . 5 | . 3 | 1.4 | 2.5 | . 5 |
| EL enrolled not first year (Number) | 0 | 0 | 190 | 2,145 | 1,330 | 16 | 3,681 |
| EL enrolled not first year (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 7.8 | 9.8 | 3 |
| Exited ESL/bilingual program and in first year of monitoring (Number) | 0 | 0 | 21 | 165 | 61 | 3 | 250 |

Table 10-3L (continued). Demographic Characteristics of Students taking the Spring 2018 Keystone Exam: Literature

| Demographic or Educational Characteristic | Other* | Gr. | Gr. 9 | Gr. 10 | Gr. 11 | Gr. 12 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exited ESL/bilingual program and in first year of monitoring (Percent) | 0 | 0 | . 3 | . 2 | . 4 | 1.8 | . 2 |
| Exited ESL/bilingual program and in 2nd year of monitoring (Number) | 0 | 0 | 27 | 195 | 73 | 0 | 295 |
| Exited ESL/bilingual program and in 2nd year of monitoring (Percent) | 0 | 0 | . 4 | . 2 | . 4 | 0 | . 2 |
| Former EL no longer monitored (Number) | 0 | 0 | 141 | 2,219 | 311 | 1 | 2,672 |
| Former EL no longer monitored (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 1.8 | . 6 | 2.1 |
| LIFE first year (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 9 |
| LIFE first year (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LIFE not first year (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 29 | 0 | 69 |
| LIFE not first year (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 2 | 0 | . 1 |
| Former EL exited and in 3rd year of monitoring (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Former EL exited and in 3rd year of monitoring (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Former EL exited and in 4th year of monitoring (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Former EL exited and in 4th year of monitoring (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Foreign exchange student (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 14 | 0 | 31 |
| Foreign exchange student (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Economically disadvantaged (Number) | 0 | 34 | 3,686 | 41,949 | 10,136 | 128 | 55,933 |
| Economically disadvantaged (Percent) | 0 | 73.9 | 48.5 | 42.1 | 59.1 | 78.5 | 44.9 |
| Historically Underperforming Subgroup (Number) | 0 | 36 | 4,091 | 48,301 | 12,264 | 143 | 64,835 |
| Historically Underperforming Subgroup (Percent) | 0 | 78.3 | 53.9 | 48.5 | 71.6 | 87.7 | 52.1 |
| Enrollment in school of residence after Oct 1 (Number) | 0 | 1 | 350 | 3,195 | 1,332 | 30 | 4,908 |
| Enrollment in school of residence after Oct 1 (Percent) | 0 | 2.2 | 4.6 | 3.2 | 7.8 | 18.4 | 3.9 |
| Enrollment in district of residence after Oct 1 (Number) | 0 | 1 | 283 | 2,603 | 1,122 | 26 | 4,035 |
| Enrollment in district of residence after Oct 1 (Percent) | 0 | 2.2 | 3.7 | 2.6 | 6.5 | 16 | 3.2 |
| Enrollment as PA resident after Oct 1 (Number) | 0 | 1 | 154 | 1,351 | 597 | 14 | 2,117 |
| Enrollment as PA resident after Oct 1 (Percent) | 0 | 2.2 | 2 | 1.4 | 3.5 | 8.6 | 1.7 |
| Enrollment in school of residence after previous Oct 1 but on/before current Oct 1 (Number) | 0 | 1 | 2,792 | 16,911 | 2,819 | 53 | 22,576 |
| Enrollment in school of residence after previous Oct 1 but on/before current 0ct 1 (Percent) | 0 | 2.2 | 36.8 | 17 | 16.4 | 32.5 | 18.1 |
| Enrollment in district of residence after previous Oct 1 but on/before current Oct 1 (Number) | 0 | 1 | 817 | 5,899 | 1,891 | 44 | 8,652 |
| Enrollment in district of residence after previous Oct 1 but on/before current 0ct 1 (Percent) | 0 | 2.2 | 10.8 | 5.9 | 11 | 27 | 6.9 |
| Military family (Number) | 0 | 1 | 9 | 350 | 34 | 0 | 394 |
| Military family (Percent) | 0 | 2.2 | . 1 | . 4 | . 2 | 0 | . 3 |
| Homeless (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeless (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 10-3L (continued). Demographic Characteristics of Students taking the Spring 2018 Keystone Exam: Literature

| Demographic or Educational Characteristic | Other* | Gr.8 | Gr. | Gr. 10 | Gr. 11 | Gr. 12 | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Foster (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Foster (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Home schooled (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Home schooled (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Court/agency placed (Number) | 0 | 1 | 49 | 42 | 36 | 18 | 146 |
| Court/agency placed (Percent) | 0 | 2.2 | .6 | 0 | .2 | 11 | .1 |
| Number of assessed students (Number) | 6 | 46 | 7,593 | 99,587 | 17,140 | 163 | 124,535 |

*Other combines students coded as (1) below Grade 8, (2) ungraded, or (3) without a coded grade

## PARTICIPATION BY ADMINISTRATION MODE

The number of students assessed by the two administration modes, paper/pencil test (PPT) or a computer-based test (CBT), was as follows (see Tables 10-4A through 10-4L):

- PPT: Algebra I $(149,962)$, Biology $(122,614)$, and Literature $(114,714)$
- $\quad$ CBT: Algebra I $(13,870)$, Biology $(17,000)$, and Literature $(12,893)$


## TEST ACCOMMODATIONS PROVIDED

School personnel supplied information regarding accommodations that a student may have received while taking the Keystone Exams. Accommodations are classified in terms of presentation, response, setting, and timing to enable students to better manage disabilities that hinder their ability to learn and respond to assessments. An accommodations manual entitled, Accommodations Guidelines: Keystone Exams and PSSA (PDE, revised 9/2017), was updated for use with the 2018 PSSA and Keystone Exams. This manual may be found on the PDE website at www.education.pa.gov. You can find the document by typing the manual title in the search box. A glossary of accommodation terms as applied to the Keystone Exams is provided in Table 10-10 at the end of this chapter.

The frequency with which accommodations were utilized for PPT and CBT formats is summarized separately for each course exam in Tables 10-4A through 10-7L. Tabled values are based on all students whose scores contributed to state summary statistics in a given Keystone Exam. Because of the very small incidence of usage of many accommodations, combined with the fact that a number of accommodations are primarily accessed by only one of the two administration modes, meaningful comparisons between modes are rather limited. In the following tables, an NA denotes those instances in which a particular accommodation does not apply to one of the testing modes..

## PRESENTATION ACCOMMODATIONS RECEIVED

Presentation accommodations are those that provide alternate ways for students to access and process printed instructional material and assessments. These include auditory, tactile, visual, and combined auditory/visual modes of presentation. The number of presentation accommodations provided in the 2018 Keystone Exams varied by content area and test administration mode as follows:

- PPT: Algebra I, 12; Biology, 12; and Literature, 7
- CBT: Algebra I, 13; Biology, 13; and Literature, 7

As depicted in Tables 10-4A through 10-4L, the actual frequencies were quite low. The most notable exceptions, applicable to Algebra I and Biology only, were "All items/questions read aloud" and "Some items/questions read aloud." Among accommodations specific to CBT, the use of audio was the most frequent. Although included in the table data, the Spanish version (Algebra I and Biology; PPT only) is not included in the counts listed above.

## RESPONSE ACCOMMODATIONS RECEIVED

Response accommodations permit students to complete assignments, tests, and activities in different ways and to solve or organize problems using some type of assistive device or organizer. The number of response accommodations provided on the Spring 2018 Keystone Exams varied by subject as follows:

- PPT: Algebra I, 12; Biology, 12; and Literature, 9
- CBT: Algebra I, 9; Biology, 9; and Literature, 6

The frequency with which these accommodations were utilized is summarized in Tables 10-5A through 10-5L. The actual frequencies are quite low, representing less than one-tenth of one percent of assessed students in nearly all instances, regardless of administration mode.

## SETTING ACCOMMODATIONS RECEIVED

Setting accommodations permit a change in the location in which a student receives instruction or participates in an assessment. In the Spring 2018 Keystone Exam administration, there were four categories of setting accommodations, which applied to both administration modes and to each course exam. As depicted in Tables 10-6A through 10-6L, the most common accommodation was small group setting for both PPT and CBT modes of administration, although the percentage of usage was somewhat higher for PPT.

## TIMING ACCOMMODATIONS RECEIVED

Timing accommodations involve a change in the allowable length of time to complete assignments or assessments, including the way in which time is organized. There were four categories of timing accommodations, which applied to both administration modes and to each course exam. As depicted in Tables 10-7A through 10-7L, the most common accommodation was extended time for both PPT and CBT administration modes with slightly higher percentages for PPT than CBT in Algebra I and Literature.

Table 10-4A. Incidence of Presentation Accommodations Received on the Spring 2018 Keystone Exam: Algebra I

| Type of Presentation Accommodation | PPT | CBT | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Braille format (Number) | 7 | N/A | 7 |
| Braille format (Percent) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Large print format (Number) | 79 | N/A | 79 |
| Large print format (Percent) | . 1 | N/A | 0 |
| Computer Assistive Technology (Number) | 1 | N/A | 1 |
| Computer Assistive Technology (Percent) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Some test items/questions read aloud (Number) | 767 | 111 | 878 |
| Some test items/questions read aloud (Percent) | . 5 | . 9 | . 6 |
| All test items/questions read aloud (Number) | 690 | 149 | 839 |
| All test items/questions read aloud (Percent) | . 5 | 1.3 | . 5 |
| Test items/questions signed (Number) | 7 | 1 | 8 |
| Test items/questions signed (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Test items/questions interpreted for EL student (Number) | 50 | 0 | 50 |
| Test items/questions interpreted for EL student (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Amplification device (Number) | 10 | 2 | 12 |
| Amplification device (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Magnification device (Number) | 7 | 5 | 12 |
| Magnification device (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Color overlay (Number) | 5 | N/A | 5 |
| Color overlay (Percent) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Number) | 35 | 6 | 41 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Percent) | 0 | . 1 | 0 |
| Spanish version (Number) | 1,827 | N/A | 1,827 |
| Spanish version (Percent) | 1.2 | N/A | 1.2 |
| Audio (Number) | N/A | 795 | 795 |
| Audio (Percent) | N/A | 6.7 | . 5 |
| Color Chooser (Number) | N/A | 9 | 9 |
| Color Chooser (Percent) | N/A | . 1 | 0 |
| Contrasting Text Chooser (Number) | N/A | 8 | 8 |
| Contrasting Text Chooser (Percent) | N/A | . 1 | 0 |
| Reverse Contrast (Number) | N/A | 7 | 7 |
| Reverse Contrast (Percent) | N/A | . 1 | 0 |
| Refreshable Braille (Number) | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| Refreshable Braille (Percent) | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| Video Sign Language (Number) | N/A | 3 | 3 |
| Video Sign Language (Percent) | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| Number of assessed students (Number) | 146,806 | 11,841 | 158,647 |

Table 10-4B. Incidence of Presentation Accommodations Received on the Spring 2018 Keystone Exam: Biology

| Type of Presentation Accommodation | PPT | CBT | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Braille format (Number) | 4 | N/A | 4 |
| Braille format (Percent) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Large print format (Number) | 55 | N/A | 55 |
| Large print format (Percent) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Computer Assistive Technology (Number) | 4 | N/A | 4 |
| Computer Assistive Technology (Percent) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Some test items/questions read aloud (Number) | 707 | 119 | 826 |
| Some test items/questions read aloud (Percent) | . 6 | . 8 | . 6 |
| All test items/questions read aloud (Number) | 794 | 146 | 940 |
| All test items/questions read aloud (Percent) | . 6 | 1 | . 7 |
| Test items/questions signed (Number) | 6 | 2 | 8 |
| Test items/questions signed (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Test items/questions interpreted for EL student (Number) | 35 | 0 | 35 |
| Test items/questions interpreted for EL student (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Amplification device (Number) | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| Amplification device (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Magnification device (Number) | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Magnification device (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Color overlay (Number) | 14 | N/A | 14 |
| Color overlay (Percent) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Number) | 27 | 15 | 42 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Percent) | 0 | . 1 | 0 |
| Spanish version (Number) | 1,330 | N/A | 1,330 |
| Spanish version (Percent) | 1.1 | N/A | 1 |
| Audio (Number) | N/A | 966 | 966 |
| Audio (Percent) | N/A | 6.9 | . 7 |
| Color Chooser (Number) | N/A | 15 | 15 |
| Color Chooser (Percent) | N/A | . 1 | 0 |
| Contrasting Text Chooser (Number) | N/A | 17 | 17 |
| Contrasting Text Chooser (Percent) | N/A | . 1 | 0 |
| Reverse Contrast (Number) | N/A | 12 | 12 |
| Reverse Contrast (Percent) | N/A | . 1 | 0 |
| Refreshable Braille (Number) | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| Refreshable Braille (Percent) | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| Video Sign Language (Number) | N/A | 3 | 3 |
| Video Sign Language (Percent) | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| Number of assessed students (Number) | 122,649 | 14,028 | 136,677 |

Table 10-4L. Incidence of Presentation Accommodations Received on the Spring 2018 Keystone Exam: Literature

| Type of Presentation Accommodation | PPT | CBT | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Braille format (Number) | 6 | N/A | 6 |
| Braille format (Percent) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Large print format (Number) | 64 | N/A | 64 |
| Large print format (Percent) | . 1 | N/A | . 1 |
| Computer Assistive Technology (Number) | 5 | N/A | 5 |
| Computer Assistive Technology (Percent) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Amplification device (Number) | 4 | 3 | 7 |
| Amplification device (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Magnification device (Number) | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| Magnification device (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Color overlay (Number) | 5 | N/A | 5 |
| Color overlay (Percent) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Number) | 44 | 3 | 47 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Color Chooser (Number) | N/A | 20 | 20 |
| Color Chooser (Percent) | N/A | . 2 | 0 |
| Contrasting Text Chooser (Number) | N/A | 15 | 15 |
| Contrasting Text Chooser (Percent) | N/A | . 1 | 0 |
| Reverse Contrast (Number) | N/A | 13 | 13 |
| Reverse Contrast (Percent) | N/A | . 1 | 0 |
| Refreshable Braille (Number) | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| Refreshable Braille (Percent) | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| Number of assessed students (Number) | 113,259 | 11,276 | 124,535 |

Table 10-5A. Incidence of Response Accommodations Received on the Spring 2018 Keystone Exam: Algebra I

| Type of Response Accommodation | PPT | CBT | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Test administrator marked multiple-choice responses at student's direction (Number) | 63 | 0 | 63 |
| Test administrator marked multiple-choice responses at student's direction (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Test administrator scribed open-ended responses at student's direction (Number) | 73 | 2 | 75 |
| Test administrator scribed open-ended responses at student's direction (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Test administrator transcribed student responses (Number) | 142 | 1 | 143 |
| Test administrator transcribed student responses (Percent) | . 1 | 0 | . 1 |
| Qualified interpreter translated, transcribed, and/or scribed student's signed responses (Number) | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| Qualified interpreter translated, transcribed, and/or scribed student's signed responses (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Qualified interpreter translated, transcribed, and/or scribed EL student responses (Number) | 89 | 0 | 89 |
| Qualified interpreter translated, transcribed, and/or scribed EL student responses (Percent) | . 1 | 0 | . 1 |
| Keyboard, word processor, or computer (Number) | 31 | N/A | 31 |
| Keyboard, word processor, or computer (Percent) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Brailler/Notetaker (Number) | 6 | N/A | 6 |
| Brailler/Notetaker (Percent) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Augmentative communication device (Number) | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Augmentative communication device (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Computer Assistive Technology (Number) | 1 | N/A | 1 |
| Computer Assistive Technology (Percent) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Translation dictionary for EL student (Number) | 161 | 4 | 165 |
| Translation dictionary for EL student (Percent) | . 1 | 0 | . 1 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Number) | 56 | 2 | 58 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number of assessed students (Number) | 146,806 | 11,841 | 158,647 |

Table 10-5B. Incidence of Response Accommodations Received on the Spring 2018 Keystone Exam: Biology

| Type of Response Accommodation | PPT | CBT | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Test administrator marked multiple-choice responses at student's direction (Number) | 42 | 1 | 43 |
| Test administrator marked multiple-choice responses at student's direction (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Test administrator scribed open-ended responses at student's direction (Number) | 64 | 2 | 66 |
| Test administrator scribed open-ended responses at student's direction (Percent) | . 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Test administrator transcribed student responses (Number) | 118 | 2 | 120 |
| Test administrator transcribed student responses (Percent) | . 1 | 0 | . 1 |
| Qualified interpreter translated, transcribed, and/or scribed student's signed responses (Number) | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| Qualified interpreter translated, transcribed, and/or scribed student's signed responses (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Qualified interpreter translated, transcribed, and/or scribed EL student responses (Number) | 60 | 0 | 60 |
| Qualified interpreter translated, transcribed, and/or scribed EL student responses (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Keyboard, word processor, or computer (Number) | 48 | N/A | 48 |
| Keyboard, word processor, or computer (Percent) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Brailler/Notetaker (Number) | 2 | N/A | 2 |
| Brailler/Notetaker (Percent) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Augmentative communication device (Number) | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Augmentative communication device (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Computer Assistive Technology (Number) | 3 | N/A | 3 |
| Computer Assistive Technology (Percent) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Translation dictionary for EL student (Number) | 184 | 4 | 188 |
| Translation dictionary for EL student (Percent) | . 2 | 0 | . 1 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Number) | 27 | 7 | 34 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number of assessed students (Number) | 122,649 | 14,028 | 136,677 |

Table 10-5L. Incidence of Response Accommodations Received on the Spring 2018 Keystone Exam: Literature

| Type of Response Accommodation | PPT | CBT | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Test administrator marked multiple-choice responses at student's direction (Number) | 41 | 1 | 42 |
| Test administrator marked multiple-choice responses at student's direction (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Test administrator scribed open-ended responses at student's direction (Number) | 72 | 1 | 73 |
| Test administrator scribed open-ended responses at student's direction (Percent) | . 1 | 0 | . 1 |
| Test administrator transcribed student responses (Number) | 162 | 3 | 165 |
| Test administrator transcribed student responses (Percent) | . 1 | 0 | . 1 |
| Keyboard, word processor, or computer (Number) | 88 | N/A | 88 |
| Keyboard, word processor, or computer (Percent) | . 1 | N/A | . 1 |
| Brailler/Notetaker (Number) | 5 | N/A | 5 |
| Brailler/Notetaker (Percent) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Augmentative communication device (Number) | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Augmentative communication device (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Computer Assistive Technology (Number) | 4 | N/A | 4 |
| Computer Assistive Technology (Percent) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Number) | 29 | 0 | 29 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number of assessed students (Number) | 113,259 | 11,276 | 124,535 |

Table 10-6A. Incidence of Setting Accommodations Received on the Spring 2018 Keystone Exam: Algebra I

| Type of Setting Accommodation | PPT | CBT | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Hospital/home setting (Number) | 41 | 0 | 41 |
| Hospital/home setting (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| One-on-one setting (Number) | 328 | 6 | 334 |
| One-on-one setting (Percent) | .2 | .1 | .2 |
| Small group setting (Number) | 11,474 | 1,034 | 12,508 |
| Small group setting (Percent) | 7.8 | 8.7 | 7.9 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Number) | 116 | 2 | 118 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Percent) | .1 | 0 | .1 |
| Number of assessed students (Number) | 146,806 | 11,841 | 158,647 |

Table 10-6B. Incidence of Setting Accommodations Received on the Spring 2018 Keystone Exam: Biology

| Type of Setting Accommodation | PPT | CBT | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Hospital/home setting (Number) | 30 | 0 | 30 |
| Hospital/home setting (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| One-on-one setting (Number) | 262 | 6 | 268 |
| One-on-one setting (Percent) | .2 | 0 | .2 |
| Small group setting (Number) | 10,261 | 1,361 | 11,622 |
| Small group setting (Percent) | 8.4 | 9.7 | 8.5 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Number) | 101 | 6 | 107 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Percent) | .1 | 0 | .1 |
| Number of assessed students (Number) | 122,649 | 14,028 | 136,677 |

Table 10-6L. Incidence of Setting Accommodations Received on the Spring 2018 Keystone Exam: Literature

| Type of Setting Accommodation | PPT | CBT | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Hospital/home setting (Number) | 24 | 0 | 24 |
| Hospital/home setting (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| One-on-one setting (Number) | 225 | 4 | 229 |
| One-on-one setting (Percent) | .2 | 0 | .2 |
| Small group setting (Number) | 9,575 | 995 | 10,570 |
| Small group setting (Percent) | 8.5 | 8.8 | 8.5 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Number) | 85 | 5 | 90 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Percent) | .1 | 0 | .1 |
| Number of assessed students (Number) | 113,259 | 11,276 | 124,535 |

Table 10-7A. Incidence of Timing Accommodations Received on the Spring 2018 Keystone Exam: Algebra I

| Type of Timing Accommodation | PPT | CBT | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Extended time (Number) | 14,668 | 872 | 15,540 |
| Extended time (Percent) | 10 | 7.4 | 9.8 |
| Frequent breaks (Number) | 502 | 207 | 709 |
| Frequent breaks (Percent) | .3 | 1.7 | .4 |
| Changed test schedule (Number) | 253 | 4 | 257 |
| Changed test schedule (Percent) | .2 | 0 | .2 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Number) | 9 | 1 | 10 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number of assessed students (Number) | 146,806 | 11,841 | 158,647 |

Table 10-7B. Incidence of Timing Accommodations Received on the Spring 2018 Keystone Exam: Biology

| Type of Timing Accommodation | PPT | CBT | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Extended time (Number) | 3,705 | 717 | 4,422 |
| Extended time (Percent) | 3 | 5.1 | 3.2 |
| Frequent breaks (Number) | 454 | 241 | 695 |
| Frequent breaks (Percent) | .4 | 1.7 | .5 |
| Changed test schedule (Number) | 183 | 0 | 183 |
| Changed test schedule (Percent) | .1 | 0 | .1 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Number) | 25 | 1 | 26 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number of assessed students (Number) | 122,649 | 14,028 | 136,677 |

Table 10-7L. Incidence of Timing Accommodations Received on the Spring 2018 Keystone Exam: Literature

| Type of Timing Accommodation | PPT | CBT | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Extended time (Number) | 9,757 | 751 | 10,508 |
| Extended time (Percent) | 8.6 | 6.7 | 8.4 |
| Frequent breaks (Number) | 469 | 184 | 653 |
| Frequent breaks (Percent) | .4 | 1.6 | .5 |
| Changed test schedule (Number) | 154 | 1 | 155 |
| Changed test schedule (Percent) | .1 | 0 | .1 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Number) | 9 | 1 | 10 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number of assessed students (Number) | 113,259 | 11,276 | 124,535 |

## ACCOMMODATION RATE FOR NON-IEP AND IEP STUDENTS

A comparison between students without an IEP (non-IEP Students) and those with an IEP (IEP Students) with regard to having received an accommodation is provided in Table 10-8. In this data, accommodated means that a student received one or more of the total number of accommodations available for a given course; however, this varies somewhat with administration mode. The category of non-accommodated indicates that a student did not receive any accommodations during testing.

The general pattern of findings provided in Table 10-8 reveals a consistent and substantially higher percentage of IEP Students receiving an accommodation, in contrast to non-IEP Students. This same pattern holds true regardless of test administration mode of Keystone Exam. The comparisons between administration modes revealed that the accommodation rates for IEP students taking a PPT are close to those taking a CBT.

Table 10-8A. Accommodation Rate for Non-IEP and IEP Students on the Spring 2018 Keystone Exams: Algebra I

| Student Subgroup Tested | PPT | CBT | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Non-IEP Students (Number) | 124,222 | 9,703 | 133,925 |
| Non-Accommodated (Number) | 110,339 | 9,267 | 119,606 |
| Non-Accommodated (Percent) | 88.8 | 95.5 | 89.3 |
| Accommodated (Number) | 13,883 | 436 | 14,319 |
| Accommodated (Percent) | 11.2 | 4.5 | 10.7 |
| IEP Students (Number) | 22,584 | 2,138 | 24,722 |
| Non-Accommodated (Number) | 11,547 | 875 | 12,422 |
| Non-Accommodated (Percent) | 51.1 | 40.9 | 50.2 |
| Accommodated (Number) | 11,037 | 1,263 | 12,300 |
| Accommodated (Percent) | 48.9 | 59.1 | 49.8 |

Table 10-8B. Accommodation Rate for Non-IEP and IEP Students on the Spring 2018 Keystone Exams: Biology

| Student Subgroup Tested | PPT | CBT | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Non-IEP Students (Number) | 103,792 | 11,311 | 115,103 |
| Non-Accommodated (Number) | 100,000 | 11,109 | 111,109 |
| Non-Accommodated (Percent) | 96.3 | 98.2 | 96.5 |
| Accommodated (Number) | 3,792 | 202 | 3,994 |
| Accommodated (Percent) | 3.7 | 1.8 | 3.5 |
| IEP Students (Number) | 18,857 | 2,717 | 21,574 |
| Non-Accommodated (Number) | 9,255 | 1,119 | 10,374 |
| Non-Accommodated (Percent) | 49.1 | 41.2 | 48.1 |
| Accommodated (Number) | 9,602 | 1,598 | 11,200 |
| Accommodated (Percent) | 50.9 | 58.8 | 51.9 |

Table 10-8L. Accommodation Rate for Non-IEP and IEP Students on the Spring 2018 Keystone Exams: Literature

| Student Subgroup Tested | PPT | CBT | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Non-IEP Students (Number) | 95,040 | 9,069 | 104,109 |
| Non-Accommodated (Number) | 86,304 | 8,790 | 95,094 |
| Non-Accommodated (Percent) | 90.8 | 96.9 | 91.3 |
| Accommodated (Number) | 8,736 | 279 | 9,015 |
| Accommodated (Percent) | 9.2 | 3.1 | 8.7 |
| IEP Students (Number) | 18,219 | 2,207 | 20,426 |
| Non-Accommodated (Number) | 8,938 | 1,165 | 10,103 |
| Non-Accommodated (Percent) | 49.1 | 52.8 | 49.5 |
| Accommodated (Number) | 9,281 | 1,042 | 10,323 |
| Accommodated (Percent) | 50.9 | 47.2 | 50.5 |

## THE INCIDENCE OF ACCOMMODATIONS AND IEP AND ELL STATUS

As noted in Table 10-8, students with an IEP received an accommodation of some type far more often than nonIEP students. Certain accommodations with very low frequencies are specific to particular disabilities while others are far more common and may also apply to students classified as English Language Learners (ELL). Because the accommodations with the largest frequencies can potentially supply the most stable data when separated out for subgroup analysis, those in most common use were selected for display in Tables 10-9A through 10-9L. The most frequently occurring accommodations for assessed students were:

- Some test items/questions read aloud (Algebra I and Biology only)
- All test items/questions read aloud (Algebra I and Biology only)
- Small group setting
- Extended time
- Frequent breaks

Coding for IEP is dichotomous, as students are classified IEP and non-IEP. For purposes of this analysis, an English Learner (EL) is an assessed student classified EL and enrolled in a U.S. school on or before May 26, 2017. All other assessed students, including those who have exited an ESL/bilingual program and are in the first or second year of monitoring, are regarded as non-EL.

Customarily, a considerably larger percentage of IEP students receive a given accommodation than non-IEP students. Although less frequent, certain accommodations also have a high frequency rate for EL students. To separate out the effect of being classified IEP or EL, four possible combinations are presented in Tables 10-9A through 10-9L. These include general education students (who are neither IEP nor EL), students who are IEP but non-EL, students who are EL but non-IEP, and students who are both IEP and EL. The bottom row for each administration mode provides the total number of assessed students in each of the four classifications.

For purposes of descriptively comparing the four IEP/EL subgroups with respect to whether a subgroup displayed a larger percentage rate than another subgroup, a choice was made to use a difference of five or more percentage points as a criterion for judging importance. In many instances, the percentage difference between subgroups was of little practical significance (from zero to only several percentage points).

Although the separate presentation of data for PPT and CBT modes provides an impression of overall findings, the much smaller n-counts and accommodation rate by students taking a CBT renders an administration mode comparison less meaningful. Nevertheless, it is possible to make some cautious observations when sufficient n -counts and consistency are present as noted in the summary of findings below.

## SUBGROUP COMPARISONS FOR PPT ADMINISTRATION MODE

Subgroup comparisons were regarded as viable for the PPT administration. There was little differentiation across subgroups for the two accommodations involving items/questions read aloud (Algebra I and Biology) and for frequent breaks (Algebra I, Biology, and Literature). Small group setting was by far the most prevalent accommodation for the IEP/non-EL subgroup followed by the IEP/EL and EL/non-IEP subgroups. This pattern was consistent across all three course exams. Another consistent pattern was observed for extended time, which was more prevalent for the IEP/non-EL, EL/non-IEP, and IEP/EL subgroups than for the General Education subgroup.

## SUBGROUP COMPARISONS FOR CBT ADMINISTRATION MODE

For the CBT administration the EL/Non-IEP and IEP/EL subgroup n-counts were only 176 and 37 for Algebra I, 208 and 36 for Biology, and 178 and 27 for Literature, respectively. Consequently, only the General Education and IEP/ non-ELL subgroups had a sufficient sample size to support reasonable comparisons. A consistent pattern noted for all three course exams was the greater prevalence of small group setting, extended time, and frequent breaks by the IEP/non-ELL subgroup than for the General Education subgroup.

## COMPARISONS BETWEEN PPT AND CBT

The only subgroups for which comparisons between PPT and CBT administration modes were deemed reasonable based on sample size were within the General Education and IEP/non-ELL subgroups. The findings are summarized below.

- The General Education subgroup displayed a very low incidence of accommodations, typically less than one percent, in nearly all instances for both PPT and CBT administrations. The accommodation students mostly received is extended time.
- For the IEP/non-ELL subgroup, small group setting was the only accommodation for which PPT administration consistently exceeded CBT by more than five percentage points in all three course exams. The instances in which students tested by CBT exceeded those responding by PPT were extended time and frequent breaks.

Table 10-9A. Incidence of IEP and EL Students Receiving Selected Accommodations on the Spring 2018 Keystone Exam: Algebra I

| Accommodation Received by Administration Mode | Both IEP and EL | EL and nonIEP | General Education (non-IEP or <br> EL) | IEP and nonEL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PPT - Some test items/questions read aloud (Number) | 33 | 63 | 59 | 612 |
| PPT - Some test items/questions read aloud (Percent) | 3.8 | 1.1 | 0 | 2.8 |
| PPT - All test items/questions read aloud (Number) | 15 | 5 | 18 | 652 |
| PPT - All test items/questions read aloud (Percent) | 1.7 | . 1 | 0 | 3 |
| PPT - Small group setting (Number) | 289 | 589 | 958 | 9,638 |
| PPT - Small group setting (Percent) | 33.4 | 10.4 | . 8 | 44.4 |
| PPT - Extended time (Number) | 106 | 498 | 11,723 | 2,341 |
| PPT - Extended time (Percent) | 12.3 | 8.8 | 9.9 | 10.8 |
| PPT - Frequent breaks (Number) | 13 | 9 | 57 | 423 |
| PPT - Frequent breaks (Percent) | 1.5 | . 2 | 0 | 1.9 |
| PPT - Number assessed (Number) | 865 | 5,671 | 118,551 | 21,719 |
| CBT - Some test items/questions read aloud (Number) | 0 | 0 | 6 | 105 |
| CBT - Some test items/questions read aloud (Percent) | 0 | 0 | . 1 | 5 |
| CBT - All test items/questions read aloud (Number) | 5 | 0 | 2 | 142 |
| CBT - All test items/questions read aloud (Percent) | 13.5 | 0 | 0 | 6.8 |
| CBT - Small group setting (Number) | 19 | 8 | 41 | 966 |
| CBT - Small group setting (Percent) | 51.4 | 4.5 | . 4 | 46 |
| CBT - Extended time (Number) | 10 | 21 | 357 | 484 |
| CBT - Extended time (Percent) | 27 | 11.9 | 3.7 | 23 |
| CBT - Frequent breaks (Number) | 4 | 0 | 8 | 195 |
| CBT - Frequent breaks (Percent) | 10.8 | 0 | . 1 | 9.3 |
| CBT - Number assessed (Number) | 37 | 176 | 9,527 | 2,101 |
| Total - Some test items/questions read aloud (Number) | 33 | 63 | 65 | 717 |
| Total - Some test items/questions read aloud (Percent) | 3.7 | 1.1 | . 1 | 3 |
| Total - All test items/questions read aloud (Number) | 20 | 5 | 20 | 794 |
| Total - All test items/questions read aloud (Percent) | 2.2 | . 1 | 0 | 3.3 |
| Total - Small group setting (Number) | 308 | 597 | 999 | 10,604 |
| Total - Small group setting (Percent) | 34.1 | 10.2 | . 8 | 44.5 |
| Total - Extended time (Number) | 116 | 519 | 12,080 | 2,825 |
| Total - Extended time (Percent) | 12.9 | 8.9 | 9.4 | 11.9 |
| Total - Frequent breaks (Number) | 17 | 9 | 65 | 618 |
| Total - Frequent breaks (Percent) | 1.9 | . 2 | . 1 | 2.6 |
| Total - Number assessed (Number) | 902 | 5,847 | 128,078 | 23,820 |

Table 10-9B. Incidence of IEP and EL Students Receiving Selected Accommodations on the Spring 2018 Keystone Exam: Biology

| Accommodation Received by Administration Mode | Both IEP and EL | EL and nonIEP | General Education (non-IEP or <br> EL) | IEP and nonEL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PPT - Some test items/questions read aloud (Number) | 16 | 21 | 35 | 635 |
| PPT - Some test items/questions read aloud (Percent) | 2.3 | . 5 | 0 | 3.5 |
| PPT - All test items/questions read aloud (Number) | 26 | 5 | 34 | 729 |
| PPT - All test items/questions read aloud (Percent) | 3.8 | . 1 | 0 | 4 |
| PPT - Small group setting (Number) | 241 | 443 | 837 | 8,740 |
| PPT - Small group setting (Percent) | 34.8 | 10.4 | . 8 | 48.1 |
| PPT - Extended time (Number) | 48 | 170 | 2,201 | 1,286 |
| PPT - Extended time (Percent) | 6.9 | 4 | 2.2 | 7.1 |
| PPT - Frequent breaks (Number) | 9 | 11 | 33 | 401 |
| PPT - Frequent breaks (Percent) | 1.3 | . 3 | 0 | 2.2 |
| PPT - Number assessed (Number) | 692 | 4,250 | 99,542 | 18,165 |
| CBT - Some test items/questions read aloud (Number) | 0 | 0 | 3 | 116 |
| CBT - Some test items/questions read aloud (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.3 |
| CBT - All test items/questions read aloud (Number) | 1 | 0 | 2 | 143 |
| CBT - All test items/questions read aloud (Percent) | 2.8 | 0 | 0 | 5.3 |
| CBT - Small group setting (Number) | 20 | 5 | 47 | 1,289 |
| CBT - Small group setting (Percent) | 55.6 | 2.4 | . 4 | 48.1 |
| CBT - Extended time (Number) | 9 | 17 | 134 | 557 |
| CBT - Extended time (Percent) | 25 | 8.2 | 1.2 | 20.8 |
| CBT - Frequent breaks (Number) | 5 | 0 | 8 | 228 |
| CBT - Frequent breaks (Percent) | 13.9 | 0 | . 1 | 8.5 |
| CBT - Number assessed (Number) | 36 | 208 | 11,103 | 2,681 |
| Total - Some test items/questions read aloud (Number) | 16 | 21 | 38 | 751 |
| Total - Some test items/questions read aloud (Percent) | 2.2 | . 5 | 0 | 3.6 |
| Total - All test items/questions read aloud (Number) | 27 | 5 | 36 | 872 |
| Total - All test items/questions read aloud (Percent) | 3.7 | . 1 | 0 | 4.2 |
| Total - Small group setting (Number) | 261 | 448 | 884 | 10,029 |
| Total - Small group setting (Percent) | 35.9 | 10 | . 8 | 48.1 |
| Total - Extended time (Number) | 57 | 187 | 2,335 | 1,843 |
| Total - Extended time (Percent) | 7.8 | 4.2 | 2.1 | 8.8 |
| Total - Frequent breaks (Number) | 14 | 11 | 41 | 629 |
| Total - Frequent breaks (Percent) | 1.9 | . 2 | 0 | 3 |
| Total - Number assessed (Number) | 728 | 4,458 | 110,645 | 20,846 |

Table 10-9L. Incidence of IEP and EL Students Receiving Selected Accommodations on the Spring 2018 Keystone Exam: Literature

| Accommodation Received by Administration Mode | Both IEP and EL | EL and nonIEP | General Education (non-IEP or <br> EL) | IEP and nonEL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PPT - Small group setting (Number) | 219 | 351 | 763 | 8,242 |
| PPT - Small group setting (Percent) | 35 | 10.3 | . 8 | 46.8 |
| PPT - Extended time (Number) | 75 | 345 | 7,359 | 1,978 |
| PPT - Extended time (Percent) | 12 | 10.1 | 8 | 11.2 |
| PPT - Frequent breaks (Number) | 12 | 2 | 32 | 423 |
| PPT - Frequent breaks (Percent) | 1.9 | . 1 | 0 | 2.4 |
| PPT - Number assessed (Number) | 626 | 3,417 | 91,623 | 17,593 |
| CBT - Small group setting (Number) | 15 | 3 | 30 | 947 |
| CBT - Small group setting (Percent) | 55.6 | 1.7 | . 3 | 43.4 |
| CBT - Extended time (Number) | 12 | 16 | 235 | 488 |
| CBT - Extended time (Percent) | 44.4 | 9 | 2.6 | 22.4 |
| CBT - Frequent breaks (Number) | 3 | 0 | 10 | 171 |
| CBT - Frequent breaks (Percent) | 11.1 | 0 | . 1 | 7.8 |
| CBT - Number assessed (Number) | 27 | 178 | 8,891 | 2,180 |
| Total - Small group setting (Number) | 234 | 354 | 793 | 9,189 |
| Total - Small group setting (Percent) | 35.8 | 9.8 | . 8 | 46.5 |
| Total - Extended time (Number) | 87 | 361 | 7,594 | 2,466 |
| Total - Extended time (Percent) | 13.3 | 10 | 7.6 | 12.5 |
| Total - Frequent breaks (Number) | 15 | 2 | 42 | 594 |
| Total - Frequent breaks (Percent) | 2.3 | . 1 | 0 | 3 |
| Total - Number assessed (Number) | 653 | 3,595 | 100,514 | 19,773 |

## GLOSSARY OF ACCOMMODATION TERMS

Table 10-10 provides a brief description of accommodation terms as used in the PSSA and Keystone Exams. Accommodation data was supplied by school personnel as noted in the left column of the table. The right column contains an explanation derived from the PDE publication, 2018 Accommodations Guidelines (PDE, revised 9/2017). This manual may be found on the PDE website at www.education.pa.gov You can find the document by typing the manual title in the search box.

## Table 10-10. Glossary of Accommodation Terms as Applied in the 2018 PSSA and 2017-2018 Keystone Exams

| Type of Testing Accommodation | Explanation |
| :---: | :---: |
| Student used the following Online Presentation Accommodations |  |
| Braille format | Students may use a Braille format of the test. Answers must then be transcribed into the answer booklet without alteration. |
| Large print format | Students with visual impairments may use a large print format. Answers must then be transcribed into the answer booklet without alteration. |
| Magnification device | Devices to magnify print may be used for students with visual impairments and/or print disabilities. |
| Color overlay | Students with visual impairments may place a color overlay on a printed page of the test document to make text more readable. |
| Computer assistive technology (e.g., electronic screen reader) (PDE approval required) | Students with severe visual disabilities that prevent them from accessing instructional material or performing the skill may use computer assistive technology; however, PDE must approve the program and functions prior to the test window. |
| Test items/questions/textdependent analysis signed | Deaf/hearing impaired students may receive test directions from a qualified interpreter. Signing is also permitted for PSSA ELA writing section multiple choice items, and text-dependent analysis questions and all items in PSSA mathematics and science and for Keystone Algebra and Biology. |
| Test items/questions/ text-dependent analysis interpreted for EL | A qualified interpreter may translate directions or clarify instructions for the assessments. The interpreter may translate but not define specific words or test questions on the PSSA mathematics, science, ELA writing section multiple choice items, and text-dependent analysis questions and Keystone Algebra and Biology exams. |
| Some or all test items/ questions/text-dependent analysis read aloud | Students unable to decode text visually may have items/questions read aloud for PSSA ELA writing section multiple choice items, and text-dependent analysis questions and all items in PSSA mathematics and science and for Keystone Algebra and Biology; however, words may not be defined. |
| Amplification device | In addition to using hearing aids, an amplification device to enhance clarity may be required. |
| Other (PDE approval required) | Other presentation accommodations indicated in the Accommodation Guidelines may be provided; however, PDE approval is required prior to the test window. |
| Spanish version for PSSA (Math and Science) and Keystone (Algebra and Biology) | Students whose first language is Spanish and who have been enrolled in U.S. schools for fewer than three years may take this version. |
| Student used the following Online Presentation Accommodations |  |
| Audio | The online test form reads permissible test directions and items for a student unable to decode text. The accommodation must be marked within the test engine system. The accommodation is available on PSSA mathematics, science, ELA writing section multiple choice items, and text-dependent analysis questions and Keystone Algebra and Biology exams. |
| Video sign language (per accommodations guidelines) | Eligible students who use a sign language accommodation during instructional periods may use a VSL on the PSSA mathematics and science assessments, or Keystone Algebra I and Biology. |


| Type of Testing Accommodation | Explanation |
| :---: | :---: |
| Color chooser or contrasting text chooser | The use of this accommodation enables a visually impaired student to change the background color or text color to make text more readable. |
| Refreshable Braille | This accommodation allows students to use a screen reader to produce a Braill translation output. |
| Student used the following Response Accommodations |  |
| Brailler/Note taker (per Accommodations Guidelines) | Students using this device as part of their regular instructional program may use it on the assessments; however, without thesaurus, spelling, or grammar checker. |
| Test administrator scribed open-ended responses at student's direction | A test administrator may record word-for-word exactly what a student dictated directly into the test booklet. This includes MC and OE responses Keystone Algebra, Biology, and Literature tests and PSSA mathematics and science. |
| Test administrator marked multiple-choice responses at student's direction | A test administrator may mark an answer booklet at the direction of a student (e.g., a student may point to an MC answer with the test administrator marking the response in the answer booklet). |
| Test administrator transcribed student responses (per Accommodations Guidelines) | A test administrator may transcribe (copy) a student's written, typed, or keyed response into a standard answer booklet. |
| Qualified Interpreter translated, transcribed, and/ or scribed student's signed responses | A qualified interpreter may interpret a student's signed responses into written English for Keystone Algebra and Biology exams, and PSSA mathematics and science assessments. Interpreters are not permitted to make corrections or change the meaning of the response. |
| Qualified Interpreter translated, transcribed, and/or scribed EL student responses | A qualified interpreter may interpret a student's non-English oral responses into written English for Keystone Algebra and Biology exams, and PSSA mathematics and science assessments. Interpreters are not permitted to make corrections or change the meaning of the response. |
| Augmentative communication device | Students with severe communication difficulties may use a special device to convey responses, which must be transcribed into the answer booklet by the test administrator. |
| Keyboard, word processor, or computer (per Accommodations Guidelines) | This is an allowable accommodation as a typing function only for students with the identified need. Online test should be considered for students who prefer/need to type open-ended responses. Supports such as dictionaries, thesauri, spell checkers, and grammar checkers must be turned off. Answers must then be transcribed into the answer booklet without alteration. |
| Translation dictionary for EL student | A word-to-word dictionary that translates native language to English (or vice versa) without word definitions or pictures is allowed on any portion of the Keystone Algebra and Biology exams, and PSSA mathematics and science tests. |
| Computer assistive technology (e.g., electronic screen reader) (PDE approval required) | Students with blindness or extremely low vision may use dictate text into a computer. Responses must be transcribed verbatim into student's regular answer booklet. |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines or PDE approval) | Other accommodations may be appropriate and available if they do not compromise the integrity of the assessment. Documentation must be provided to PDE. |
| Student used the following Setting Accommodations |  |
| Hospital/home testing | A student who is confined to a hospital or to home during the testing window may be tested in that environment. |
| One-on-one setting | One-on-one settings are necessitated in certain instances, such as to reduce distraction or in the use of certain devices. A separate room may be used to reduce distraction. |


| Type of Testing <br> Accommodation | Explanation |
| :--- | :--- |
| Small group setting | Some students may require a test setting with fewer students or a setting apart from all other students to <br> minimize distraction. |
| Other (per Accommodations <br> Guidelines or PDE approval) | Other accommodations may be appropriate and available if they do not compromise the integrity of the <br> assessment. Documentation must be provided to PDE. |
| Student used the following Timing Accommodations |  |
| Extended time | Extended time may be allotted for each section of the test as a planned accommodation to enable students <br> to finish. |
| Frequent breaks | Frequent breaks (breaks within a test section) may be scheduled for the completion of each test section; <br> however, a test section must be completed within one school day. |
| Changed test schedule | Students whose disabilities prevent them from following a regular, planned test schedule may follow an <br> individual schedule that enables test completion. |
| Other (per Accommodations <br> Guidelines or PDE approval) | Other accommodations may be appropriate and available if they do not compromise the integrity of the <br> assessment. Documentation must be provided to PDE. |

## CHAPTER ELEVEN: CLASSICAL ITEM STATISTICS

This chapter provides an overview of the two most familiar item-level statistics obtained from classical (traditional) item analysis: item difficulty and item discrimination. The following results pertain not only to the operational Keystone Exams items but also to the embedded field test items. Other statistics such as Rasch item statistics and test-level statistics are discussed in Chapter Twelve and Chapter Seventeen, respectively.

## ITEM-LEVEL STATISTICS

Appendix J provides classical item statistics for all items (i.e., operational and embedded field test items) in the Algebra I, Biology, and Literature Exams. Results are organized by administration and then by content area. These statistics represent the item characteristics most often used to determine whether an item functioned properly and/ or how a group of students performed on a particular item. The item statistics in Appendix J include N , the number of students taking the test form for which there are valid test scores; $p$-values (denoted as PVal) for multiple-choice (MC) items and item means (denoted as Mean) for constructed-response (CR) items (indicators of item difficulty); proportions of students who chose each response option for MC items (denoted as $P(A), P(B), P(C), P(D)$ ) or gained each score point for CR items (denoted as $\mathrm{P}(0), \mathrm{P}(1), \mathrm{P}(2), \mathrm{P}(3)$, and/or $\mathrm{P}(4)$ ); proportions of students who did not respond to an item (denoted as $P(-)$ for $M C$ items and $P(B)$ for $C R$ items); item-total correlations (denoted as Total, indicators of item discrimination); item-total correlations for each response option for MC items (denoted as PT(A), $\operatorname{PT}(\mathrm{B}), \operatorname{PT}(\mathrm{C})$, and $\operatorname{PT}(\mathrm{D})$ ); and gained score point for CR items (denoted as $\operatorname{PT}(1), \mathrm{PT}(2), \mathrm{PT}(3)$, and $\operatorname{PT}(4)$ ).

Appendix J also provides the Rasch measurement-based statistics in columns Rasch, Infit, and Outfit. Detailed explanations of these statistics can be found in Chapter Twelve. The differential item functioning (DIF) analysis on the embedded field test items is provided in the last three columns. The detailed explanation of DIF codes can be found in Chapter Five.

## ITEM DIFFICULTY

At the most general level, an item's difficulty is indicated by its mean score in some specified group (e.g., grade level).
$\bar{x}=\frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}$
In the mean score formula above, the individual item scores $\left(x_{i}\right)$ are summed and then divided by the total number of students $(n)$. For MC items, student scores are represented by 0 s and $1 \mathrm{~s}(0=$ wrong, $1=$ right). With $0 / 1$ scoring, the equation above also represents the number of students correctly answering the item divided by the total number of students. So, this is also the proportion correct for the item, or as it is better known, the pvalue. In theory, $p$-values can range from $0.00^{1}$ to 1.00 on the proportion-correct scale. For example, if an item has a $p$-value of 0.89 , it means 89 percent of the students answered the item correctly. Additionally, this value might also suggest that the item is relatively easy and/or the students who attempted the item are relatively high achievers. In other words, item difficulty and student ability are somewhat confounded.

For CR items, mean scores can range from the minimum possible score (usually zero) to the maximum possible score (e.g., four points in the case of Algebra I CR items and three points in the case of Biology and Literature CR items). Sometimes a pseudo $p$-value is provided for a CR item by dividing the mean item score by the maximum possible item score.

The minimum and maximum extremes of the difficulty scale are virtually never seen in applied practice. However, understanding what those values are helps illustrate that relatively lower values correspond to more difficult items and that relatively higher values correspond to easier items. (Because of this, some assert that this index would be better referred to as the item's easiness.)

Item difficulty is an important consideration for the Keystone Exams because of the ranging achievement levels of students in Pennsylvania (Below Basic, Basic, Proficient, and Advanced). Items that are either very hard or very easy provide little information about student differences in achievement. However, an item answered correctly by a high percentage of students would suggest that the knowledge or skill the item taps has been mastered by most students. Conversely, an item answered correctly by a low percentage of students would suggest that few students have mastered the knowledge or skill the item taps. So, on a criteria-referenced test like the Keystone Exams, a test development goal is to include a wide range of item difficulties.

Utilizing the proportion of students who chose each option can be helpful for verifying keys. For example, if a large proportion of students chose a distractor instead of the key answer, it may, but not always, indicate that the key is not correct. The proportion of students omitting or not reaching an item is useful for identifying issues related to testing time and item/test layout. Keystone Exams are not speed tests. Therefore, students should have enough time to take the exams. An omit proportion greater than 0.05 for a single item could be an indication that students were not given enough time to take the test or an indication of an item/test layout problem. For example, some students might accidentally skip an item that follows a lengthy stem.

## ITEM DISCRIMINATION

At the most general level, item discrimination ${ }^{2}$ indicates an item's ability to differentiate between high and low achievers. It is expected that students with high ability (i.e., those who perform well on the Keystone Exams overall) would be more likely to answer any given Keystone Exams item correctly, while students with low ability (i.e., those who perform poorly on the Keystone Exams overall) would be more likely to answer the same item incorrectly. For the Keystone Exams, Pearson's product-moment correlation coefficient between item scores and test scores is used to indicate discrimination. As commonly practiced, Data Recognition Cooperation (DRC) removes the item score from the total score so that the resulting correlations will not be spuriously high. The correlation coefficient can range from -1.0 to +1.0 . If the aforementioned expectation is met (high-scoring students tend to get the item right while low-scoring students do not), the correlation between the item score and the total test score will be both positive and noticeably large in its magnitude (i.e., well above zero) indicating that the item is a good discriminator between high- and low-ability students.

Item-total correlation for each option is another indicator of an item's ability to differentiate between high and low achievers. It is expected that students with high ability would be less likely to choose any distractors, while students with low ability would be more likely to choose a distractor. In other words, the item-total correlations for the distractors are expected to be negative.

In summary, the correlation will be positive in value when the mean test score of the students answering the item correctly is higher than the mean test score of the students answering the item incorrectly. ${ }^{3}$ In other words, students who did well on the total test tended to do well on the item as well. However, an interaction can exist between item discrimination and item difficulty. Items answered correctly (or incorrectly) by a large proportion of examinees (i.e., items with extreme $p$-values) can have reduced power to discriminate and thus can have lower correlations.

Discrimination is an important consideration for the operational Keystone Exams because the use of more discriminating items on a test is associated with more reliable test scores. This in turn means that score estimates will be more precise (i.e., there will be smaller confidence intervals around the scores) and, perhaps more importantly, that more accurate performance level placements will be made. The issues of reliability, confidence intervals, and performance level classifications are further discussed in Chapter Eighteen.

## SCATTER PLOTS OF ITEM DISCRIMINATION AND DIFFICULTY

Figure 11-1 contains a series of scatter plots showing item discrimination (i.e., item-total correlation on $y$-axis) vs. item difficulty (i.e., $p$-value on $x$-axis) for the operational items in each content area exam by test administration. These plots provide information about item discrimination and difficulty in a single visual image for each Keystone Exam. This is because the $x$ - and $y$-axes visually represent many important distributional indices:

- The minimum and maximum values are listed.
- Mean and median scores are indicated by the red dash lines.
- The first and third quartile (Q1 and Q3) are indicated by the red lines.
- Marginal histogram indicates the density of the individual data points.

It should be noted that pseudo $p$-values are used for CR items in these plots. Of course, the bivariate relationship between discrimination and difficulty is also presented. One does not usually expect any type of trend here. However, as noted earlier, it is often the case that items with extreme difficulties can have lower discrimination values, so this can be revealed in such a plot.

Figure 11-1. Scatter Plots of Item Discrimination and Difficulty

## Winter Algebra I



Figure 11-1 (continued). Scatter Plots of Item Discrimination and Difficulty
Winter Biology


$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\square & \mathrm{MC} & --- & \text { Median } \quad-\quad Q_{1}, Q_{3} \\
\boldsymbol{\mathrm { a }} & \mathrm{CR} & --- & \text { Mean }
\end{array}
$$

Winter Literature


$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\square & \mathrm{MC} & -- & \text { Median } \\
\mathbf{\Delta} & \mathrm{CR} & --\cdot & \text { Mean }
\end{array}
$$

Figure 11-1 (continued). Scatter Plots of Item Discrimination and Difficulty

## Spring Algebra I



$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{MC} & ---- & \text { Median } \\
\mathrm{CR} & ---. & \text { Mean }
\end{array} \quad-\quad Q_{1}, Q_{3}
$$

Spring Biology


$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\square & \mathrm{MC} & -\cdots- & \text { Median } \quad-\quad Q_{1}, Q_{3} \\
\boldsymbol{\mathrm { CR }} & --- & \text { Mean }
\end{array}
$$

Figure 11-1 (continued). Scatter Plots of Item Discrimination and Difficulty
Spring Literature


| $\square$ | MC | -- | Median |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\boldsymbol{\square}$ | CR | $--\cdot$ | Mean |

Summer Algebra I


$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\square & \mathrm{MC} & -- & \text { Median } \\
\triangle & \mathrm{CR} & -- & \text { Mean }
\end{array}
$$

Figure 11-1 (continued). Scatter Plots of Item Discrimination and Difficulty
Summer Biology


$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\square & \mathrm{MC} & -- \\
\text { Median } \\
\mathrm{CR} & -- & \text { Mean }
\end{array}
$$

## Summer Literature



$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\square & \mathrm{MC} & -- \\
\text { Median } \\
\mathrm{CR} & -- & \text { Mean }
\end{array}
$$

## OBSERVATIONS AND INTERPRETATIONS

Table 11-1 provides the mean and median $p$-values and median ${ }^{4}$ item-total correlations for the operational MC and CR items in each content area. The mean $p$-value for the operational MC items ranged from about 0.44 to 0.64 with the standard deviation (SD) ranging from 0.11 to 0.17 , while the mean $p$-values for the CR items ranged from about 0.22 to 0.51 with the standard deviation ranging from 0.04 to 0.18 . The median item-test correlations ranged from 0.25 to 0.44 and 0.45 to 0.73 for the MC and CR items, respectively. The CR correlations tended to be higher than the MC correlations, which is not surprising because the CR items include more score points.

It is impossible to make global conclusions about the overall test quality from these item statistics alone. With that caveat in mind, the results presented in this chapter indicate that the item difficulties and discriminations were in expected and acceptable ranges.

Table 11-1. Mean and Median Statistics for Operational MC and CR Items

| Administration | Content <br> Area | MC Items <br> Mean <br> p-Value | MC Items <br> SD <br> p-Value | MC Items <br> Median <br> p-Value | MC Items <br> Median <br> I-T Corr. | CR Items <br> Mean <br> p-Value | CR Items <br> SD <br> p-Value | CR Items <br> Median <br> p-Value | CR Items <br> Median <br> I-T Corr. |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Winter | Algebra I | 0.44 | 0.14 | 0.44 | 0.28 | 0.22 | 0.08 | 0.21 | 0.60 |
| Winter | Biology | 0.46 | 0.11 | 0.44 | 0.36 | 0.32 | 0.18 | 0.34 | 0.58 |
| Winter | Literature | 0.55 | 0.14 | 0.54 | 0.38 | 0.37 | 0.08 | 0.37 | 0.68 |
| Spring | Algebra I | 0.57 | 0.11 | 0.58 | 0.43 | 0.35 | 0.14 | 0.33 | 0.71 |
| Spring | Biology | 0.57 | 0.12 | 0.57 | 0.44 | 0.36 | 0.06 | 0.36 | 0.67 |
| Spring | Literature | 0.64 | 0.14 | 0.64 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.04 | 0.51 | 0.73 |
| Summer | Algebra I | 0.55 | 0.16 | 0.51 | 0.28 | 0.35 | 0.16 | 0.38 | 0.49 |
| Summer | Biology | 0.52 | 0.12 | 0.54 | 0.32 | 0.33 | 0.08 | 0.32 | 0.45 |
| Summer | Literature | 0.60 | 0.17 | 0.62 | 0.25 | 0.46 | 0.06 | 0.48 | 0.54 |

Note: I-T Corr. is the item-total test score correlation; SD represents the standard deviation.

## CHAPTER TWELVE: RASCH ITEM CALIBRATION

The particular item response theory (IRT) model used for the Keystone Exams is based on the work of Georg Rasch. Rasch models have had a long-standing presence in applied testing programs and have been the methodology continually used to calibrate the Pennsylvania System of School Assessment (PSSA) items in recent history. Consequently, this model was chosen for the Keystone Exams. IRT has several advantages over classical test theory, so it has become the standard procedure for analyzing item response data in large-scale assessments. However, IRT models make a number of strong assumptions related to dimensionality, local independence, and model-data fit. Resulting inferences derived from any application of IRT rest strongly on the degree to which the underlying assumptions are met.

This chapter outlines the procedures used for calibrating the operational Keystone Exams items. Generally, item calibration is the process of assigning a difficulty-parameter estimate to each item on an assessment so that they are placed on a common scale. This chapter briefly introduces the Rasch model, reports the results from evaluations of the adequacy of the Rasch assumptions, and summarizes the Rasch item statistics for the Keystone Exams in Algebra I, Biology, and Literature.

## DESCRIPTION OF THE RASCH MODEL

The Rasch partial credit model (RPCM) (Wright \& Masters, 1982) was used to calibrate Keystone Exams items because both multiple-choice (MC) and constructed-response (CR) items were part of the assessment. The RPCM extends the Rasch model (Rasch, 1960) for dichotomous $(0,1)$ items so that it accommodates the polytomous CR items. Under the RPCM, for a given item $i$ with $m i$ score categories, the probability of person $n \operatorname{scoring} x(x=0,1$, $2, \ldots m i$ ) is given by:

$$
\pi_{n i x}=\frac{\exp \sum_{j=0}^{x}\left(\beta_{n}-\delta_{i j}\right)}{\sum_{k=0}^{m_{i}} \exp \sum_{j=0}^{x}\left(\beta_{n}-\delta_{i j}\right)}, x=0,1, \ldots, m_{i}
$$

where represents a student's proficiency (ability) level, and is the step difficulty of the $j$ th step on item $i$. For dichotomous MC items, the RPCM reduces to the standard Rasch model and the single step difficulty is referred to as the item's difficulty. The Rasch model predicts the probability of person $n$ getting item $i$ correct as follows:
$\Phi_{n i}\left(X=1 \mid \beta_{n}\right)=\frac{\exp \left(\beta_{n}-\delta_{i j}\right)}{1+\exp \left(\beta_{n}-\delta_{i j}\right)}$.

The Rasch model places both student ability and item difficulty (estimated in terms of log-odds or logits) on the same continuum. When the model assumptions are met, it also provides person ability estimates that are independent of the items employed in the assessment, and, conversely, estimates item difficulty independently of the sample of examinees. (As noted in Chapter Eleven, interpretation of item $p$-values confounds item difficulty and student ability.)

## SOFTWARE AND ESTIMATION ALGORITHM

Item calibration was implemented via WINSTEPS computer program (Linacre \& Wright, 2013), which employs unconditional (UCON), joint-maximum-likelihood estimation (JMLE).

## SAMPLE CHARACTERISTICS

The characteristics of calibration samples are reported in Chapter Nine. These samples only include the students who attempted the tests. All omits (no response) and multiple responses (more than one response selected) were scored as incorrect answers (coded as 0s) for calibration.

## CHECKING RASCH ASSUMPTIONS

Because the Rasch model was the basis of all calibration and equating analyses associated with the Keystone Exams, the validity of the inferences from these results depends on the degree to which the assumptions of the model are met and how well the model fits the test data. Therefore, it is important to check these assumptions. This section evaluates the dimensionality of the data, local item independence, and model-data fit at the item level. Though a variety of methods are available for assessing these issues, the Rasch analyses and criteria available from WINSTEPS were used here. It should be noted that only operational items were analyzed since they are the basis of student scores.

Given that Keystone Exams use a pre-equating design (see details in Chapter Fifteen), calibrations with and without anchoring all the item parameter estimates were conducted to check the item difficulty stability. After reviewing the analyses results for the winter, spring, and summer administrations, a decision was made to use the item difficulty estimated from the field test data to generate the raw-to-scaled-score conversion tables. In this chapter, the adequacy of the Rasch calibration assumptions was checked with all the item difficulties anchored to the preequated values.

## UNIDIMENSIONALITY

Rasch models assume that one dominant dimension determines the difference in students' performances. WINSTEPS provides results from a principal components analysis (PCA) that can be used to assess the unidimensionality assumption. Different from standard applications of PCA, WINSTEPS conducts its PCA on the response residuals, not the original observations. That is, the primary dimension from the Rasch model is removed first and then the residual variance is analyzed. The purpose of the analysis is to verify whether any other dominant components exist among the residuals (i.e., they account for a practically significant amount of residual variance). If any other dimensions are found, the unidimensionality assumption would be violated.

For Keystone Exams, the standardized residuals were used to conduct the PCA because simulation studies indicate that it gives the most accurate reflection of secondary dimensions in the items (Linacre, 2013). Table 12-1 presents the PCA results by administration for each content area. The results include the eigenvalues and variance explained by each component. As can been seen from the table, the eigenvalues for the first component are much larger than those for the rest of the components. The first component explained about 26.0 to 49.5 percent of the total variances. The rest of the components explained only a small percentage of variance. These results suggest that each of the Keystone Exams essentially measure a single dominant dimension.

Table 12-1. Results from PCA of Residuals in WINSTEPS

| Administration/Content Area | Component | Eigenvalue | Variance Explained |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winter Algebra I | 1 | 26.2 | 38.4\% |
| Winter Algebra I | 2 | 2.0 | 2.9\% |
| Winter Algebra I | 3 | 1.6 | 2.3\% |
| Winter Algebra I | 4 | 1.3 | 1.9\% |
| Winter Algebra I | 5 | 1.2 | 1.7\% |
| Winter Biology | 1 | 24.3 | 31.1\% |
| Winter Biology | 2 | 1.6 | 2.1\% |
| Winter Biology | 3 | 1.5 | 1.9\% |
| Winter Biology | 4 | 1.3 | 1.7\% |
| Winter Biology | 5 | 1.3 | 1.7\% |
| Winter Literature | 1 | 22.9 | 36.4\% |
| Winter Literature | 2 | 2.5 | 3.9\% |
| Winter Literature | 3 | 1.4 | 2.3\% |
| Winter Literature | 4 | 1.3 | 2.0\% |
| Winter Literature | 5 | 1.2 | 1.9\% |
| Spring Algebra I | 1 | 41.1 | 49.5\% |
| Spring Algebra I | 2 | 1.8 | 2.2\% |
| Spring Algebra I | 3 | 1.4 | 1.7\% |
| Spring Algebra I | 4 | 1.3 | 1.5\% |
| Spring Algebra I | 5 | 1.2 | 1.4\% |
| Spring Biology | 1 | 35.7 | 39.8\% |
| Spring Biology | 2 | 1.9 | 2.1\% |
| Spring Biology | 3 | 1.5 | 1.7\% |
| Spring Biology | 4 | 1.4 | 1.5\% |
| Spring Biology | 5 | 1.2 | 1.3\% |
| Spring Literature | 1 | 25.9 | 39.3\% |
| Spring Literature | 2 | 2.6 | 3.9\% |
| Spring Literature | 3 | 1.5 | 2.3\% |
| Spring Literature | 4 | 1.3 | 2.0\% |
| Spring Literature | 5 | 1.2 | 1.8\% |
| Summer Algebra I | 1 | 26.4 | 38.6\% |
| Summer Algebra I | 2 | 1.7 | 2.5\% |
| Summer Algebra I | 3 | 1.6 | 2.3\% |
| Summer Algebra I | 4 | 1.4 | 2.1\% |
| Summer Algebra I | 5 | 1.3 | 1.9\% |
| Summer Biology | 1 | 19.0 | 26.0\% |
| Summer Biology | 2 | 1.8 | 2.5\% |
| Summer Biology | 3 | 1.6 | 2.2\% |

Table 12-1 (continued). Results from PCA of Residuals in WINSTEPS

| Administration/Content Area | Component | Eigenvalue | Variance Explained |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Summer Biology | 4 | 1.5 | $2.0 \%$ |
| Summer Biology | 5 | \#N/A | \#N/A |
| Summer Literature | 1 | 15.2 | $27.6 \%$ |
| Summer Literature | 2 | 3.1 | $5.6 \%$ |
| Summer Literature | 3 | 1.8 | $3.3 \%$ |
| Summer Literature | 4 | 1.7 | $3.2 \%$ |
| Summer Literature | 5 | 1.5 | $2.8 \%$ |

## LOCAL INDEPENDENCE

Local independence (LI) is a fundamental assumption of IRT. No relationship should exist between examinees' responses to different items after accounting for the abilities measured by a test. In formal statistical terms, a test $X$ that is composed of items $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots X_{1}$ is locally independent with rest to the latent variable $\delta_{n}$ if, for all $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots x_{1}\right)$ and $\delta_{n}$,

$$
P_{n}\left(\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{x} \mid \delta_{n}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{I} P\left(X_{i}=x_{i} \mid \delta_{n}\right)
$$

This formula essentially states that the probability of any pattern of responses across all items ( $x$ ), after conditioning on the abilities $\left(\delta_{n}\right)$ measured by the test, should be equal to the product of the conditional probabilities across each item (cf. the multiplication rule for independent events where the joint probabilities are equal to the product of the associated marginal probabilities).

The equation above shows the condition after satisfying the strong form of local independence. A weak form of local independence (WLI) was proposed by McDonald (1979). The distinction is important, since many indicators of local dependency are actually framed by WLI. The requirement here would be for the conditional covariance of all pairs of item responses, conditioned on the abilities, to be equal to zero. When this assumption is met, the joint probability of responses to an item pair, conditioned on abilities, is the product of the probabilities of responses to these two items, as shown below. (This is a weaker form because higher-order dependencies among items are allowed.) Based on the WLI, the following expression can be derived:
$P\left(X_{i}=x_{i}, X_{j}=x_{j} \mid \delta_{n}\right)=P\left(X_{i}=x_{i} \mid \delta_{n}\right) P\left(X_{j}=x_{j} \mid \delta_{n}\right)$.
Marais and Andrich (2008) pointed out that local item dependence in the Rasch model can occur in two ways that some may not distinguish. The first way occurs when the assumption of unidimensionality is violated. Here, other nuisance dimensions besides a dominant dimension also determine students' performance (this can be called trait dependence). The second violation occurs when responses to an item depend on responses to another. This is a violation of statistical independence and can be called response dependence. Many people treat the assumptions of unidimensionality and local independence as one phenomenon and believe that once unidimensionality holds, that local independence also holds. By distinguishing the two sources of local dependence, one can see that while local independence can be related to unidimensionality, the two are different assumptions, and therefore, require different tests.

Residual item correlations provided in WINSTEPS for each item pair were used to assess the local dependence among the Keystone Exams items. In general, these residuals are computed as follows. First, expected item performance based on the Rasch model is determined using ability and item parameter estimates. Next, deviations (residuals) between the examinees' expected and observed performance is determined for each item. Finally, for each item pair, a correlation between the respective deviations is computed.

Two types of residual correlations are available in WINSTEPS: raw and standardized residuals. It should be noted that the raw score residual correlation essentially corresponds to Yen's $Q_{3}$ index (Yen, 1993), a popular LI statistic. The expected value for the $Q_{3}$ statistic is approximately $-1 /(k-1)$ when no local dependence exists, where $k$ is test length. Thus, the expected $Q_{3}$ values should be approximately -0.026 or larger for the Keystone Exams (since Literature is the shortest test with 40 items). Index values that are greater than 0.20 indicate a degree of local dependence that probably should be examined by test developers (Chen \& Thissen, 1997). Since the two residual correlations are very similar, the default standardized residual correlation in WINSTEPS was used for these analyses. Table 12-2 shows the summary statistics - mean, SD, minimum (Min), maximum (Max), and several percentiles ( $\mathrm{P}_{10}, \mathrm{P}_{25}, \mathrm{P}_{50}, \mathrm{P}_{75}, \mathrm{P}_{90}$ )-for all the residual correlations for each test. The total number of item pairs $(N)$ and the number of pairs with residual correlations greater than 0.20 are also reported in this table. The mean residual correlations were slightly negative and the values were -0.02 after rounding. The vast majority of the correlations were very small, suggesting local item independence generally holds for the Keystone Exams in Algebra I, Biology, and Literature.

Table 12-2. Summary of Item Residual Correlations

| Administration | Content Area | Stats N | Stats <br> Mean | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Stats } \\ \text { SD } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Stats } \\ \text { Min } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Stats } \\ \text { P10 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Stats } \\ \text { P25 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Stats } \\ \text { P50 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Stats } \\ \text { P75 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Stats } \\ \text { P90 } \end{array}$ | Stats Max | $\begin{gathered} \text { Stats } \\ >0.20 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winter | Algebra I | 861 | -0.02 | 0.03 | -0.11 | -0.06 | -0.04 | -0.02 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.12 | 0 |
| Winter | Biology | 1431 | -0.02 | 0.02 | -0.12 | -0.04 | -0.03 | -0.02 | -0.01 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 0 |
| Winter | Literature | 780 | -0.02 | 0.04 | -0.16 | -0.08 | -0.05 | -0.02 | -0.01 | 0.02 | 0.22 | 3 |
| Spring | Algebra I | 861 | -0.02 | 0.03 | -0.12 | -0.06 | -0.04 | -0.02 | -0.01 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 0 |
| Spring | Biology | 1431 | -0.02 | 0.02 | -0.10 | -0.05 | -0.03 | -0.02 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.23 | 1 |
| Spring | Literature | 780 | -0.02 | 0.05 | -0.16 | -0.08 | -0.04 | -0.02 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.30 | 4 |
| Summer | Algebra I | 861 | -0.02 | 0.04 | -0.17 | -0.07 | -0.05 | -0.02 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.13 | 0 |
| Summer | Biology | 1431 | -0.02 | 0.04 | -0.14 | -0.07 | -0.04 | -0.02 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.14 | 0 |
| Summer | Literature | 780 | -0.02 | 0.08 | -0.32 | -0.11 | -0.07 | -0.02 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.37 | 19 |

## ITEM FIT

WINSTEPS provides two item-fit statistics (infit and outfit) for evaluating the degree to which the Rasch model predicts the observed item responses. Each fit statistic can be expressed as a mean square ( MnSq ) statistic or on a standardized metric (Zstd with mean $=0$ and variance $=1$ ). MnSq values are more oriented toward practical significance, while Zstd values are more oriented toward statistical significance. Though both are informative, the Zstd values are very likely too sensitive to the large sample sizes observed on the Keystone Exams. In this situation it is recommended that the Zstd values be ignored if the MnSq values are acceptable (Linacre, 2009).

Both infit and outfit MnSq are the average of standardized residual variance (the difference between the observed score and the Rasch estimated score divided by the square root of the Rasch model variance). The difference is that the outfit statistic gives all examinees equal weight in computing the fit and tends to be affected more by unexpected responses far from the person, item, or rating scale category measure (i.e., it is more sensitive to outlying, off-target, low-information responses). The infit statistic is weighted by the examinee locations relative to item difficulty and tends to be affected more by unexpected responses close to the person, item, or rating scale category measure (i.e., informative, on-target responses). Some feel that extreme infit values are a greater threat to the measurement process than extreme outfit values since most tests intend to measure the on-target population rather than extreme outliers.

The expected MnSq value is 1.0 , and it can range from 0 to infinity. Deviation in excess of the expected value can be interpreted as noise or lack of fit between the items and the model. Values lower than the expected value can be interpreted as item redundancy or overfitting items (too predictable, too much redundancy), and values greater than the expected value indicate underfitting items (too unpredictable, too much noise). Rules of thumb regarding practically significant MnSq values vary. More conservative users might prefer items with MnSq values that range from 0.8 to 1.2. Others believe reasonable test results can be achieved with values from 0.5 to 1.5 . In the results below, values outside of 0.7 to 1.3 are given practical importance.

Table 12-3 presents the summary statistics of infit and outfit mean square statistics for the Keystone Exams in Algebra I, Biology, and Literature, including the mean, SD, and minimum and maximum values. The number of items within the range of $[0.7,1.3]$ is also reported in Table 12-3. As can been seen, the mean values for both fit statistics were close to 1.00 for all the exams. Most of the items had fit statistics falling in the range of [0.7, 1.3].

Table 12-3. Summary of Infit and Outfit Mean Square Statistics

| Admin | Content <br> Area | N | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Infit } \\ \text { Mean } \\ \text { Square } \\ \text { Mean } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Infit } \\ \text { Mean } \\ \text { Square } \\ \text { SD } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Infit } \\ \text { Mean } \\ \text { Square } \\ \text { Min } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Infit } \\ \text { Mean } \\ \text { Square } \\ \text { Max } \end{array}$ | Outift Mean Square [0.7, 1.3] | Outift Mean Square Mean | Outfit <br> Mean Square SD | Outift <br> Mean Square Min | Outift Mean Square Max | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Outfit } \\ \text { Mean } \\ \text { Square } \\ {[0.7,} \\ 1.3] \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winter | Algebral | 42 | 1.05 | 0.14 | 0.68 | 1.35 | 40 | 1.08 | 0.21 | 0.57 | 1.48 | 31 |
| Winter | Biology | 54 | 1.01 | 0.14 | 0.64 | 1.40 | 51 | 1.02 | 0.19 | 0.44 | 1.59 | 47 |
| Winter | Literature | 40 | 1.03 | 0.16 | 0.69 | 1.44 | 37 | 1.07 | 0.24 | 0.69 | 1.85 | 34 |
| Spring | Algebral | 42 | 0.99 | 0.12 | 0.73 | 1.23 | 42 | 0.99 | 0.17 | 0.68 | 1.39 | 39 |
| Spring | Biology | 54 | 1.00 | 0.12 | 0.81 | 1.27 | 54 | 1.00 | 0.20 | 0.73 | 1.45 | 48 |
| Spring | Literature | 40 | 1.00 | 0.15 | 0.75 | 1.34 | 39 | 1.02 | 0.25 | 0.66 | 1.69 | 32 |
| Summer | Algebral | 42 | 0.97 | 0.11 | 0.69 | 1.21 | 41 | 0.97 | 0.14 | 0.67 | 1.29 | 40 |
| Summer | Biology | 54 | 0.99 | 0.08 | 0.80 | 1.33 | 53 | 1.01 | 0.17 | 0.80 | 1.80 | 51 |
| Summer | Literature | 40 | 0.97 | 0.16 | 0.63 | 1.29 | 36 | 0.98 | 0.19 | 0.52 | 1.27 | 35 |

## RASCH ITEM STATISTICS

As noted earlier, the Rasch model expresses item difficulty (and student ability) in units referred to as logits, rather than using the percent-correct metric. In the simplest case, a logit is a transformed $p$-value with the average $p$-value becoming a logit of zero. In this form, logits resemble z-scores or standard normal deviates; a very difficult item might have a logit of +4.0 and a very easy item might have a logit of -4.0 . However, they have no formal relationship to the normal distribution.

The logit metric has several mathematical advantages over $p$-values. Logits have an interval scale, meaning that two items with logits of 0.0 and +1.0 , respectively, are the same distance apart as two items with logits of +3.0 and +4.0. Logits are not dependent on the ability level of the students. For example, a test form can have a mean logit of zero, whether the average item $p$-value for the student sample is 0.8 or 0.3 .

The standard Rasch calibration procedure arbitrarily sets the mean difficulty of the items on any form at zero. Under normal circumstances where all students are administered the same set of items, any item with a $p$-value lower than the average item on the form receives a positive logit and any item with a $p$-value higher than the average receives a negative logit. Consequently, the logits for any calibration relate to an arbitrary origin defined by the center of items on that form. Logits for both item difficulties and student abilities are placed on the same scale and relate to the same mean item difficulty.

There are a number of other choices that could be made for centering the item difficulties. Rather than using all the items, the origin could be defined by content. For the Keystone Exams, all test forms in a particular content area share the same operational item set. All items on each form can then be easily adjusted to a single origin by defining the origin as the mean of the operational items. With this done, the origins for all the forms will be statistically equal. For example, items on any two forms that are equally difficult will now have statistically equal logit difficulties.

Appendix $J$ reports the item statistics including classical and Rasch logit difficulties for all the operational items and the field test items embedded in the spring forms. Table 12-4 summarizes the Rasch logit difficulties of the operational items on each test for each administration. The mean of MC item difficulty was no longer equal to zero as it was for the 2011 administration. This is because all the item parameter estimates were anchored to the pre-equated values. The mean item difficulties for MC items were smaller than those for the CR items. Table 12-4
also shows the mean standard errors (SE) of the item difficulties, which were relatively small, suggesting that items were calibrated with very small errors. The minimum (Min) and maximum (Max) values and standard deviations (SD) suggest the Keystone Exams items covered a relatively wide range of difficulties.

Table 12-4. Summary of Rasch Item Difficulties

| Administration/Content Area | Item Types | N | Mean Item Difficulty | Mean SE | SD | Min | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winter Algebra I | All | 42 | 0.56 | 0.01 | 0.86 | -1.32 | 1.95 |
| Winter Algebra I | MC | 36 | 0.42 | 0.01 | 0.82 | -1.32 | 1.81 |
| Winter Algebra I | CR | 6 | 1.41 | 0.01 | 0.53 | 0.52 | 1.95 |
| Winter Biology | All | 54 | 0.20 | 0.01 | 0.63 | -0.92 | 1.53 |
| Winter Biology | MC | 48 | 0.11 | 0.01 | 0.59 | -0.92 | 1.36 |
| Winter Biology | CR | 6 | 0.85 | 0.01 | 0.62 | -0.19 | 1.53 |
| Winter Literature | All | 40 | 0.37 | 0.01 | 0.86 | -1.36 | 1.69 |
| Winter Literature | MC | 34 | 0.23 | 0.01 | 0.85 | -1.36 | 1.69 |
| Winter Literature | CR | 6 | 1.16 | 0.01 | 0.28 | 0.74 | 1.48 |
| Spring Algebra I | All | 42 | 0.32 | 0.01 | 0.75 | -1.24 | 2.11 |
| Spring Algebra I | MC | 36 | 0.13 | 0.01 | 0.56 | -1.24 | 1.46 |
| Spring Algebra I | CR | 6 | 1.46 | 0.00 | 0.71 | 0.27 | 2.11 |
| Spring Biology | All | 54 | 0.17 | 0.01 | 0.74 | -1.60 | 1.61 |
| Spring Biology | MC | 48 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.71 | -1.60 | 1.55 |
| Spring Biology | CR | 6 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.48 | 0.16 | 1.61 |
| Spring Literature | All | 40 | 0.24 | 0.01 | 0.78 | -1.40 | 1.59 |
| Spring Literature | MC | 34 | 0.13 | 0.01 | 0.79 | -1.40 | 1.59 |
| Spring Literature | CR | 6 | 0.86 | 0.00 | 0.24 | 0.49 | 1.23 |
| Summer Algebra I | All | 42 | 0.35 | 0.06 | 0.85 | -1.42 | 2.23 |
| Summer Algebra I | MC | 36 | 0.19 | 0.06 | 0.77 | -1.42 | 1.41 |
| Summer Algebra I | CR | 6 | 1.29 | 0.03 | 0.73 | 0.39 | 2.23 |
| Summer Biology | All | 54 | 0.22 | 0.07 | 0.61 | -1.13 | 1.41 |
| Summer Biology | MC | 48 | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.54 | -1.13 | 1.15 |
| Summer Biology | CR | 6 | 1.12 | 0.04 | 0.21 | 0.84 | 1.41 |
| Summer Literature | All | 40 | 0.19 | 0.11 | 0.83 | -1.72 | 1.45 |
| Summer Literature | MC | 34 | 0.07 | 0.12 | 0.85 | -1.72 | 1.45 |
| Summer Literature | CR | 6 | 0.87 | 0.07 | 0.16 | 0.72 | 1.14 |

## ITEM DIFFICULTY-STUDENT ABILITY MAP

The distributions of the Rasch item logits (item difficulty estimates) are shown on the item difficulty-student ability maps presented in Figure 12-1. In each item-student map, markers on the right-hand side represent student ability values, whereas markers on the left-hand side represent item difficulty parameter estimates. As noted earlier, the Rasch model enables placement of both items and students on the same scale. Consequently, one can easily visualize information about how the difficulty of the test items related to the ability distribution of students who took the test. The students located in the upper-right quadrant of any given plot have relatively higher ability. Items in the lower-left quadrant are relatively easier. ${ }^{1}$ The three dashed lines (from bottom to top) represent the performance cuts: below basic/basic, basic/proficient, and proficient/advanced. The common pattern seen across all maps was that the item difficulties were comparable to the student ability levels. It is also important to understand where the items are providing more accurate measurement. This issue is addressed more fully in Chapter Eighteen (see Figure 18-2).
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## CHAPTER THIRTEEN: STANDARD SETTING

## STANDARD SETTING AND PERFORMANCE LEVEL DESCRIPTORS

The Keystone Performance Level Descriptors (PLDs) are paragraphs that describe the knowledge and skills expected at different performance levels with respect to the content standards (Pennsylvania Keystone Exams Assessment Anchor Content Standards and Eligible Content) for each of the Keystone Exams. Descriptors must be clearly written to ensure that all stakeholders have a common understanding of what describes expected performance at the various levels (i.e., Below Basic, Basic, Proficient, and Advanced). PLDs were developed, reviewed, and finalized by the PDE/QRT ${ }^{1}$ and committees of Pennsylvania educators as required by the Chapter 4 Regulations. After the development and final review by PDE/QRT and Pennsylvania educators, the descriptors were prepared for use during the standard setting workshop. During this meeting, the descriptors were used to guide the standard setting process for each of the Keystone Exams. They were instrumental to the validity and defensibility of the standard setting process.

The standard setting for the Algebra I, Biology, and Literature Keystone Exams was conducted by Data Recognition Corporation (DRC) using a Bookmark procedure (Lewis, Mitzel, \& Green, 1996) during a workshop held in Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, June 23-24, 2011. After the standard setting event, the descriptors were finalized. Along with the recommended cut scores, final PLDs for each of the Keystone Exams were submitted to the Pennsylvania Board of Education for final approval.

Below is a summary of the process that was used to guide the development of the Keystone Exams PLDs and a summary of the methodology and results of the standard setting workshop. Additional details about the standard setting event can be found in the Keystone Standard Setting Technical Report (Pennsylvania Department of Education, 2011).

## DEVELOPMENT OVERVIEW FOR THE PERFORMANCE LEVEL DESCRIPTORS

The Keystone Exams PLDs were developed by Pennsylvania educators during two meetings. The goal of the first meeting was to have Pennsylvania educators review the general Pennsylvania Policy Definitions that describe, at a high level, performance expected for each level and complete an in-depth analysis of the Keystone Exams Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content in order to create a bulleted list describing, in detail, what students are expected to know and be able to do at each performance level. The goal of the second meeting was to have committees of Pennsylvania educators review the Pennsylvania Policy Definitions again and draft general descriptors (paragraphs) that build upon and/or summarize the information from the bulleted lists of what students are expected to know and be able to do at each performance level.

Guiding documents were prepared for each meeting. The guiding documents included the following:

- PowerPoint training presentations
- Meeting agendas
- Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content documents
- Policy definitions
- Other relevant materials as needed to help guide the work of the committees

All meeting materials were submitted to PDE/QRT for review and approval before each Keystone Exams meeting following an agreed-upon development schedule. The following section provides specific information concerning each meeting.

## ROLE OF FACILITATORS AND OBSERVERS FOR THE MEETINGS

The role of the facilitators was to ensure that a fair and orderly consensus process was followed for each meeting, that the committee members' work was adequately documented, and that the process stayed on schedule. The facilitators developed the agenda, prepared all meeting materials such as the PowerPoint training presentations and the task-guiding documents, and provided the initial training on the development of the specific descriptors (meeting 1) and the general descriptors (meeting 2). PDE/QRT members supported the facilitation process and/or served as observers of the process.

The facilitators also served as a resource, answering questions pertaining to the content of the standards (Assessment Anchor Content Standards and Eligible Content) and the documents developed to guide the process. Facilitators also summarized the results of each meeting, finalized the results, and prepared the specific descriptors/bulleted lists (meeting 1) and the general descriptors (meeting 2) for PDE/QRT review and approval.

## PERFORMANCE LEVEL DESCRIPTORS MEETING 1

CREATING SPECIFIC LISTS DESCRIBING WHAT STUDENTS SHOULD KNOW AND BE ABLE TO DO AT EACH PERFORMANCE LEVEL

The first PLD meeting for Algebra I, Biology, and Literature Exams was held May 18-19, 2010, in Harrisburg, Pennsylvania. The purpose of the first meeting was to guide Pennsylvania educators in understanding the Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content for Algebra I, Biology, and Literature for what the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania determined students should know and be able to do for a given Keystone Exam subject. Committee members applied this understanding to the development of a bulleted list of specific determinations as to the level of knowledge and skills deemed necessary for each performance level. The section below describes the process used in the first meeting.

## TRAINING

Pennsylvania educators received general training on how to develop specific PLDs, including training on how to describe student performance in relation to the Keystone Exams Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content. The training also provided educators with a general overview of the Standards Aligned System (SAS) and the highlevel plan for the Keystone Exams. Definitions of key terms (e.g., Assessment Anchor Content Standards, Eligible Content, Performance Level Descriptors) were provided along with information on the background and purpose of the Keystone Exams. Keystone Exams content-specific materials (e.g., Assessment Anchor Content Standards, Eligible Content, other guiding documents) were distributed. The PDE/QRT also provided information on the policy definitions for existing Pennsylvania assessments.

## ANALYZING THE ASSESSMENT ANCHORS AND ELIGIBLE CONTENT AND THE GENERAL POLICY DEFINITIONS FOR PENNSYLVANIA ASSESSMENTS

Following the introductory training, educators were divided into groups according to each Keystone Exam. Each group focused specifically on the task at hand-developing the specific PLDs for a given Keystone Exam. Committee members were informed of the format of the specific descriptors (bulleted list) and the number of proposed performance levels for each Keystone Exam (Below Basic, Basic, Proficient, and Advanced). Committee members were then given time to familiarize themselves with the policy definitions and the Assessment Anchors and the Eligible Content for a given Keystone Exam. They were provided with PDE/QRT-approved guiding documents to facilitate the process. Beginning with Proficient, committee members were asked to draft, in bulleted-list format, each performance level for Basic, Proficient, and Advanced, making sure to consider the knowledge and skills required or deemed necessary for each performance level. Note: Educators were not asked to create a specific descriptor for Below Basic.

## DRAFTING SPECIFIC DESCRIPTORS

Outlined below is the sequence of steps taken to develop specific descriptors. The sequence was not always followed exactly. For example, some steps occurred simultaneously; other steps were repeated as needed or reordered as necessary.

1. The committee began with the development of the bulleted list for Proficient to serve as a model for the work during the remainder of the development process. As a formative first task using the Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content and the Pennsylvania Policy Definition for Proficient, the committee was asked to discuss, deliberate, and reach consensus on its initial bulleted list of the knowledge and skills needed to be considered Proficient. During this process, members were encouraged to consult all available resources and guiding documents. Particular emphasis was placed on the alignment of the knowledge and skills necessary for Proficient performance with what students are expected to know and be able to do as defined by the Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content for Algebra I, Biology, and Literature.
2. Once the committee drafted a bulleted list of the knowledge and skills needed to describe Proficient performance based upon the Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content, a group discussion took place. In reviewing the bulleted list for Proficient, the educators were specifically asked to determine whether all members agreed that the list included the appropriate knowledge and skills from the Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content to describe the Proficient performance level and that all Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content were sufficiently addressed.
3. The results of the discussion were summarized, and suggested revisions were made. The summary feedback was presented to the committee for additional consideration. An open discussion followed. Committee consensus was reached.
4. Following development of the bulleted list of the knowledge and skills needed for the Proficient performance level as determined by the committee, the committee began the development of the bulleted lists describing the specific knowledge and skills needed for Basic and Advanced. To complete the task, the committee members followed the procedures analogous to those used to develop the specific bulleted list for the Proficient performance level. These procedures included, as a formative first task, the committee's use of the Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content and the Pennsylvania Policy Definitions (e.g., Basic, Advanced) to discuss, deliberate, and reach consensus on its initial bulleted list of the knowledge and skills needed for Basic and then Advanced. This order of development-Proficient first, followed by Basic and then Advanced-was followed throughout the remainder of the process.

Once the initial drafts of the bulleted lists for Basic, Proficient, and Advanced were developed, a group discussion took place. To guide the discussion, the following questions were used to evaluate each specific descriptor (bulleted list) for a given performance level (Basic, Proficient, Advanced):

- Is the description of the performance level appropriate? If not, what revisions need to be made?
- Is the description of the specific Keystone Exam inappropriate because the list of knowledge and skills included in the description of the performance level is too demanding? If so, what revisions need to be made?
- Is the description inappropriate because the knowledge and skills included in the description of the performance level is inconsistent with the expectation of the high standards as reflected in the Policy Definition? If so, what revisions need to be made?
- Is the description inappropriate because the knowledge and skills included in the description of the performance level might be too easy? If so, what revisions need to be made?

5. The results of the discussion were summarized, and suggested revisions were listed. The summary feedback was presented to the committee for additional consideration. An open discussion followed. Depending upon the degree of concurrence, the facilitators proposed revisions based on the committee members' feedback to the specific descriptors (bulleted lists) for each descriptor. Committee consensus was reached.
6. Once consensus was reached, the bulleted lists or specific descriptions for each performance level were reviewed once again to confirm that all Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content were sufficiently addressed for each performance level and that the lists showed a clear progression from one performance level to the next. The results of the discussion were summarized, and suggested revisions were listed. The summary feedback was presented to the committee for additional consideration. An open discussion followed. Depending upon the degree of concurrence, the facilitators proposed revisions to the lists for each descriptor based on the committee members' feedback. Committee consensus was reached.
7. Following completion of the committee's work, the specific PLDs or bulleted lists of the knowledge and skills needed for each descriptor were collected. The bulleted lists were prepared for final review by the PDE/QRT. Upon approval by the PDE/QRT, the bulleted lists of the knowledge and skills describing each performance level were posted on the PDE website for additional review and feedback.

## PERFORMANCE LEVEL DESCRIPTORS MEETING 2

## CREATING GENERAL DESCRIPTIVE PARAGRAPHS DESCRIBING WHAT STUDENTS SHOULD KNOW AND BE ABLE TO DO AT EACH PERFORMANCE LEVEL

The second meeting for Algebra I, Biology, and Literature Exams took place in Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, on April 27-28, 2011. The second meeting built upon the work completed at the first meeting. The purpose of the second meeting was to guide the committee of Pennsylvania educators in developing general PLDs (paragraphs) for each of the performance levels (Basic, Proficient, and Advanced). These paragraphs were clearly written to ensure all stakeholders have a common understanding of what describes expected performance at the various levels. The paragraphs were not to be as specific as the bulleted lists but were to be aligned to the bulleted lists. In order to complete the task, the educators reviewed the Pennsylvania Policy Definitions for the performance levels.

Table 13-1. Pennsylvania Policy Definitions

| Level | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| Advanced | The Advanced Level reflects superior academic performance. Advanced work indicates an in-depth understanding and <br> exemplary display of the skills included in the Keystone Exams Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content. |
| Proficient | The Proficient Level reflects satisfactory academic performance. Proficient work indicates a solid understanding and <br> adequate display of the skills included in the Keystone Exams Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content. |
| Basic | The Basic Level reflects marginal academic performance. Basic work indicates a partial understanding and limited <br> display of the skills included in the Keystone Exams Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content. This work is approaching <br> satisfactory performance, but has not been reached. There is a need for additional instructional opportunities and/or <br> increased student academic commitment to achieve the Proficient Level. |
| Below Basic | The Below Basic Level reflects inadequate academic performance. Below Basic work indicates little understanding and <br> minimal display of the skills included in the Keystone Exams Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content. There is a major <br> need for additional instructional opportunities and/or increased student academic commitment to achieve the Proficient <br> Level. |

The committee members then reviewed the specific bulleted list describing the knowledge and skills for Proficient based upon the Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content to determine whether the list of knowledge and skills provided in the bulleted list was still in alignment with the Policy Definition for Proficient. This review by the committee also included an in-depth analysis of the Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content. The section below describes, in detail, the process used in the second meeting.

## TRAINING

Pennsylvania educators received general training on how to develop general descriptors (paragraphs) that describe performance at the various levels, including training on how to describe student performance in relation to the Keystone Exams Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content. The training also included providing Pennsylvania educators with a general overview of the SAS and the high-level plan for the Keystone Exams. Definitions of key terms (e.g., Assessment Anchor Content Standard, Eligible Content, specific and general Performance Level Descriptors) were provided along with information on the background and purpose of the Keystone Exams. A review of the Pennsylvania Policy Definitions was also included in the training, including a discussion of how the
policy definition for Proficient relates to what it means to be Proficient on a given Keystone Exam. Content-specific materials (e.g., Policy Definitions, Assessment Anchor Content Standards and Eligible Content, specific descriptors or bulleted lists from the first meeting, other guiding documents) were also distributed.

## ANALYZING THE ASSESSMENT ANCHORS AND ELIGIBLE CONTENT AND THE POLICY DEFINITION FOR PROFICIENT

Following the introductory training, Pennsylvania educators were divided into groups according to Keystone Exam. Each group focused specifically on the task at hand-developing the general PLD paragraphs (Basic, Proficient, and Advanced) for a given Keystone Exam. To begin the process, educators reviewed the Pennsylvania Policy Definition for Proficient.

## DRAFTING GENERAL DESCRIPTOR PARAGRAPHS

Once the committee reviewed the bulleted list for alignment to the Policy Definition for Proficient, committee members were asked to describe, in general terms, the knowledge and skills deemed necessary for each performance level (Basic, Proficient, and Advanced), beginning with Proficient. As a formative first task, committee members were instructed to refer to the bulleted list of the knowledge and skills required or deemed necessary for each performance level. Outlined below is the sequence of steps for the process used to develop the general PLD paragraphs. The sequence was not always followed exactly. For example, some steps occurred simultaneously; other steps were repeated as needed or reordered as necessary.

The committee began with the development of the general descriptor paragraph for the Proficient performance level. This general descriptor served as a model for the committee's work during the remainder of the development process. Using the Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content, the specific descriptors (bulleted list) for Proficient, and the Pennsylvania Policy Definition for Proficient, the committee was asked to discuss, deliberate, and reach consensus on a written description of the knowledge and skills needed for Proficient. During the process, members were encouraged to consult all available resources and guiding documents. Particular emphasis was placed on the alignment of the knowledge and skills necessary for the Proficient performance descriptor to the Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content for the given Keystone Exam.

Note: In order to help guide educators in the development of the general descriptor paragraph for Proficient, samples of descriptor paragraphs for Algebra I, Biology, and Literature (e.g., Georgia, North Carolina) were provided. The committee members were encouraged to approach the task by noting how the sample general descriptors must provide the right words to define performance-having a balance between keeping the description of Proficient general enough yet not as specific as the bulleted list. Committee members were also encouraged not to focus too heavily upon style, grammar, and mechanics at this stage. In other words, committee members were not to serve as "wordsmiths."

1. Once an initial draft paragraph summarizing the knowledge and skills needed to describe Proficient performance was developed, a group discussion took place. Committee members were asked to review the draft paragraph and determine whether the paragraph provided a clear description of what it means to be Proficient on a given Keystone Exam and the Policy Definition for Proficient. The goal of the discussion was to reach consensus.
2. Following development of the general paragraph describing Proficient, the committee began the development of the general paragraph describing Basic performance and the general paragraph describing Advanced performance. To complete the task, the committee members followed the procedures analogous to those used to develop the general paragraph describing Proficient performance on a given Keystone Exam. This process included, as a formative first task, using the Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content, the specific descriptors (bulleted lists), and the Pennsylvania Policy Definitions for a given level (e.g., Basic, Advanced) and discussing, deliberating, and reaching consensus on the knowledge and skills needed for Basic and the knowledge and skills needed for Advanced. This order of development-Proficient first, followed by Basic and then Advanced - was followed throughout the remainder of the process.
3. Once the initial draft paragraphs were developed for the other performance levels, a group discussion took place. In reviewing the state of development of the general PLD paragraphs at this stage, the committee members were asked to consider the following questions:
4. Does each paragraph clearly summarize the knowledge and skills required for a given performance level (Basic, Proficient, and Advanced)? If not, what revisions need to be made?
5. Does each paragraph provide for an appropriate description of the performance level? In other words, does each paragraph provide an overview or summary of the knowledge and skills appropriate for a given performance level? If not, what revisions need to be made?
6. Does any paragraph provide information that should not be included in the description of the performance level? If so, what revisions need to be made?
7. Is there information in any PLD paragraph that does not align well with the Pennsylvania Policy Definitions for a given performance level? If so, what revisions need to be made?
8. Do any paragraphs include information that might be inconsistent with the knowledge and skills defined by the Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content? If so, what revisions need to be made?
9. Does any paragraph include information describing performance that might be too demanding or too easy? If so, what revisions need to be made?
10. The results of the discussion were summarized, and revisions to each general PLD paragraph were made. Committee consensus was reached.
11. Once consensus was reached, the paragraphs describing performance at each level were reviewed again by the committee to confirm the following:
12. The PLD paragraphs show a clear progression from one performance level to the next level.
13. The PLD paragraphs are consistent with the Pennsylvania Policy Definitions.
14. The PLD paragraphs are aligned to the Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content.
15. The results of the discussion were summarized, suggested revisions were made, and committee members' feedback was incorporated into the paragraphs. Committee consensus was reached.
16. Following completion of the committee's work, the general PLD paragraphs were provided to PDE/QRT for final review and feedback. Upon approval by PDE/QRT, the general PLD paragraphs were used to guide the standard setting process.

## STANDARD SETTING

A major purpose in the design of the standard setting workshop for the Keystone Exams is to establish procedures to set the performance cuts for the newly developed exams and, at the same time, adhere to the framework required by federal guidelines (USED, 2004) for setting performance levels. Federal guidelines (USED, 2004: Sect 2) specify that the setting of performance standards must involve the following elements:

- Formal adoption of performance categories that comprise at least three levels
- Pluralistic representation by education stakeholders, to include, for example, members of the public, school teachers and administrators, special education teachers, etc.
- Performance standards based primarily on expert judgment regarding content-based expectations of student achievement, but including the consideration of student impact data
- Descriptions of the competencies associated with each performance level

Accordingly, the standard setting workshop is designed to satisfy the following goals:

- A defensible and federally acceptable standard setting methodology that emphasizes a content-based approach for recommending the new performance standards
- The incorporation of PLDs developed by Pennsylvania educators into the standard setting process. (The larger goal around the incorporation of PLDs into the process is to help ensure the alignment of Pennsylvania's content standards to performance expectations as established by the recommended cut scores.)

The panelists were informed that the results from this meeting would be presented to the Board for review and
possible adoption.

## PANELIST RECRUITMENT

PDE selected committee members for the Algebra I, Biology, and Literature standard setting workshop mostly from members who participated in the May 2010 and April 2011 Performance Level Advisory committees. These committee members were selected as the starting pool because they represented the diversity of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, had a mix of teaching and committee experience, and, most importantly, were familiar with the PLDs of the Keystone Exams. From this list, PDE selected a subset of 25 members for Algebra I, 25 members for Biology, and 23 members for Literature to serve as eligible candidates. DRC, in collaboration with PDE and its Technical Advisory Committee (TAC), established a target of 15 to 20 participants for each of the Keystone Exams in Algebra I, Biology, and Literature.

Between March and June 2011, a great effort was made to recruit enough panelists to meet the target number of participants. In accordance with federal guidelines for representative committees and TAC's recommendation of recruiting a few committee members with higher education experience, the following background factors were applied in the recruitment decision:

- Gender
- Ethnicity
- Grade level and higher education experience
- Content expertise
- Geographic location
- Specializations
- Experience in developing state academic standards, state assessments, and other related activities

However, due to the unavailability of and the cancellation by some committee members, a total of 15,13 , and 11 panelists attended the standard setting workshop for Algebra I, Biology, and Literature, respectively. Table 13-2 contains the summary information about the characteristics of the selected panelists for each content area based on their self-reported responses to the Participant Survey. As can be seen from this table, there were committee members who considered themselves minority in the Algebra I and Literature groups. There were also committee members with administration and/or teaching experience in higher education, special education, and/ or individualized education plan (IEP); those with experience working in different regions; and those with different lengths of teaching experience.

Table 13-2. Self-Reported Demographic Composition of Panelists by Content Area

| Demographic Information | Algebra 1 Number | Algebra 1 Percent | Biology Number | Biologyl Percent | Literature Number | Literature Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender: Male | 9 | 60.0\% | 5 | 38.5\% | 5 | 45.5\% |
| Gender: Female | 6 | 40.0\% | 8 | 61.5\% | 6 | 54.5\% |
| Ethnicity: Asian | 1 | 6.7\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Ethnicity: American Indian | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Ethnicity: Black | 1 | 6.7\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 9.1\% |
| Ethnicity: Latino | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Ethnicity: Multi-Race | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Ethnicity: White | 13 | 86.7\% | 13 | 100.0\% | 10 | 90.9\% |
| Role: Classroom Teacher | 8 | 53.3\% | 9 | 69.2\% | 4 | 36.4\% |
| Role: Educator | 3 | 20.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Role: Higher Education Educator | 3 | 20.0\% | 1 | 7.7\% | 4 | 36.4\% |
| Role: Other | 1 | 6.7\% | 3 | 23.1\% | 3 | 27.3\% |
| Special Education: Yes | 7 | 46.7\% | 7 | 53.8\% | 4 | 36.4\% |
| Special Education: No | 2 | 13.3\% | 4 | 30.8\% | 3 | 27.3\% |
| Special Education: N/A | 6 | 40.0\% | 2 | 15.4\% | 4 | 36.4\% |
| LEP: Yes | 4 | 26.7\% | 5 | 38.5\% | 2 | 18.2\% |
| LEP: No | 4 | 26.7\% | 6 | 46.2\% | 5 | 45.5\% |
| LEP: N/A | 6 | 40.0\% | 2 | 15.4\% | 4 | 36.4\% |
| LEP: Missing | 1 | 6.7\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Region: Urban | 3 | 20.0\% | 2 | 15.4\% | 2 | 18.2\% |
| Region: Suburban | 7 | 46.7\% | 5 | 38.5\% | 5 | 45.5\% |
| Region: Rural | 4 | 26.7\% | 6 | 46.2\% | 3 | 27.3\% |
| Region: Other | 1 | 6.7\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 9.1\% |
| Experience: Less than 10 years | 0 | 0.0\% | 5 | 38.5\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Experience: 10-20 years | 4 | 26.7\% | 2 | 15.4\% | 4 | 36.4\% |
| Experience: 20-30 years | 8 | 53.3\% | 4 | 30.8\% | 3 | 27.3\% |
| Experience: More than 30 years | 3 | 20.0\% | 2 | 15.4\% | 4 | 36.4\% |

## MATERIALS PREPARATION

Workshop materials were developed and printed by DRC. The following is a list of materials that were available to panelists during the workshop:

- Item Map
- Item Separation Map
- Ordered Item Booklet (OIB)
- Passages
- Scoring Rubrics
- 2011 Operational Test Form
- PLDs
- Content Standards
- Participant Rating Form
- Participant Survey
- Readiness Form
- Evaluation Form
- Adhesive bookmarks, pens, highlighters, etc.

Item Map. The item map is a summary document displaying relevant information regarding each item. It contains the OIB page number, the original test sequence, item type, key, and content standard. The item map is ordered by difficulty in the same manner as the ordered item booklet. The item separation map is a graphical display of the relative difficulty of each item.

Ordered Item Booklet. The ordered item booklet is composed of all the operational items included in the test given to students in Spring 2011. Items are ordered from the easiest to the hardest. Each page contains an item and a page number. For constructed-response (CR) items, each score point with a sample response has a unique location in the OIB. A visual illustration of the OIB is provided in Figure 13-1.

Figure 13-1. Illustration of Ordered Item Booklet


To ensure there was no item difficulty gap for the items in an OIB, a few field test items were added to the OIBs. Table 13-3 shows the number of items supplemented into the OIBs by content area.

Table 13-3. Number of Score Points in OIB and Number of Items Supplemented

| Exam | Number of Score <br> Points in OIB | Number of Items <br> upplemented |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Algebra I | 63 | 3 |
| Biology | 69 | 3 |
| Literature | 54 | 2 |

Details of all other materials can be found in the Keystone Standard Setting Technical Report (Pennsylvania Department of Education, 2011).

## DATA PREPARATION

In Bookmark standard setting (Lewis et al., 1996), the locations of items are typically rescaled to produce better alignment with the task of asking panelists what a student should know and be able to do. A probability of 0.67 is often used to find the corresponding item location during rescaling because this probability aligns better with the likelihood panelists use to make their judgment on whether a borderline student should answer the item correctly or receive a score point or higher. For Keystone Exams, the multiple-choice (MC) items were calibrated using the familiar form of the dichotomous Rasch model. The CR items were calibrated using another model in the Rasch family, Master's partial-credit model (Wright \& Masters, 1982). The latter model parameterizes each threshold needed to obtain the maximum score on the task. Consequently, there is one item difficulty parameter for each of the $n-1$ score transitions ( $0 / 1,1 / 2$, etc.), or thresholds. Using the equated item parameters, the locations of items were rescaled to a response probability of 0.67 (i.e., $\mathrm{RP}=0.67$ ). For MC items, the item locations were found by solving
$\Phi_{n i}=\frac{\exp \left(\beta_{n}-\delta_{i}\right)}{1+\exp \left(\beta_{n}-\delta_{i}\right)}$
for the value of $\beta_{n}$ that gives $\Phi_{n i}=0.67 . \Phi_{n i}=$ is the probability that person $n$ scores 1 on item $i ; \beta_{n}$ is the ability of person $n$; and $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{i}$ is the difficulty of item $i$.

For CR items, the probability of person $n$ scoring $x$ on item $i$ is

$$
\pi_{n i x}=\frac{\exp \sum_{j=0}^{x}\left(\beta_{n}-\delta_{i j}\right)}{\sum_{k=0}^{m_{i}} \exp \sum_{j=0}^{x}\left(\beta_{n}-\delta_{i j}\right)} \quad, \quad x=0,1, \ldots, m i
$$

where $m i$ is the number of thresholds and, for notational convenience,
$\exp \sum_{j=0}^{0}\left(\beta_{n}-\delta_{j}\right)=1$.
This equation expresses the probability of person $n$ scoring $x$ on the $m_{i}$ threshold of item $i$ as a function of the person's measure ( $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}$ ) and the threshold difficulties ( $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{i j}$ ) of the $m_{i}$ thresholds for item $i$. The observation $x$ is a count of the successfully completed item thresholds. The item location for a score point is determined by finding the $\beta_{n}$ for the person who has a 0.67 probability of earning this score point or higher.

The figure below shows how the difficulty values of MC items and score values for the CR items were treated in determining their respective OIB placements. For an MC item (left plot), the difficulty is the point on the scale at which the examinees have a 0.67 probability of answering the item correctly. For the CR item (right plot), the four illustrated values (e.g., on a $0-1000$ scale) indicate where the examinees have a 0.67 probability of earning a particular score point or higher. The item difficulty for the MC item is 768 , and the four threshold values for the CR items are $521,575,723$, and 815 . The value of 521 is the location on the scale where examinees have a 0.67 probability of earning a score of 1 or higher (i.e., 2,3 , or 4 ). The value of 575 is where examinees have a 0.67 probability of earning a score of 2 or higher (i.e., 3 or 4). The value of 723 is where examinees have a 0.67 probability of earning a score of 3 or 4 .

Figure 13-2. Example of Obtaining Item Difficulties for MC and CR Items


## TRAINING

The overall training was conducted the first morning of the workshop. Participants were informed that they were to

- be responsible for all secure materials,
- verify their individual placements for each round of judgments, and
- participate in a discussion as a large group.

Content-specific training was conducted after content area groups assembled in different rooms. These training materials included the following:

- Item Map
- Item Separation Map
- OlBs
- Training Rubrics and/or Passages
- PLDs
- Rating Form

Panelists were told that the process includes iterations (rounds) of individual judgments, group discussions, and opportunities to revise judgments. In addition, impacts were presented (percentage of students in each performance level) based on the large groups' results and external data.

## BOOKMARK PROCEDURE

DRC utilized a Bookmark method to set the performance standards. Bookmark is one in a broad category of methods commonly referred to as item mapping that focus on items rather than examinees. To begin the process, participants were asked to visualize the knowledge and skills of a student who is at the borderline between two performance levels based on the PLDs. Thereafter, participants were given an ordered item booklet (with items ordered from easiest to most difficult) and asked to assess whether this borderline student has a reasonably high probability of answering each item correctly. "Reasonably high" was defined as 0.67 . In addition, an item map was presented that contained the response key, the content objective, and the item sequence in the test booklets. An item separation map was also presented that showed the relative difficulty of each item. Panelists were given a rating form to record their individual placements for all performance levels in each round. Before each round, panelists were asked to fill out a readiness form in order to proceed.

Round 1. The Bookmark procedure proceeded in three rounds. Round 1 began following the review and discussion of PLDs facilitated by a DRC test development specialist. Participants then reviewed the OIBs independently. During this review, they were asked to determine what academic knowledge, skills, and competencies were required for a barely Proficient, Basic, or Advanced student to respond correctly to each successively more difficult item.

Training by the overall psychometric lead during the bookmark placement session emphasized the following points:

- The bookmark represents a judgment of the divide between items that a student at the borderline of a performance level should master and those that are not necessary to master.
- Bookmark placement should not be thought of as separating two items but rather two groups of items. In other words, a placement should not hinge on distinctions drawn for adjacent items without some compelling reason, such as a large gap in content difficulty.
- Students with a scaled score at a given cut score should have approximately a 0.67 probability of correctly responding to a MC item or receiving a certain score point and higher for a CR item at the cut score. These same students should have a higher probability of success on easier items (before the bookmark placement) and a lower probability of success on harder items (after the bookmark placement).
- While placing their bookmarks, panelists should consider what students should know and be able to do in the context of the skills implied by the PLDs and the item content.
- Panelists could start with placing the Basic/Proficient cut point, next the Below Basic/Basic cut point, and finally the Proficient/Advanced cut point.

Panelists were asked to record their bookmark placements on the rating form after they filled out a readiness form, which indicated they had completed the training and understood the standard setting process and their roles. Panelists' judgments were entered into a spreadsheet program. The median ratings of all panelists were calculated. The median placements were treated as the recommended cut scores. In addition, the standard errors associated with the recommended bookmark placements were calculated and associated impact data were determined.

Round 2. Round 2 started with a discussion of Round 1 results. The individual panelists' Round 1 bookmark placements, the median bookmark placements, and the percentage of students in each performance level were presented. Panelists were instructed to verify the ratings entered into the program as correct. A large-group discussion followed. The panelists compared their results with others by considering questions such as why they made their Round 1 placements at the locations where they did and what skills and knowledge were required to answer the items. After that, the impact data, based on the median bookmark placement from Round 1 (using the Spring 2011 operational test score distributions), were provided to help panelists frame the effects of their judgments. During Round 2 discussion, there was no attempt by the facilitators to reach consensus.

After Round 2 discussion, panelists were asked to make a second set of bookmark placements. Before they revised their Round 1 placements, they were asked to fill out the readiness form to make sure they understood how to adjust their placements (if they desired to do so) based on Round 1 information. The judgments were entered into the spreadsheet program to calculate the median cut scores for each table and the full panel. The associated impact data were also calculated.

Round 3. Round 3 began with a discussion of Round 2 results. The process followed in Round 2 was used. More specifically, the individual panelist's Round 2 bookmark placements, the median bookmark placements from Round 2, and the percentage of students in each performance level were presented. Panelists were instructed to verify the ratings entered into the program as correct. A table discussion followed. Panelists compared their results with others by considering questions such as why they made their Round 2 placements at the locations where they did and what skills and knowledge were required to answer the questions. The impact data, based on the median bookmark placement from Round 2, were provided to help panelists frame the effects of their judgments.

The Keystone Exams are one component of Pennsylvania's new system of high school graduation requirements. Because of the high-stakes consequences, the TAC strongly recommended bringing in external impact data to provide panelists with a reference outside of the Keystone Exams. The intent was to achieve reasonableness of results rather than to use the external data in a directive manner. DRC investigated Pennsylvania students' performance on the Pennsylvania System of School Assessment (PSSA), National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), and Student Achievement Test (SAT) and presented external data as shown in Figures 13-3A to 13-3C before panelists made their Round 3 judgments. The panelists were informed of the following points:

- The PSSA and NAEP results were based on students' performance in 2009. The PSSA results were from grades 6-8 and 11. The NAEP results were from grade 8.
- All students in grades 6-8 and 11 in Pennsylvania took the PSSA. A sample that represents the Pennsylvania grade 8 students took the NAEP tests.
- The SAT results were based on the performance of students who took the SAT in 2010 or prior years.
- About 99\% of students in the 2010 SAT data file indicated their expected graduation dates were in 2010; most of these students were in grade 11 in 2009. Therefore, the 2010 SAT data and the 2009 PSSA data were matched.
- Based on the matched sample, it was found that students with higher PSSA scores were more likely to take the SAT. To represent the full population in terms of demographics and PSSA scores, the matched sample was weighted by students' demographics and PSSA scores when calculating the impacts.

Figure 13-3A. External Impact Data: Algebra I


Table 13-4A. Number of Score Points in OIB and Number of Items Supplemented: Algebra I

| Performance Level | PSSA G6 | PSSA G7 | PSSA G8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NAEP } \\ & \text { G8: PA } \end{aligned}$ | NAEP G8: National | $\begin{array}{r} \text { KE } \\ \text { Alg. } \mathrm{I} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PSSA } \\ \text { G11 } \end{array}$ | College Ready Yes Projected | SAT: College Ready Yes: PA | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SAT: } \\ \text { College } \\ \text { Ready } \\ \text { Yes: } \\ \text { National } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Below Basic | 11.1\% | 11.6\% | 12.8\% | 22.0\% | 29.0\% | 19.8\% | 25.1\% | 0.9\% | 51.7\% | 54.0\% |
| Basic | 13.2\% | 13.1\% | 16.0\% | 38.0\% | 39.0\% | 41.2\% | 19.5\% | 7.8\% | 51.7\% | 54.0\% |
| Proficient | 26.2\% | 27.8\% | 26.6\% | 30.0\% | 25.0\% | 23.5\% | 29.8\% | 42.2\% | 51.7\% | 54.0\% |
| Advanced | 49.5\% | 47.5\% | 44.7\% | 10.0\% | 7.0\% | 15.5\% | 25.5\% | 91.2\% | 51.7\% | 54.0\% |
| Below Basic + Basic | 24.3\% | 24.7\% | 28.8\% | 60.0\% | 68.0\% | 61.0\% | 44.8\% | 4.0\% | 51.7\% | 54.0\% |
| Proficient + <br> Advanced | 75.7\% | 75.3\% | 71.3\% | 40.0\% | 32.0\% | 39.0\% | 55.3\% | 64.9\% | 51.7\% | 54.0\% |
| Total Percentage | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 38.1\% | 51.7\% | 54.0\% |
| Total N | 128,421 | 132,803 | 135,909 | 3,600 | 161,700 | 93,703 | 135,676 | 61,118 | 65,426 | N/A |

Figure 13-3B. External Impact Data: Biology
Biology


Table 13-4B. Number of Score Points in OIB and Number of Items Supplemented: Biology

| Performance Level | PSSA G8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NAEP } \\ & \text { G8: PA } \end{aligned}$ | NAEP <br> G8: National | KE Biology | PSSA G11 | College Ready Yes Projected | SAT Math: College Ready Yes: PA | SAT Math: College Ready Yes: National |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Below Basic | 24.0\% | 32.0\% | 37.0\% | 31.4\% | 19.8\% | 1.4\% | 38.7\% | 43.0\% |
| Basic | 21.1\% | 33.0\% | 33.0\% | 37.9\% | 40.5\% | 9.1\% | 38.7\% | 43.0\% |
| Proficient | 32.5\% | 33.0\% | 28.0\% | 22.6\% | 22.5\% | 45.9\% | 38.7\% | 43.0\% |
| Advanced | 22.3\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 8.1\% | 17.2\% | 86.6\% | 38.7\% | 43.0\% |
| Below Basic + Basic | 45.1\% | 65.0\% | 70.0\% | 69.3\% | 60.3\% | 6.6\% | 38.7\% | 43.0\% |
| Proficient + Advanced | 54.8\% | 35.0\% | 30.0\% | 30.7\% | 39.7\% | 63.8\% | 38.7\% | 43.0\% |
| Total Percentage | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 29.4\% | 38.7\% | 43.0\% |
| Total N | 134,969 | 3,600 | 151,100 | 46,394 | 131,534 | 60,311 | 65,426 | N/A |

Figure 13-3L. External Impact Data: Literature
Literature


Table 13-4L. Number of Score Points in OIB and Number of Items Supplemented: Literature

| Performance Level | PSSA G6 | PSSA G7 | PSSA G8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NAEP } \\ & \text { G8: PA } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { NAEP } \\ \text { G8: } \\ \text { National } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { KE } \\ \text { Literature } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PSSA } \\ \text { G11 } \end{gathered}$ | College Ready Yes: Projected | SAT Math: College Ready Yes: PA | SAT <br> Math: <br> College <br> Ready <br> Yes: <br> National |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Below Basic | 14.0\% | 11.2\% | 10.6\% | 19.0\% | 26.0\% | 16.2\% | 19.0\% | 1.2\% | 46.4\% | 50.0\% |
| Basic | 18.4\% | 17.4\% | 8.9\% | 41.0\% | 43.0\% | 26.5\% | 16.0\% | 4.7\% | 46.4\% | 50.0\% |
| Proficient | 30.5\% | 30.0\% | 25.2\% | 37.0\% | 28.0\% | 43.3\% | 32.1\% | 24.8\% | 46.4\% | 50.0\% |
| Advanced | 37.1\% | 41.4\% | 55.3\% | 3.0\% | 2.0\% | 14.0\% | 32.9\% | 76.7\% | 46.4\% | 50.0\% |
| Below Basic + Basic | 32.4\% | 28.6\% | 19.5\% | 60.0\% | 69.0\% | 42.7\% | 35.1\% | 2.7\% | 46.4\% | 50.0\% |
| Proficient + Advanced | 67.6\% | 71.4\% | 80.5\% | 40.0\% | 30.0\% | 57.3\% | 64.9\% | 51.1\% | 46.4\% | 50.0\% |
| Total Percentage | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 34.5\% | 46.4\% | 50.0\% |
| Total N | 128,284 | 132,641 | 135,739 | 3,500 | 160,900 | 42,292 | 135,470 | 61,081 | 65,426 | N/A |

The Keystone Exams and PSSA results were presented to the panelists first. Panelists were encouraged to compare the impact data and discuss whether the results for the Keystone Exams were reasonable. The NAEP results were added next, and the SAT results were introduced last for comparison and discussion. While discussing the external data, panelists were reminded that all these tests were created for different purposes and might cover different content standards.

Before panelists provided their final judgments, they were instructed to fill out the readiness form to make sure they understood how to adjust their placements (if they desired to do so) based on the Round 2 information and external impact data. After their individual bookmark placements, panelists filled out the evaluation form. The judgments were entered into the spreadsheet program to calculate the median placements for the full panel. The associated impact data were also calculated. The Round 3 results were presented to the panelists for their information after the lunch break.

## PANELISTS' RECOMMENDATIONS

Table 13-4 provides a summary of each round's median, minimum, and maximum ratings (i.e., bookmark page numbers) of the group.

Table 13-4. Summary of Panelists' Ratings for Each Round

| Exam | Round | Bookmark Page Number Median | Bookmark Page Number Min. | Bookmark Page Number Max. | Basic/ Proficient Median | Basic/ Proficient Min. | Basic/ Proficient Max. | Proficient/ Advanced Median | Proficient/ Advanced Min. | Proficient/ Advanced Max. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alg. 1 | 1 | 11 | 6 | 19 | 28 | 14 | 42 | 45 | 33 | 56 |
| Alg. 1 | 2 | 11 | 6 | 13 | 26 | 17 | 33 | 42 | 40 | 46 |
| Alg. 1 | 3 | 11 | 10 | 12 | 26 | 18 | 30 | 46 | 41 | 46 |
| Bio. | 1 | 9 | 4 | 15 | 26 | 20 | 30 | 56 | 43 | 62 |
| Bio. | 2 | 8 | 7 | 14 | 24 | 21 | 30 | 54 | 50 | 60 |
| Bio. | 3 | 8 | 7 | 12 | 22 | 20 | 30 | 54 | 50 | 60 |
| Lit. | 1 | 8 | 5 | 14 | 27 | 12 | 34 | 47 | 38 | 52 |
| Lit. | 2 | 9 | 8 | 15 | 23 | 15 | 34 | 46 | 38 | 48 |
| Lit. | 3 | 9 | 8 | 15 | 25 | 17 | 34 | 48 | 38 | 48 |

## CUT POINTS AND STANDARD ERRORS

Each bookmark page number is associated with a bookmark difficulty (i.e., logit value). The logit cut is the bookmark difficulty corresponding to the median OIB page number minus one. The logit cut and the standard error (SE) of median logit based on panelists' Round 1 rating were used to establish the 1 and 2 SE confidence intervals. By bracketing the median cut score by 2 SEs, the $95 \%$ confidence interval was identified; the confidence interval can be used to estimate the effects of false positives (passing students who may not actually have sufficient knowledge and skills) or false negatives (failing students who do have sufficient knowledge and skills). PDE can use these standard errors to identify the appropriate cut score by taking into consideration the variance in the human judgments. Table 13-5 summarizes the logit cuts associated with Round 3 median ratings, median $+/-1 \mathrm{SE}$, and median $+/-2$ SE. The corresponding impacts (percentages in performance level) are provided in this table as well. Note that BB represents Below Basic; B represents Basic; P represents Proficient; and A represents Advanced.

Table 13-5. Summary of Logit Cuts and Impacts

| Exam | Stats | Logit Cut BB/B | $\begin{gathered} \text { Logit } \\ \text { Cut } \\ \text { B/P } \end{gathered}$ | Logit Cut P/A | Percentage in Performance Level (\%) BB | Percentage in Performance Level (\%) B | Percentage in Performance Level (\%) P | Percentage in Performance Level (\%) A | Percentage in Performance Level (\%) P+A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alg. 1 | Median-2SE | -0.7273 | 0.4291 | 1.3694 | 14.7 | 37.3 | 30.2 | 17.8 | 48.0 |
| Alg. 1 | Median-1SE | -0.6181 | 0.5659 | 1.5041 | 17.2 | 37.8 | 31.6 | 13.4 | 45.0 |
| Alg. 1 | Median | -0.5090 | 0.7027 | 1.6388 | 19.8 | 41.2 | 27.5 | 11.5 | 39.0 |
| Alg. 1 | Median+1SE | -0.3999 | 0.8395 | 1.7735 | 22.4 | 44.4 | 23.5 | 9.7 | 33.2 |
| Alg. 1 | Median+2SE | -0.2907 | 0.9763 | 1.9082 | 25.2 | 47.0 | 19.7 | 8.1 | 27.8 |
| Bio. | Median-2SE | -0.5977 | 0.3098 | 1.2500 | 22.5 | 38.9 | 27.9 | 10.7 | 38.6 |
| Bio. | Median-1SE | -0.4933 | 0.3564 | 1.3205 | 28.3 | 33.1 | 29.3 | 9.3 | 38.6 |
| Bio. | Median | -0.3888 | 0.4029 | 1.3910 | 31.4 | 32.7 | 27.8 | 8.1 | 35.9 |
| Bio. | Median+1SE | -0.2843 | 0.4494 | 1.4615 | 34.5 | 32.2 | 25.2 | 8.1 | 33.3 |
| Bio. | Median+2SE | -0.1799 | 0.4960 | 1.5320 | 40.7 | 26.0 | 26.4 | 6.9 | 33.3 |
| Lit. | Median-2SE | -0.6561 | 0.2338 | 1.7014 | 12.4 | 20.9 | 46.3 | 20.4 | 66.7 |
| Lit. | Median-1SE | -0.5545 | 0.4116 | 1.9551 | 14.2 | 25.3 | 46.5 | 14.0 | 60.5 |
| Lit. | Median | -0.4530 | 0.5894 | 2.2088 | 16.2 | 26.5 | 48.4 | 8.9 | 57.3 |
| Lit. | Median+1SE | -0.3515 | 0.7672 | 2.4625 | 18.3 | 31.4 | 43.6 | 6.7 | 50.3 |
| Lit. | Median+2SE | -0.2499 | 0.9450 | 2.7162 | 20.5 | 36.5 | 38.2 | 4.8 | 43.0 |

## FINAL RESULTS

After reviewing the results in Table 13-5 and considering panelists' discussions at the standard setting workshop, PDE recommended using the logit cut scores associated with the median of panelists' Round 3 ratings for Algebra I and Biology. For Literature, PDE recommended the logits cuts associated with the Round 3 median plus 1 SE.

To avoid negative values on the logit scale, the scaling constants were determined next to linearly convert the logit values to scaled scores. The scaled cut scores for each performance level were obtained by linearly transforming the logit cuts. Details of the scaling process can be found in Chapter Fourteen. A brief description is below.

For Keystone Exams, the linear transformation from logits or Rasch measures to scaled scores was established by anchoring the logit cut for Basic/Proficient to a scaled score 1500 and fixing the slope constant to 50 . The intercept constant was calculated next based on the known values 1500, 50, and the logits cut for Basic/Proficient for each content area. In addition, the bottom of the scale was truncated at the lowest obtainable scaled score (LOSS), 1200. The top of the scaled scores was truncated at the highest obtainable scaled score (HOSS), 1800. The recommended scaled score cuts and the corresponding impacts were provided to the Board on July 20, 2011, for approval. Table 13-6 presents the final scaling constants and the Board-approved scaled-score ranges for each performance level.

Table 13-6. Summary of Scaled-Score Ranges and Scaling Constants

| Exam | Performance <br> Level Below <br> Basic | Performance <br> Level Basic | Scaling <br> Constants <br> Proficient | Scaling <br> Constants <br> Advanced | Scaling <br> Constants Slope | Scaling <br> Constants <br> Intercept |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Algebra I | $1200-1438$ | $1439-1499$ | $1500-1545$ | $1546-1800$ | 50 | 1464.365 |
| Biology | $1200-1459$ | $1460-1499$ | $1500-1548$ | $1549-1800$ | 50 | 1479.355 |
| Literature | $1200-1443$ | $1444-1499$ | $1500-1583$ | $1584-1800$ | 50 | 1461.140 |

The Keystone Exams are reported by total and modules. Although the panelists made recommendations based on the total test only, the Basic/Proficient cut for the total test is applied directly in setting the passing cut score for each module. In this case, the passing scaled score cut at module level is 1500.

## CHAPTER FOURTEEN: SCALING

Scaling is used to transform test score values (i.e., raw scores) onto a scale that can be interpreted by users easily and correctly. Raw scores cannot be used to compare students' achievement across administrations because they depend on the difficulty of the tests. The same student can score higher on an easy test than on a difficult test. To overcome the limitation of raw scores, the scaled scores are introduced to report students' achievement in Algebra I, Biology, and Literature. This chapter describes the two major steps to convert a raw score to a scaled score (SS) and some key considerations for establishing the score scale for Keystone Exams.

## RAW SCORES TO RASCH ABILITY ESTIMATES

The pre-equated item parameter estimates for the operational items (further discussed in Chapters Twelve and Fifteen) were used to obtain Rasch person ability estimates and asymptotic standard errors of measurement for each possible raw score value for the overall test, as well as each module. The generation of this raw score-toRasch ability was accomplished through application of the fundamental formulas in the Rasch measurement model. The combination of both dichotomously scored multiple-choice (MC) items as well as polytomously scored constructed-response (CR) items requires the use of a partial-credit model (RPCM) (Wright \& Masters, 1982). The Newton-Raphson iterative procedure is used to obtain precise ability estimates:
$b_{r}^{(t+1)}=b_{r}^{t}-\frac{r-\sum_{i}^{L} \sum_{k=1}^{m} k P_{r i k}^{(t)}}{-\sum_{i}^{L}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{m} k^{2} P_{r k}^{(t)}-\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} k P_{r k}^{(t)}\right)^{2}\right]_{r=1, \ldots, \mathrm{M}-1,}, ~}$
where $b_{r}^{t}$ is the estimated ability of the student with score $r$ after $t$ iterations, $k$ is the number of thresholds, $L$ is the number of items, $M=\sum_{i}^{L} m_{i}$, and $P_{r i k}^{(t)}$ is the probability, $\pi_{n i x}$, defined earlier in Chapter Twelve:
$\pi_{n i x}=\frac{\exp \sum_{j=0}^{x}\left(\beta_{n}-\delta_{i j}\right)}{\sum_{k=0}^{m_{i}} \exp \sum_{j=0}^{x}\left(\beta_{n}-\delta_{i j}\right)}, x=0,1, \ldots, m i$.
The asymptotic standard error of measurement (SEM) was estimated from the denominator of the final iteration:
$S E\left(b_{r}\right)=\left[\sum_{i}^{L}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{m} k^{2} P_{r i k}^{(t)}-\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} k P_{r i k}^{(t)}\right)^{2}\right]\right]^{-1 / 2}$.
The Rasch ability estimates and the corresponding SEMs are then transformed to scaled scores and SEMs of scaled scores as discussed in the following section.

## ZERO AND PERFECT SCORES

A direct ability estimate for zero (no points earned) or perfect (all points earned) raw scores can't be achieved. Thus, a default procedure for estimating such extreme scores was used for the Keystone Exams. Essentially, a fractional raw score (a value less than one, e.g., 0.3) was added to zero scores and subtracted from perfect scores to determine the corresponding logit values for these extreme scores.

## RASCH ABILITY ESTIMATES TO SCALED SCORES

Generally, scaled scores are preferred over Rasch ability estimates for reporting purposes. One issue is that Rasch ability estimates are on a scale that includes negative and decimal values. By transforming the Rasch ability estimates to scaled scores, all reported values can become positive integers, which makes more sense to parents and students. Since Rasch ability estimates are comparative after equating (discussed further in Chapter Fifteen) to the base administration/year, the transformed scaled scores have a common scale across administrations, even though the corresponding raw scores may differ.

Scaled scores are usually obtained through some linear transformation of Rasch ability estimates. Before the linear equation is established for each content area, a few points were considered for the Keystone Exams:

- Avoid scales that might be confused with scores for other types of assessment, for example:
- Scaled scores ranging from 0 to 100 (because this might be confused with percentage correct scores or percentile ranks)
- Scaled scores ranging from 200 to 800 (because this might be confused with SAT scores)
- Scaled scores with similar ranges as the ones for the Pennsylvania System of School Assessment (PSSA) or Classroom Diagnostic Tools (CDT)
- Avoid scales similar to raw scores from a base form.
- Avoid scales that might suggest the scores are more precise than they actually are (i.e., suggesting more precision than can actually be supported by the test scores).
- Avoid scales with negative numbers and decimals.

In terms of industry standard practice, a common perspective is that scaled scores should facilitate score interpretation while at the same time minimize misinterpretation and unwarranted inferences. Often this is done by incorporating some kind of meaning to the scores ${ }^{1}$ (Peterson, Kolen, and Hoover, 1989). The incorporation of content meaning is one way to facilitate score interpretation. This might be done in several different ways. For example, the current PSSA scaled scores, like those of many other state assessments, try to input some content meaning by having the PSSA performance level cut scores have known values on the scaled-score metric. Such an approach appears to make good sense given the purposes of a criterion-referenced test like the PSSA.

As a result, a scaled-score range of 1200 to 1800 and the Proficient scaled score cut, 1500, for all content areas were selected as the start point to establish the scales for the Keystone Exams in Algebra I, Biology, and Literature. It is worth noting that, although careful considerations were given to the selection of these values, they are completely arbitrary. For example, the label of 1500 could have been called 100 or any other value or letter without affecting any of the relationships among schools, administrations, students, or items. In other words, changing the scale would simply be changing the labels on the axis of a graph without moving any of the points.

## LINEAR TRANSFORMATION FORMULAS

The scaled scores for the Keystone Exams are obtained through a linear transformation of the Rasch ability estimates ( $\widehat{\beta}$ ). Specifically,
$S S=m \hat{\beta}+b$,
where $m$ is the slope and $b$ is the intercept. The linear transformation for the Keystone Exams is derived by anchoring the Proficient cut (i.e., Rasch ability estimate) recommended by the panelists at the standard- setting workshop to the scaled score 1499.5 (i.e., 1500 after rounding), and then set the slope of the line. There could be many lines with different slopes going through the anchor point. However, the slope of the line has influence over the variability of the scaled scores. For Keystone Exams, the slope of 50 was chosen because it results in desired scaled score standard deviation. Once the scaled score, slope, and Rasch ability estimate are determined, the intercept $b$ can be derived by the equation above. The final slopes and intercepts for deriving scaled scores for the Keystone Exams are provided in Table 14-1.

Table 14-1. Scaling Constants by Content Area

| Exam | Scaling Constants Slope | Scaling Constants Intercept |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Algebra I | 50 | $1,464.365$ |
| Biology | 50 | $1,479.355$ |
| Literature | 50 | $1,461.140$ |

## ROUNDING

The linearly transformed scaled scores are always rounded to the nearest integer value for reporting purposes. Values greater than or equal to 0.50 are rounded up. Values less than 0.50 are rounded down.

## LOWEST OBTAINABLE SCALED SCORES

The Keystone Exams in Algebra I, Biology, and Literature have a lowest obtainable scaled score (LOSS) of 1200. Any derived scaled score less than 1200 is truncated to this minimum value. The selection of a LOSS is mainly based on two considerations: 1) extreme low scaled scores may have an impact on the average of the scaled scores at school/district level and 2) score truncation makes sense from a score precision perspective given measurement errors at the extremes are large. The LOSS value 1200 is established by giving consideration to chance performance over the MC items (e.g., if 40 four-option MCs were on a test, approximately 10 points might be earned on guessing alone) and considering the percentage of students who would be awarded the LOSS values.

## HIGHEST OBTAINABLE SCALED SCORES

A highest obtainable scale score (HOSS), 1800, is set for the Keystone Exams for the same reasons described for the LOSS value. However, unlike the LOSS value, which is set initially by giving consideration to guessing over MC items, it is somewhat more difficult to determine what rules should be applied to establish the HOSS. Based on the empirical results, the value 1800 corresponds to a logit value (or Rasch ability estimate) that ranged from 6 to 7 , and 0 percent of students received this score.

## RAW-TO-SCALED-SCORE TABLES

The final raw-to-scaled-score conversion tables can be found in Appendix K. Note that only the raw-to-scaledscore tables for each single administration were reported. In other words, these tables cannot be used to look for a student's best scaled score if it is combined from two different administrations. The conditional standard error of measurement (CSEM, see Chapter Eighteen for detailed discussion) and corresponding 1 CSEM confidence intervals are also provided in these tables.

## CHAPTER FIFTEEN: EQUATING

Equating is a statistical process that is used to adjust scores on test forms so that scores on the forms can be used interchangeably (Kolen \& Brennan, 2004), even though the test forms consist of different items. In largescale testing programs, it is a common practice to have different item sets appear in different test forms across administrations. Students' raw scores (or number-correct scores) cannot be compared between forms or administrations because they depend on the difficulty of the items in a form. The same student can score higher on an easy test than on a difficult test.

To make meaningful comparisons of test scores across administrations, various equating models and procedures have been developed in the literature. For example, in terms of design, there are randomly equivalent groups design and common-item non-equivalent groups design. In terms of testing model, it can be classified as either classical test theory-based equating model or modern test theory-based (e.g., Rasch model or item response theory) equating model. In terms of when the equating is conducted in the assessment cycle, it can be classified as pre-equating or post-equating. The following sections will focus on the equating design and analyses for the winter, spring, and summer Keystone Exams administered in 2017-2018.

## PRE- VS. POST-EQUATING

As with other Pennsylvania assessment programs, the Rasch model is used to guide the test design, form construction, calibration, scaling, and equating of the Keystone Exams. The key element of equating test forms using the Rasch model is to place the item parameters from different administrations on the same scale. This is also referred to as item equating. Once the item parameters from different operational test forms are on the same scale, the Newton Raphson procedure can be used to convert number-correct scores to scaled scores as described in Chapter Fourteen. As a result, the scaled scores can be compared across forms with different items.

A common practice in many $\mathrm{K}-12$ large-scale assessment programs is to have all the items field tested before they go operational. Once the field test items' difficulties are placed on the base scale or common metric, in theory, one should not expect the Rasch item difficulties for these items to change, except within a reasonable range of measurement error, after they are administered in an operational test providing the Rasch model fits the data. Based on this theoretical advantage of using Rasch models, equating can be conducted using the item parameters calibrated from field test data. This statistical procedure is referred to as pre-equating. In contrast, post-equating involves the use of Rasch item difficulties calibrated from the data of the operational test to be equated.

Although, in theory, the two equating procedures should provide identical results when the model fits the data, each has its own advantages and disadvantages. The use of pre-equating can facilitate the operational process in terms of rapid score reporting, more time for quality control, and more flexibility in the assessment. One successful application of pre-equating is for computer-adaptive tests where test questions are tailored to the student's achievement as the test progresses. This allows for providing scores immediately after students finish the test. However, a variety of issues need to be considered when using pre-equating in practice. For example, students may not be motivated to take the field tests, especially standalone field tests, which may make the items appear harder in the field test than in the operational test (Eignor, 1985; Eignor \& Stocking, 1986; Stocking \& Eignor, 1986; Kolen \& Harris, 1990). Other concerns for the field test items include item context, item position, and sample size. In contrast, the use of post-equating, when applicable, does not have the same motivational concerns as with preequating. Also, post-equating uses post-administration data and is sometimes considered to yield more accurate analysis results, given that the number of students who take the operational tests is usually large. On the other hand, when the reporting window is extremely tight, as is the case with some graduation or end-of-course exams in various states, post-equating has to occur within a very short time, and hence it leaves less time for the equating analyses and quality control.

## EQUATING DESIGN FOR KEYSTONE EXAMS

The Keystone Exams, like many other graduation or end-of-course exams, require a quick turnaround of testing results. After the exams are administered, the bulk of the time is consumed by various data-processing steps. As a result, the equating analyses must be produced in a short period of time, which puts the quality of final analysis results under great risk. In addition, the requirement that a student's final score is the combination of the two highest module scores from any operational test (see Chapter 16 for details) increases the complexity of equating analyses and score reporting for future administrations. To control the quality of post-administration processing and guarantee the accuracy of students' reports, pre-equating, one of the most promising applications of Rasch model or item response theory (see Lord, 1980, chap. 13), was proposed and implemented for the Keystone Exams.

To implement the pre-equating model in the Keystone Exams, more efforts have been made to enhance the accuracy of pre-equating results based on the findings from the literature. For example, to address the concerns regarding students' motivation to take field tests, it was decided that no Rasch item difficulty estimates from stand-alone field tests can be used to pre-equate test forms. Instead, all the field test items have to be embedded in an operational test before their Rasch item difficulty estimates can be used. This is based on the assumption that students should be equally motivated to take the operational and embedded field test items, especially when they are not aware of which item is a field test item. To minimize item context and item position effects (i.e., lack of motivation and fatigue), field test items were interspersed within the operational sections. With this design, students have a lesser chance of knowing the field test item positions. Fatigue effects due to field test items being placed in the last section of the operational test can be mitigated in this design as well.

To improve the accuracy of the Rasch item difficulties estimated from the field test data but used as the values for the operational items, Data Recognition Cooperation (DRC) scored as many students' responses to the field test items as possible, given that increasing sample size can increase the estimation accuracy. More specifically, DRC scored all students' responses to the multiple-choice (MC) items and approximately 2,000 students' responses to the constructed-response (CR) items.

## POST-EQUATING CHECK ANALYSES

Although extra care has been taken to guarantee the success of pre-equating during the test design, form construction, and calibration of embedded field test items, is the pre-equated result (e.g., raw-to-scaled-score table) still valid given the sample change and item sequence change from the field test positions to operational test positions?

After the operational testing data was collected for the winter, spring, and summer 2017-2018 administrations, post-equating check analyses (with the exception of Literature administered in summer) were conducted to validate the raw-to-scaled-score tables generated using the pre-equated item parameter estimates. The post-equating check analysis conducted at item level evaluated the item difficulty estimate stability. The analysis conducted at form level investigated whether or not the raw-to-scaled-score tables had changed significantly.

## ANALYSES AT ITEM LEVEL

To conduct the evaluation of item difficulty parameter estimate stability, the operational items were calibrated using WINSTEPS. There were two approaches used in running WINSTEPS. The first one was that the item parameters for all operational items were anchored to the bank values (also referred to as old values). WINSTEPS provided the displacements between the anchor values and the values that would have been estimated from the current data. The items with displacement value of 0.5 or larger were further investigated as outliers. The second approach was to calibrate the item parameters freely in WINSTEPS. The newly calibrated values (referred to as new values) were equated to the bank scale by adjusting the new item parameter estimates by the difference of the means between the old bank values and the new values. These adjusted values are referred to as equated values. Tables L-1 to L-9 in Appendix L present the item sequence change; $n$-count; old, new, and equated item difficulty estimates (i.e., logit); the corresponding standard error of measurement (SEM); and displacement. A scatter plot of the old and equated values was plotted to check for outlier items. Outliers were identified as those items where the perpendicular distance to the line was greater than or equal to 1.96 standard deviations (see Figures 15-1 to 15-9). As can be seen from Appendix $L$ and the figures below, most of the items had stable item difficulty estimates; most outliers were flagged consistently by both the scatter plot and displacement. Table 15-1 summarizes the outliers flagged by both criteria. These items were reviewed by DRC content specialists, but no obvious reasons were found to explain the item difficulty change.

Table 15-1. Summary of Items Flagged by both the Scatter Plot and Displacement

| Administration | Content Area | Item IDs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Winter | Algebra I | 703177 |
| Winter | Biology | 735309 |
| Winter | Literature | 678056,634240 |
| Spring | Algebra I | NA |
| Spring | Biology | NA |
| Spring | Literature | NA |
| Summer | Algebra I | 702502 |
| Summer | Biology | NA |
| Summer | Literature | 614654 |

Figure 15-1. Scatter Plot of Old and Equated Item Parameter Difficulty Estimates for Algebra I: Winter

## Scatter Plot of Difficulty Parameters



Figure 15-2. Scatter Plot of Old and Equated Item Parameter Difficulty Estimates for Biology: Winter Scatter Plot of Difficulty Parameters


Figure 15-3. Scatter Plot of Old and Equated Item Parameter Difficulty Estimates for Literature: Winter Scatter Plot of Difficulty Parameters


Figure 15-4. Scatter Plot of Old and Equated Item Parameter Difficulty Estimates for Algebra I: Spring Scatter Plot of Difficulty Parameters


Figure 15-5. Scatter Plot of Old and Equated Item Parameter Difficulty Estimates for Biology: Spring Scatter Plot of Difficulty Parameters


Figure 15-6. Scatter Plot of Old and Equated Item Parameter Difficulty Estimates for Literature: Spring

Scatter Plot of Difficulty Parameters


Figure 15-7. Scatter Plot of Old and Equated Item Parameter Difficulty Estimates for Algebra I: Summer

Scatter Plot of Difficulty Parameters


Figure 15-8. Scatter Plot of Old and Equated Item Parameter Difficulty Estimates for Biology: Summer

Scatter Plot of Difficulty Parameters


Figure 15-9. Scatter Plot of Old and Equated Item Parameter Difficulty Estimates for Literature: Summer

Scatter Plot of Difficulty Parameters


## ANALYSES AT FORM LEVEL

At the form level, the analyses focused on the comparison of pre- and post-equated raw-to-scaled score tables. The outliers, if any, were either kept or removed from the post-equating analyses. Tables $\mathrm{L}-10$ to $\mathrm{L}-18$ in Appendix L contain the raw-to-scaled-score tables produced under different conditions. The three performance level cuts are shown by the thicker lines. As can be seen, the post-equated scaled scores, whether outliers were removed or not, were very close or identical to the pre-equated scaled scores at each raw score point. The differences were within the standard error of measurement. The raw cut scores were the same or within one score point difference.

To summarize, both the item-level and form-level post-equating check analyses results indicate that the raw-to-scaled-score tables produced by using the pre-equated item difficulty parameter estimates can be used to score students.

## EQUATING FOR THE EMBEDDED FIELD TEST ITEMS

Field test items were embedded in the spring operational forms to guarantee enough items would be available for future form construction. Equating was needed to place these embedded field test items onto the base or common scale established in Spring 2011. The equating was accomplished by running the calibration of field test items with item parameters of operational items fixed/anchored to the bank values using WINSTEPS. The final Rasch item difficulty estimates can be found in Appendix J.

## CHAPTER SIXTEEN: SCORES AND SCORE REPORTS

This chapter provides information about the scores provided for the Pennsylvania Keystone Exams (e.g., scaled scores, performance levels, and module scores), how the scores are presented on score reports, and appropriate and inappropriate uses of the scores.

## SCORING

Keystone Exams items include both multiple-choice (MC) and constructed-response (CR) items. Each correct response to an MC item receives a score of 1 . Incorrect responses receive a score of 0 . Scores on CR items range from 0 to 4, depending on the content area. Table 16-1 summarizes the types of items used in each content-area exam.

Table 16-1. Item Types Used by Content Area

| Exam | Item Type <br> MC (1 point) | Item Type <br> CR (3 point) | Item Type <br> CR (4 point) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Algebra I | $\square$ |  | $\boxed{ }$ |
| Biology | $\square$ | $\square$ |  |
| Literature | $\square$ | $\square$ |  |

## DESCRIPTION OF TOTAL-TEST SCORES

Different types of scores have been developed for Keystone Exams reporting. Since the underlying properties of these scores are not necessarily the same, the particular scores used depend on the purposes for which the test has been given. The following types of scores are provided for reporting overall performance on each Keystone Exam:

- Raw scores
- Scaled scores
- Performance levels


## RAW SCORES

A raw score (or number-correct score) is the number of points a student earned over all the operational MC and CR items. By itself, the raw score has very limited utility. One limitation is that it can only be interpreted with reference to the total number of items on a specific exam (e.g., a raw score of 15 on a 20 -item exam is different from a raw score of 15 on a 30 -item exam). In addition, raw scores depend on the difficulty of test items across test forms (e.g., a raw score of 15 on a test with 20 easy items is different from a raw score of 15 on a test with 20 difficult items). Because the difficulty of the items on a test can change from administration to administration, raw scores should not be compared across administrations.

## SCALED SCORES

Scaled scores were introduced in Chapter Fourteen. In the simplest sense, a scaled score is a transformed number-correct score. The specifics of the transformation processes for the Keystone Exams were also discussed in Chapter Fourteen. When all students take the same test items, as with the operational items on the Keystone Exams, the more points the student earns, the higher the associated scaled score will be.

The value of switching to the more abstract scaled-score metric is that it produces more general, interpretable, and equitable results. As noted above, a raw score of 30 is meaningless unless the maximum raw score is known. The difficulty of the test items was also mentioned as an additional challenge with interpreting raw scores. Numbercorrect scores are transformed to scaled scores to remove the effects of test length and item difficulty. (Strictly speaking, transformation of number-correct scores to percent-correct scores would also remove the effect of test length, but it would do nothing to adjust for the difficulty of the items.)

Another advantage of scaled scores is that they lend themselves to interpretations at what is referred to as an interval level, while raw scores do not. Interval-level scales allow an interpretation of a scaled score difference of 5 points to be the same whether the scores are 1295 vs. 1300 or 1445 vs. 1450 . Raw-score differences, in this context, cannot be interpreted in this manner and are thus neither generalizable nor equitable.

A scaled score of 1500-or any other value for a particular content-area exam, such as Algebra I-should have the same absolute meaning in the current administration as it had in previous administrations when test scores are properly equated across administrations. More importantly, a significant increase in the scaled score from the previous administration to the current administration means that student performance improved'; it does not say anything about whether this administration's exam is easier or harder than last administration's exam. To make these interpretations requires no information about the length or the difficulty of the exam in either administration, although these variables are essential for the process of deriving the scaled scores.

There is considerable auxiliary information presented in this report that might aid in further contextualizing Keystone Exams scaled scores:

- Chapter Fourteen provides information on the development of the Keystone Exams scaled-score system, including transformation formulas, rounding rules, and general scale characteristics (e.g., minimum values).
- Chapter Seventeen provides total-test score statistics. In particular, Table 17-2 lists the scaled score means and standard deviations for the testing results.


## PERFORMANCE LEVELS

Keystone Exams results are also reported using four performance levels: Below Basic, Basic, Proficient, and Advanced. The cut scores on the scaled-score metric (i.e., the lowest possible scaled score to enter the Basic, Proficient, and Advanced levels) were presented earlier in this report. However, the information is repeated below (Table 16-2) for convenience.

Table 16-2. Scaled Score Cuts for Each Performance Level by Content Area

| Exam | Min | Scaled Score <br> Cuts <br> BB/B | Scaled Score Cuts B/P | Scaled Score Cuts P/A | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algebral | 1,200 | 1,439 | 1,500 | 1,546 | 1,800 |
| Biology | 1,200 | 1,460 | 1,500 | 1,549 | 1,800 |
| Literature | 1,200 | 1,444 | 1,500 | 1,584 | 1,800 |

Note: BB = Below Basic; B = Basic; P = Proficient; and A = Advanced
Performance Level Descriptors (PLDs) are another way to attach meaning to the scaled-score metric. They associate precise quantitative ranges of scaled scores with verbal, qualitative descriptions of student status. While much less precise, the qualitative description of the levels is one way for parents and teachers to interpret the student scores. They are also useful in assessing the status of the school. The Pennsylvania General PLDs developed by Pennsylvania Department of Education (PDE) and teacher panels are given below. These are also included on student score reports.

- Advanced: Superior academic performance indicating an in-depth understanding and exemplary display of the skills included in the Keystone Exams Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content.
- Proficient: Satisfactory academic performance indicating a solid understanding and adequate display of the skills included in the Keystone Exams Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content.
- Basic: Marginal academic performance indicating work approaching, but not yet reaching, satisfactory performance. Performance indicates a partial understanding and limited display of the skills included in the Keystone Exams Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content. The student may need additional opportunities and/or increased student academic commitment to achieve the Proficient Level.
- Below Basic: Inadequate academic performance indicating little understanding and minimal display of the skills included in the Keystone Exams Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content. There is a major need for additional instructional opportunities and/or increased student academic commitment to achieve the Proficient Level.


## DESCRIPTION OF MODULE SCORES

Each of the Keystone Exams in Algebra I, Biology, and Literature contains two modules. A module score describes performance of a student, school, or district on a particular module (content standard defined in the exam). The following types of scores are provided for Keystone Exams at module level:

- Raw scores
- Scaled scores
- Performance levels


## MODULE RAW SCORES

Raw scores at module and assessment anchor levels were reported in different summary reports. As described earlier, a raw score is the number of points a student earned over all the operational MC and CR items; it depends on the difficulty and length of the test form; and it should not be compared across administrations. In the summary reports, the school, district, and/or state median points earned were reported at module and assessment anchor levels. These raw scores can provide some diagnostic information when they are compared with the minimum estimated points needed to pass. The latter is calculated by summing the probabilities of a barely proficient student answering the items included in a module or assessment anchor correctly. The sum is rounded up to the nearest integer. The probability is derived using the Rasch models discussed in Chapter Twelve.

## MODULE SCALED SCORES

The module scaled scores were provided in the individual student report. For the Keystone Exams, the module scaled score represents a student's achievement on each module. They can be compared across administrations because they are statistically equated. However, it is not advisable to compare scores across modules because each module contains varying item content and difficulty. This variation is also the reason the total scaled score is not the average of the two modules' scaled scores.

## MODULE PERFORMANCE LEVELS

Based on the testing results at the module level, students can be classified as Passed or Not Passed. The derived scaled score cut is 1500 for both modules. This cut score is determined by panelists' recommendations for the proficient cut of the corresponding total test. Note that a student who does not pass a module can still be Proficient or above on the total test if the student performs very well on the other module. If a student is not proficient on the total test but passes one module, although it is recommended that this student take both modules during retesting, the student can choose to take just the non-passed module because the final score is based on the highest combination of module scores.

## APPROPRIATE SCORE USE

## INDIVIDUAL STUDENTS

Scaled scores on the Keystone Exams indicate a student's achievement with respect to the Keystone Exams Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content. Scaled scores are primarily used to determine student performance level classifications (i.e., a criterion-referenced inference). Scaled scores that are based on Rasch models are typically assumed to be of the interval type, so comparisons may be made on differences in scaled scores. If this assumption holds, then it would be safe to infer for Algebra I that the ability difference between 1410 and 1420 represents the same ability difference that separates 1550 and 1560 . Scaled scores can also be used to compare the performance of an individual student to the performance of a similar demographic or subgroup at a school or district. Test score standard errors (discussed in Chapter Eighteen) should be considered.

## GROUPS OF STUDENTS

Test results can be used to evaluate performance over time. Mean scaled scores can be compared across administrations within the same content area to indicate whether a student's performance is improving across years. Generally, such trend analyses benefit from using mean results from as many test administrations as possible. Different cohorts of students are used (i.e., the same student or students are not tracked across grade levels). All scores can be analyzed within the same content area for any single administration to determine which demographic or program group had, for example, the highest average performance or the highest percentage of students at or above Proficient.

Module scores can help evaluate academic areas for relative strengths or weaknesses. These module scores provide information to identify areas where further diagnosis is warranted. Generalizations from test results may be made to the specific content domain represented by the academic standards measured in the Keystone Exams. However, all instruction and program evaluations should include as much information from other sources as possible to provide a complete picture of performance.

## CAUTIONS FOR SCORE USE

## EXTREME ERROR FOR EXTREME SCORES

Student scores toward the minimum or maximum ends of the score range will have very large standard errors of measurement (SEM) and, therefore, should be viewed very cautiously. The maximum scaled score only provides a very rough estimate of a student's ability. For example, if a student achieved the maximum scaled score, for example, 1776 for Biology in the winter administration, it cannot be determined whether this student could have achieved an even higher scaled score. If the test were 10 items longer, a different estimate might have been obtained. Similarly, if the items in a new test are more difficult than the items on a previous administration, the maximum scaled score would likely be higher on the new test because it would take a greater level of achievement to answer the items correctly. In this manner, extreme scaled scores may vary from one administration to the next even if the number of test items does not change. The fluctuation of extreme scaled scores complicates the comparisons of students with scaled scores at the extreme ends of the score distribution. To minimize confusion and potential misinterpretation, the minimum and maximum scaled scores possible on the Keystone Exams have been fixed (see Table 16-2) so they do not change between administrations.

## UNIQUE SCALE FOR EACH CONTENT AREA

Scaling was conducted for each content-area exam separately. Therefore, the scaled scores should be interpreted only within each content area. The scaled scores are not status indicators in the same sense as percentile ranks (or scales that are essentially transformations of percentile ranks) and therefore cannot be used to profile relative strengths and weaknesses across content areas. As an example, the scaled scores of 1450 in Algebra I and 1400 in Biology gained by a student do not necessarily imply that the student performed better in Algebra I than in Biology.

## USING KEYSTONE EXAMS RESULTS FOR OTHER PURPOSES

Other uses or inferences based on Keystone Exams results may or may not be valid as the validity evidence and arguments provided in Chapter Nineteen may not necessarily support other score uses and interpretations. According to the Standards for Educational and Psychological Tests (AERA, APA, \& NCME, 1999), if a test is used in a way that has not been validated, it is incumbent on the user to justify the new use, collecting new evidence if necessary. Finally, a universal caveat for any test's result is that it should not be used for placement and educational planning alone. Instead, other information about the student (e.g., other test performance data) should be included.

## REPORT DEVELOPMENT

Several months prior to the first release of reports for the Keystone Exams, PDE and DRC conducted focus groups with Pennsylvania educators and parents/guardians. In the focus groups, educators and parents/guardians provided feedback on report mock-ups for the Keystone Exams. Feedback from the focus groups was used to inform the design and content of the Keystone Exams individual and summary reports. The focus groups targeted educator and parent/guardian constituencies in three geographic regions of the state-the Pittsburgh area, the Harrisburg area, and the Philadelphia area.

Two preliminary educator groups were convened in Harrisburg on November 15 and 17, 2010. These groups, totaling 34 educators, reviewed the student report and provided feedback using both a survey and group discussion. Substantive changes to the individual student report were made on the basis of these meetings, with two different versions of the report emerging from these reviews. These two groups did not review the summary reports.

A second set of focus groups were conducted in December 2010 to review the updated reports. For the December meetings there were 35 panelists (22 educators \& 13 parents) for six focus groups in Pittsburgh (December 3), Harrisburg (December 6), and King of Prussia (December 7). The three educator groups reviewed the two versions of the student report and the one version of the school summary report. The three parent groups reviewed the two versions of the student report.

Feedback from these two focus groups was taken into consideration during final report development. For more information about the focus groups, please refer to the Keystone Exams Score Report Focus Group Findings (Pennsylvania Department of Education, 2011).

## REPORTS

The following score reports are provided to students, schools, and districts for the Keystone Exams in Algebra I, Biology, and Literature:

- Individual student report
- School summary report
- District summary report
- State summary report
- Report interpretation guide


## PARENT LETTER

Parent letters were delivered to Pennsylvania districts when district files were posted after each Keystone administration. This score report provided parents and students with their first glimpse of performance on the 2017/2018 Keystone Exams. This report provides results at the student level.

## INDIVIDUAL STUDENT REPORT

A student report is provided for all students who took the Keystone Exams. Two copies of the individual student report for all Keystone Exams were sent to each school district and charter school for distribution to parents, teachers, guidance counselors, and/or principals. School districts and charter schools may publish the results of the Keystone Exams school-level reports. This report is a two-page color document that provides the types of scores explained earlier in this chapter. Screenshots of the two pages from a sample individual student report are provided in Figures 16-1 and 16-2.

Figure 16-1. Page 1 of the Individual Student Report


Dear Family:
This report provides information about your child's performance on a Pennsylvania test known as the Keystone Exam. Your child took this Keystone Exam in May 2011. On this page, you can see your child's overall performance - below basic, basic, proficient or advanced.

On this report, you will find specific information about your child's performance on the Algebra I Keystone Exam. It displays your child's Highest Total Test Scale Score to Date for Module 1 and Module 2. Module 1 assesses Operations and Linear Equations and Inequalities, and Module 2 assesses Linear Functions and Data Organization. No previous scores will be displayed because the May 2011 administration marks the first time this test was given.

For detailed information about how the Keystone Exams are being integrated into the Pennsylvania graduation requirements, please visit the Pennsylvania Department of Education's Standards Aligned System website at www.pdesas.org, or contact your child's school.

Sincerely,
Sincerely,
Ronald /. Tomalis
Ronald J. Tomalis
Secretary of Education

## About the Keystone Exams

The Keystone Exams are tests students take at the end of specific high school level courses, including for 2010-11: Algebra I, Biology and Literature. They are offered in both paper/pencil and online formats.

Keystone Exams are one component of Pennsylvania's new system of high school graduation requirements affecting students in the class of 2015 and beyond. These tests were developed by Pennsylvania educators and are aligned to the standards adopted by the Pennsylvania State Board of Education. The results help students, parents and educators understand how well we are meeting rigorous expectations for student achievement in core subject areas. In future years, under Pennsylvania's new system of graduation requirements, Keystone Exam results will help determine whether or not a student has mastered the standards associated with earning a high school diploma.

For more information about the Keystone Exams, please visit the Pennsylvania Department of Education's Standards Aligned System website at www.pdesas.org (select "Assessments" and then "Keystone Exams").


Figure 16-2. Page 2 of the Individual Student Report

## Performance Level on Total Test

Highest Total Test Scale Score to Date


Algebra I - SAMPLE STUDENT


[^2]

## SUMMARY REPORTS

Summary reports are provided at the school, district, and state levels. These reports contain summary information about the percentage of students in each of the four performance levels. Raw scores are also provided by assessment anchor to allow schools or districts to identify strengths and weaknesses at the content-strand level.

## REPORT INTERPRETATION GUIDE

A report interpretation guide is provided to help parents and other Keystone Exams stakeholders better understand test result information presented in the individual student report. The report interpretation guide can be found on the PDE SAS website (www.pdesas.org/Assessment/Keystone).

## CHAPTER SEVENTEEN: OPERATIONAL TEST STATISTICS

This chapter presents various summary statistics for the total-test scores based on the final data file described in Chapter Nine. Related information covered elsewhere in this report includes the item-level statistics that were presented in Chapters Eleven (classical item statistics) and Twelve (Rasch item statistics). The reader is referred to these chapters for additional consideration as item difficulty distributions can affect total score distributions.

## PERFORMANCE LEVEL STATISTICS

Table 17-1 presents performance level percentages by test administration, content area, and student type. As can be seen from the table, the overall percentage in each performance level varied from administration to administration, depending on the ratio of the first-time testers and retesters. In general, retesters had a lower percentage of students in the Proficient and Advanced levels than the first-time testers did.

Table 17-1A. Performance Level Percentages: All Testers

| Administration | Content Area | N | Below Basic <br> (\%) | Basic (\%) | Proficient (\%) | Advanced (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winter | Algebra I | 53,759 | 13.0 | 62.0 | 20.1 | 4.9 |
| Winter | Biology | 40,370 | 23.6 | 48.0 | 20.7 | 7.8 |
| Winter | Literature | 36,078 | 15.3 | 42.3 | 36.9 | 5.5 |
| Spring | Algebra I | 161,851 | 16.3 | 42.2 | 24.5 | 17.0 |
| Spring | Biology | 139,180 | 23.3 | 27.3 | 25.9 | 23.5 |
| Spring | Literature | 127,042 | 13.6 | 28.1 | 47.0 | 11.3 |
| Summer | Algebra I | 1,302 | 4.3 | 53.0 | 37.6 | 5.1 |
| Summer | Biology | 886 | 8.7 | 45.8 | 37.9 | 7.6 |
| Summer | Literature | 407 | 3.7 | 49.9 | 45.7 | 0.7 |

Table 17-1B. Performance Level Percentages: First-time Testers

| Administration | Content Area | $N$ | Below Basic <br> (\%) | Basic (\%) | Proficient (\%) | Advanced (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winter | Algebra I | 11,420 | 19.3 | 35.6 | 24.8 | 20.3 |
| Winter | Biology | 12,898 | 22.7 | 22.9 | 30.8 | 23.7 |
| Winter | Literature | 15,017 | 13.8 | 22.2 | 51.1 | 12.9 |
| Spring | Algebra I | 117,345 | 16.7 | 32.6 | 27.6 | 23.0 |
| Spring | Biology | 114,704 | 22.3 | 20.5 | 28.8 | 28.4 |
| Spring | Literature | 109,387 | 12.6 | 22.8 | 51.6 | 13.1 |
| Summer | Algebra I | 210 | 16.2 | 42.9 | 25.2 | 15.7 |
| Summer | Biology | 87 | 12.6 | 16.1 | 17.2 | 54.0 |
| Summer | Literature | 22 | 9.1 | 45.5 | 36.4 | 9.1 |

Table 17-1C. Performance Level Percentages: Retesters

| Administration | Content Area | N | Below Basic <br> (\%) | Basic (\%) | Proficient (\%) | Advanced (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winter | Algebra I | 42,339 | 11.3 | 69.2 | 18.8 | 0.7 |
| Winter | Biology | 27,472 | 24.1 | 59.7 | 15.9 | 0.3 |
| Winter | Literature | 21,061 | 16.4 | 56.6 | 26.7 | 0.2 |
| Spring | Algebra I | 44,506 | 15.2 | 67.6 | 16.1 | 1.1 |
| Spring | Biology | 24,476 | 28.3 | 59.2 | 12.0 | 0.5 |
| Spring | Literature | 17,655 | 20.2 | 61.0 | 18.6 | 0.2 |
| Summer | Algebra I | 1,092 | 2.0 | 54.9 | 39.9 | 3.1 |
| Summer | Biology | 799 | 8.3 | 49.1 | 40.2 | 2.5 |
| Summer | Literature | 385 | 3.4 | 50.1 | 46.2 | 0.3 |

## SCALED SCORES

Table 17-2 provides the scaled score means and standard deviations by test administration, content area, and student type. As can be seen from the table, in most of the cases, first-time testers had a higher average scaled score than retesters did.

Table 17-2. Means and Standard Deviations of Scaled Scores

| Administration | Content Area | All Testers |  | First-Time Testers |  | Mean | Retesters SD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean | SD | Mean | SD |  |  |
| Winter | Algebra I | 1479.5 | 38.3 | 1494.1 | 59.0 | 1475.5 | 29.2 |
| Winter | Biology | 1487.1 | 41.8 | 1508.6 | 58.4 | 1477.1 | 25.5 |
| Winter | Literature | 1493.9 | 50.4 | 1515.5 | 60.5 | 1478.4 | 34.3 |
| Spring | Algebra I | 1493.7 | 56.6 | 1501.7 | 61.8 | 1472.8 | 31.3 |
| Spring | Biology | 1505.4 | 58.4 | 1512.1 | 61.1 | 1473.9 | 26.4 |
| Spring | Literature | 1509.9 | 59.0 | 1516.0 | 60.0 | 1472.4 | 34.2 |
| Summer | Algebra I | 1496.0 | 32.8 | 1494.8 | 58.7 | 1496.2 | 24.9 |
| Summer | Biology | 1502.9 | 38.8 | 1558.5 | 76.1 | 1496.9 | 25.9 |
| Summer | Literature | 1499.2 | 30.4 | 1498.2 | 58.7 | 1499.2 | 28.1 |

## RAW SCORES

## SUMMARY STATISTICS

The reader is referred to Appendix $M$ to review summary statistics for the operational raw scores. The statistics reported include number of points possible (Pts.), number of items (Len.), number of students tested ( $N$ ), mean number of score points received (Mean), standard deviation of test scores (SD), reliability (r), and traditional standard error of measurement (SEM).

## SCORE DISTRIBUTIONS

Raw score distributions are provided in Figure 17-1. As can be seen from the graphs, overall, the retesters scored lower than the first-time testers.

Figure 17-1. Raw Score Distributions
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Figure 17-1 (continued). Raw Score Distributions
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Figure 17-1 (continued). Raw Score Distributions
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## CHAPTER EIGHTEEN: RELIABILITY

This chapter addresses the reliability of Pennsylvania Keystone Exams test scores. According to the Standards for Educational and Psychological Testing (AERA, APA, \& NCME, 1999), reliability refers to
the degree to which test scores for a group of test takers are consistent over repeated applications of a measurement procedure and hence are inferred to be dependable and repeatable for an individual test taker; the degree to which scores are free of errors of measurement for a given group (p. 25).

Frisbie (2005) highlighted several elements of this definition. First, reliability is a property of test scores, not of a test itself. Many may appreciate this distinction, but in casual usage, individuals frequently make reference to a reliable test. While reliability concerns test scores (and not the test specifically), it's important to appreciate the fact that test scores can be affected by characteristics of the instrument. For example, all other things being equal, tests with more items/points tend to be more reliable than tests with fewer items/points. Second, reliability coefficients are group specific. Reliabilities tend to be higher in populations that are more heterogeneous and lower in populations that are more homogeneous. Consequently, both test length and population heterogeneity should be considered when evaluating reliability.

There are other reliability considerations that may be less evident from the definition above yet are still important for test users to understand. While freedom from measurement error is highlighted in the definition, reliability is specifically concerned with random sources of error. Indeed, the degree of inconsistency due to random error sources is what determines reliability: less consistency is associated with lower reliability and more consistency is associated with higher reliability. Of course, systematic error sources also exist. These can artificially increase reliability and decrease validity. (Validity is further discussed in Chapter Nineteen.)

Another noteworthy issue is that multiple sources of error exist (e.g., the day of testing, the items used, the raters who score the items). However, most widely used reliability indices only reflect a single type of error. Consequently, it is important for test users to understand which specific type of error is being considered in a reliability study, and equally, if not more importantly, which types are not.

Understanding the distinction between relative error and absolute error is important because many reliability indices only reflect relative error. Relative error is of interest whenever the relative ordering of individuals with respect to their test performance is of interest. When specific score values are considered important (e.g., if cut scores are used), then absolute error is of interest, too. Generally, there is more error variance when considering the absolute scores of examinees, which, in turn, suggests lower reliability. Understanding examinee rank-order stability is also important; however, such stability might be well achieved even when the specific score values are considerably different.

As the above discussion suggests, reliability is a complex, nonunitary notion that cannot be adequately represented by a single number. There are several reliability indices available, and these may not provide the same results (Frisbie, 2005). The remainder of this chapter covers the following:

- Reliability coefficients and their interpretation
- Unconditional and conditional standard errors of measurement
- Decision consistency
- Rater agreement


## RELIABILITY INDICES

As shown below, the reliability coefficient expresses the consistency of test scores as the ratio of true score variance to total score variance. The total variance contains two components: variance in true scores and variance due to the imperfections in the measurement process. Put differently, total variance equals true score variance plus error variance. ${ }^{1}$
$\rho_{X}^{2}=\frac{\sigma_{T}^{2}}{\sigma_{X}^{2}}=\frac{\sigma_{T}^{2}}{\sigma_{T}^{2}+\sigma_{E}^{2}}$

Reliability coefficients indicate the degree to which differences in test scores reflect true differences in the attribute being tested rather than random fluctuations. Total test score variance (i.e., individual differences) is partly due to real differences in the attribute (true variance) and partly due to random error in the measurement process (error variance).

Reliability coefficients range from 0.0 to 1.0 . If all test score variance were true, the index would equal 1.0 . The index would be 0.0 if none of the test score variance were true. Such scores would be pure random noise-that is, all measurement error. If the index had a value of 1.0 , scores would be perfectly consistent-that is, contain no measurement error. Although values of 1.0 are never achieved in practice, it is clear that larger coefficients are more desirable as they indicate that test scores are less influenced by random error. (How big is big enough and how small is too small are issues considered in a later section.)

As noted in the introduction, there are several different indices that can be used to estimate this ratio. One approach is referred to as internal consistency, which is derived from analyzing the performance consistency of individuals over the items within a test. As discussed below, these internal consistency indices do not take into account other sources of error, such as day-to-day variations (e.g., student health, testing environment) or rater inconsistency.

## COEFFICIENT ALPHA

Although a number of reliability indices exist, perhaps the most frequently reported for achievement tests is coefficient alpha. Consequently, this index is the one reported for the Keystone Exams (see the column with title " r " in Appendix M). Alpha indicates the internal consistency over the responses to a set of items measuring an underlying trait, in this case, academic achievement, in content areas such as algebra, biology, and literature.

Alpha is an internal consistency index. It can be conceptualized as the extent to which an exchangeable set of items from the same domain would result in a similar rank ordering of students. Note that relative error is reflected in this index. Variation in student performance from one sample of items to the next should be of particular concern for any achievement test user. Consider two hypothetical vocabulary tests intended for the same group of students. Each test contains different sets of unique words that are believed to be randomly equivalent, perhaps like the ones shown below:

Table 18-1. Two Hypothetical Vocabulary Tests

| Test One | Test Two |
| :--- | :--- |
| Abase | Abate |
| Boon | Circuitous |
| Capricious | Debase |
| Deface | $\ldots$. |
| $\ldots$ | Zenith |
| Zealous |  |

If a representative group of students could take both of these tests, the correlation between the scores obtained would represent the parallel-forms reliability of the test scores. However, such data-collection designs are impractical in large-scale settings, and experimental confounds like fatigue and practice effects are likely to affect the results. Internal-consistency reliability indices arose in part to provide reliability measures using the data from just a single test administration. So, if students only took Test One and the coefficient alpha index for those test scores were high, this would suggest that Test Two would provide a very similar rank ordering of the students if they had taken it instead. If coefficient alpha were low, dissimilar rank orderings would likely be observed-again, relative-error variance is reflected in alpha.

## FORMULA

Consider the following data matrix representing the scores of persons (rows) on items (columns):

Table 18-2. Person $\times$ Item Score (Xpi) Infinite (Population-Universe) Matrix

| Person | Item |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | ... I | ...k |
| 1 | $Y 11$ | $Y 12$ | $\ldots \mathrm{Y}$. ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | $\ldots \mathrm{I} \times 1 \mathrm{l}$ |
| 2 | $Y 21$ | Y22 | $\ldots \mathrm{Y}$. ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | $\ldots 2 \mathrm{l}$ |
| $\ldots$ |  |  |  |  |
| P | $Y p 1$ | $Y p 2$ | $\ldots$. . Ypi | . . Xpk |
| ...... |  |  |  |  |
| $N$ | YN1 | YN2 | $\ldots \mathrm{YNi}$ | $\ldots$. . XNk |

Note: Adapted from Cronbach and Shavelson (2004).
Then, a general computational formula for alpha is as follows:
$\alpha=\frac{N}{N-1}\left(1-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_{Y_{i}}^{2}}{\sigma_{X}^{2}}\right)$
where $N$ is the number of parts (items or testlets), $\sigma_{x}^{2}$ is the variance of the observed total test scores, and $\sigma_{\gamma_{i}}$ is the variance of part $i$.

## FURTHER INTERPRETATIONS

## RULES OF THUMB

Which reliability values are considered high enough? Which values are considered too low? Although frequently asked for, any rules of thumb for interpreting the magnitude of reliability indices are mostly arbitrary. Another approach is to research the reliabilities from similar testing instruments to see what values are commonly observed.
For the Keystone Exams, comparisons to tests of similar lengths that were administered to similar student populations from other large-scale assessment programs would be relevant. For many other state assessment programs, reliabilities in the low 0.90 s are usually the highest ever observed, and reliabilities in the high 0.80 s are very common.

The lower a given reliability coefficient, the greater the potential for over-interpretation of the associated results. As suggested earlier, there is no firm guideline regarding how low is too low. However, as an informative point of reference, a reliability coefficient of 0.50 would mean that there is as much error variance as true-score variance in the scores.

## IS ALPHA A LOWER LIMIT TO RELIABILITY?

According to Brennan (1998), the conventional wisdom that coefficient alpha is a lower limit to reliability is based largely on a misunderstanding. In reflecting on the 50th anniversary of his seminal 1951 article, Cronbach-in Cronbach and Shavelson (2004)-expressed similar misgivings about this conventional wisdom:
one could argue that alpha was almost an unbiased estimate of the desired reliability. . . the almost in the preceding sentence refers to a small mathematical detail that causes the alpha coefficient to run a trifle lower than the desired value. This detail is of no consequence and does not support the statement made frequently in textbooks or in articles that alpha is a lower value to the reliability coefficient. That statement is justified by reasoning that starts with the definition of the desired coefficient as the expected consistency among measurements that had a higher degree of parallelism than the random parallel concept implied.

The assumptions for three common parallelism models are presented in Table 18-3. Alpha's assumptions come from the Essentially-Tau-Equivalent model, which does not require equal means or equal variances across test parts. Based on this, Brennan (1998) asserts that the lower-limit issue, as conceptualized by many, provides an answer to a question that is of minimal importance. Reframed differently, the goal of selecting a reliability coefficient is not to find the one that provides the highest coefficient, but the one that most accurately reflects the test data under study.

It is important to note that there are factors encountered in practice that may legitimately make coefficient alpha an underestimate of reliability. However, there are also factors that might make coefficient alpha an overestimate of reliability. Both possibilities are discussed further below and generally arise when the Essentially-Tau-Equivalent assumptions are strained.

Table 18-3. Summary of Expectations/Observable Relationships for Different Parallelism Models

| Relationship | Degree of Measurement <br> Parallelism* Classically <br> Parallel | Degree of Measurement <br> Parallelism* Essentially- <br> Tau Equivalent | Degree of Measurement <br> Parallelism* Congeneric |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Content Similarity | Yes | Yes | Yos |
| Equal Means across Parts | Yes | No | No |
| Equal Variances across Parts | Yes | Yes | No |
| Equal Covariances across Parts | Yes | Yes | No |
| Equal Covariances with other Variables | Yes | No |  |

*Note: Other models exist but are not considered here due to their limited application in practice.

## BIASES THAT MIGHT MAKE ALPHA AN UNDERESTIMATE OF RELIABILITY

There are factors that might negatively bias coefficient alpha, making the apparent reliability lower than it may actually be. In practice, two situations frequently encountered that might cause this include tests that are composed of mixed item types (e.g., MC and CR items) and tests that include a planned stratification of the test items according to topics or subdomains.

Although both situations strictly violate the assumptions used in deriving the coefficient alpha (i.e., the tests are not based on equal part lengths in the former case and are not randomly parallel in the latter case), neither necessarily guarantees that the reliability will be markedly lower. In the latter case, reliability will be underestimated only when strand items are homogeneous enough for the average covariance within strata to exceed the average covariance between strata. Although both are potential influences for the Keystone Exams, the total test score reliabilities (i.e., r) reported in Appendix M ranged from 0.84 to 0.94 , indicating highly consistent test scores for these instruments.

## BIASES THAT MIGHT MAKE ALPHA AN OVERESTIMATE OF RELIABLITY

As emphasized in earlier sections, coefficient alpha only takes into account measurement error that arises from the selection of items used on a particular test form. There are other sources of random inaccuracy. One is due to the occasion of testing. Examples of other various random conditions that might affect students on any particular testing occasions include illness, fatigue, and anxiety. Also, when a test includes CR items, another source of random fluctuation can be the CR item scorers. In a sense, alpha may be positively biased because it does not take into account these other important sources of random error. Actually, any internal consistency reliability index might understate the overall problem of measurement error because they all ignore such sources of random error.

Another positive bias can occur when items are associated (clustered) with a common stimulus. Item bundles and testlets are other frequently used terms for this situation. One concrete example is when multiple reading comprehension items are associated with a common passage selection. Again, such a situation does not guarantee that the reliability estimate will be markedly affected, but the potential exists.

## MODULE SCORE RELIABILITY

As noted in the introduction, reliabilities tend to be higher with an increase in test length and lower with a decrease in test length. Figure 18-1 illustrates this relationship for a hypothetical 45-point test with three total score reliabilities: $0.95,0.90$, and 0.85 . As an example, the curve for reliability equal to 0.90 suggests that a 10item module would be expected to have a score reliability of just over 0.65 . The use of the Spearman-Brown prophecy formula assumes all items are exchangeable, which in practice, they may not be. While such a chart may not perfectly model actual module correlations, the intent is only to illustrate the substantial impact that limited numbers of module items can have on module score reliability.

Figure 18-1. Example of the Relationship Between Test Length and Reliability


As can be seen in Appendix $M$, the reliability coefficients at the module level were always lower than those at the total test level. This is more likely because the number of items at the module level is half of the number of items in the total test.

## STANDARD ERROR OF MEASUREMENT

The reliability coefficient is a unit-free indicator that reflects the degree to which scores are free of measurement error. It always ranges between 0.0 and 1.0 regardless of the test's scale. Reliability coefficients best reflect the extent to which measurement inconsistencies may be present or absent in a group. However, they are not that useful for helping users interpret test scores. The standard error of measurement (SEM) is another indicator of test score precision that is better suited for determining the effect of measurement inconsistencies on the scores obtained by individual examinees. This is particularly so for conditional SEMs (CSEM) discussed further below.

## TRADITIONAL STANDARD ERROR OF MEASUREMENT

A precise, theoretical interpretation of the SEM (see Appendix $M$ ) is somewhat unwieldy. A beginning point for understanding the concept is as follows. If everyone being tested had the same true score, ${ }^{2}$ there would still be some variation in observed scores due to imperfections in the measurement process, such as random differences in attention during instruction or concentration during testing or the sampling of test items. The standard error is defined as the standard deviation ${ }^{3}$ of the distribution of observed scores for students with identical true scores. Because the SEM is an index of the random variability in test scores in actual score units, it represents very important information for test score users.

The SEM formula is provided below.
$S E M=S D \sqrt{1-\text { reliability }}$
It indicates that the value of the SEM depends on both the reliability coefficient and the standard deviation of test scores. If the reliability were equal to 0.00 (the lowest possible value), the SEM would be equal to the standard deviation of the test scores. If test reliability were equal to 1.00 (the highest possible value), the SEM would be 0.0. In other words, a perfectly reliable test has no measurement error (Harvill, 1991). Additionally, the value of the SEM takes the group variation (i.e., score standard deviation) into account. Consider that an SEM of 3.0 on a 10-point test would be very different from an SEM of 3.0 on a 100-point test.

## TRADITIONAL SEM CONFIDENCE INTERVALS

The SEM is an index of the random variability in test scores in actual score units, which is why it has such great utility for test score users. SEMs allow statements regarding the precision of individual tests scores. SEMs help place reasonable limits (Gulliksen, 1950) around observed scores through construction of an approximate score band. Often referred to as confidence intervals, these bands are constructed by taking the observed scores, $X$, and adding and subtracting a multiplicative factor of the SEM. As an example, students with a given true score will have observed scores that fall between $\pm 1$ SEM about two-thirds of the time. ${ }^{4}$ For $\pm 2$ SEM confidence intervals, the percentage increases to about 95 percent.

## FURTHER INTERPRETATIONS

## ONE SEM FOR ALL TEST SCORES

The SEM approach described above only provides a single numerical estimate for constructing the confidence intervals for examinees regardless of their score levels. In reality, however, such confidence intervals vary according to one's score. Consequently, care should be taken when using the SEM for students with extreme scores. An alternate approach that conditions the SEM on a student's score estimate is described in the next sections.

## GROUP SPECIFIC

As noted in the introduction, reliabilities are group specific. The same is true for SEMs because both score reliabilities and score standard deviations vary across groups.

## RAW SCORE METRIC

The SEM approach is calculated using raw scores, and as such, the resulting confidence interval bands are on the raw score metric. Error bands on the scaled-score metric are considered in the next section.

## TYPE OF ERROR REFLECTED

The interpretation of the SEM should be driven by the type of score reliability that underpins it. So, the Keystone Exams SEMs involve the same source of error relevant to internal consistency indices. As noted earlier, a precise technical explanation of the SEM (and resulting confidence intervals) can be unwieldy. Because of this, score users are often provided less complex interpretations.

One simpler description sometimes used is that a confidence interval represents the possible score range that one would observe if a student could be tested twice with the same instrument. Taking the same test on a different day implies the only source of random error being considered is related to the occasion of testing-such as a student might be sleepier one day than another, might be sick, or might not have eaten a good breakfast. There is a reliability index that captures this source of random error, and it is referred to as the test-retest reliability coefficient. This is not the type of reliability computed for the Keystone Exams. When internal consistency reliability estimates are used, such an explanation blurs the fact that random error based on the occasion of testing is not considered.

When SEMs are derived from internal consistency reliability estimates, a better approach is to describe the confidence interval as providing reasonable bounds for the range of scores that a student might receive if he or she took an equivalent version of the test. (That is, the student took a test that covered exactly the same content but included a different set of items.) As an example, if the Algebra I score was 1450 and the SEM band was 1435 to 1465 , then a student would be likely to receive a score somewhere between 1435 and 1465 if he or she took a different version of the test.

## RESULTS AND OBSERVATIONS

Coefficient alpha results and associated (traditional) SEMs for various Keystone Exam scores are documented in Appendix M. Values were derived using the final data file (see Chapter Nine). The results are organized by

[^3]administration and then content area. Each table also breaks out the modules and groups of interest such as the total student population (overall), gender, ethnicity, English language learner (ELL), students with an individualized education plan (IEP), and the economically disadvantaged (ED). The statistics reported include the number of points possible (Pts.), number of items (Len.), number of students tested ( N ), mean number of score points received (Mean), standard deviation of test scores (SD), reliability (r), and traditional standard error of measurement (SEM).

Note that these tables report the standard deviations of observed scores. Assuming normally distributed scores, one would expect about two-thirds of the observations to be within one standard deviation of the mean. An estimate of the standard deviation of the true scores can be computed as
$\hat{\sigma}_{T}=\sqrt{\hat{\sigma}_{x}^{2}-\hat{\sigma}_{x}^{2}\left(1-\hat{\rho}_{x}\right)}$
The overall test score reliability values are high (with a value of 0.84 or higher) for Algebra I, Biology, and Literature. The reliabilities at the module level are relatively low. This is most likely due to the fact that each module contains fewer items. It was also noted that reliabilities tend to go up in value with an increase in population heterogeneity and go down in value with a decrease in more homogeneous populations. Once again, there is no firm guideline regarding how low is too low. The lower a given reliability coefficient, the greater the potential for over-interpretation. As a point of reference, a reliability coefficient of 0.50 would suggest that there is as much error variance as true-score variance in the scores. It should be noted that the reliability of group mean scores (e.g., school or district means) tends to be higher than that of individual scores, suggesting interpretation of strand scores at these aggregate levels is likely reasonable.

## RASCH CONDITIONAL STANDARD ERRORS OF MEASUREMENT

The CSEM also indicates the degree of measurement error but does so in scaled-score units and varies as a function of a student's actual scaled score. Therefore, the CSEM may be especially useful in characterizing measurement precision in the neighborhood of a score level used for decision making-such as cut scores for identifying students who meet a performance standard.

Technically, when a Rasch model is applied, the CSEM at any given point on the ability continuum is defined as the reciprocal of the square root of the test information function derived from the Rasch scaling model:
$\operatorname{CSEM}\left(\hat{\beta}_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{I\left(\hat{\beta}_{n}\right)}}$
where $\operatorname{CSEM}\left(\hat{\beta}_{n}\right)$ is conditional standard error of measurement and $I\left(\hat{\beta}_{n}\right)$ is test information function. Test information depends on the sum of the corresponding information functions for the test items. Item information depends on each item's difficulty and conditional item score variance. The formula above utilizes the Rasch ability $\beta_{n}$ metric. The conditional standard error on the scaled-score (SS) metric is determined simply by multiplying the $\operatorname{CSEM}\left(\hat{\beta}_{n}\right)$ by the slope (multiplicative constant, $m$ ) of the linear transformation equation used to convert the Rasch ability estimates to scaled scores.
$\operatorname{CSEM}(\mathrm{SS})=\operatorname{CSEM}\left(\hat{\beta}_{n}\right) * m$
Chapter Fourteen provides the linear transformation formulas for each of the Keystone Exams.

## RASCH CSEM CONFIDENCE INTERVALS

CSEMs also allow statements regarding the precision of individual tests scores. And like SEMs, they help place reasonable limits around observed scaled scores through construction of an approximate score band. The confidence intervals are constructed by adding and subtracting a multiplicative factor of the CSEM and may be interpreted as described in the earlier section.

## FURTHER INTERPRETATIONS

## DIFFERENT CSEMS FOR DIFFERENT TEST SCORES

The CSEM approach provides different numerical estimates for constructing the confidence intervals for examinees depending on their specific score levels. The magnitude of the CSEM values is U-shaped, with larger CSEM values associated with lower and higher scores.

## GROUP SPECIFIC

Assuming reasonable model-data fit-as explored in Chapter Twelve-the Rasch-based CSEMs (conditioned on score level) should not vary across groups.

## SCALED-SCORE METRIC

The CSEM and associated confidence interval bands are on the scaled-score metric.

## TYPE OF ERROR REFLECTED

The CSEMs documented on the Keystone Exams score reports are the Rasch-based conditional standard errors of measurement described above. These are provided by the program WINSTEPS described in Chapter Twelve. As noted earlier, these CSEMs are based on the concept of statistical information. For the purpose of providing a simpler explanation of CSEMs to test score users, the earlier description of SEMs framed using the idea of internal consistency reliability was provided in the Keystone Exams score report interpretive guide. ${ }^{5}$ Score report content is considered in greater detail in Chapter Sixteen.

## RESULTS AND OBSERVATIONS

Figure 18-2 shows the Rasch CSEMs associated with each scaled-score level. (This information is also provided in tabular form in Appendix K.) Values were derived using the calibration data file described in Chapter Ten. The values are fairly consistent across a noticeably large range of the scaled scores, as demonstrated by the relatively flat bottoms of most plots. The values increase at both extremes (i.e., at smaller and larger scaled scores) giving these figures their typical U-shaped pattern. The three red-dashed lines represent the Basic, Proficient, and Advanced scaled score cuts, respectively, moving from lower to higher scaled-score values. CSEM values at the cut score lines are associated with smaller values, indicating more precise measurement occurs at these cuts.

Figure 18-2. Conditional Standard Error Plots for Each Administration and Content Area


## RELIABILITY OF PERFORMANCE LEVEL CLASSIFICATION DECISIONS

Student performance on the Keystone Exams is classified into one of four achievement levels using the cut scores described in Chapter Thirteen. The reliability of the classification decisions can be assessed by two statistics: decision accuracy and decision consistency.

## DECISION ACCURACY

Decision accuracy describes the extent to which performance level classification decisions based on the administered test form would agree with the decisions that would be made on the basis of a perfectly reliable test (i.e., if it was possible to know each examinee's true score). Decision accuracy answers the question: How does the actual classification of test takers, based on their single-form scores, agree with the classification that would be made on the basis of their true scores, if their true scores were somehow known?

## DECISION CONSISTENCY

Decision consistency describes the extent to which classification decisions based on the administered test form would agree with the decisions made if a parallel alternate form had been administered. Decision consistency answers the question: What is the agreement between the classifications based on two non-overlapping, equally difficult forms of the test?

Since the true scores are unknown and it is not feasible to repeat the Keystone Exams in order to estimate the proportion of students who would be reclassified in the same performance levels, a statistical model needs to be imposed on the data in order to project the consistency of classifications solely using data from the available administration (Hambleton and Novick, 1973). Although a number of procedures are available, two well-known methods were developed by Hanson and Brennan (1990) and Livingston and Lewis (1995), utilizing specific true score models. These approaches are fairly complex, and the cited sources contain details regarding the statistical models used to calculate the decision accuracy and consistency from a single administration.

For Keystone Exams, given that the two approaches provide similar results, true scores and single-form scores on forms parallel to the one actually given are estimated following the Livingston and Lewis (1995) method. The decision accuracy is estimated using an estimated joint distribution of reported performance-level classifications on the current form of the exam and the performance-level classifications based on the true score. Decision consistency is estimated using an estimated joint distribution of reported performance-level classifications on the current form of the exam and performance-level classifications on the parallel alternate form. In each case, the proportion of performance-level classifications with exact agreement is the sum of the entries in the diagonal of the contingency table representing the joint distribution. Reliability of classification at each performance-level cut score is estimated by collapsing the joint distribution at the passing score boundary into a 2-by-2 table and summing the two entries.

Several factors might affect the classification decision accuracy and consistency. One important factor is the reliability of the scores. All other things being equal, more reliable test scores tend to result in more similar reclassifications. Another factor is the location of the cut score in the score distribution. More consistent classifications are observed when the cut scores are located away from the mass of the score distribution. For example, when scores are close to being normally distributed, the mass is concentrated in the middle of the distribution, and thus, classifications tend to become more consistent when cut scores go up from 70 percent to 80 percent, or, alternatively, go down from 30 percent to 20 percent. The number of performance levels is also a consideration. Consistency indices for four performance levels should be lower than for those based on two categories. This is not surprising since classification using four levels would allow more opportunity to change achievement levels. Hence, there would be more classification errors with four achievement levels, resulting in lower consistency indices.

The results—derived using the program BB-Class (Brennan, 2004) - for the overall accuracy and consistency across all four performance levels as well as for the dichotomies created by the three cut scores are presented in Table 18-4.

Across all administrations and content areas, the overall decision accuracy ranged from 0.74 to 0.82 and the decision consistency ranged from 0.64 to 0.74 . Dichotomous decisions have the higher accuracy and consistency values than the overall. The decision accuracy of the Basic/Proficient cut scores ranged from 0.86 to 0.95 and the decision consistency ranged from 0.81 to 0.93 . These results indicate that at least $86 \%$ of students meeting or exceeding the Proficient cut score would receive the same classification if their true scores were known. If a parallel test were administered, at least $81 \%$ or more of students meeting or exceeding the Proficient cut score would be classified in the same way.

Table 18-4. Reliability of Performance-Level Classification Decisions

| Administration | Content Area | Statistics |  | Overall |  | Below Basic/ <br> Basic |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Winter | Algebra I | Accuracy | 0.77 | 0.98 | 0.86 | 0.94 |
| Winter | Algebra I | Consistency | 0.68 | 0.97 | 0.81 | 0.91 |
| Winter | Biology | Accuracy | 0.80 | 0.98 | 0.89 | 0.94 |
| Winter | Biology | Consistency | 0.72 | 0.97 | 0.85 | 0.92 |
| Winter | Literature | Accuracy | 0.80 | 0.97 | 0.92 | 0.91 |
| Winter | Literature | Consistency | 0.73 | 0.96 | 0.89 | 0.88 |
| Spring | Algebra I | Accuracy | 0.80 | 0.94 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| Spring | Algebra I | Consistency | 0.72 | 0.92 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Spring | Biology | Accuracy | 0.82 | 0.95 | 0.93 | 0.94 |
| Spring | Biology | Consistency | 0.74 | 0.93 | 0.90 | 0.91 |
| Spring | Literature | Accuracy | 0.79 | 0.91 | 0.95 | 0.92 |
| Spring | Literature | Consistency | 0.71 | 0.89 | 0.93 | 0.89 |
| Summer | Algebra I | Accuracy | 0.79 | 0.97 | 0.94 | 0.89 |
| Summer | Algebra I | Consistency | 0.71 | 0.96 | 0.91 | 0.84 |
| Summer | Biology | Accuracy | 0.74 | 0.97 | 0.86 | 0.91 |
| Summer | Biology | Consistency | 0.64 | 0.96 | 0.81 | 0.88 |
| Summer | Literature | Accuracy | 0.80 | 0.99 | 0.94 | 0.86 |
| Summer | Literature | Consistency | 0.72 | 0.99 | 0.92 | 0.80 |

## RATER AGREEMENT

Because CR items are included on the Keystone Exams, another source of random error is related to the scorers of those items. Frisbie (2005) noted that "test score reliability differs from scorer reliability" and that "the need for one kind of estimate cannot be satisfied by the other." Additionally, the data most easily obtainable that captures this information comes from the "10 percent read behinds" collected during the scoring process. Partly because of the way these data are obtained and reported (i.e., it's not a ratio of true score variance over observed score variance), the term rater agreement is used here, not rater reliability or inter-rater reliability as these terms are somewhat misleading.

The rater agreements for the Keystone Exams are presented in Tables 18-5 to 18-7. In addition, the percentages awarded to each score point are also presented in these tables. As the table shows, the exact inter-rater agreement percentages ranged from 79 to 100 percent. Overall, Algebra I has the highest exact agreements while Literature has the lowest exact agreement. The percentages of exact and adjacent agreement for all content areas are 100 or close to 100 .

Table 18-5. Inter-Rater Agreement and Percentage Awarded for Each Score Point for CR Items: Winter

| Content Area | Item | Inter-Rater Agreement \% Exact | Inter-Rater <br> Agreement <br> \% <br> Adjacent | \% Exact + <br> Adjacent Agreement | $\%$ <br> Awarded for Score Point 0 | \% <br> Awarded for Score Point 1 | $\%$ <br> Awarded for Score Point 2 | Awarded for Score Point 3 | Awarded for Score Point 4 | \% <br> Awarded for Score Point B/ NS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algebra 1 | 1A | 99 | 1 | 100 | 82 | 3 | 4 | NA | NA | 10 |
| Algebra 1 | 1B | 100 | 0 | 100 | 66 | 24 | NA | NA | NA | 10 |
| Algebra 1 | 1C | 100 | 0 | 100 | 80 | 9 | NA | NA | NA | 10 |
| Algebra 1 | 2 | 96 | 4 | 100 | 35 | 34 | 9 | 6 | 1 | 14 |
| Algebra 1 | 3A | 99 | 1 | 100 | 76 | 10 | NA | NA | NA | 14 |
| Algebra 1 | 3B | 100 | 0 | 100 | 50 | 36 | NA | N A | NA | 14 |
| Algebra 1 | 3 C | 98 | 2 | 100 | 39 | 45 | NA | NA | NA | 14 |
| Algebra 1 | 3D | 100 | 0 | 100 | 50 | 35 | NA | NA | NA | 14 |
| Algebra 1 | 4A | 99 | 1 | 100 | 34 | 54 | NA | NA | NA | 11 |
| Algebra 1 | 4B | 99 | 1 | 100 | 81 | 7 | NA | NA | NA | 11 |
| Algebra 1 | 4C | 100 | 0 | 100 | 75 | 14 | NA | NA | NA | 11 |
| Algebra 1 | 4D | 100 | 0 | 100 | 88 | 1 | NA | NA | NA | 11 |
| Algebra 1 | 5A | 99 | 1 | 100 | 46 | 5 | 36 | NA | NA | 13 |
| Algebra 1 | 5B | 100 | 0 | 100 | 82 | 5 | NA | NA | NA | 13 |
| Algebra 1 | 5C | 98 | 2 | 100 | 59 | 28 | NA | NA | NA | 13 |
| Algebra 1 | 5MU | 100 | 0 | 100 | 85 | 1 | NA | NA | NA | 13 |
| Algebra 1 | 6A | 99 | 1 | 100 | 78 | 8 | NA | NA | NA | 14 |
| Algebra 1 | 6B | 100 | 0 | 100 | 58 | 27 | NA | NA | NA | 14 |
| Algebra 1 | 6C | 99 | 1 | 100 | 71 | 5 | 10 | NA | NA | 14 |
| Biology | 1 | 92 | 8 | 100 | 51 | 24 | 9 | 3 | NA | 13 |
| Biology | 2 | 97 | 3 | 100 | 28 | 28 | 23 | 9 | NA | 12 |
| Biology | 3 | 95 | 5 | 100 | 29 | 30 | 25 | 2 | NA | 14 |
| Biology | 4 | 91 | 9 | 100 | 8 | 19 | 36 | 24 | NA | 13 |
| Biology | 5 | 95 | 5 | 100 | 66 | 7 | 4 | 2 | NA | 21 |
| Biology | 6 | 87 | 13 | 100 | 18 | 38 | 23 | 10 | NA | 11 |
| Literature | 1 | 83 | 17 | 100 | 9 | 32 | 37 | 10 | NA | 11 |
| Literature | 2 | 87 | 13 | 100 | 26 | 35 | 24 | 3 | NA | 12 |
| Literature | 3 | 83 | 17 | 100 | 26 | 31 | 21 | 5 | NA | 17 |
| Literature | 4 | 84 | 16 | 100 | 9 | 41 | 29 | 7 | NA | 13 |
| Literature | 5 | 80 | 20 | 100 | 35 | 29 | 16 | 4 | NA | 15 |
| Literature | 6 | 88 | 12 | 100 | 7 | 41 | 32 | 3 | NA | 18 |

Note: Some of the Algebra I CR items were scored by part. For example, 1A in the second column means part A of item 1 . $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{NS}$ in the last column represents blank/non-scorable. NA means not applicable.

Table 18-6. Inter-Rater Agreement and Percentage Awarded for Each Score Point for CR Items: Spring

| Content Area | Item | Inter-Rater Agreement \% Exact | Inter-Rater <br> Agreement \% <br> Adjacent | \% Exact + <br> Adjacent Agreement | \% <br> Awarded for Score Point 0 | \% <br> Awarded for Score Point 1 | $\%$ <br> Awarded for Score Point 2 |  <br> Awarded for Score Point 3 | \% <br> Awarded for Score Point 4 | \% <br> Awarded for Score Point B/ NS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algebra 1 | 1A | 98 | 2 | 100 | 29 | 64 | NA | NA | NA | 7 |
| Algebra 1 | 1B | 99 | 1 | 100 | 28 | 5 | 61 | NA | NA | 7 |
| Algebra 1 | 1 C | 99 | 1 | 100 | 57 | 36 | NA | NA | NA | 7 |
| Algebra 1 | 2A | 99 | 1 | 100 | 42 | 48 | NA | NA | NA | 10 |
| Algebra 1 | 2B | 100 | 0 | 100 | 70 | 21 | NA | NA | NA | 10 |
| Algebra 1 | 2C | 100 | 0 | 100 | 85 | 5 | NA | NA | NA | 10 |
| Algebra 1 | 2D | 99 | 1 | 100 | 79 | 12 | NA | NA | NA | 10 |
| Algebra 1 | 3 | 90 | 10 | 100 | 29 | 37 | 15 | 7 | 1 | 11 |
| Algebra 1 | 4 | 85 | 15 | 100 | 11 | 29 | 29 | 22 | 1 | 8 |
| Algebra 1 | 5A | 100 | 0 | 100 | 43 | 49 | NA | NA | NA | 8 |
| Algebra 1 | 5B | 100 | 0 | 100 | 45 | 47 | NA | NA | NA | 8 |
| Algebra 1 | 5 C | 100 | 0 | 100 | 66 | 26 | NA | NA | NA | 8 |
| Algebra 1 | 5D | 100 | 0 | 100 | 91 | 1 | NA | NA | NA | 8 |
| Algebra 1 | 6 | 91 | 9 | 100 | 28 | 30 | 8 | 16 | 9 | 10 |
| Biology | 1 | 93 | 7 | 100 | 35 | 22 | 28 | 4 | NA | 11 |
| Biology | 2 | 80 | 19 | 99 | 28 | 30 | 24 | 8 | NA | 9 |
| Biology | 3 | 92 | 8 | 100 | 31 | 23 | 18 | 16 | NA | 13 |
| Biology | 4 | 91 | 8 | 99 | 43 | 19 | 14 | 12 | NA | 13 |
| Biology | 5 | 91 | 9 | 100 | 26 | 22 | 21 | 13 | NA | 17 |
| Biology | 6 | 87 | 13 | 100 | 5 | 48 | 24 | 11 | NA | 11 |
| Literature | 1 | 83 | 17 | 100 | 16 | 26 | 37 | 10 | NA | 11 |
| Literature | 2 | 80 | 20 | 100 | 8 | 30 | 40 | 12 | NA | 10 |
| Literature | 3 | 79 | 21 | 100 | 9 | 29 | 36 | 13 | NA | 13 |
| Literature | 4 | 83 | 17 | 100 | 5 | 28 | 39 | 19 | NA | 8 |
| Literature | 5 | 79 | 21 | 100 | 12 | 21 | 42 | 14 | NA | 11 |
| Literature | 6 | 83 | 17 | 100 | 9 | 24 | 40 | 12 | NA | 14 |

Note: Some of the Algebra I CR items were scored by part. For example, 1A in the second column means part A of item 1 . $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{NS}$ in the last column represents blank/non-scorable. NA means not applicable.

Table 18-7. Inter-Rater Agreement and Percentage Awarded for Each Score Point for CR Items: Summer

| Content Area | Item | Inter-Rater Agreement \% Exact | Inter-Rater <br> Agreement \% <br> Adjacent | \% Exact + <br> Adjacent <br> Agreement | $\begin{array}{r} \% \\ \text { Awarded } \\ \text { for Score } \\ \text { Point } 0 \end{array}$ | $\%$ <br> Awarded for Score Point 1 | $\%$ <br> Awarded for Score Point 2 | $\%$ <br> Awarded for Score Point 3 | $\begin{array}{r} \% \\ \text { Awarded } \\ \text { for Score } \\ \text { Point } 4 \end{array}$ | \% <br> Awarded for Score Point B/ NS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algebra 1 | 1 | 95 | 5 | 100 | 6 | 25 | 32 | 25 | 8 | 3 |
| Algebra 1 | 2A | 100 | 0 | 100 | 26 | 70 | NA | NA | NA | 4 |
| Algebra 1 | 2B | 99 | 1 | 100 | 72 | 24 | NA | NA | NA | 4 |
| Algebra 1 | 2C | 99 | 1 | 100 | 54 | 42 | NA | NA | NA | 4 |
| Algebra 1 | 2D | 100 | 0 | 100 | 62 | 34 | NA | NA | NA | 4 |
| Algebra 1 | 3 | 91 | 9 | 100 | 52 | 37 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| Algebra 1 | 4A | 99 | 1 | 100 | 9 | 89 | NA | NA | NA | 2 |
| Algebra 1 | 4B | 99 | 1 | 100 | 48 | 49 | NA | NA | NA | 2 |
| Algebra 1 | 4C | 95 | 5 | 100 | 74 | 23 | NA | NA | NA | 2 |
| Algebra 1 | 4D | 97 | 3 | 100 | 63 | 35 | NA | NA | NA | 2 |
| Algebra 1 | 5 | 86 | 14 | 100 | 27 | 25 | 34 | 9 | 1 | 5 |
| Algebra 1 | 6A | 99 | 1 | 100 | 64 | 30 | NA | NA | NA | 5 |
| Algebra 1 | 6B | 99 | 1 | 100 | 66 | 29 | NA | NA | NA | 5 |
| Algebra 1 | 6C | 99 | 1 | 100 | 82 | 12 | NA | NA | NA | 5 |
| Algebra 1 | 6D | 100 | 0 | 100 | 94 | 1 | NA | NA | NA | 5 |
| Biology | 1 | 91 | 9 | 100 | 41 | 16 | 26 | 12 | NA | 5 |
| Biology | 2 | 98 | 2 | 100 | 26 | 25 | 33 | 13 | NA | 4 |
| Biology | 3 | 97 | 3 | 100 | 33 | 56 | 3 | 5 | NA | 4 |
| Biology | 4 | 94 | 6 | 100 | 36 | 37 | 18 | 3 | NA | 5 |
| Biology | 5 | 90 | 10 | 100 | 26 | 40 | 22 | 7 | NA | 6 |
| Biology | 6 | 87 | 13 | 100 | 48 | 27 | 16 | 5 | NA | 5 |
| Literature | 1 | 85 | 15 | 100 | 6 | 31 | 53 | 8 | NA | 2 |
| Literature | 2 | 88 | 13 | 101 | 9 | 38 | 45 | 5 | NA | 3 |
| Literature | 3 | 91 | 9 | 100 | 12 | 54 | 26 | 1 | NA | 6 |
| Literature | 4 | 87 | 13 | 100 | 6 | 46 | 38 | 5 | NA | 5 |
| Literature | 5 | 83 | 17 | 100 | 9 | 36 | 39 | 9 | NA | 6 |
| Literature | 6 | 84 | 16 | 100 | 9 | 53 | 25 | 5 | NA | 8 |

Note: Some of the Algebra I CR items were scored by part. For example, 1A in the second column means part A of item 1. B/NS in the last column represents blank/non-scorable. NA means not applicable.

## CHAPTER NINETEEN: VALIDITY

As defined in the Standards for Educational and Psychological Testing (AERA, APA, \& NCME, 1999, referred to as the Standards), validity is "the degree to which evidence and theory support the interpretation of test scores entailed by proposed uses of tests" (p. 9). The Standards provides a framework for describing the sources of evidence that should be considered when evaluating validity. These sources include evidence based on test content, response processes, the internal structure of the test, the relationships between test scores and other variables, and the consequences of testing. In addition, when Rasch models are used to analyze assessment data, validity considerations related to those processes should also be explored.

The validity process involves the collection of a variety of evidence to support the proposed test score interpretations and uses. The entire technical report describes the technical aspects of the Keystone Exams in support of their score interpretations and uses. Each of the previous chapters contributes important evidence components that pertain to score validation: test development, test administration, test scoring, item analysis, Rasch calibration, scaling, equating, score reporting, and reliability. This chapter summarizes and synthesizes the evidence based on the framework of the Standards. The purposes and intended uses of the Keystone Exams are reviewed first, and then each type of validity evidence is addressed in turn.

## PURPOSES AND INTENDED USES OF THE KEYSTONE EXAMS

The Standards emphasize that validity pertains to how test scores are used. To help contextualize the evidence that will be presented below, the purposes of the Pennsylvania Keystone Exams will be reviewed first. The Keystone Exams, which began in 2010-2011 for Algebra I, Biology, and Literature, are one component of Pennsylvania's new system of high school graduation requirements for students in the class of 2020 and beyond. Students take the exams toward the end of specific courses. The Keystone Exams results help school districts guide students toward meeting state standards. Students who do not score Proficient or above on a Keystone Exam module may choose to complete a project-based assessment for that module, provided that they meet the requirements detailed below.

- The student has taken the course.
- The student was unsuccessful in achieving a score of Proficient or Advanced on the Keystone Exam after at least two attempts.
- The student has met the district's attendance requirements for the course.
- The student has participated in a satisfactory manner in supplemental instructional services.


## EVIDENCE BASED ON TEST CONTENT

Test content validity evidence for the Keystone Exams rests greatly on establishing a link between each piece of the assessment (i.e., the items) and what students should know and be able to do as prescribed by the Keystone Exams Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content. The Keystone Exams are intended to measure the knowledge and skills described in the Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content for Algebra I, Biology, and Literature.

Lane (1999) suggests taking the following steps to support the content validity of an assessment. In the case of Keystone Exams, one should

- Evaluate the degree to which the test specifications represent and align with the knowledge and skills described in the Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content for Algebra I, Biology, and Literature.
- Evaluate the alignment between the Keystone Exams items and test specifications to ensure representativeness.
- Evaluate the extent to which the curriculum aligns with the Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content.
- Conduct content reviews of the Keystone Exams items using a panel of content experts to see whether items measure the intended construct or are the sources of construct-irrelevant variance.
- Conduct fairness reviews of the items to avoid issues related to a specific subpopulation.
- Evaluate procedures for administration and scoring such as the appropriateness of instructions to examinees, time limit for the assessment, and training of raters.
- Submit operational tests to third-party independent reviews.

Chapters Two through Eight of this report present a considerable amount of evidence related to test content. As described in these chapters, all the items were developed and aligned with the Keystone Exams Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content for Algebra I, Biology, and Literature. After development, items underwent multiple rounds of content and bias reviews. After being field tested, they were reviewed with respect to their statistical properties. Items selected for the operational assessment had to pass content, psychometric, and PDE reviews. Tests were administrated according to standardized procedures with allowable accommodations.

Some of the efforts made to ensure content validity are summarized below.

- DRC used Webb’s (1999) Depth of Knowledge (DOK) model to ensure the Keystone Exams items aligned with the Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content and the Academic Content Standards in terms of both content and cognitive levels.
- DRC established detailed test and item/passage development specifications and ensured the items were sufficient in number and adequately distributed across content, levels of cognitive complexity, and levels of difficulty.
- DRC selected qualified item writers and provided training to help ensure they wrote high-quality items.
- All newly developed items were first reviewed by content specialists and editors at DRC to make sure they measured the intended Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content for Algebra I, Biology, and Literature. Appropriateness for the intended students was also considered, as well as DOK, graphics, grammar/punctuation, language demand, and distractor reasonableness.
- Prior to field testing, the test items were submitted to content committees (composed of Pennsylvania educators) for review using, but not limited to, the following categories:
- Overall quality and clarity
- Anchor, Eligible Content, and/or standard alignment
- Grade-level appropriateness
- Difficulty level
- DOK
- Appropriate sources of challenge (e.g., unintended content and skills)
- Correct answer
- Quality of distractors
- Graphics
- Appropriate language demand
- Freedom from bias
- The items were also submitted to a Bias, Fairness, and Sensitivity Committee for review. This committee reviewed items for issues related to diversity, gender, and other pertinent factors.
- Items passing all the prior hurdles were tried out as embedded field test items in the operational test. Several statistical analyses were conducted on the field test data including classical item analyses, distractor analyses, and differential item functioning (DIF). Items were again carefully reviewed by DRC staff and a committee of Pennsylvania teachers with respect to their statistical characteristics. DIF was used to detect test items that might bias test scores for particular groups. Empirical investigation of DIF strengthens the validity evidence related to score interpretations for students in particular groups by eliminating potential sources of construct-irrelevant variance.
- The Keystone Exams were administered according to standardized procedures with allowable accommodations. Students were given ample time to complete the tests (i.e., there were no speediness issues).
- As described in Chapter Eight, the raters for constructed-response (CR) items were carefully recruited and well trained. Their scoring was monitored throughout the scoring session to ensure that an acceptable level of scoring accuracy was maintained.


## EVIDENCE BASED ON RESPONSE PROCESS

Response-process evidence is used to examine the extent to which the cognitive skills and processes employed by students match those identified in the test developer's defined construct domains for all students and for each subgroup. Think-aloud procedures or cognitive labs can be used to collect this type of evidence. In addition, when an assessment includes CR items, an examination of the extent to which the raters interpret and apply the scoring criteria accurately when assigning scores to students' responses on CR items also adds response-process validity evidence.

For the operational Keystone Exams offered in winter, spring, and summer, no cognitive lab studies were conducted to collect the response-process evidence. Rather, for all the Keystone Exams, well-organized scorer training and subsequent monitoring of rating accuracy helped ensure that raters strictly followed the scoring criteria and that no features unrelated to the rubric significantly affected their scoring.

## EVIDENCE BASED ON INTERNAL STRUCTURE

As described in the Standards (1999), internal-structure evidence refers to the degree to which the relationships between test items and test components conform to the construct on which the proposed test interpretations are based. For each Keystone Exam, one total test score as well as module scores were reported (see Chapter Sixteen for more information about the Keystone Exams scores). Several dimensionality studies were conducted in order to provide internal-structure evidence relating to the use of both types of scores.

## ITEM-TEST CORRELATIONS

Item-test correlations are provided and discussed in Chapter Eleven. All values were positive and of acceptable magnitude.

## DIFFERENTIAL ITEM FUNCTIONING (DIF)

DIF analyses with respect to gender, ethnicity, and test administration mode help address construct-irrelevant variance, which represents an important threat to the validity of achievement tests. As noted in Chapter Five, field test items were screened and reviewed for DIF. Only items approved by teacher committees were eligible for operational use. DIF analyses were conducted on the operational items again to monitor the bias code change. As can be seen in Table 19-1, there were a very few items where the bias code changed from A/B (i.e., A+, A-, B+, and B-) to C (i.e., C+ or C-). Given that most items selected to build the operational forms had no C-level DIF, the Keystone Exams can be considered valid from this perspective.

Table 19-1. Summary of Bias Code Change from Field Test to Operational Test

| Administration | Content <br> Area | Change | Male/ Female | White/ Black | PPT/CBT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winter | Algebral | $C \rightarrow A / B$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Winter | Algebral | $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Winter | Biology | $C \rightarrow A / B$ | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Winter | Biology | $A / B \rightarrow C$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Winter | Literature | $C \rightarrow A / B$ | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| Winter | Literature | $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spring | Algebral | $C \rightarrow A / B$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spring | Algebral | $A / B \rightarrow C$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spring | Biology | $C \rightarrow A / B$ | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Spring | Biology | $A / B \rightarrow C$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spring | Literature | $C \rightarrow A / B$ | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Spring | Literature | $A / B \rightarrow C$ | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Summer | Algebral | $C \rightarrow A / B$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Summer | Algebral | $A / B \rightarrow C$ | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Summer | Biology | $C \rightarrow A / B$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Summer | Biology | $A / B \rightarrow C$ | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Summer | Literature | $C \rightarrow A / B$ | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Summer | Literature | $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Note: PPT represents the paper-and-pencil-based test, and CBT represents the computer-based test.

## DIMENSIONALITY

Dimensionality analyses were conducted for the winter, spring, and summer Keystone Exams using WINSTEPS's principle components analyses on response residuals for each content area. Results are shown in Chapter Twelve. The principal component analysis results provided evidence that each of the three Keystone Exams was essentially unidimensional, supporting the validity of using the total scores to estimate student's overall ability in each subject area.

## MODULE CORRELATIONS

Correlations and disattenuated correlations among module scores for the Keystone Exams are presented below. Values were derived from the Keystone Exams final data files (see Chapter Nine). These data can also provide information on score dimensionality that is part of internal-structure evidence. All Keystone Exams have two modules. The intercorrelations between the modules within the content areas were positive and ranged from 0.68 to 0.88 . The intercorrelations between modules in different content areas ranged from 0.33 to 0.75 , which were relatively small as expected.

Table 19-2. Correlations among Algebra I, Biology, and Literature Modules

| Administration | Content Area | Module | Algebra I Module 1 | Algebra I Module 2 | Biology Module 1 | Biology Module 2 | Literature Module 1 | Literature Module 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winter | Algebra I | Module 1 | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winter | Algebra I | Module 2 | 0.75 | - |  |  |  |  |
| Winter | Biology | Module 1 | 0.50 | 0.51 | - |  |  |  |
| Winter | Biology | Module 2 | 0.53 | 0.54 | 0.81 | - |  |  |
| Winter | Literature | Module 1 | 0.52 | 0.51 | 0.66 | 0.67 | - |  |
| Winter | Literature | Module 2 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.68 | 0.69 | 0.82 | - |
| Spring | Algebra I | Module 1 | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spring | Algebra I | Module 2 | 0.87 | - |  |  |  |  |
| Spring | Biology | Module 1 | 0.66 | 0.67 | - |  |  |  |
| Spring | Biology | Module 2 | 0.68 | 0.69 | 0.88 | - |  |  |
| Spring | Literature | Module 1 | 0.57 | 0.56 | 0.71 | 0.73 | - |  |
| Spring | Literature | Module 2 | 0.60 | 0.59 | 0.73 | 0.75 | 0.85 | - |
| Summer | Algebra I | Module 1 | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summer | Algebra I | Module 2 | 0.71 | - |  |  |  |  |
| Summer | Biology | Module 1 | 0.46 | 0.52 | - |  |  |  |
| Summer | Biology | Module 2 | 0.52 | 0.59 | 0.76 | - |  |  |
| Summer | Literature | Module 1 | 0.49 | 0.46 | 0.49 | 0.49 | - |  |
| Summer | Literature | Module 2 | 0.33 | 0.36 | 0.48 | 0.46 | 0.68 | - |

The correlations in Table 19-2 are based on the observed module scores. These observed-score correlations are weakened by existing measurement error contained within each module. As a result, disattenuated correlations could provide an estimate of the relationships among modules if there were no measurement error. (An important caveat is explained further below.) The disattenuated correlation coefficients $R_{12}$ can be computed by using the formula (Spearman, 1904; Spearman, 1910) below:
$R_{12}=\frac{r_{12}}{\sqrt{r_{11} r_{22}}}$,
Where $r_{12}$ is the observed correlation, and $r_{11}$ and $r_{22}$ are the reliabilities for Module 1 and Module 2. Disattenuated correlations very near 1.00 suggest that the same or very similar constructs are being measured. Values somewhat less than 1.00 suggest that different modules are measuring slightly different aspects of the same construct. Values markedly less than 1.00 suggest the modules reflect different constructs.

Table 19-3 shows the corresponding disattenuated correlations for each Keystone Exam. Given that none of these modules had perfect reliabilities (see Chapter Eighteen), the disattenuated module correlations are higher than their observed score counterparts.

Table 19-3. Disattenuated Correlations among Algebra I, Biology, and Literature Modules

| Administration | Content Area | Module | Algebra I Module 1 | Algebra I Module 2 | Biology Module 1 | Biology Module 2 | Literature Module 1 | Literature Module 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winter | Algebra I | Module 1 | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winter | Algebra I | Module 2 | 0.99 | - |  |  |  |  |
| Winter | Biology | Module 1 | 0.63 | 0.65 | - |  |  |  |
| Winter | Biology | Module 2 | 0.66 | 0.67 | 0.98 | - |  |  |
| Winter | Literature | Module 1 | 0.66 | 0.64 | 0.80 | 0.80 | - |  |
| Winter | Literature | Module 2 | 0.66 | 0.65 | 0.81 | 0.83 | 0.98 | - |
| Spring | Algebra I | Module 1 | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spring | Algebra I | Module 2 | 1.01 | - |  |  |  |  |
| Spring | Biology | Module 1 | 0.76 | 0.76 | - |  |  |  |
| Spring | Biology | Module 2 | 0.78 | 0.79 | 0.99 | - |  |  |
| Spring | Literature | Module 1 | 0.67 | 0.66 | 0.82 | 0.85 | - |  |
| Spring | Literature | Module 2 | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.83 | 0.86 | 0.99 | - |
| Summer | Algebra I | Module 1 | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summer | Algebra I | Module 2 | 0.99 | - |  |  |  |  |
| Summer | Biology | Module 1 | 0.61 | 0.69 | - |  |  |  |
| Summer | Biology | Module 2 | 0.68 | 0.79 | 0.96 | - |  |  |
| Summer | Literature | Module 1 | 0.70 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.67 | - |  |
| Summer | Literature | Module 2 | 0.45 | 0.49 | 0.62 | 0.60 | 0.96 | - |

The within-content-area correlations were high (e.g., above 0.96), suggesting that the within-content-area modules might be measuring essentially the same construct. This, in turn, suggests that the within-content-area module scores might not provide unique information about the strengths or weaknesses of many of the students.

On a fairly consistent basis, the correlations among the modules within each content area are higher than the correlations among modules across different content areas. In general, within-content-area module correlations are at or higher than 0.96 , while across-content-area module correlations range from 0.45 to 0.86 .

It should be noted that some caution is needed when interpreting the disattenuated results because the reliabilities used to calculate the disattenuated correlations are subject to both upward and downward biases. Consequently, some of the values in the table above may be higher or lower than they should be, depending on which bias prevails for any given pair of module scores. When the reliabilities are lower than they should be, the disattenuated correlations will be inflated and in some instances can appear higher than the theoretical correlation maximum value of 1.00 .

## EXPLORATORY FACTOR ANALYSIS

In order to further explore the internal structure of the Keystone Exams, an exploratory factor analysis (EFA) of the module scores across all the Keystone Exams content areas was conducted. The Keystone Exams final data file (see Chapter Nine) was used to create the observed correlation matrices shown in Table 19-2, which, in turn, were used in the EFA. In the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS), Principle Axis Factor extraction was utilized with an oblique rotation (Promax) of the initial factor solution to improve interpretability. Oblique rotations allow for correlated factors, which seemed more appropriate for the Keystone Exams because of a priori expectations that academic achievement across subject areas should be correlated.

Table 19-4 presents the eigenvalues and the explained variance for the extracted factors for the Keystone Exams in Algebra I, Biology, and Literature. The scree plots of the eigenvalues and the first six factors can be found in Figure $19-1$. The first factor accounts for 60.23 to 75.25 percent of the total variance, while the second factor explains 11.87 to 14.92 percent of the total variance. Only the first factor had an eigenvalue greater than 1.0 , typically suggesting a one-factor solution using the Kaiser criterion. However, based on the belief that there should be three distinct factors (one for each content area), a three-factor solution was further explored.

Table 19-4. Eigenvalues and Explained Variance for Algebra I, Biology, and Literature Modules

| Administration | Factor | Eigenvalue | Variance <br> Explained (\%) |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Winter | 1 | 4.09 | $\mathbf{6 8 . 1 2}$ |
| Winter | 2 | 0.83 | 13.84 |
| Winter | 3 | 0.47 | 7.80 |
| Winter | 4 | 0.24 | 4.08 |
| Winter | 5 | 0.19 | 3.16 |
| Winter | 6 | 0.18 | 2.99 |
| Spring | 1 | 4.52 | $\mathbf{7 5 . 2 5}$ |
| Spring | 2 | 0.71 | 11.87 |
| Spring | 3 | 0.37 | 6.15 |
| Spring | 4 | 0.15 | 2.53 |
| Spring | 5 | 0.13 | 2.22 |
| Spring | 6 | 0.12 | 1.98 |
| Summer | 1 | 3.61 | $\mathbf{6 0 . 2 3}$ |
| Summer | 2 | 0.90 | 14.92 |
| Summer | 3 | 0.68 | 11.36 |
| Summer | 4 | 0.31 | 5.19 |
| Summer | 5 | 0.26 | 4.39 |
| Summer | 6 | 0.24 | 3.91 |

Figure 19-1. Scree Plot for Algebra I, Biology, and Literature Modules
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Spring


Figure 19-1 (continued). Scree Plot for Algebra I, Biology, and Literature Modules

## Summer



The pattern loadings resulting from the three-factor solution are presented in Table 19-5. The pattern loadings have simple structure, which shows that the two Algebra I, Biology, and Literature modules clearly loaded on three different factors. The respective factor loadings were quite high. The factor correlation matrix showed that the correlations among the three latent factors are very close to the observed correlations (see Table 19-5) but lower than the disattenuated correlations.

Table 19-5. Pattern Matrix and Factor Correlation

| Administration | Content Area | Module | Factor 1 | Factor 2 | Factor 3 | Correlation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winter | Algebra I | Module 1 | 0.86 | 0.04 | -0.02 | Correlation (F1, F2) $=0.63$ |
| Winter | Algebra I | Module 2 | 0.85 | -0.02 | 0.04 | Correlation (F1, F2) $=0.63$ |
| Winter | Biology | Module 1 | -0.01 | 0.06 | 0.85 | Correlation (F1, F3) $=0.64$ |
| Winter | Biology | Module 2 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.84 | Correlation (F1, F3) $=0.64$ |
| Winter | Literature | Module 1 | 0.02 | 0.86 | 0.04 | Correlation (F2, F3) $=0.78$ |
| Winter | Literature | Module 2 | 0.01 | 0.84 | 0.07 | Correlation (F2, F3) $=0.78$ |
| Spring | Algebra I | Module 1 | 0.90 | 0.03 | 0.00 | Correlation (F1, F2) $=0.64$ |
| Spring | Algebra I | Module 2 | 0.90 | -0.01 | 0.05 | Correlation (F1, F2) $=0.64$ |
| Spring | Biology | Module 1 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.84 | Correlation (F1, F3) $=0.73$ |
| Spring | Biology | Module 2 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.82 | Correlation (F1, F3) $=0.73$ |
| Spring | Literature | Module 1 | 0.00 | 0.88 | 0.04 | Correlation (F2, F3) $=0.79$ |
| Spring | Literature | Module 2 | 0.03 | 0.86 | 0.05 | Correlation (F2, F3) $=0.79$ |
| Summer | Algebra I | Module 1 | 0.90 | -0.06 | 0.02 | Correlation (F1, F2) $=0.65$ |
| Summer | Algebra I | Module 2 | 0.73 | 0.16 | -0.03 | Correlation (F1, F2) $=0.65$ |
| Summer | Biology | Module 1 | -0.02 | 0.81 | 0.08 | Correlation (F1, F3) $=0.55$ |
| Summer | Biology | Module 2 | 0.07 | 0.86 | -0.02 | Correlation (F1, F3) $=0.55$ |
| Summer | Literature | Module 1 | 0.15 | -0.07 | 0.82 | Correlation (F2, F3) $=0.62$ |
| Summer | Literature | Module 2 | -0.12 | 0.11 | 0.80 | Correlation (F2, F3) $=0.62$ |

Taken as a whole, all the internal structure evidence presented generally indicates that related elements of each of the Keystone Exams are correlated in the intended manner. Different Keystone Exams seem to measure different constructs. Additionally, the modules within each content area have stronger relationships than the across content area modules. This further supports using a total score to report students' performances in the different content areas.

The module scores present more of a mixed message. Since the modules in each content area were designed to measure distinct components of the content area, it is reasonable to expect that the inter-content module correlations should be positive and strong but, ideally, not extremely high. However, the disattenuated correlations imply that some modules are essentially measuring the same constructs for most of the students. Consequently, there may be less support for providing results for some module scores beyond the total score. While there is content rationale underlying the creation of the module scores, the empirical correlations illustrate that caution is required when using the module scores as a way to identify individual student's strengths and weaknesses. Certainly, instructional programs should not be based on module score information alone, but rather in conjunction with other sources of evidence available (e.g., teacher observations, other exam performance).

## EVIDENCE BASED ON RELATIONSHIPS WITH OTHER VARIABLES

As described in the Standards (AERA, APA, \& NCME, 1999), "Evidence based on relationships with other variables addresses questions about the degree to which relationships are consistent with the construct underlying the proposed interpretations" (p.13). This category of evidence refers to external structure evidence and has been classified as three types of evidence: convergent, discriminant, and criterion-related. Convergent evidence is provided by relationships among students' performances on different assessments intended to measure a similar construct. Discriminant evidence is provided by relationships among students' performances on different tests intended to measure different constructs. Criterion-related evidence, either predictive or concurrent, is provided by relationships between students' test scores and their performances on a criterion measure (Cronbach, 1971; Messick, 1989).

The correlations among students' test scores on different Keystone Exams including Algebra I, Biology, and Literature are shown in Table 19-6 to provide some discriminant validity evidence. In this table, both the observed and disattenuated correlations (in the parentheses) are reported.

Table 19-6. Correlations Among Students' Performances

| Administration | Content Area | Algebra I | Biology |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Winter | Biology | $0.63(0.71)$ | - |
| Winter | Literature | $0.61(0.69)$ | $0.75(0.83)$ |
| Spring | Biology | $0.75(0.80)$ | - |
| Spring | Literature | $0.66(0.71)$ | $0.79(0.85)$ |
| Summer | Biology | $0.61(0.71)$ | - |
| Summer | Literature | $0.48(0.58)$ | $0.57(0.67)$ |

Each Keystone Exam assessment measures a different construct, so the correlations among them were not expected to be extremely high. The values in this table are consistent with this expectation. As can be seen, the correlations among the Keystone Exams ranged from 0.48 to 0.79 .

External evidence for the Keystone Exams is examined by using students' scores on the 2017 Pennsylvania System of School Assessment (PSSA) as the external criteria. The final Algebra I, Biology and Literature data files were merged with the PSSA mathematics, science, and reading data using students' PAsecureIDs. Then the correlations between students' scores on the Keystone Exams and on the PSSA were calculated as one piece of external evidence. This analysis was attempted for all administrations of the Keystone Exams. However, only enough students were obtained for the spring administration. Table 19-7 summarizes the sample sizes and correlations by grade and content area after the file merging of the spring Keystone Exams and the PSSA.

Table 19-7. Number of Students with Both Spring Keystone Exams and PSSA Scores

| Content Area | Grade 7 N |  | Grade 7 <br> Correlation |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Algebra 1/Mathematics | 7,865 | 0.74 | 33,177 | Grade 8 N <br> Grade 8 |
| Biology/Science | NA | NA | 254 | 0.82 |
| Literature/Reading | NA | NA | 41 | 0.72 |

The correlations within the same content area ranged from 0.58 to 0.82 . These results suggest the Keystone Exams measured something similar but not identical to the corresponding PSSA tests. The results also provide external evidence in support of the Keystone Exams as a valid measure of students' achievement.

The collection of external evidence relating to the Keystone Exams is an ongoing process once the data are collected in the future. Other criterion-related evidence can be evaluated by the relationships between the Keystone Exams and criterion variables such as the Scholastic Aptitude Test (SAT), the American College Testing (ACT), or students' Grade Point Average (GPA) in their first college course.

## EVIDENCE BASED ON CONSEQUENCES OF TESTS

Based on the Standards (AERA, APA, \& NCME, 1999), evidence of the consequences of implementing an assessment program is an additional source of validity information. Both positive and negative (intended and unintended) consequences of score-based inferences must be investigated to fully evaluate the pool of validity evidence.

Lane and Stone (2002) summarized the general intended consequences for state assessments and accountability programs:

- Student, teacher, and administrator motivation and effort
- Curriculum and instruction practices (including content and strategies)
- Improved learning for all students
- Content and format of classroom assessments
- Professional development support
- Use and nature of test-preparation activities
- Student, teacher, administrator, and public awareness and beliefs about the assessment, criteria for judging performance, and the use of assessment results

Evidence for the improvement of student learning can be seen by looking at the increasing percentage of students who scored Proficient or Advanced across administrations. Table 19-8 provides the percentages of students who scored Proficient or Advanced by administration and content area. Values are derived from the first-time test takers for the purpose of comparison. The values for the Summer administrations were not provided because most students were retesters. For Keystone Exams, because of the change of student population across administrations, extra care should be given while drawing any conclusions.

Table 19-8. Percentages of Students at Proficient or Advanced Across Administrations

| Administration | Algebra I <br> Number |  | Algebra I <br> Percent | Biology <br> Number | Biology <br> Percent | Literature <br> Number |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Spring 2011 | 94,697 | 38.6 | 46,979 | 35.7 | 42,808 | 49.9 |
| Winter 2012/2013 | 177,302 | 54.8 | 138,506 | 41.9 | 138,379 | 66.4 |
| Spring 2013 | 157,811 | 47.6 | 134,995 | 47.2 | 117,830 | 63.1 |
| Winter 2013/2014 | 21,621 | 44.4 | 19,672 | 47.3 | 19,795 | 56.3 |
| Spring 2014 | 124,954 | 51.5 | 119,274 | 52.9 | 113,477 | 60.9 |
| Winter 2014/2015 | 14,194 | 48.2 | 15,054 | 49.6 | 16,323 | 59.5 |
| Spring 2015 | 121,255 | 50.1 | 115,936 | 58.0 | 114,387 | 67.2 |
| Winter 2015/2016 | 12,036 | 46.4 | 15,064 | 54.5 | 16,507 | 65.7 |
| Spring 2016 | 120,104 | 50.6 | 116,345 | 56.8 | 112,361 | 65.0 |
| Winter 2016/2017 | 11,107 | 46.8 | 13,219 | 55.5 | 15,799 | 63.5 |
| Spring 2017 | 118,704 | 51.7 | 115,473 | 56.6 | 110,966 | 64.5 |
| Winter 2017/2018 | 11,420 | 45.1 | 12,898 | 54.5 | 15,017 | 64.0 |
| Spring 2018 | 117,345 | 50.6 | 114,704 | 57.2 | 109,387 | 64.7 |

Lane and Stone (2002) also summarized the possible unintended outcomes:

- Narrowing of curriculum and instruction to focus only on the specific standards assessed and ignoring the broader construct reflected in the specified standards
- Use of test-preparation materials that are closely linked to the assessment without making changes to instruction
- Use of unethical test-preparation materials or administration procedures
- Differential performance gains for subgroups of students
- Inappropriate or unfair uses of test scores, such as questionable practices in reassignment of teachers or principals
- For some students, decreased confidence and motivation to learn and to perform well on the assessment because of past experiences with assessments

As noted above, one important piece of consequential evidence pertains to the use of assessment results. As shown in Chapter Sixteen, there are several different types of scores and score reports used for the Keystone Exams. The extent to which various groups of users (e.g., students, teachers) interpret these scores and reports appropriately affects the validity of subsequent uses of these results. Chapter Sixteen is intended to provide accurate and clear test score and report information with the hope that this will help users avoid unintended uses and interpretations of the Keystone Exams results. Nevertheless, evidence pertaining to other consequences of the Keystone Exams needs continued research.

## EVIDENCE RELATED TO THE USE OF RASCH MODEL

Since the Rasch model is the basis of all calibration, scaling, and equating analyses associated with the Keystone Exams, the validity of the inferences from these results depends on the degree to which the assumptions of the model are met, as well as the fit between the model and the test data. As discussed in Chapter Twelve, the underlying assumptions of Rasch models were essentially met for all the Keystone Exams data, indicating the appropriateness of using the Rasch models to analyze the Keystone Exams data.

## VALIDITY EVIDENCE SUMMARY

Validity evidence related to test content was reviewed earlier in this chapter. On the whole, the early chapters of this technical report show that a strong link can be established between each Keystone Exams item and its associated Eligible Content. Details regarding how the operational Keystone Exams were assembled to reflect the state content standards and detailed information regarding educator reviews (including content, bias, and sensitivity reviews) are presented in Chapter Six.

Module score intercorrelations were also presented in this chapter. In general, within-content-area modules (e.g., Algebra I) were correlated more highly with themselves than they did with other content-area modules (e.g., Literature). Consequently, this provides some favorable evidence regarding the internal and external relationships between the tests' components.

Validity of score inferences is bolstered when test scores are consistent. Here, the reliabilities of the total test scores (presented in Chapter Eighteen) were good, with many in the low 0.90 s and upper 0.80 s.

As reported in Chapter Five, DIF with respect to gender, ethnicity, and test administration mode helps address construct-irrelevant variance, which represents an important threat to the validity of inferences made from achievement test scores. As noted in that chapter, field test items are screened and reviewed for DIF. Only items approved by data review committees are eligible for operational use.

## APPENDIX A: UNDERSTANDING DEPTH OF KNOWLEDGE AND COGNITIVE COMPLEXITY

One of the steps in the item review process involves Pennsylvania educators' review of items for cognitive complexity or the nature of thinking. One model for classifying thinking into cognitive levels of complexity is Bloom's Taxonomy. Bloom's Taxonomy was first presented in 1956 through the publication, The Taxonomy of Educational Objectives, The Classification of Educational Goals, Handbook I: Cognitive Domain. This taxonomy identifies six levels within the cognitive domain, from the simple recall or recognition of facts, at the lowest level, through increasingly more complex levels, to the highest level which is classified as evaluation.

During the late 1990s, the original Bloom's Taxonomy was revised (Anderson and Krathwohl, 2001). In the 2001 version of Bloom's Taxonomy, the names of the six major cognitive process categories or levels were revised to indicate action (verbs) rather than non-action (nouns) as noted in the graphic below.


Bloom's Taxonomy (1956)


Revised Taxonomy (2001)

More recently, Webb's Depth-of-Knowledge Levels have also been used in the review of items for cognitive demand. Webb's Depth of Knowledge was created by Norman Webb from the Wisconsin Center for Education Research. Webb's definition of depth of knowledge is the degree or complexity of knowledge that the content curriculum standards and expectations require. Therefore, when reviewing items for depth of knowledge, the item is reviewed to determine whether or not it is as demanding cognitively as what the actual content curriculum standard expects. In the case of the Pennsylvania Keystone items, the item meets the criterion if the depth of knowledge of the item is in alignment with the depth of knowledge of the Assessment Anchor as defined by the Eligible Content.

Webb's Depth of Knowledge includes four levels, from the lowest (basic recall) to the highest (extended thinking). Verb examples that represent each level in Webb's Depth of Knowledge can be found in the information that follows. However, verbs alone do not describe the depth of knowledge. Rather, depth of knowledge also focuses upon how well the students need to know the content before they can respond to a given item.

Because Bloom's Taxonomy (1956) is very familiar to many teachers, information comparing Bloom's Taxonomy and Webb's Depth of Knowledge is provided to Pennsylvania educators during the review of the Keystone items. The comparison serves as a "bridge" for teachers to understand Webb's Depth of Knowledge as compared to Bloom's Taxonomy.

## ALGEBRA I DEPTH OF KNOWLEDGE

## DEPTH OF KNOWLEDGE GUIDELINES FOR REVIEW OF ALGEBRA I, ALGEBRA II, AND GEOMETRY ITEMS


#### Abstract

Committees of Pennsylvania educators review each Keystone Exam item, not only to determine whether or not the item measures what it is intended to measure, but also to determine whether or not the item aligns with the cognitive level or depth of knowledge of the Assessment Anchor as defined by the Eligible Content. The information below provides a definition of the four depth-of-knowledge levels. The charts at the end of the section also provide a comparison between Bloom's Taxonomy and Webb's Depth of Knowledge for mathematics (Algebra I, Algebra II, and Geometry). Included are examples of verbs (i.e., the action). Using this information as well as the charts, Pennsylvania educators are asked to determine the depth of knowledge of each item and to verify that the depth of knowledge of each item is in alignment with the depth of knowledge of the Assessment Anchor as defined by the Eligible Content.


## DEFINITIONS OF WEBB'S DEPTH OF KNOWLEDGE

Level 1 (Recal/) requires the recall of information such as a fact, definition, term, or a simple procedure, as well as performing a simple algorithm or applying a formula. That is, in mathematics, a one-step, well-defined, and straight algorithmic procedure should be included at this lowest level. Other key words that signify Level 1 include "identify," "recall," "recognize," "use," and "measure." Verbs such as "describe" and "explain" could be classified at different levels, depending on what is to be described and explained.

Level 2 (Skill/Concept) requires the engagement of some mental processing beyond a habitual response. A Level 2 item requires students to make some decisions as to how to approach the problem or activity, whereas Level 1 requires students to demonstrate a rote response, perform a well-known algorithm, follow a set procedure (like a recipe), or perform a clearly defined series of steps. Keywords that generally distinguish a Level 2 item include "classify," "organize," "estimate," "make observations," "collect and display data," and "compare data." These actions imply more than one step. For example, to compare data requires first identifying characteristics of objects or phenomena and then grouping or ordering the objects. Some action verbs, such as "explain," "describe," or "interpret," could be classified at different levels depending on the object of the action. For example, interpreting information from a simple graph, or reading information from the graph, are also at Level 2. Interpreting information from a complex graph that requires some decisions on what features of the graph need to be considered and how information from the graph can be aggregated is at Level 3. Level 2 activities are not limited only to number skills, but may involve visualization skills and probability skills. Other Level 2 activities include noticing or describing non-trivial patterns; explaining the purpose and use of experimental procedures; carrying out experimental procedures; making observations and collecting data; classifying, organizing, and comparing data; and organizing and displaying data in tables, graphs, and charts.

Level 3 (Strategic Thinking) requires reasoning, planning, using evidence, and a higher level of thinking than the previous two levels. In most instances, requiring students to explain their thinking is at Level 3 . Activities that require students to make conjectures are also at this level. The cognitive demands at Level 3 are complex and abstract. The complexity does not result from the fact that there are multiple answers, a possibility for both Levels 1 and 2, but because the task requires more demanding reasoning. An activity, however, that has more than one possible answer and requires students to justify the response they give would most likely be at Level 3 . Other Level 3 activities include drawing conclusions from observations; citing evidence and developing a logical argument for concepts; explaining phenomena in terms of concepts; and deciding which concepts to apply in order to solve a complex problem.

Level 4 (Extended Thinking) requires complex reasoning, planning, developing, and thinking most likely over an extended period of time. The extended time period is not a distinguishing factor if the required work is only repetitive and does not require applying significant conceptual understanding and higher-order thinking. For example, if a student has to take the water temperature from a river each day for a month and then construct
a graph, this would be classified as a Level 2. However, if the student is to conduct a river study that requires taking into consideration a number of variables, this would be a Level 4. At Level 4, the cognitive demands of the task should be high and the work should be very complex. Students should be required to make several connections-relate ideas within the content area or among content areas-and have to select one approach among many alternatives on how the situation should be solved, in order to be at this highest level. Level 4 activities include designing and conducting experiments and projects; developing and proving conjectures; making connections between a finding and related concepts and phenomena; combining and synthesizing ideas into new concepts; and critiquing experimental designs.

Note: Multiple-choice and constructed-response items can be written at a depth-of-knowledge Level 4; however, to design an item in this format is difficult, as it would require research, investigation, and application, often over an extended period of time (e.g., performance-based tasks; portfolios; research studies/projects).
(Webb, N. 1997, 1999, 2002, 2005, 2006)

Table A-1. Bloom's Taxonomy - Algebra I

| Categories (1956) | Definition | Examples of Action Words* |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Knowledge | Student remembers, or recalls appropriate <br> previously learned information. | define; identify; name; select; state; order; <br> (involves a one-step problem) |
| Comprehension | Student translates, comprehends, or interprets <br> information based on prior learning. | convert; estimate; explain; express; factor; <br> generalize; give example; identify; indicate; locate; <br> picture; (involves two or more steps) |
| Application | Student selects, transfers, and uses data and <br> principles to complete a task or problem with <br> minimum directions. | apply; choose; compute; employ; interpret; <br> graph; modify; operate; plot; practice; solve; use; <br> (involves three or more steps) |
| Analysis | Student distinguishes, classifies, and relates <br> assumptions, hypotheses, evidence, or structure <br> of a statement or question. | compare; contrast; correlate; differentiate; <br> discriminate; examine; infer; maximize; minimize; <br> prioritize; subdivide; test |
| Synthesis | Student originates, integrates, and combines <br> ideas into a product, plan, or proposal that is new <br> to him or her. | arrange; collect; construct; design; develop; <br> formulate; organize; set up; prepare; plan; <br> propose; create experiment and record data |
| Evaluation | Student appraises, assesses, or critiques on a <br> basis of specific standards and criteria. | appraise; assess; defend estimate; evaluate; <br> judge; predict; rate; validate; verify |

Table A-2. Webb's Depth of Knowledge - Algebra I

| Gategories | Definition | Example of Action Words* |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Recall | Student recalls facts, information, procedures, or <br> definitions. | define; identify; name; select; state; order; one <br> step |
| Basic Application of Skill/ <br> Concept | Student uses information, conceptual knowledge, <br> and procedures. | apply; choose; compute; employ; interpret; <br> graph; modify; operate; plot; practice; solve; use; <br> two or more steps |
| Strategic Thinking | Student uses reasoning and develops a plan or <br> sequence of steps; process has some complexity. | compare; contrast; correlate; differentiate; <br> discriminate; examine; infer; maximize; minimize; <br> prioritize; subdivide; test |
| Extended Thinking | Student conducts an investigation, needs time <br> to think and process multiple conditions of the <br> problem or task. (The item/task generally requires <br> several days or weeks to complete.) | arrange; collect; construct; design; develop; <br> formulate; organize; set up; prepare; plan; <br> propose; create experiment and record data |

*Some action words (verbs) can be classified at different depth-of-knowledge levels depending on the context of the item and the complexity of the action.

## BIOLOGY DEPTH OF KNOWLEDGE

> Note: "Knowledge" can refer both to content knowledge and knowledge of scientific processes. This meaning of knowledge is consistent with the National Science Education Standards (NSES), which terms "Science as Inquiry" as its first Content Standard.

Committees of Pennsylvania educators review each Keystone Exam item, not only to determine whether or not the item measures what it is intended to measure, but also to determine whether or not the item aligns with the cognitive level or depth of knowledge of the Assessment Anchor as defined by the Eligible Content. The information below provides a definition of the four depth-of-knowledge levels. The charts at the end of the section also provide a comparison between Bloom's Taxonomy and Webb's Depth of Knowledge for biology. Included are examples of verbs (i.e., the action). Using this information as well as the charts, Pennsylvania educators are asked to determine the depth of knowledge of each item and to verify that the depth of knowledge of each item is in alignment with the depth of knowledge of the Assessment Anchor as defined by the Eligible Content.

## DEFINITIONS OF WEBB'S DEPTH OF KNOWLEDGE

Level 1 (Recall) requires the recall of information, such as a fact, definition, term, or a simple procedure, as well as performance of a simple science process or procedure. Level 1 only requires students to demonstrate a rote response, use a well-known formula, follow a set procedure (like a recipe), or perform a clearly defined series of steps. A "simple" procedure is well defined and typically involves only one step. Verbs such as "identify," "recall," "recognize," "use," "calculate," and "measure" generally represent cognitive work at the recall level. Simple word problems that can be directly translated into and solved by a formula are considered Level 1. Verbs such as "describe" and "explain" could be classified at different depth-of-knowledge levels, depending on the complexity of what is to be described and explained.

A student answering a Level 1 item either knows the answer or does not: that is, the item does not need to be "figured out" or "solved." In other words, if the knowledge necessary to answer an item automatically provides the answer to it, then the item is at Level 1 . If the knowledge needed to answer the item is not automatically provided in the stem, the item is at least at Level 2. Some examples that represent but do not constitute all Level 1 performance are as follows:

- Recall or recognize a fact, term, or property.
- Represent in words or diagrams a scientific concept or relationship.
- Provide or recognize a standard scientific representation for simple phenomenon.
- Perform a routine procedure, such as measuring length.

Level 2 (Skills and Concepts) requires the engagement of some mental processing beyond recalling. The content knowledge or process involved is more complex than in Level 1. Items require students to make some decisions as to how to approach the question or problem. Keywords that generally distinguish a Level 2 item include "classify," "organize," "estimate," "make observations," "collect and display data," and "compare data." These actions imply more than one step. For example, to compare data requires first identifying characteristics of the objects or phenomena and then grouping or ordering the objects. Level 2 activities include making observations and collecting data; classifying, organizing, and comparing data; and organizing and displaying data in tables, graphs, and charts. Some action verbs, such as "explain," "describe," or "interpret," could be classified at different depth-of-knowledge levels, depending on the complexity of the action. For example, interpreting information from a simple graph, which requires reading information from the graph, is a Level 2. An item that requires interpretation from a complex graph, such as making decisions regarding features of the graph that need to be considered and how information from the graph can be aggregated, is at Level 3. Some examples that represent but do not constitute all of Level 2 performance are as follows:

- Specify and explain the relationship between facts, terms, properties, or variables.
- Describe and explain examples and non-examples of science concepts.
- Select a procedure according to specified criteria and perform it.
- Formulate a routine problem, given data and conditions.
- Organize, represent, and interpret data.

Level 3 (Strategic Thinking) requires reasoning, planning, using evidence, and a higher level of thinking than the previous two levels. The cognitive demands at Level 3 are complex and abstract. The complexity does not result only from the fact that there could be multiple answers, a possibility for both Levels 1 and 2, but because the multi-step task requires more demanding reasoning. In most instances, requiring students to explain their thinking is at Level 3; requiring a very simple explanation or a word or two should be at Level 2. An activity that has more than one possible answer and requires students to justify the response they give would most likely be a Level 3. Experimental designs in Level 3 typically involve more than one dependent variable. Other Level 3 activities include drawing conclusions from observations; citing evidence and developing a logical argument for concepts; explaining phenomena in terms of concepts; and using concepts to solve non-routine problems. Some examples that represent but do not constitute all Level 3 performance are as follows:

- Identify research questions and design investigations for a scientific problem.
- $\quad$ Solve non-routine problems.
- Develop a scientific model for a complex situation.
- Form conclusions from experimental data.

Level 4 (Extended Thinking) requires high cognitive demands and complexity. Students are required to make several connections - relate ideas within the content area or among content areas-and have to select or devise one approach among many alternatives to solve the problem. Many on-demand assessment instruments will not include any assessment activities that could be classified as Level 4. However, standards, goals, and objectives can be stated in such a way as to expect students to perform extended thinking. "Develop generalizations of the results obtained and the strategies used and apply them to new problem situations," is an example of a grade 8 objective that is a Level 4. Many, but not all, performance assessments and open-ended assessment activities requiring significant thought will be Level 4.

Level 4 involves complex reasoning, experimental design and planning, and probably will require an extended period of time either for the science investigation required by an objective, or for carrying out the multiple steps of an assessment item. However, the extended time period is not a distinguishing factor if the required work is only repetitive and does not require applying significant conceptual understanding and higher-order thinking. For example, if a student is asked to take the water temperature from a river each day for a month and then construct a graph, this would be classified as a Level 2 activity. However, if the student conducts a river study that requires taking into consideration a number of variables, this would be a Level 4 . Some examples that represent but do not constitute all Level 4 performance are as follows:

- Based on data provided from a complex experiment that is novel to the student, deduct the fundamental relationship between several controlled variables.
- Conduct an investigation, from specifying a problem to designing and carrying out an experiment, to analyzing its data and forming conclusions.

Note: Multiple-choice and constructed-response items can be written at a depth-of-knowledge Level 4; however, to design an item in this format is difficult, as it would require research, investigation, and application, often over an extended period of time (e.g. performance-based tasks, portfolios, research studies/ projects).
(Webb, N. 1997, 1999, 2002, 2005, 2006)

Table A-3. Bloom's Taxonomy - Biology

| Categories (1956) | Definition | Examples of Action Words* |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Knowledge | Student remembers, or recalls appropriate previously <br> learned information. | identify; recall; observe; recognize; use; <br> calculate; measure; order |
| Comprehension | Student translates, comprehends, or interprets <br> information based on prior learning. | explain; interpret; describe; classify; identify; <br> recognize; predict |
| Application | Student selects, transfers, and uses data and <br> principles to complete a task or problem with <br> minimum directions. | apply; classify; experiment; interpret; use; order; <br> calculate |
| Analysis | Student distinguishes, classifies, and relates <br> assumptions, hypotheses, evidence, or structure of a <br> statement or question. | analyze; order; explain; classify; arrange; <br> compare; contrast; infer; calculate; categorize; <br> examine; experiment; question; test |
| Synthesis | Student originates, integrates, and combines ideas <br> into a product, plan, or proposal that is new to him <br> or her. | combine; arrange; rearrange; modify; invent; <br> design; construct; organize; predict; infer; <br> conclude; create; experiment and record data |
| Evaluation | Student appraises, assesses, or critiques on a basis <br> of specific standards and criteria. | evaluate; measure; explain; compare; <br> summarize; predict; test decide; rate; conclude |

Table A-4. Webb's Depth of Knowledge - Biology

| Gategories | Definition | Examples of Action Words* |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Recall | Student recalls facts, information, procedures, or <br> definitions. | identify; recall; observe; recognize; use; <br> calculate; measure; order |
| Basic Application of Skill/ <br> Concept | Student uses information, conceptual knowledge, <br> and procedures. | explain; interpret; describe; classify; identify; <br> order; recognize; predict; apply; use; calculate; <br> organize; estimate; observe; collect; and display <br> data |
| Strategic Thinking | Student uses reasoning and develops a plan or <br> sequence of steps; process has some complexity. | analyze; order; explain; classify; arrange; <br> compare; contrast; infer; interpret; calculate; <br> categorize; examine; experiment; question; <br> predict; evaluate; test |
| Extended Thinking | Student conducts an investigation, needs time to <br> think and process multiple conditions of the problem <br> or task. (The item/task generally requires several <br> days or weeks to complete.) | combine; arrange; rearrange; propose; evaluate; <br> modify; invent; design; construct; organize; <br> predict; infer; conclude; evaluate; create; <br> experiment and record data |

*Some action words (verbs) can be classified at different depth-of-knowledge levels depending on the context of the item and the complexity of the action.

## LITERATURE DEPTH OF KNOWLEDGE

Note: The levels are based on Valencia and Wixson (2000, pp. 909-935).
Committees of Pennsylvania educators review each Keystone Exam item, not only to determine whether or not the item measures what it is intended to measure, but also to determine whether or not the item aligns with the cognitive level or depth of knowledge of the Assessment Anchor as defined by the Eligible Content. The information below provides a definition of the four depth-of-knowledge levels. The charts at the end of the section also provide a comparison between Bloom's Taxonomy and Webb's Depth of Knowledge for literature. Included are examples of verbs (i.e., the action). Using this information as well as the charts, Pennsylvania educators are asked to determine the depth of knowledge of each item and to verify that the depth of knowledge of each item is in alignment with the depth of knowledge of the Assessment Anchor as defined by the Eligible Content.

## DEFINITIONS OF WEBB'S DEPTH OF KNOWLEDGE

Level 1 requires students to receive or recite facts or to use simple skills or abilities. Oral reading that does not include analysis of the text, as well as basic comprehension of a text, is included. Items require only a shallow understanding of the text presented and often consist of verbatim recall from text, slight paraphrasing of specific details from the text, or simple understanding of a single word or phrase. Some examples that represent but do not constitute all Level 1 performance are as follows:

- Support ideas by reference to verbatim or only slightly paraphrased details from the text.
- Use a dictionary to find the meanings of words.
- Recognize figurative language in a reading passage.

Level 2 requires the engagement of some mental processing beyond recalling or reproducing a response; it requires both comprehension and subsequent processing of text or portions of text. Inter-sentence analysis of inference is required. Some important concepts are covered, but not in a complex way. Content curriculum standards and items at this level may include words such as summarize, interpret, infer, classify, organize, collect, display, compare, and determine whether fact or opinion. Literal main ideas are stressed. A Level 2 item may require students to apply skills and concepts that are covered in Level 1. However, items require closer understanding of text, possibly through the item's paraphrasing of both the question and the answer. Some examples that represent but do not constitute all Level 2 performance are as follows:

- Use context cues to identify the meaning of unfamiliar words, phrases, and expressions that could otherwise have multiple meanings.
- Predict a logical outcome based on information in a selection.
- Identify and summarize the major events in a narrative.

Level 3 requires deeper knowledge. Students are encouraged to go beyond the text; however, they are still required to show understanding of the ideas in the text. Students may be encouraged to explain, generalize, or connect ideas. Content curriculum standards and items (Assessment Anchors as defined by the Eligible Content) at Level 3 involve reasoning and planning. Students must be able to support their thinking. Items may involve abstract theme identification, inference across an entire passage, or students' application of prior knowledge. Items may also involve more superficial connections between texts. Some examples that represent but do not constitute all Level 3 performance are as follows:

- Explain or recognize how the author's purpose affects the interpretation of a selection.
- Summarize information from multiple sources to address a specific topic.
- Analyze and describe the characteristics of various types of literature.

Level 4 requires higher-order thinking and deep knowledge. The content curriculum standard or item at this level will probably require an extended activity, with extended time provided for completing it. The extended time period is not a distinguishing factor if the required work is only repetitive and does not require the application of significant conceptual understanding and higher-order thinking. Students take information from
at least one passage of a text and are asked to apply this information to a new task. They may also be asked to develop hypotheses and perform complex analyses of the connections among texts. Some examples that represent but do not constitute all Level 4 performance are as follows:

- Analyze and synthesize information from more than one source.
- Examine and explain alternative perspectives across a variety of sources.
- Describe and illustrate how common themes are found across texts from different cultures.

Note: Multiple-choice and constructed-response items can be written at a depth-of-knowledge Leve 4; however, to design an item in this format is difficult, as it would require research, investigation, and application, often over an extended period of time (e.g. performance-based tasks, portfolios, research studies/ projects).
(Webb, N. 2005; Valencia and Wixson, 2000)

## Table A-5. Bloom's Taxonomy - Literature

| Gategories (1956) | Definition | Examples of Action Words* |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Knowledge | Student remembers, or recalls appropriate previously <br> learned information. | define; identify; name; recall; recognize; select; tell |
| Comprehension | Student translates, comprehends, or interprets <br> information based on prior learning. | describe; distinguish; explain; identify; indicate; <br> interpret; locate; recognize; restate; summarize |
| Application | Student selects, transfers, and uses data and <br> principles to complete a task or problem with minimum <br> directions. | apply; choose; demonstrate; determine; interpret; <br> inform; select; show; use |
| Analysis | Student distinguishes, classifies, and relates <br> assumptions, hypotheses, evidence, or structure of a <br> statement or question. | analyze; characterize; compare; contrast; discriminate; <br> distinguish; explain; infer |
| Synthesis | Student originates, integrates, and combines ideas into <br> a product, plan, or proposal that is new to him or her. | compose; create; develop; formulate; generalize; <br> organize |
| Evaluation | Student appraises, assesses, or critiques on a basis of <br> specific standards and criteria. | assess; conclude; convince; defend; evaluate; explain; <br> justify; predict; prove; support |

Table A-6. Webb's Depth of Knowledge - Literature

| Categories | Definition | Examples of Action Words* |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Recall | Student recalls facts, information, procedures, or <br> definitions. | define; identify; locate; name; recall; recognize; <br> sequence; tell |
| Basic Application of <br> Skill/Concept | Student uses information, conceptual knowledge, and <br> procedures. | apply; compare; comprehend; identify; describe; <br> determine; infer; interpret; predict; summarize; use |
| Strategic Thinking | Student uses reasoning and develops a plan or <br> sequence of steps; process has some complexity. | analyze; cite evidence; compare; contrast; draw <br> conclusions; explain; generalize; infer; interpret; <br> evaluate; recognize; summarize; support |
| Extended Thinking | Student conducts an investigation, needs time to think <br> and process multiple conditions of the problem or task. <br> (The item/task generally requires several days or weeks <br> to complete.) | describe and illustrate; evaluate; examine and explain; <br> analyze; synthesize |

*Some action words (verbs) can be classified at different depth-of-knowledge levels depending on the context of the item and the complexity of the action.

## ALGEBRA I

## ALGEBRA I CONSTRUCTED-RESPONSE QUESTIONS

## GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF SCORING GUIDELINES

## 4 Points

- The response demonstrates a thorough understanding of the mathematical concepts and procedures required by the task.
- The response provides correct answer(s) with clear and complete mathematical procedures shown and a correct explanation, as required by the task. Response may contain a minor "blemish" or omission in work or explanation that does not detract from demonstrating a thorough understanding.


## 3 Points

- The response demonstrates a general understanding of the mathematical concepts and procedures required by the task.
- The response and explanation (as required by the task) are mostly complete and correct. The response may have minor errors or omissions that do not detract from demonstrating a general understanding.


## 2 Points

- The response demonstrates a partial understanding of the mathematical concepts and procedures required by the task.
- The response is somewhat correct with partial understanding of the required mathematical concepts and/or procedures demonstrated and/or explained. The response may contain some work that is incomplete or unclear.


## 1 Point

- The response demonstrates a minimal understanding of the mathematical concepts and procedures required by the task.


## 0 Points

- The response has no correct answer and insufficient evidence to demonstrate any understanding of the mathematical concepts and procedures required by the task.


## BIOLOGY CONSTRUCTED-RESPONSE QUESTIONS

## general description of scoring guidelines

## 3 Points

- The response demonstrates a thorough understanding of the scientific content, concepts, and/or procedures required by the task(s).
- The response provides a clear, complete, and correct response as required by the task(s). The response may contain a minor blemish or omission in work or explanation that does not detract from demonstrating a thorough understanding.


## 2 Points

- The response demonstrates a partial understanding of the scientific content, concepts, and/or procedures required by the task(s).
- The response is somewhat correct with partial understanding of the required scientific content, concepts, and/or procedures demonstrated and/or explained. The response may contain some work that is incomplete or unclear.


## 1 Point

- The response demonstrates a minimal understanding of the scientific content, concepts, and/or procedures required by the task(s).
- The response is somewhat correct with minimal understanding of the required scientific content, concepts, and/or procedures demonstrated and/or explained. The response may contain some work that is incomplete or unclear.


## 0 Points

- The response provides insufficient evidence to demonstrate any understanding of the scientific content, concepts, and/or procedures as required by the task(s).
- The response may show only information copied or rephrased from the question or insufficient correct information to receive a score of 1 .


## LITERATURE CONSTRUCTED-RESPONSE QUESTIONS

## GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF SCORING GUIDELINES

## 3 Points

- The response provides a clear, complete, and accurate answer to the task.
- The response provides relevant and specific information from the passage.


## 2 Points

- The response provides a partial answer to the task.
- The response provides limited information from the passage and may include inaccuracies.


## 1 Point

- The response provides a minimal answer to the task.
- The response provides little or no information from the passage and may include inaccuracies.

OR

- The response relates minimally to the task.


## 0 Points

- The response is totally incorrect or irrelevant or contains insufficient information to demonstrate comprehension.


# APPENDIX C: ITEM AND TEST DEVELOPMENT PROCESS FOR THE KEYSTONE EXAMS 

## Table C-1. Item and Test Development Process for the Keystone Exams

| Step |  | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | Review Guiding Documentation | Each year item and test development specialists meet internally to review all guiding <br> documentation related to the Keystone Exams. Documentation reviewed includes the test design <br> blueprints, the Keystone Assessment Anchors and Eligible Content, the test item specifications, the <br> test style specifications (style guide), and all test content descriptions. |
| 2. | Meet with PDE to Confirm <br> Understanding of Program | The goal of the meeting each year is to ensure that item and test development teams have a clear <br> understanding of PDE's vision for test development. A successful development cycle requires <br> a clear understanding of Pennsylvania's content-area test specifications and of any unique <br> interpretations of the Keystone Assessment Anchors (if any). |
| 3. | Create Preliminary Test Item <br> Development Plan | Item and test development specialists generate a preliminary development plan which includes <br> an overview of the program, the internal and external (PDE) review and approval processes, a <br> projected schedule for development of test items-including the number of test items to be <br> developed for review by PDE and subsequent review by the committees of Pennsylvania educators. <br> Item and test development specialists also generate strategies for securing passages and <br> developing passage-based items, etc. |
| 4. | Meet with PDE to Finalize Test <br> Item Development Plan | Over the course of the meeting, item and test development specialists verify all steps in the <br> development process including timelines and schedules for test item/test development. |
| 5. | Analyze Item Bank | Existing test items in the current Keystone Exams Item Bank are reviewed for technical <br> psychometric quality as well as for their match to the Assessment Anchors. During this phase, test <br> development specialists also make a tally of the test items by Assessment Anchor-including test <br> development specialists' best thinking regarding the number of usable test items in the existing |
| item bank. A tally is also made of the number of usable passages, as well as other stimulus |  |  |
| prompts in the bank, including science scenarios. |  |  |


| Step | Description |
| :---: | :---: |
| 12. Continue to Write and Review Items | The remaining items are written, and feedback is provided by the item and test development specialists to item writers on a regular basis. Items are entered into the item management system, IDEAS (Item Development and Educational Assessment System) (See step 8 and step 9). |
| 13. Review Items Prior to Test Item Review and Validation Sessions | Prior to New Item Content Review, all items are submitted to PDE for review. Item and test development specialists incorporate all PDE feedback, and PDE-requested edits to items are made. |
| 14. Prepare for Test Item Review Sessions (the New Item Content Review and the Bias, Fairness, and Sensitivity Review) | Item and test development specialists prepare all items and stimulus passages for review by the New Item Content Review Committee (consisting of Pennsylvania educators) and by the separate Bias, Fairness, and Sensitivity Committee (consisting of a panel of experts). Item and test development specialists also prepare training materials needed for training committee members to review items for content or for bias, fairness, and sensitivity issues. All training materials and other ancillary materials (e.g. agendas, presentations, etc.) are also developed and then submitted to PDE for review and approval. Invitations are also sent to Pennsylvania educators and national experts from PDE-approved committee lists. |
| 15. Conduct Test Item Review Sessions (the New Item Content Review and the Bias, Fairness, and Sensitivity Review) | Committees of Pennsylvania educators and national experts review items in two meetings: one addressing item content and quality, the other addressing bias, fairness, and sensitivity. PDE, with support from item and test development specialists, presents training on how to review new test items for content considerations or bias/fairness/sensitivity issues. At the New Item Content Review, suggested edits to test items are made and/or replacement test items are written during the actual item review so that both the committee and the PDE are able to observe changes to the test items and approve the test items during the committee review process. At the Bias, Fairness, and Sensitivity Review, experts in bias, fairness, and sensitivity review all test items and passages and come to a consensus about any issues that are noted. At both meetings the results are carefully documented. |
| 16. Conduct Item Review Resolution and Cleanup | Following the conclusion of the New Item Content Review Committee meetings, PDE re-examines the consensus changes suggested by the committee members during the New Item Content Review Committee meetings. DRC item and test development specialists then record all of PDE's follow-up decisions and changes. During this cleanup process, PDE either accepts the changes as requested by the committee, or PDE rejects the decision of the committee. If a committee decision is rejected, PDE provides an alternate decision for DRC to implement. During this cleanup process, PDE also interprets the report from the Bias, Fairness, and Sensitivity Committee meetings and subsequently applies changes to test items and passages. DRC item and test development specialists then apply the changes to the test items and passages per PDE's decisions. |
| 17. Submit Field Test Items for Final Sign-Off | PDE-approved changes are applied to the items, non-permissioned passages, prompts, etc. (Changes reflect PDE's arbitration of the committee decisions.) Once all revisions to the items, non-permissioned passage text, and/or the art used by test items and passages are completed, the test items are submitted to PDE for final review and sign-off. (Changes requested to permissioned passages are sought from the publisher of record, and, if approved by the copyright holders, changes are implemented.) [PDE's approval process for field test items generally occurs simultaneously with PDE's approval of the core test forms. See step 25.] |
|  | To follow the path for new field test items, skip to step 22, or to follow the chronological test development path, continue with step 18. |
| 18. Review Results of the Field Test | Following the administration of a field test form and the subsequent rangefinding and field test scoring processes for field test items, performance data for all field test items are analyzed by DRC psychometricians and test development specialists. Test item performance data that meet certain triggering criteria are flagged for additional reviews by test development specialists. Flagged field test items with extreme performance data are considered psychometrically unusable and are removed from future operational consideration. Field test items with marginal performance data are prepared for the Field Test Item Data Review meeting. |


| Step | Description |
| :---: | :---: |
| 19. Prepare for Field Test Item Data Review | Test development specialists prepare all items and stimulus passages for review by the Field Test Item Data Review Committee (which consists of Pennsylvania educators). Psychometricians also prepare training materials needed for training committee members to review items for their performance. All training materials and other ancillary materials (e.g. agendas, presentations, etc.) are submitted to PDE for review and approval. Invitations are also sent to Pennsylvania educators from PDE-approved committee lists. |
| 20. Conduct Field Test Item Data Review | Committees of Pennsylvania educators review the performance data of flagged field test items. Psychometricians present training on how to review field test items based on their performance data. At the Item Data Review, committee members examine the performance of the items and determine whether the field test item is technically sound and appropriate for use on an operational Keystone Exams test. Since test items cannot be modified at the Field Test Item Data Review, the committee can either accept an item as is or the committee can reject the item. |
| 21. Conduct Field Test Item Data Review Reconciliation | Following the conclusion of the Field Test Item Data Review Committee meetings, PDE re-examines the consensus decisions (accept or reject) suggested by the committee members during the Field Test Item Data Review Committee meetings. Test development specialists record all of PDE's follow-up decisions and changes. During this cleanup process, PDE either accepts the decisions of the data review committee, or PDE rejects the decisions of the data review committee. If a committee decision is not accepted, PDE provides an alternate decision for test development specialists to implement. All PDE-approved changes to the test items status (accepted or rejected) are incorporated into the Item Development and Educational Assessment System, IDEAS. |
| 22. Select Items to Fill Core, Field Test, and Equating Block Positions in Core and Field Test Forms | After the PDE-approved changes to the new field test items is completed AND the results of the prior field test have been finalized following data review, test development specialists collaborate with psychometricians to follow the Test Design Blueprints and build requirements to make the initial selection of items for core and field-test positions for all test forms. In later administrations, core-to-core linking items will also be selected during this step. |
| 23. Review Core and Equating Block Selections | After test content and psychometric requirements have been achieved for core, the core items are provided to PDE for review and approval. Any changes to the content of the core requested by PDE are balanced with psychometric requirements until all core positions are approved by PDE, test development specialists, and psychometricians. |
| 24. Construct Test Forms | Items, passages, and test components are assembled into forms using the form construction and typesetting function of DRC's Item Development and Educational Assessment System, IDEAS. Forms are reviewed internally for style and formatting requirements. |
| 25. Review Typeset Forms | After forms are constructed in IDEAS, draft hard copies of the forms are produced and presented to PDE for review and approval. Any changes to the content of the core requested by PDE are balanced with psychometric requirements until all core positions are approved by PDE, test development specialists, and psychometricians. PDE also re-reviews all field test items appearing in the test forms. DRC applies changes to the field test items as required. |
| 26. Print Test Forms | Following PDE's approval of the test forms, DRC completes a series of final proofing of all test forms. Final forms (along with ancillary materials) are then approved for printing. |
| 27. Assemble Documentation of Test Materials | Metadata for each test item and form is documented and proofed, including: grade, form, session/ section, item sequence, reporting category, Assessment Anchor, Descriptor, Eligible Content, number of points, item type, number of answer options, item usage, stimulus ID, etc. |
|  | To follow the path for new field test items, return to step 18. |

## ITEM REVIEW CARD EXAMPLE



## DATA REVIEW CARD EXAMPLE

| Standard: Compare and/or order any real numbers (rational and irrational may be mixed). | PA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | Keystone |
| A. | Data Card |
| B. |  |
| C. |  |
| D. |  |
| Rem ID |  |
| P: |  |

Data Recognition Corporation
PA3 - Data Card continued

| Form Name | Use Function | Aptg Flag | Seq | Period | Year | Session | Calc | Modelext | Grade |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | Yes |  | HS |

Traditional Statistics

| N | PVal | Mean | Item Total Corr |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | 0.34 |  | 0.10 |

Fit Statistics

| Ouffit t | Infit t | Ouffit MnSq | Infit MnSq | Chisq | Deg Free | Item Fit | Fit |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 9.9 | 9.9 | 128 | 1.18 |  |  |  |  |

IRT Statistics

| Label | Final | Final S.E. | Preliminary | Preliminary S.E. |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Location | 1.39 | 0.00 |  |  |

Distractor/Step Specific

| Label | Proporion | Corr | Avg Minas | Threshold |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $A^{\circ}$ | 0.34 | 0.10 |  |  |
| B | 0.24 | 0.11 |  |  |
| C | 0.25 | -0.22 |  |  |
| D | 0.17 | 0.01 |  |  |
| MLTS | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| OMITS | 0.00 |  |  |  |

DIF Analysis

| Category | Bias Code | Num Value | N - Ref | N - Focal |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| MaLEFEMALE | A- | -0.13 | 4709 | 4550 |
| PAPERONLINE | A+ | 0.15 | 8242 | 1029 |
| WHTEBLACK | A- | -0.23 | 6812 | 1265 |
| WHITEHISPANIC | A- | -0.16 | 6812 | 726 |


The purpose of this form is to provide guidelines to the item review process in terms of item characteristics that are essential in building a fair and balanced assessment. Use these guidelines in conjunction with the Item Rating Sheet when recording your feedback on individual items.

|  | Content Alignment | Options |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Standards, <br> Anchors, <br> Eligible <br> Content | Does the content of the item align with the Standard/Anchor/Eligible Content? Each item was written to assess a particular Standard/Anchor/ Eligible Content statement which is indicated on the individual Item Card. Consider the degree to which the item is, in fact, aligned with the indicated eligible content. In making this judgment, it is important to consider whether the content is aligned (e.g., do the eligible content and the item both deal with fractions) and whether the required performance is aligned (e.g., if the eligible content calls for a comparison to be made, is this reflected in the item). | HIGHER-Aligns to the higher level of the EC LOWER-Aligns to the lower level of the EC NONE-No alignment with EC |


|  | Rigor Level Alignment | Options |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Is the item grade-level appropriate? Is the content consistent with the experiences of a student at the grade level assessed? Is the challenge level appropriate for the grade? | ABOVE Grade Level <br> AT Grade Level <br> BELOW Grade Level |
| Difficulty | Do you agree with the item's difficulty rating? Item Difficulty is indicated as Low, Medium, and High. Is your rating in agreement with the difficulty rating on the Item Form? | HIGH MEDIUM LOW |
| Depth of Knowledge | Depth of Knowledge is based on the alignment work of Norman Webb. Rate each item based on the cognitive demand, using the following levels: <br> 1. Recall - Recall of a fact, information, or procedure. <br> 2. Basic Application of Skill or Concept - Use of information, conceptual knowledge, procedures, two or more steps, etc. <br> 3. Strategic Thinking - Requires reasoning, developing a plan or sequence of steps; has some complexity; more than one possible answer. <br> 4. Extended Thinking - Requires an investigation, time to think and process multiple conditions of the problem or task, and more than 10 minutes to do non-routine manipulations. (This level is generally not assessed in on-demand assessments.) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{4} \text { = Extended Thinking } \\ & \mathbf{3}=\text { Strategic Thinking } \\ & \mathbf{2}=\text { Basic Application } \\ & \mathbf{1}=\text { Recall } \end{aligned}$ |


| Rigor Level Alignment |  | Options |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source of Challenge | Is the source of challenge appropriately targeted to the content? <br> The hardest part of the item (i.e., source of challenge) should be the content that is targeted. For example, in mathematics, the mathematics should be the major source of challenge rather than the wording or graphic. Students should not give an incorrect answer to a mathematics item because the reading level is too high or a graphic is flawed. Conversely, students should not give correct answers for reasons such as prior knowledge that make the answer to the question obvious (e.g., if the question asks which country has the largest population and students are to read a graph that includes China, there is no need to read the graph to answer the question). | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{Y}=\mathrm{Yes} \\ & \mathbf{N}=\mathrm{No} \end{aligned}$ |
| Technical Design |  | Options |
| Correct <br> Answer | Is there one clear, correct answer option? There should be no other answer that "could" be correct. CAUTION: This does not mean that "good" distractors are unfair. | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{Y}=\mathrm{Yes} \\ & \mathbf{N}=\mathrm{No} \end{aligned}$ |
| Distractors | Are distractors fair and appropriate? Distractors that are appropriate offer students reasonable choices that can be arrived at by making common errors. There should be no distractors that make no sense at all. It should be possible to examine each option and to reason how a student with some deficiency in knowledge or skill could choose it. The distractors should be formatted according to acceptable standards of test construction (e.g., a phrase that is common to each distractor should be in the stem). | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{Y}=\text { Yes } \\ & \mathbf{N}=\text { No } \\ & \mathbf{N} / \mathbf{A}=\text { OE items do not } \\ & \text { have distractors } \end{aligned}$ |
| Graphics | Are the graphics clear and accurate? | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{Y}=\text { Yes } \\ & \mathbf{N}=\text { No } \\ & \mathbf{N} / \mathbf{A}=\text { No graphic } \end{aligned}$ |
| Universal Design |  | Options |
| Language <br> Demand | Is language clear, well-formatted, and precise? Does the item use correct terminology for the content area? In order for all students to enter into the questions of the assessment, they must be able to understand them. If the items are formatted poorly, use unnecessarily complex words or phrases, or use figures or layouts that are difficult to understand, some students will give incorrect answers due to these factors rather than the content that is being assessed. | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{Y}=\mathrm{Yes} \\ & \mathbf{N}=\mathrm{No} \end{aligned}$ |
| Bias | Is the item free of bias? All students will not be able to enter into the assessment if bias considerations are not resolved. Does the item contain clear bias problems? A thorough, independent bias review (separate from this meeting) will be completed for all items. | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{Y}=\mathrm{Yes} \\ & \mathbf{N}=\mathrm{No} \end{aligned}$ |
| Status |  | Options |
| Acceptance <br> Status | This is an overall judgment about the item. Based on the consensus of the committee, indicate whether the item was approved without revision to the content of the item or whether the item was accepted by the committee after revision of the content of the item. If there is a dissenting view (opposed to the committee consensus), record a | -Approved as is <br> -Accepted with suggested revisions |

[^4]NOTES:

| ALGEBRA I-WINTER 2017/2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Keystone Exam |  |  |  |  | Algebra I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Items |  |  |  | Points |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Focus | Number of Core Items |  |  |  | Core Points |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | MC | SCR | ECR | Total | MC | SCR | ECR | Total |
| A1.1: Operations and Linear Equations \& Inequalities | 1 |  |  | Operations with Real Numbers and Expressions |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 4 | 4 |
|  | 1 | 1 | 1 | Compare and/or order any real numbers. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 1 | 1 | 2 | Simplify square roots. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 1 | 2 | 1 | Find the Greatest Common Factor (GCF) and/or the Least Common Multiple (LCM) for sets of monomials. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 1 | 3 | 1 | Simplify/evaluate expressions involving properties/laws of exponents, roots, and/or absolute values to solve problems. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 1 | 4 | 1 | Use estimation to solve problems. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 5 | 1 | Add, subtract, and/or multiply polynomial expressions (express answers in simplest form). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 5 | 2 | Factor algebraic expressions, including difference of squares and trinomials. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 1 | 5 | 3 | Simplify/reduce a rational algebraic expression. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | Total For Assessment Anchor A1.1.1 |  |  |  | 6 |  | 1 | 7 | 6 |  | 4 | 10 |
|  | 2 |  |  | Linear Equations |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 4 |  | 4 |
|  | 2 | 1 | 1 | Write, solve, and/or apply a linear equation. | 2 |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  | 2 |
|  | 2 | 1 | 2 | Use and/or identify an algebraic property to justify any step in an equation-solving process. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 2 | 1 | 3 | Interpret solutions to problems in the context of the problem situation. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 2 | 2 | 1 | Write and/or solve a system of linear equations (including problem situations) using graphing, substitution, and/or elimination. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 2 | 2 | 2 | Interpret solutions to problems in the context of the problem situation. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | Total For Assessment Anchor A1.1.2 |  |  |  | 6 | 1 |  | 7 | 6 | 4 |  | 10 |
|  | 3 |  |  | Linear Inequalities |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 4 |  | 4 |
|  | 3 | 1 | 1 | Write or solve compound inequalities and/or graph their solution sets on a number line . | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 3 | 1 | 2 | Identify or graph the solution set to a linear inequality on a number line. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 3 | 1 | 3 | Interpret solutions to problems in the context of the problem situation. | 2 |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  | 2 |
|  | 3 | 2 | 1 | Write and/or solve a system of linear inequalities using graphing. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 3 | 2 | 2 | Interpret solutions to problems in the context of the problem situation. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | Total For Assessment Anchor A1.1.3 |  |  |  | 6 | 1 |  | 7 | 6 | 4 |  | 10 |
| Total For Reporting Category A1.1 |  |  |  |  | 18 | 2 | 1 | 21 | 18 | 8 | 4 | 30 |


|  |  |  |  | Focus | Items |  |  |  | Points |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Number of Core Items |  |  |  | Core Points |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | MC | SCR | ECR | Total | MC | SCR | ECR | Total |
|  | 1 |  |  | Functions |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 4 | 4 |
|  | 1 | 1 | 1 | Analyze a set of data for the existence of a pattern and represent the pattern algebraically and/or graphically. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 1 | 1 | 2 | Determine whether a relation is a function, given a set of points or a graph. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 1 | 1 | 3 | Identify the domain or range of a relation. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 1 | 2 | 1 | Create, interpret, and/or use the equation, graph, or table of a linear function. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 1 | 2 | 2 | Translate from one representation of a linear function to another. | 2 |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  | 2 |
|  | Total For Assessment Anchor A1.2.1 |  |  |  | 6 |  | 1 | 7 | 6 |  | 4 | 10 |
|  | 2 |  |  | Coordinate Geometry |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 4 |  | 4 |
|  | 2 | 1 | 1 | Identify, describe, and/or use constant rates of change. | 2 |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  | 2 |
|  | 2 | 1 | 2 | Apply the concept of linear rate of change (slope) to solve problems. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 2 | 1 | 3 | Write or identify a linear equation when given... | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 2 | 1 | 4 | Determine the slope and/or y-intercept represented by a linear equation or graph. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 2 | 2 | 1 | Draw, identify, find, and/or write an equation for a line of best fit for a scatter plot. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | Total For Assessment Anchor A1.2.2 |  |  |  | 6 | 1 |  | 7 | 6 | 4 |  | 10 |
|  | 3 |  |  | Data Analysis |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 4 |  | 4 |
|  | 3 | 1 | 1 | Calculate and/or interpret the range, quartiles, and interquartile range of data. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 3 | 2 | 1 | Estimate or calculate to make predictions based on a circle, line, bar graph, measures of central tendency, or other representations. | 2 |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  | 2 |
|  | 3 | 2 | 2 | Analyze data, make predictions, and/or answer questions based on displayed data. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 3 | 2 | 3 | Make predictions using the equations or graphs of best-fit lines of scatter plots. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 3 | 3 | 1 | Find probabilities for compound events. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | Total For Assessment Anchor A1.2.3 |  |  |  | 6 | 1 |  | 7 | 6 | 4 |  | 10 |
| Total For Reporting Category A1.2 |  |  |  |  | 18 | 2 | 1 | 21 | 18 | 8 | 4 | 30 |

ALGEBRA I-SPRING 2018

| Keystone Exam |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Focus | Items |  |  |  | Points |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Number of Core Items |  |  |  | Core Points |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | MC | SCR | ECR | Total | MC | SCR | ECR | Total |
|  | 1 |  |  | Operations with Real Numbers and Expressions |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 4 |  | 4 |
|  | 1 | 1 | 1 | Compare and/or order any real numbers. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 1 | 1 | 2 | Simplify square roots. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 2 | 1 | Find the Greatest Common Factor (GCF) and/or the Least Common Multiple (LCM) for sets of monomials. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 1 | 3 | 1 | Simplify/evaluate expressions involving properties/laws of exponents, roots, and/or absolute values to solve problems. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 1 | 4 | 1 | Use estimation to solve problems. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 1 | 5 | 1 | Add, subtract, and/or multiply polynomial expressions (express answers in simplest form). | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 1 | 5 | 2 | Factor algebraic expressions, including difference of squares and trinomials. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 5 | 3 | Simplify/reduce a rational algebraic expression. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | Total For Assessment Anchor A1.1.1 |  |  |  | 6 | 1 |  | 7 | 6 | 4 |  | 10 |
|  | 2 |  |  | Linear Equations |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | 4 |  | 4 |
|  | 2 | 1 | 1 | Write, solve, and/or apply a linear equation. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 2 | 1 | 2 | Use and/or identify an algebraic property to justify any step in an equation-solving process. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 2 | 1 | 3 | Interpret solutions to problems in the context of the problem situation. | 2 |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  | 2 |
|  | 2 | 2 | 1 | Write and/or solve a system of linear equations (including problem situations) using graphing, substitution, and/or elimination. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 2 | 2 | 2 | Interpret solutions to problems in the context of the problem situation. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | Total For Assessment Anchor A1.1.2 |  |  |  | 6 | 2 |  | 8 | 6 | 4 |  | 10 |
|  | 3 |  |  | Linear Inequalities |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 4 | 4 |
|  | 3 | 1 | 1 | Write or solve compound inequalities and/or graph their solution sets on a number line. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 3 | 1 | 2 | Identify or graph the solution set to a linear inequality on a number line. | 2 |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  | 2 |
|  | 3 | 1 | 3 | Interpret solutions to problems in the context of the problem situation. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 3 | 2 | 1 | Write and/or solve a system of linear inequalities using graphing. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 3 | 2 | 2 | Interpret solutions to problems in the context of the problem situation. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | Total For Assessment Anchor A1.1.3 |  |  |  | 6 |  | 1 | 7 | 6 |  | 4 | 10 |
| Total For Reporting Category A1.1 |  |  |  |  | 18 | 3 | 1 | 22 | 18 | 8 | 4 | 30 |


|  |  |  |  | Focus | Items |  |  |  | Points |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Number of Core Items |  |  |  | Core Points |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | MC | SCR | ECR | Total | MC | SCR | ECR | Total |
|  | 1 |  |  | Functions |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 4 | 4 |
|  | 1 | 1 | 1 | Analyze a set of data for the existence of a pattern and represent the pattern algebraically and/or graphically. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 1 | 1 | 2 | Determine whether a relation is a function, given a set of points or a graph. | 2 |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  | 2 |
|  | 1 | 1 | 3 | Identify the domain or range of a relation. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 1 | 2 | 1 | Create, interpret, and/or use the equation, graph, or table of a linear function. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 1 | 2 | 2 | Translate from one representation of a linear function to another. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | Total For Assessment Anchor A1.2.1 |  |  |  | 6 |  | 1 | 7 | 6 |  | 4 | 10 |
|  | 2 |  |  | Coordinate Geometry |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 4 | 4 |
|  | 2 | 1 | 1 | Identify, describe, and/or use constant rates of change. | 2 |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  | 2 |
|  | 2 | 1 | 2 | Apply the concept of linear rate of change (slope) to solve problems. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 2 | 1 | 3 | Write or identify a linear equation when given... | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 2 | 1 | 4 | Determine the slope and/or y-intercept represented by a linear equation or graph. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 2 | 2 | 1 | Draw, identify, find, and/or write an equation for a line of best fit for a scatter plot. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | Total For Assessment Anchor A1.2.2 |  |  |  | 6 |  | 1 | 7 | 6 |  | 4 | 10 |
|  | 3 |  |  | Data Analysis |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 4 |  | 4 |
|  | 3 | 1 | 1 | Calculate and/or interpret the range, quartiles, and interquartile range of data. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 3 | 2 | 1 | Estimate or calculate to make predictions based on a circle, line, bar graph, measures of central tendency, or other representations. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 3 | 2 | 2 | Analyze data, make predictions, and/or answer questions based on displayed data. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 3 | 2 | 3 | Make predictions using the equations or graphs of best-fit lines of scatter plots. | 2 |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  | 2 |
|  | 3 | 3 | 1 | Find probabilities for compound events. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | Total For Assessment Anchor A1.2.3 Understand measurable attributes and units, systems, processes of measurement |  |  |  | 6 | 1 |  | 7 | 6 | 4 |  | 10 |
| Total For Reporting Category A1.2 |  |  |  |  | 18 | 1 | 2 | 21 | 18 | 4 | 8 | 30 |


| ALGEBRA I-SUMMER 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Keystone Exam |  |  |  |  | Algebra I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Focus | Items |  |  |  | Points |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Number of Core Items |  |  |  | Core Points |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | MC | SCR | ECR | Total | MC | SCR | ECR | Total |
|  | 1 |  |  | Operations with Real Numbers and Expressions |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 4 |  | 4 |
|  | 1 | 1 | 1 | Compare and/or order any real numbers. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 1 | 1 | 2 | Simplify square roots. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 1 | 2 | 1 | Find the Greatest Common Factor (GCF) and/or the Least Common Multiple (LCM) for sets of monomials. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 1 | 3 | 1 | Simplify/evaluate expressions involving properties/laws of exponents, roots, and/or absolute values to solve problems. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 1 | 4 | 1 | Use estimation to solve problems. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 5 | 1 | Add, subtract, and/or multiply polynomial expressions (express answers in simplest form). | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 1 | 5 | 2 | Factor algebraic expressions, including difference of squares and trinomials. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 1 | 5 | 3 | Simplify/reduce a rational algebraic expression. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total For Assessment Anchor A1.1.1 |  |  |  | 6 | 1 |  | 7 | 6 | 4 |  | 10 |
|  | 2 |  |  | Linear Equations |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 4 | 4 |
|  | 2 | 1 | 1 | Write, solve, and/or apply a linear equation. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 2 | 1 | 2 | Use and/or identify an algebraic property to justify any step in an equation-solving process. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 2 | 1 | 3 | Interpret solutions to problems in the context of the problem situation. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 2 | 2 | 1 | Write and/or solve a system of linear equations (including problem situations) using graphing, substitution, and/or elimination. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 2 | 2 | 2 | Interpret solutions to problems in the context of the problem situation. | 2 |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  | 2 |
|  | Total For Assessment Anchor A1.1.2 |  |  |  | 6 |  | 1 | 7 | 6 |  | 4 | 10 |
|  | 3 |  |  | Linear Inequalities |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 4 | 4 |
|  | 3 | 1 | 1 | Write or solve compound inequalities and/or graph their solution sets on a number line . | 2 |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  | 2 |
|  | 3 | 1 | 2 | Identify or graph the solution set to a linear inequality on a number line. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 3 | 1 | 3 | Interpret solutions to problems in the context of the problem situation. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 3 | 2 | 1 | Write and/or solve a system of linear inequalities using graphing. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 3 | 2 | 2 | Interpret solutions to problems in the context of the problem situation. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | Total For Assessment Anchor A1.1.3 |  |  |  | 6 |  | 1 | 7 | 6 |  | 4 | 10 |
| Total For Reporting Category A1.1 |  |  |  |  | 18 | 1 | 2 | 21 | 18 | 4 | 8 | 30 |


| Keystone Exam |
| :--- |

Keystone Exam
Biology

|  |  |  |  | Focus | Items |  |  | Points |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Number of Core Items |  |  | Core Points |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | MC | CR | Total | MC | CR | Total |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { y } \\ & \frac{0}{2} \\ & \text { "른 } \end{aligned}$ | 1 |  |  | Basic Biological Principles |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 1 | 1 | Describe the characteristics of life shared by all prokaryotic and eukaryotic organisms. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 1 | 2 | 1 | Compare cellular structures and their functions in prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells. | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
|  | 1 | 2 | 2 | Describe and interpret relationships between structure and function at various levels of biological organization. | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
|  | Total For Assessment Anchor BIO.A. 1 |  |  |  | 5 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 8 |
|  | 2 |  |  | The Chemical Basis for Life |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 1 | 1 | Describe the unique properties of water and how these properties support life on Earth. | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
|  | 2 | 2 | 1 | Explain how carbon is uniquely suited to form biological macromolecules. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 2 | 2 | 2 | Describe how biological macromolecules form from monomers. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 2 | 2 | 3 | Compare the structure and function of carbohydrates, lipids, proteins, and nucleic acids in organisms. |  | 1 | 1 |  | 3 | 3 |
|  | 2 | 3 | 1 | Describe the role of an enzyme as a catalyst in regulating a specific biochemical reaction. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 2 | 3 | 2 | Explain how factors such as pH , temperature, and concentration levels can affect enzyme function. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total For Assessment Anchor BIO.A. 2 |  |  |  | 5 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 8 |
|  | 3 |  |  | Bioenergetics |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | 1 | 1 | Describe the fundamental roles of plastids (e.g., chloroplasts) and mitochondria in energy transformations. | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
|  | 3 | 2 | 1 | Compare the basic transformation of energy during photosynthesis and cellular respiration. | 3 |  | 3 | 3 |  | 3 |
|  | 3 | 2 | 2 | Describe the role of ATP in biochemical reactions. | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
|  | Total For Assessment Anchor BIO.A. 3 |  |  |  | 6 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 9 |
|  | 4 |  |  | Homeostasis and Transport |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | 1 | 1 | Describe how the structure of the plasma membrane allows it to function as a regulatory structure and/or protective barrier for a cell. | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
|  | 4 | 1 | 2 | Compare the mechanisms that transport materials across the plasma membrane. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |


| 4 | 1 | 3 | Describe how membrane-bound cellular organelles. | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 2 | 1 | Explain how organisms maintain homeostasis. | 3 |  | 3 | 3 |  | 3 |
| Total For Assessment Anchor BIO.A.4 | 8 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 8 |  |  |  |
| Total For Reporting Category BIO.A | 24 | 3 | 27 | 24 | 9 | 33 |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  | Focus | Items |  |  | Points |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Number of Core Items |  |  | Core Points |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | MC | CR | Total | MC | CR | Total |
|  | 1 |  |  | Cell Growth and Reproduction |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 1 | 1 | Describe the events that occur during the cell cycle: interphase, nuclear division. | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
|  | 1 | 1 | 2 | Compare the processes and outcomes of mitotic and meiotic nuclear divisions. | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
|  | 1 | 2 | 1 | Describe how the process of DNA replication results in the transmission and/or conservation of genetic information. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 1 | 2 | 2 | Explain the functional relationships between DNA, genes, alleles, and chromosomes and their roles in inheritance. | 3 |  | 3 | 3 |  | 3 |
|  | Total For Assessment Anchor BIO.B. 1 |  |  |  | 8 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 8 |
|  | 2 |  |  | Genetics |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 1 | 1 | Describe and/or predict observed patterns of inheritance. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 2 | 1 | 2 | Describe processes that can alter composition or number of chromosomes. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 2 | 2 | 1 | Describe how the processes of transcription and translation are similar in all organisms. | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
|  | 2 | 2 | 2 | Describe the role of ribosomes, endoplasmic reticulum, Golgi apparatus, and the nucleus in the production of specific types of proteins. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 2 | 3 | 1 | Describe how genetic mutations alter the DNA sequence and may or may not affect phenotype. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 2 | 4 | 1 | Explain how genetic engineering has impacted the fields of medicine, forensics, and agriculture. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| $\frac{\stackrel{\alpha}{\mathrm{x}}}{\underset{\sim}{\pi}}$ | Total For Assessment Anchor BIO.B. 2 |  |  |  | 6 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 9 |
| 。 | 3 |  |  | Theory of Evolution |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \overline{0} \\ \overline{\mathrm{~J}} \end{gathered}$ | 3 | 1 | 1 | Explain how natural selection can impact allele frequencies of a population. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ̈̈ } \\ & \text { op } \end{aligned}$ | 3 | 1 | 2 | Describe the factors that can contribute to the development of new species. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 3 | 1 | 3 | Explain how genetic mutations may result in genotypic and phenotypic variations within a population. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 3 | 2 | 1 | Interpret evidence supporting the theory of evolution. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 3 | 3 | 1 | Distinguish between the scientific terms: hypothesis, inference, law, theory, principle, fact, and observation. | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 |


| Total For Assessment Anchor BIO.B.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 |  |  | 6 | 5 | 3 | 8 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | Ecology |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 1 | 2 | Describe the levels of ecological organization. <br> aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| 4 | 2 | 1 | Describe how energy flows through an ecosystem. | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| 4 | 2 | 2 | Describe biotic interactions in an ecosystem. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 2 | 3 | Describe how matter recycles through an ecosystem. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| 4 | 2 | 4 | Describe how ecosystems change in response to natural <br> and human disturbances. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 2 | 5 | Describe the effects of limiting factors on population <br> dynamics and potential species extinction. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Total For Assessment Anchor BIO.B.4 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 8 |  |  |  |
| Total For Assessment Anchor BIO.B | 24 | 3 | 27 | 24 | 9 | 33 |  |  |  |

Keystone Exam
Biology

|  |  |  |  | Focus | Items |  |  | Points |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Number of Core Items |  |  | Core Points |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | MC | CR | Total | MC | CR | Total |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { y } \\ & \text { '를 } \\ & \text { 른 } \end{aligned}$ | 1 |  |  | Basic Biological Principles |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 1 | 1 | Describe the characteristics of life shared by all prokaryotic and eukaryotic organisms. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 1 | 2 | 1 | Compare cellular structures and their functions in prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells. | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
|  | 1 | 2 | 2 | Describe and interpret relationships between structure and function at various levels of biological organization. | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
|  | Total F | r Ass | ssme | ent Anchor BIO.A. 1 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 8 |
|  | 2 |  |  | The Chemical Basis for Life |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 1 | 1 | Describe the unique properties of water and how these properties support life on Earth. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 2 | 2 | 1 | Explain how carbon is uniquely suited to form biological macromolecules. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 2 | 2 | 2 | Describe how biological macromolecules form from monomers. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 2 | 2 | 3 | Compare the structure and function of carbohydrates, lipids, proteins, and nucleic acids in organisms. |  | 1 | 1 |  | 3 | 3 |
|  | 2 | 3 | 1 | Describe the role of an enzyme as a catalyst in regulating a specific biochemical reaction. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 2 | 3 | 2 | Explain how factors such as pH , temperature, and concentration levels can affect enzyme function. | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
|  | Total | r Ass | ssme | nt Anchor BIO.A. 2 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 9 |
|  | 3 |  |  | Bioenergetics |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | 1 | 1 | Describe the fundamental roles of plastids (e.g., chloroplasts) and mitochondria in energy transformations. | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
|  | 3 | 2 | 1 | Compare the basic transformation of energy during photosynthesis and cellular respiration. | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
|  | 3 | 2 | 2 | Describe the role of ATP in biochemical reactions. | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
|  | Total For Assessment Anchor BIO.A. 3 |  |  |  | 5 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 8 |
|  | 4 |  |  | Homeostasis and Transport |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | 1 | 1 | Describe how the structure of the plasma membrane allows it to function as a regulatory structure and/or protective barrier for a cell. | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
|  | 4 | 1 | 2 | Compare the mechanisms that transport materials across the plasma membrane. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |


| 4 | 1 | 3 | Describe how membrane-bound cellular organelles. | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 2 | 1 | Explain how organisms maintain homeostasis. | 3 |  | 3 | 3 |  | 3 |
| Total For Assessment Anchor BIO.A.4 | 8 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 8 |  |  |  |
| Total For Reporting Category BIO.A | 24 | 3 | 27 | 24 | 9 | 33 |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  | Focus | Items |  |  | Points |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Number of Core Items |  |  | Core Points |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | MC | CR | Total | MC | CR | Total |
|  | 1 |  |  | Cell Growth and Reproduction |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 1 | 1 | Describe the events that occur during the cell cycle: interphase, nuclear division. | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
|  | 1 | 1 | 2 | Compare the processes and outcomes of mitotic and meiotic nuclear divisions. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 1 | 2 | 1 | Describe how the process of DNA replication results in the transmission and/or conservation of genetic information. | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
|  | 1 | 2 | 2 | Explain the functional relationships between DNA, genes, alleles, and chromosomes and their roles in inheritance. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | Total For Assessment Anchor BIO.B. 1 |  |  |  | 5 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 8 |
|  | 2 |  |  | Genetics |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 1 | 1 | Describe and/or predict observed patterns of inheritance. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 2 | 1 | 2 | Describe processes that can alter composition or number of chromosomes. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 2 | 2 | 1 | Describe how the processes of transcription and translation are similar in all organisms. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 2 | 2 | 2 | Describe the role of ribosomes, endoplasmic reticulum, Golgi apparatus, and the nucleus in the production of specific types of proteins. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 2 | 3 | 1 | Describe how genetic mutations alter the DNA sequence and may or may not affect phenotype. |  | 1 | 1 |  | 3 | 3 |
|  | 2 | 4 | 1 | Explain how genetic engineering has impacted the fields of medicine, forensics, and agriculture. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | Total For Assessment Anchor BIO.B. 2 |  |  |  | 5 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 8 |
|  | 3 |  |  | Theory of Evolution |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | 1 | 1 | Explain how natural selection can impact allele frequencies of a population. |  | 1 | 1 |  | 3 | 3 |
|  | 3 | 1 | 2 | Describe the factors that can contribute to the development of new species. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 3 | 1 | 3 | Explain how genetic mutations may result in genotypic and phenotypic variations within a population. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 3 | 2 | 1 | Interpret evidence supporting the theory of evolution. | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
|  | 3 | 3 | 1 | Distinguish between the scientific terms: hypothesis, inference, law, theory, principle, fact, and observation. | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |


| Total For Assessment Anchor BIO.B.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 |  |  | 7 | 6 | 3 | 9 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | Ecology |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 1 | 2 | Describe the levels of ecological organization. <br> aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| 4 | 2 | 1 | Describe how energy flows through an ecosystem. | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
| 4 | 2 | 2 | Describe biotic interactions in an ecosystem. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| 4 | 2 | 3 | Describe how matter recycles through an ecosystem. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| 4 | 2 | 4 | Describe how ecosystems change in response to natural <br> and human disturbances. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| 4 | 2 | 5 | Describe the effects of limiting factors on population <br> dynamics and potential species extinction. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Total For Assessment Anchor BIO.B.4 | 8 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 8 |  |  |  |
| Total For Assessment Anchor BIO.B | 24 | 3 | 27 | 24 | 9 | 33 |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  | Focus | Items |  |  | Points |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Number of Core Items |  |  | Core Points |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | MC | CR | Total | MC | CR | Total |
|  | 1 |  |  | Basic Biological Principles |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 1 | 1 | Describe the characteristics of life shared by all prokaryotic and eukaryotic organisms. | 3 |  | 3 | 3 |  | 3 |
|  | 1 | 2 | 1 | Compare cellular structures and their functions in prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells. | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
|  | 1 | 2 | 2 | Describe and interpret relationships between structure and function at various levels of biological organization. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | Total For Assessment Anchor BIO.A. 1 |  |  |  | 5 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 8 |
|  | 2 |  |  | The Chemical Basis for Life |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 1 | 1 | Describe the unique properties of water and how these properties support life on Earth. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 2 | 2 | 1 | Explain how carbon is uniquely suited to form biological macromolecules. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 2 | 2 | 2 | Describe how biological macromolecules form from monomers. | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
|  | 2 | 2 | 3 | Compare the structure and function of carbohydrates, lipids, proteins, and nucleic acids in organisms. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 3 | 1 | Describe the role of an enzyme as a catalyst in regulating a specific biochemical reaction. | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
|  | 2 | 3 | 2 | Explain how factors such as pH, temperature, and concentration levels can affect enzyme function. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | Total For Assessment Anchor BIO.A. 2 |  |  |  | 6 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 9 |
|  | 3 |  |  | Bioenergetics |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | 1 | 1 | Describe the fundamental roles of plastids (e.g., chloroplasts) and mitochondria in energy transformations. | 4 |  | 4 | 4 |  | 4 |
|  | 3 | 2 | 1 | Compare the basic transformation of energy during photosynthesis and cellular respiration. | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
|  | 3 | 2 | 2 | Describe the role of ATP in biochemical reactions. | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
|  | Total For Assessment Anchor BIO.A. 3 |  |  |  | 8 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 8 |
|  | 4 |  |  | Homeostasis and Transport |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | 1 | 1 | Describe how the structure of the plasma membrane allows it to function as a regulatory structure and/or protective barrier for a cell. | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
|  | 4 | 1 | 2 | Compare the mechanisms that transport materials across the plasma membrane. | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 |


| 4 | 1 | 3 | Describe how membrane-bound cellular organelles. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 2 | 1 | Explain how organisms maintain homeostasis. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Total For Assessment Anchor BIO.A.4 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 8 |  |  |  |
| Total For Reporting Category BIO.A | 24 | 3 | 27 | 24 | 9 | 33 |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  | Focus | Items |  |  | Points |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Number of Core Items |  |  | Core Points |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | MC | CR | Total | MC | CR | Total |
|  | 1 |  |  | Cell Growth and Reproduction |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 1 | 1 | Describe the events that occur during the cell cycle: interphase, nuclear division. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 1 | 1 | 2 | Compare the processes and outcomes of mitotic and meiotic nuclear divisions. | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
|  | 1 | 2 | 1 | Describe how the process of DNA replication results in the transmission and/or conservation of genetic information. | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
|  | 1 | 2 | 2 | Explain the functional relationships between DNA, genes, alleles, and chromosomes and their roles in inheritance. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | Total For Assessment Anchor BIO.B. 1 |  |  |  | 5 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 8 |
|  | 2 |  |  | Genetics |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 1 | 1 | Describe and/or predict observed patterns of inheritance. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 2 | 1 | 2 | Describe processes that can alter composition or number of chromosomes. | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
|  | 2 | 2 | 1 | Describe how the processes of transcription and translation are similar in all organisms. | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
|  | 2 | 2 | 2 | Describe the role of ribosomes, endoplasmic reticulum, Golgi apparatus, and the nucleus in the production of specific types of proteins. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 2 | 3 | 1 | Describe how genetic mutations alter the DNA sequence and may or may not affect phenotype. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 2 | 4 | 1 | Explain how genetic engineering has impacted the fields of medicine, forensics, and agriculture. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | Total For Assessment Anchor BIO.B. 2 |  |  |  | 8 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 8 |
|  | 3 |  |  | Theory of Evolution |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | 1 | 1 | Explain how natural selection can impact allele frequencies of a population. |  | 1 | 1 |  | 3 | 3 |
|  | 3 | 1 | 2 | Describe the factors that can contribute to the development of new species. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 3 | 1 | 3 | Explain how genetic mutations may result in genotypic and phenotypic variations within a population. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 3 | 2 | 1 | Interpret evidence supporting the theory of evolution. | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
|  | 3 | 3 | 1 | Distinguish between the scientific terms: hypothesis, inference, law, theory, principle, fact, and observation. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |


| Total For Assessment Anchor BIO.B.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 4 |  |  | 6 | 5 | 3 | 8 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | Ecology |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 1 | 2 | Describe the levels of ecological organization. <br> aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| 4 | 2 | 1 | Describe how energy flows through an ecosystem. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| 4 | 2 | 2 | Describe biotic interactions in an ecosystem. |  | 1 | 1 |  | 3 | 3 |
| 4 | 2 | 3 | Describe how matter recycles through an ecosystem. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| 4 | 2 | 4 | Describe how ecosystems change in response to natural <br> and human disturbances. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| 4 | 2 | 5 | Describe the effects of limiting factors on population <br> dynamics and potential species extinction. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Total For Assessment Anchor BIO.B.4 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 9 |  |  |  |
| Total For Assessment Anchor BIO.B | 24 | 3 | 27 | 24 | 9 | 33 |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  | Focus | Items |  |  | Points |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Number of Core Items |  |  | Core Points |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | MC | CR | Total | MC | CR | Total |
|  | 1 |  |  | Reading for Meaning-Fiction |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 1 | 1 | Identify and/or analyze the author's intended purpose of a text. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 1 | 2 | Explain, describe, and/or analyze examples of a text that support the author's intended purpose. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 1 | 1 | 3 | Analyze, interpret, and evaluate how authors use techniques and elements of fiction to effectively communicate an idea or concept. | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
|  | 1 | 2 | 1 | Identify and/or apply a synonym or antonym of a word used in a text. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 1 | 2 | 2 | Identify how the meaning of a word is changed when an affix is added; identify the meaning of a word with an affix from a text. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | Use context clues to determine or clarify the meaning of unfamiliar, multiple-meaning, or ambiguous words. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 2 | 4 | Draw conclusions about connotations of words. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 3 | 1 | Identify and/or explain stated or implied main ideas and relevant supporting details from a text. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 3 | 2 | Summarize the key details and events of a fictional text, in part or as a whole. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total For Assessment Anchor L.F. 1 |  |  |  | 3 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 6 |
|  | 2 |  |  | Analyzing and Interpreting Literature-Fiction |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 1 | 1 | Make inferences and/or draw conclusions based on analysis of a text. | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
|  | 2 | 1 | 2 | Cite evidence from a text to support generalizations. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 2 | 2 | 1 | Analyze how literary form relates to and/or influences meaning of a text. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 2 | 2 | Compare and evaluate the characteristics that distinguish fiction from literary nonfiction. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 2 | 2 | 3 | Explain, interpret, compare, describe, analyze, and/or evaluate connections between texts. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 2 | 4 | Compare and evaluate the characteristics that distinguish narrative, poetry, and drama. |  | 1 | 1 |  | 3 | 3 |
|  | 2 | 3 | 1 | Explain, interpret, compare, describe, analyze, and/or evaluate character in a variety of fiction: | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
|  | 2 | 3 | 2 | Explain, interpret, compare, describe, analyze, and/or evaluate setting in a variety of fiction: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 3 | 3 | Explain, interpret, compare, describe, analyze, and/or evaluate plot in a variety of fiction: | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |


| 2 | 3 | 4 | Explain, interpret, compare, describe, analyze, and/or <br> evaluate theme in a variety of fiction: | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 2 | 3 | 5 | Explain, interpret, compare, describe, analyze, and/or <br> evaluate tone, style, and/or mood in a variety of fiction: | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
| 2 | 3 | 6 | Explain, interpret, compare, describe, analyze, and/or <br> evaluate point of view in a variety of fiction: | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| 2 | 4 | 1 | Interpret and analyze works from a variety of genres for <br> literary, historical, and/or cultural significance. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| 2 | 5 | 1 | Identify, explain, interpret, describe, and/or analyze the <br> effects of personification, simile, metaphor, hyperbole, <br> satire, foreshadowing, flashback, imagery, allegory, <br> symbolism, dialect, allusion, and irony in a text. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| 2 | 5 | 2 | Identify, explain, and analyze the structure of poems and <br> sound devices. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 5 | 3 | Identify and analyze how stage directions, monologue, <br> dialogue, soliloquy, and dialect support dramatic script. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total For Assessment Anchor L.F.2 | 14 | 2 | 16 | 14 | 6 | 20 |  |  |  |
| Total For Reporting Category L.F | 17 | 3 | 20 | 17 | 9 | 26 |  |  |  |



|  | 2 | 3 | 2 | Explain, interpret, compare, describe, analyze, and/or evaluate setting in a variety of nonfiction: |  | 1 | 1 |  | 3 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 3 | 3 | Explain, interpret, compare, describe, analyze, and/or evaluate plot in a variety of nonfiction: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | Explain, interpret, compare, describe, analyze, and/or evaluate theme in a variety of nonfiction: | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 2 | 3 | 5 | Explain, interpret, compare, describe, analyze, and/or evaluate tone, style, and/or mood in a variety of nonfiction: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 3 | 6 | Explain, interpret, compare, describe, analyze, and/or evaluate point of view in a variety of nonfiction: | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 2 | 4 | 1 | Identify, analyze, and evaluate the structure and format of complex informational texts. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 2 | 4 | 2 | Identify, explain, compare, interpret, describe, and/or analyze the sequence of steps in a list of directions. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 4 | 3 | Explain, interpret, and/or analyze the effect of text organization, including headings, graphics, and charts. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 4 | 4 | Make connections between a text and the content of graphics and charts. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 4 | 5 | Analyze and evaluate how graphics and charts clarify, simplify, and organize complex informational texts. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 5 | 1 | Differentiate between fact and opinion. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 5 | 2 | Explain, interpret, describe, and/or analyze the use of facts and opinions in a text. | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
|  | 2 | 5 | 3 | Distinguish essential from nonessential information. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 5 | 4 | Identify, explain, and/or interpret bias and propaganda techniques in nonfictional text. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 5 | 5 | Explain, describe, and/or analyze the effectiveness of bias (explicit and implicit) and propaganda techniques in nonfictional text. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 5 | 6 | Explain, interpret, describe, and/or analyze the author's defense of a claim to make a point or construct an argument in nonfictional text. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  |  | ent Anchor L.N. 2 | 9 | 3 | 12 | 9 | 9 | 18 |
| Total For Reporting Category L.N |  |  |  |  | 17 | 3 | 20 | 17 | 9 | 26 |

LITERATURE-SPRING 2018
Keystone Exam
Literature


| 2 | 3 | 4 | Explain, interpret, compare, describe, analyze, and/or <br> evaluate theme in a variety of fiction: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 3 | 5 | Explain, interpret, compare, describe, analyze, and/or <br> evaluate tone, style, and/or mood in a variety of fiction: |  | 1 | 1 |  | 3 | 3 |
| 2 | 3 | 6 | Explain, interpret, compare, describe, analyze, and/or <br> evaluate point of view in a variety of fiction: | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| 2 | 4 | 1 | Interpret and analyze works from a variety of genres for <br> literary, historical, and/or cultural significance. |  | 1 | 1 |  | 3 | 3 |
| 2 | 5 | 1 | Identify, explain, interpret, describe, and/or analyze the <br> effects of personification, simile, metaphor, hyperbole, <br> satire, foreshadowing, flashback, imagery, allegory, <br> symbolism, dialect, allusion, and irony in a text. | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
| 2 | 5 | 2 | Identify, explain, and analyze the structure of poems and <br> sound devices. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 5 | 3 | Identify and analyze how stage directions, monologue, <br> dialogue, soliloquy, and dialect support dramatic script. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Total For Assessment Anchor L.F.2 | 9 | 3 | 12 | 9 | 9 | 18 |  |  |  |
| Total For Reporting Category L.F | 17 | 3 | 20 | 17 | 9 | 26 |  |  |  |



|  | 2 | 3 | 2 | Explain, interpret, compare, describe, analyze, and/or evaluate setting in a variety of nonfiction: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 3 | 3 | Explain, interpret, compare, describe, analyze, and/or evaluate plot in a variety of nonfiction: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | Explain, interpret, compare, describe, analyze, and/or evaluate theme in a variety of nonfiction: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 3 | 5 | Explain, interpret, compare, describe, analyze, and/or evaluate tone, style, and/or mood in a variety of nonfiction: | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 2 | 3 | 6 | Explain, interpret, compare, describe, analyze, and/or evaluate point of view in a variety of nonfiction: | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 2 | 4 | 1 | Identify, analyze, and evaluate the structure and format of complex informational texts. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 2 | 4 | 2 | Identify, explain, compare, interpret, describe, and/or analyze the sequence of steps in a list of directions. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 2 | 4 | 3 | Explain, interpret, and/or analyze the effect of text organization, including headings, graphics, and charts. |  | 1 | 1 |  | 3 | 3 |
|  | 2 | 4 | 4 | Make connections between a text and the content of graphics and charts. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 4 | 5 | Analyze and evaluate how graphics and charts clarify, simplify, and organize complex informational texts. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 5 | 1 | Differentiate between fact and opinion. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 5 | 2 | Explain, interpret, describe, and/or analyze the use of facts and opinions in a text. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 2 | 5 | 3 | Distinguish essential from nonessential information. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 2 | 5 | 4 | Identify, explain, and/or interpret bias and propaganda techniques in nonfictional text. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 2 | 5 | 5 | Explain, describe, and/or analyze the effectiveness of bias (explicit and implicit) and propaganda techniques in nonfictional text. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 2 | 5 | 6 | Explain, interpret, describe, and/or analyze the author's defense of a claim to make a point or construct an argument in nonfictional text. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  |  | ent Anchor L.N. 2 | 11 | 3 | 14 | 11 | 9 | 20 |
| Total For Reporting Category L.N |  |  |  |  | 17 | 3 | 20 | 17 | 9 | 26 |

LITERATURE-SUMMER 2018
Keystone Exam
Literature


| 2 | 3 | 4 | Explain, interpret, compare, describe, analyze, and/or <br> evaluate theme in a variety of fiction: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 3 | 5 | Explain, interpret, compare, describe, analyze, and/or <br> evaluate tone, style, and/or mood in a variety of fiction: | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| 2 | 3 | 6 | Explain, interpret, compare, describe, analyze, and/or <br> evaluate point of view in a variety of fiction: | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| 2 | 4 | 1 | Interpret and analyze works from a variety of genres for <br> literary, historical, and/or cultural significance. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 5 | 1 | Identify, explain, interpret, describe, and/or analyze the <br> effects of personification, simile, metaphor, hyperbole, <br> satire, foreshadowing, flashback, imagery, allegory, <br> symbolism, dialect, allusion, and irony in a text. | 3 |  | 3 | 3 |  | 3 |
| 2 | 5 | 2 | Identify, explain, and analyze the structure of poems and <br> sound devices. | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| 2 | 5 | 3 | Identify and analyze how stage directions, monologue, <br> dialogue, soliloquy, and dialect support dramatic script. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total For Assessment Anchor L.F.2 | 10 | 3 | 13 | 10 | 9 | 19 |  |  |  |
| Total For Reporting Category L.F | 17 | 3 | 20 | 17 | 9 | 26 |  |  |  |



|  | 2 | 3 | 2 | Explain, interpret, compare, describe, analyze, and/or evaluate setting in a variety of nonfiction: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 3 | 3 | Explain, interpret, compare, describe, analyze, and/or evaluate plot in a variety of nonfiction: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | Explain, interpret, compare, describe, analyze, and/or evaluate theme in a variety of nonfiction: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 3 | 5 | Explain, interpret, compare, describe, analyze, and/or evaluate tone, style, and/or mood in a variety of nonfiction: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 3 | 6 | Explain, interpret, compare, describe, analyze, and/or evaluate point of view in a variety of nonfiction: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 4 | 1 | Identify, analyze, and evaluate the structure and format of complex informational texts. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 4 | 2 | Identify, explain, compare, interpret, describe, and/or analyze the sequence of steps in a list of directions. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 2 | 4 | 3 | Explain, interpret, and/or analyze the effect of text organization, including headings, graphics, and charts. | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
|  | 2 | 4 | 4 | Make connections between a text and the content of graphics and charts. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 4 | 5 | Analyze and evaluate how graphics and charts clarify, simplify, and organize complex informational texts. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 5 | 1 | Differentiate between fact and opinion. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 2 | 5 | 2 | Explain, interpret, describe, and/or analyze the use of facts and opinions in a text. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 5 | 3 | Distinguish essential from nonessential information. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 5 | 4 | Identify, explain, and/or interpret bias and propaganda techniques in nonfictional text. | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
|  | 2 | 5 | 5 | Explain, describe, and/or analyze the effectiveness of bias (explicit and implicit) and propaganda techniques in nonfictional text. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 5 | 6 | Explain, interpret, describe, and/or analyze the author's defense of a claim to make a point or construct an argument in nonfictional text. | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
|  | Tota |  |  | ent Anchor L.N. 2 | 10 | 3 | 13 | 10 | 9 | 19 |
| Total For Reporting Category L.N |  |  |  |  | 17 | 3 | 20 | 17 | 9 | 26 |

## APPENDIX G: KEYSTONE EXAMS MODULE LAYOUT PLANS

Table G-1A. Winter 2017/2018, Spring 2018, and Summer 2018 Algebra I Keystone Exams Section Layout Plan

| Module | Number of <br> MC | Estimated MC Item <br> Breakdown | Number of <br> CR | Estimated CR Item Breakdown | Testing <br> Time | Administration <br> Time |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 23 | 18—Operational (Core) Items; <br> 5—Embedded Field Test Items | 4 | 3—Operational (Core) Items; 1— <br> Embedded Field Test Items | 75 | $85-90$ |
| 2 | 23 | 18-Operational (Core) Items; <br> 5—Embedded Field Test Items | 4 | 3—Operational (Core) Items; 1- <br> Embedded Field Test Items | 75 | $85-90$ |

Table G-1B. Winter 2017/2018, Spring 2018, and Summer 2018 Biology Keystone Exams Section Layout Plan

| Module | Number of <br> MC | Estimated MC Item <br> Breakdown | Number of <br> CR | Estimated CR Item Breakdown | Testing <br> Time | Administration <br> Time |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 32 | 24—Operational (Core) Items; <br> 8—Embedded Field Test Items | 4 | 3—Operational (Core) Items; 1— <br> Embedded Field Test Items | 72 | $82-87$ |
| 2 | 32 | 24—Operational (Core) Items; <br> 8—Embedded Field Test Items | 4 | 3—Operational (Core) Items; 1- <br> Embedded Field Test Items | 72 | $82-87$ |

Table G-1L. Winter 2017/2018, Spring 2018, and Summer 2018 Literature Keystone Exams Section Layout Plan

| Module | Number of MC | Estimated MC Item Breakdown | Number of CR | Estimated CR Item Breakdown | Testing Time | Administration Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 23 | 17-Operational (Core) Items; 6—Embedded Field Test Items | 4 | 3-Operational (Core) Items; 1— Embedded Field Test Items | 73 | 83-88 |
| 2 | 23 | 17-Operational (Core) Items; 6-Embedded Field Test Items | 4 | 3-Operational (Core) Items; 1Embedded Field Test Items | 73 | 83-88 |

## APPENDIX H: MEAN RAW SCORES BY FORM

Table H-1. Mean Raw Scores by Form

| Column Heading | Definition |
| :--- | :--- |
| Form | Form |
| $N$ | Number of students |
| L | Length |
| Pts | Points possible |
| Min | Minimum |
| Max | Maximum |
| Mean | Mean |
| Med | Median |
| SD | Standard deviation |

## ALGEBRA I: SPRING

Table H-2. Algebra I Mean Raw Scores by Form Table

| Form | N | L | Pts | Min | Max | Mean | Med | SD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All | 115,516 | 42 | 60 | 1 | 60 | 32.1 | 33 | 13.1 |
| 1 | 5,899 | 42 | 60 | 2 | 59 | 32.5 | 34 | 13.1 |
| 2 | 5,814 | 42 | 60 | 1 | 60 | 31.8 | 32 | 13.1 |
| 3 | 5,780 | 42 | 60 | 3 | 59 | 32.1 | 33 | 13.1 |
| 4 | 5,792 | 42 | 60 | 2 | 60 | 31.7 | 33 | 13.0 |
| 5 | 5,818 | 42 | 60 | 1 | 59 | 31.8 | 33 | 13.1 |
| 6 | 5,781 | 42 | 60 | 2 | 59 | 31.9 | 33 | 13.1 |
| 7 | 5,741 | 42 | 60 | 3 | 59 | 32.3 | 34 | 13.2 |
| 8 | 5,724 | 42 | 60 | 2 | 58 | 32.2 | 33 | 13.0 |
| 9 | 5,748 | 42 | 60 | 1 | 59 | 31.9 | 33 | 13.0 |
| 10 | 5,780 | 42 | 60 | 1 | 59 | 32.1 | 33 | 13.1 |
| 11 | 5,727 | 42 | 60 | 2 | 59 | 32.1 | 33 | 13.0 |
| 12 | 5,757 | 42 | 60 | 2 | 59 | 32.1 | 33 | 13.0 |
| 13 | 5,684 | 42 | 60 | 2 | 59 | 32.1 | 33 | 12.9 |
| 14 | 5,735 | 42 | 60 | 2 | 59 | 32.0 | 33 | 12.9 |
| 15 | 5,799 | 42 | 60 | 2 | 59 | 32.1 | 33 | 13.2 |
| 16 | 5,755 | 42 | 60 | 2 | 59 | 31.8 | 33 | 13.1 |
| 17 | 5,802 | 42 | 60 | 3 | 60 | 32.3 | 33 | 13.1 |
| 18 | 5,794 | 42 | 60 | 3 | 59 | 31.9 | 33 | 13.0 |
| 19 | 5,791 | 42 | 60 | 2 | 59 | 32.3 | 34 | 13.2 |
| 20 | 5,795 | 42 | 60 | 1 | 60 | 32.0 | 33 | 13.1 |

## BIOLOGY: SPRING

Table H-3. Biology Mean Raw Scores by Form Table

| Form | N | L | Pts | Min | Max | Mean | Med | SD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All | 112,896 | 54 | 66 | 2 | 66 | 36.9 | 38 | 14.6 |
| 1 | 5,720 | 54 | 66 | 5 | 66 | 36.5 | 37 | 14.9 |
| 2 | 5,686 | 54 | 66 | 3 | 66 | 36.8 | 37 | 14.7 |
| 3 | 5,645 | 54 | 66 | 3 | 66 | 36.8 | 38 | 14.7 |
| 4 | 5,639 | 54 | 66 | 4 | 66 | 37.2 | 38 | 14.6 |
| 5 | 5,721 | 54 | 66 | 4 | 66 | 36.8 | 37 | 14.6 |
| 6 | 5,690 | 54 | 66 | 4 | 66 | 36.6 | 37 | 14.7 |
| 7 | 5,678 | 54 | 66 | 4 | 65 | 36.9 | 38 | 14.7 |
| 8 | 5,672 | 54 | 66 | 5 | 66 | 36.8 | 37 | 14.7 |
| 9 | 5,646 | 54 | 66 | 3 | 65 | 36.9 | 38 | 14.6 |
| 10 | 5,600 | 54 | 66 | 4 | 65 | 37.2 | 38 | 14.6 |
| 11 | 5,590 | 54 | 66 | 3 | 65 | 36.7 | 37 | 14.6 |
| 12 | 5,633 | 54 | 66 | 2 | 65 | 36.7 | 37 | 14.5 |
| 13 | 5,671 | 54 | 66 | 4 | 66 | 36.9 | 37 | 14.6 |
| 14 | 5,612 | 54 | 66 | 5 | 66 | 37.0 | 38 | 14.6 |
| 15 | 5,693 | 54 | 66 | 3 | 66 | 36.7 | 37 | 14.8 |
| 16 | 5,620 | 54 | 66 | 3 | 66 | 37.0 | 37 | 14.4 |
| 17 | 5,586 | 54 | 66 | 4 | 66 | 37.6 | 39 | 14.6 |
| 18 | 5,570 | 54 | 66 | 3 | 66 | 37.2 | 38 | 14.6 |
| 19 | 5,620 | 54 | 66 | 3 | 65 | 36.7 | 37 | 14.6 |
| 20 | 5,604 | 54 | 66 | 3 | 66 | 36.8 | 37 | 14.7 |

## LITERATURE: SPRING

Table H-4. Literature Mean Raw Scores by Form Table

| Form | $N$ | L | Pts | Min | Max | Mean | Med | SD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All | 109,325 | 40 | 52 | 1 | 52 | 32.7 | 35 | 10.4 |
| 1 | 5,500 | 40 | 52 | 4 | 52 | 32.6 | 35 | 10.4 |
| 2 | 5,459 | 40 | 52 | 3 | 52 | 32.4 | 35 | 10.5 |
| 3 | 5,448 | 40 | 52 | 2 | 51 | 32.2 | 34 | 10.4 |
| 4 | 5,465 | 40 | 52 | 3 | 52 | 33.0 | 35 | 10.2 |
| 5 | 5,433 | 40 | 52 | 3 | 52 | 33.0 | 35 | 10.2 |
| 6 | 5,440 | 40 | 52 | 4 | 52 | 32.7 | 35 | 10.2 |
| 7 | 5,484 | 40 | 52 | 3 | 52 | 32.8 | 35 | 10.4 |
| 8 | 5,473 | 40 | 52 | 1 | 52 | 32.8 | 35 | 10.3 |
| 9 | 5,460 | 40 | 52 | 2 | 52 | 32.8 | 35 | 10.1 |
| 10 | 5,455 | 40 | 52 | 2 | 52 | 32.4 | 35 | 10.5 |
| 11 | 5,464 | 40 | 52 | 4 | 52 | 32.6 | 35 | 10.4 |
| 12 | 5,422 | 40 | 52 | 2 | 52 | 32.5 | 35 | 10.3 |
| 13 | 5,482 | 40 | 52 | 1 | 51 | 32.4 | 35 | 10.4 |
| 14 | 5,479 | 40 | 52 | 2 | 52 | 32.6 | 35 | 10.3 |
| 15 | 5,447 | 40 | 52 | 2 | 52 | 32.6 | 35 | 10.4 |
| 16 | 5,450 | 40 | 52 | 4 | 52 | 32.9 | 35 | 10.3 |
| 17 | 5,497 | 40 | 52 | 3 | 52 | 32.7 | 35 | 10.5 |
| 18 | 5,488 | 40 | 52 | 3 | 52 | 32.9 | 35 | 10.4 |
| 19 | 5,480 | 40 | 52 | 1 | 52 | 33.0 | 35 | 10.3 |
| 20 | 5,499 | 40 | 52 | 4 | 52 | 33.0 | 35 | 10.4 |

## APPENDIX I: DEMOGRAPHIC AND ACCOMMODATION DATA

## WINTER 2017/2018

## Students Assessed on the Winter 2017/2018 Keystone: Algebra I

| Description | Other* | Gr. 6 | Gr. 7 | Gr. 8 | Gr. 9 | Gr. 10 | Gr. 11 | Gr. 12 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total number of PPT processed (Number) | 27 | 2 | 8 | 496 | 8,826 | 24,202 | 17,739 | 423 | 51,723 |
| Total number of CBT processed (Number) | 0 | 0 | 4 | 58 | 628 | 1,794 | 1,753 | 111 | 4,348 |
| Total number of tests processed (Number) | 27 | 2 | 12 | 554 | 9,454 | 25,996 | 19,492 | 534 | 56,071 |
| Total number of tests processed with a score (Number) | 25 | 2 | 12 | 551 | 8,929 | 24,676 | 18,009 | 490 | 52,694 |
| Total number of tests processed with a score (Percent) | 92.6 | 100 | 100 | 99.5 | 94.4 | 94.9 | 92.4 | 91.8 | 94 |
| Total number of tests processed without a score (Number) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 525 | 1,320 | 1,483 | 44 | 3,377 |
| Total number of tests processed without a score (Percent) | 7.4 | 0 | 0 | . 5 | 5.6 | 5.1 | 7.6 | 8.2 | 6 |

*Other combines students coded as (1) below Grade 6, (2) ungraded, or (3) without a coded grade

## Students Assessed on the Winter 2017/2018 Keystone: Biology

| Description | Other* | Gr. 8 | Gr. 9 | Gr. 10 | Gr. 11 | Gr. 12 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total number of PPT processed (Number) | 16 | 0 | 2,069 | 14,959 | 19,858 | 448 | 37,350 |
| Total number of CBT processed (Number) | 0 | 0 | 166 | 2,024 | 2,154 | 124 | 4,468 |
| Total number of tests processed (Number) | 16 | 0 | 2,235 | 16,983 | 22,012 | 572 | 41,818 |
| Total number of tests processed with a score (Number) | 15 | 0 | 2,107 | 16,257 | 20,483 | 524 | 39,386 |
| Total number of tests processed with a score (Percent) | 93.8 | 0 | 94.3 | 95.7 | 93.1 | 91.6 | 94.2 |
| Total number of tests processed without a score (Number) | 1 | 0 | 128 | 726 | 1,529 | 48 | 2,432 |
| Total number of tests processed without a score (Percent) | 6.3 | 0 | 5.7 | 4.3 | 6.9 | 8.4 | 5.8 |

*Other combines students coded as (1) below Grade 8, (2) ungraded, or (3) without a coded grade

Students Assessed on the Winter 2017/2018 Keystone: Literature

| Description | Other* | Gr. 8 | Gr. 9 | Gr. 10 | Gr. 11 | Gr. 12 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total number of PPT processed (Number) | 7 | 1 | 351 | 11,526 | 21,447 | 337 | 33,669 |
| Total number of CBT processed (Number) | 0 | 0 | 43 | 1,187 | 2,230 | 108 | 3,568 |
| Total number of tests processed (Number) | 7 | 1 | 394 | 12,713 | 23,677 | 445 | 37,237 |
| Total number of tests processed with a score (Number) | 5 | 1 | 271 | 12,284 | 22,116 | 399 | 35,076 |
| Total number of tests processed with a score (Percent) | 71.4 | 100 | 68.8 | 96.6 | 93.4 | 89.7 | 94.2 |
| Total number of tests processed without a score (Number) | 2 | 0 | 123 | 429 | 1,561 | 46 | 2,161 |
| Total number of tests processed without a score (Percent) | 28.6 | 0 | 31.2 | 3.4 | 6.6 | 10.3 | 5.8 |

*Other combines students coded as (1) below Grade 8, (2) ungraded, or (3) without a coded grade
Counts of Students without Scores on the Winter 2017/2018 Keystone: Algebra I

| Reason for Non-Assessment | Other* | Gr. 6 | Gr. 7 | Gr. 8 | Gr. 9 | Gr. 10 | Gr. 11 | Gr. 12 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Extended absence from school (Number) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 198 | 383 | 524 | 2 | 1,108 |
| Extended absence from school (Percent) | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37.7 | 29 | 35.3 | 4.5 | 32.8 |
| Non-attempt (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 257 | 687 | 571 | 21 | 1,537 |
| Non-attempt (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33.3 | 49 | 52 | 38.5 | 47.7 | 45.5 |
| Medical emergency (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 45 | 38 | 0 | 95 |
| Medical emergency (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33.3 | 2.1 | 3.4 | 2.6 | 0 | 2.8 |
| Parental request - Chapter 4 (Number) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 47 | 95 | 8 | 158 |
| Parental request - Chapter 4 (Percent) | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.3 | 3.6 | 6.4 | 18.2 | 4.7 |
| Parental request - Other reasons (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 27 | 85 | 3 | 120 |
| Parental request - Other reasons (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5.7 | 6.8 | 3.6 |
| Other reasons (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 47 | 131 | 170 | 10 | 359 |
| Other reasons (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33.3 | 9 | 9.9 | 11.5 | 22.7 | 10.6 |
| Total not assessed (Number) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 525 | 1,320 | 1,483 | 44 | 3,377 |

*Other combines students coded as (1) below Grade 6, (2) ungraded, or (3) without a coded grade

Counts of Students without Scores on the Winter 2017/2018 Keystone: Biology

| Reason for Non-Assessment | Other* |  | Gr.8 | Gr.9 | Gr.10 | Gr.11 | Gr. 12 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Extended absence from school (Number) | 0 | 0 | 70 | 243 | 560 | 6 | 879 |
| Extended absence from school (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 54.7 | 33.5 | 36.6 | 12.5 | 36.1 |
| Non-attempt (Number) | 0 | 0 | 28 | 258 | 535 | 22 | 843 |
| Non-attempt (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 21.9 | 35.5 | 35 | 45.8 | 34.7 |
| Medical emergency (Number) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 30 | 47 | 2 | 80 |
| Medical emergency (Percent) | 0 | 0 | .8 | 4.1 | 3.1 | 4.2 | 3.3 |
| Parental request - Chapter 4 (Number) | 1 | 0 | 1 | 52 | 123 | 6 | 183 |
| Parental request - Chapter 4 (Percent) | 100 | 0 | .8 | 7.2 | 8 | 12.5 | 7.5 |
| Parental request - Other reasons (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 65 | 2 | 78 |
| Parental request - Other reasons (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 3.2 |
| Other reasons (Number) | 0 | 0 | 28 | 132 | 199 | 10 | 369 |
| Other reasons (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 21.9 | 18.2 | 13 | 20.8 | 15.2 |
| Total not assessed (Number) | 1 | 0 | 128 | 726 | 1,529 | 48 | 2,432 |

*Other combines students coded as (1) below Grade 8, (2) ungraded, or (3) without a coded grade

Counts of Students without Scores on the Winter 2017/2018 Keystone: Literature

| Reason for Non-Assessment | Other* | Gr. 8 | Gr. 9 | Gr. 10 | Gr. 11 | Gr. 12 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Extended absence from school (Number) | 0 | 0 | 84 | 186 | 536 | 3 | 809 |
| Extended absence from school (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 68.3 | 43.4 | 34.3 | 6.5 | 37.4 |
| Non-attempt (Number) | 1 | 0 | 20 | 124 | 537 | 21 | 703 |
| Non-attempt (Percent) | 50 | 0 | 16.3 | 28.9 | 34.4 | 45.7 | 32.5 |
| EL in first year in U.S. schools (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 57 | 1 | 72 |
| EL in first year in U.S. schools (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 2.2 | 3.3 |
| Medical emergency (Number) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 16 | 51 | 0 | 69 |
| Medical emergency (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 1.6 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0 | 3.2 |
| Parental request - Chapter 4 (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 117 | 9 | 140 |
| Parental request - Chapter 4 (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.3 | 7.5 | 19.6 | 6.5 |
| Parental request - Other reasons (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 60 | 2 | 69 |
| Parental request - Other reasons (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.6 | 3.8 | 4.3 | 3.2 |
| Other reasons (Number) | 1 | 0 | 17 | 68 | 203 | 10 | 299 |
| Other reasons (Percent) | 50 | 0 | 13.8 | 15.9 | 13 | 21.7 | 13.8 |
| Total not assessed (Number) | 2 | 0 | 123 | 429 | 1,561 | 46 | 2,161 |

*Other combines students coded as (1) below Grade 8, (2) ungraded, or (3) without a coded grade

Demographic Characteristics of Students taking the Winter 2017/2018 Keystone: Algebra I

| Demographic or Educational Characteristic | Other* | Gr. 6 | Gr. 7 | Gr. 8 | Gr. 9 | Gr. 10 | Gr. 11 | Gr. 12 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female (Number) | 3 | 1 | 5 | 283 | 4,551 | 12,188 | 8,656 | 224 | 25,911 |
| Female (Percent) | 12 | 50 | 41.7 | 51.4 | 51 | 49.4 | 48.1 | 45.7 | 49.2 |
| Male (Number) | 1 | 1 | 7 | 268 | 4,370 | 12,468 | 9,347 | 259 | 26,721 |
| Male (Percent) | 4 | 50 | 58.3 | 48.6 | 48.9 | 50.5 | 51.9 | 52.9 | 50.7 |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native (not Hispanic) (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 32 | 33 | 0 | 76 |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native (not Hispanic) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 1 | . 1 | . 2 | 0 | . 1 |
| Asian (not Hispanic) (Number) | 0 | 1 | 7 | 71 | 328 | 684 | 414 | 14 | 1,519 |
| Asian (not Hispanic) (Percent) | 0 | 50 | 58.3 | 12.9 | 3.7 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 2.9 | 2.9 |
| Black or African American (not Hispanic) (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 988 | 5,142 | 3,695 | 72 | 9,930 |
| Black or African American (not Hispanic) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 11.1 | 20.8 | 20.5 | 14.7 | 18.8 |
| Hispanic (any race) (Number) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 957 | 3,634 | 2,837 | 72 | 7,545 |
| Hispanic (any race) (Percent) | 4 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 10.7 | 14.7 | 15.8 | 14.7 | 14.3 |
| Multi-Racial (not Hispanic) (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 267 | 676 | 467 | 14 | 1,443 |
| Multi-Racial (not Hispanic) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.4 | 3 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 2.7 |
| White (not Hispanic) (Number) | 3 | 1 | 5 | 384 | 6,361 | 14,464 | 10,544 | 310 | 32,072 |
| White (not Hispanic) (Percent) | 12 | 50 | 41.7 | 69.7 | 71.2 | 58.6 | 58.5 | 63.3 | 60.9 |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander (not Hispanic) (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 21 | 13 | 1 | 41 |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander (not Hispanic) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 1 | . 1 | . 1 | . 2 | . 1 |
| IEP (not gifted) (Number) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 611 | 4,139 | 4,354 | 129 | 9,250 |
| IEP (not gifted) (Percent) | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2.9 | 6.8 | 16.8 | 24.2 | 26.3 | 17.6 |
| Student exited IEP in last 2 years (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 147 | 376 | 257 | 4 | 791 |
| Student exited IEP in last 2 years (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.4 | . 8 | 1.5 |
| Title I (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 1,050 | 3,457 | 4,239 | 99 | 8,876 |
| Title I (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.6 | 11.8 | 14 | 23.5 | 20.2 | 16.8 |
| Title III served (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 136 | 1,213 | 1,180 | 27 | 2,556 |
| Title III served (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.5 | 4.9 | 6.6 | 5.5 | 4.9 |
| Title III not served (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Title Ill not served (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Migrant student (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 42 | 84 | 5 | 134 |
| Migrant student (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 2 | . 5 | 1 | . 3 |
| EL enrolled first year (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 662 | 378 | 4 | 1,101 |
| EL enrolled first year (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 6 | 2.7 | 2.1 | . 8 | 2.1 |
| EL enrolled not first year (Number) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 103 | 647 | 869 | 27 | 1,648 |
| EL enrolled not first year (Percent) | 4 | 0 | 0 | . 2 | 1.2 | 2.6 | 4.8 | 5.5 | 3.1 |


| Demographic or Educational Characteristic | Other* | Gr. 6 | Gr. 7 | Gr. 8 | Gr. 9 | Gr. 10 | Gr. 11 | Gr. 12 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exited ESL/bilingual program and in first year of monitoring (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 57 | 49 | 0 | 113 |
| Exited ESL/bilingual program and in first year of monitoring (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 2 | . 1 | . 2 | . 3 | 0 | . 2 |
| Exited ESL/bilingual program and in 2nd year of monitoring (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 46 | 71 | 1 | 133 |
| Exited ESL/bilingual program and in 2nd year of monitoring (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 2 | . 2 | . 4 | . 2 | . 3 |
| Former EL no longer monitored (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 180 | 586 | 397 | 1 | 1,170 |
| Former EL no longer monitored (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.1 | 2 | 2.4 | 2.2 | . 2 | 2.2 |
| LIFE first year (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| LIFE first year (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LIFE not first year (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 18 | 4 | 29 |
| LIFE not first year (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 1 | . 8 | . 1 |
| Former EL exited and in 3rd year of monitoring (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Former EL exited and in 3rd year of monitoring (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Former EL exited and in 4th year of monitoring (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Former EL exited and in 4th year of monitoring (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Foreign exchange student (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 13 |
| Foreign exchange student (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Economically disadvantaged (Number) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 3,349 | 13,466 | 10,442 | 247 | 27,584 |
| Economically disadvantaged (Percent) | 8 | 0 | 0 | 14.2 | 37.5 | 54.6 | 58 | 50.4 | 52.3 |
| Historically Underperforming Subgroup (Number) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 92 | 3,706 | 15,442 | 12,373 | 314 | 31,929 |
| Historically Underperforming Subgroup (Percent) | 8 | 0 | 0 | 16.7 | 41.5 | 62.6 | 68.7 | 64.1 | 60.6 |
| Enrollment in school of residence after Oct 1 (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 43 | 229 | 355 | 10 | 640 |
| Enrollment in school of residence after Oct 1 (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 5 | . 5 | . 9 | 2 | 2 | 1.2 |
| Enrollment in district of residence after 0ct 1 (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 24 | 131 | 295 | 9 | 462 |
| Enrollment in district of residence after 0ct 1 (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 5 | . 3 | . 5 | 1.6 | 1.8 | . 9 |
| Enrollment as PA resident after Oct 1 (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 58 | 145 | 1 | 216 |
| Enrollment as PA resident after Oct 1 (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 5 | . 1 | . 2 | . 8 | . 2 | . 4 |
| Enrollment in school of residence after previous Oct 1 but on/before current 0ct 1 (Number) | 0 | 0 | 3 | 19 | 3,044 | 6,355 | 3,334 | 72 | 12,827 |
| Enrollment in school of residence after previous Oct 1 but on/before current Oct 1 (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 25 | 3.4 | 34.1 | 25.8 | 18.5 | 14.7 | 24.3 |


| Demographic or Educational Characteristic | Other* | Gr. 6 | Gr. 7 | Gr. 8 | Gr. 9 | Gr. 10 | Gr. 11 | Gr. 12 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Enrollment in district of residence after previous Oct 1 but on/before current Oct 1 (Number) | 0 | 0 | 3 | 14 | 831 | 1,833 | 1,860 | 59 | 4,600 |
| Enrollment in district of residence after previous Oct 1 but on/before current Oct 1 (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 25 | 2.5 | 9.3 | 7.4 | 10.3 | 12 | 8.7 |
| Home schooled (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Home schooled (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Court/agency placed (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 14 | 39 | 20 | 78 |
| Court/agency placed (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 1 | . 1 | . 2 | 4.1 | . 1 |
| Number of assessed students (Number) | 25 | 2 | 12 | 551 | 8,929 | 24,676 | 18,009 | 490 | 52,694 |

*Other combines students coded as (1) below Grade 6, (2) ungraded, or (3) without a coded grade

Demographic Characteristics of Students taking the Winter 2017/2018 Keystone: Biology

| Demographic or Educational Characteristic | Other* | Gr. 8 | Gr. 9 | Gr. 10 | Gr. 11 | Gr. 12 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female (Number) | 0 | 0 | 1,073 | 8,148 | 10,032 | 243 | 19,496 |
| Female (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 50.9 | 50.1 | 49 | 46.4 | 49.5 |
| Male (Number) | 3 | 0 | 1,030 | 8,101 | 10,445 | 277 | 19,856 |
| Male (Percent) | 20 | 0 | 48.9 | 49.8 | 51 | 52.9 | 50.4 |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native (not Hispanic) (Number) | 0 | 0 | 4 | 22 | 29 | 0 | 55 |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native (not Hispanic) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | . 2 | . 1 | . 1 | 0 | . 1 |
| Asian (not Hispanic) (Number) | 0 | 0 | 134 | 523 | 432 | 15 | 1,104 |
| Asian (not Hispanic) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 6.4 | 3.2 | 2.1 | 2.9 | 2.8 |
| Black or African American (not Hispanic) (Number) | 0 | 0 | 211 | 2,456 | 3,915 | 75 | 6,657 |
| Black or African American (not Hispanic) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 10 | 15.1 | 19.1 | 14.3 | 16.9 |
| Hispanic (any race) (Number) | 0 | 0 | 196 | 1,629 | 3,317 | 76 | 5,218 |
| Hispanic (any race) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 9.3 | 10 | 16.2 | 14.5 | 13.2 |
| Multi-Racial (not Hispanic) (Number) | 0 | 0 | 62 | 369 | 561 | 24 | 1,016 |
| Multi-Racial (not Hispanic) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 4.6 | 2.6 |
| White (not Hispanic) (Number) | 3 | 0 | 1,496 | 11,234 | 12,205 | 328 | 25,266 |
| White (not Hispanic) (Percent) | 20 | 0 | 71 | 69.1 | 59.6 | 62.6 | 64.1 |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander (not Hispanic) (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 18 | 2 | 36 |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander (not Hispanic) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 1 | . 1 | . 4 | . 1 |
| IEP (not gifted) (Number) | 0 | 0 | 195 | 2,599 | 4,628 | 116 | 7,538 |
| IEP (not gifted) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 9.3 | 16 | 22.6 | 22.1 | 19.1 |
| Student exited IEP in last 2 years (Number) | 0 | 0 | 60 | 251 | 252 | 4 | 567 |
| Student exited IEP in last 2 years (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 2.8 | 1.5 | 1.2 | . 8 | 1.4 |
| Title I (Number) | 0 | 0 | 127 | 2,133 | 4,884 | 88 | 7,232 |
| Title I (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 6 | 13.1 | 23.8 | 16.8 | 18.4 |
| Title III served (Number) | 0 | 0 | 23 | 395 | 1,199 | 26 | 1,643 |
| Title III served (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 1.1 | 2.4 | 5.9 | 5 | 4.2 |
| Title III not served (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Title III not served (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Migrant student (Number) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 72 | 2 | 85 |
| Migrant student (Percent) | 0 | 0 | . 1 | . 1 | . 4 | . 4 | . 2 |
| EL enrolled first year (Number) | 0 | 0 | 8 | 120 | 385 | 2 | 515 |
| EL enrolled first year (Percent) | 0 | 0 | . 4 | . 7 | 1.9 | . 4 | 1.3 |
| EL enrolled not first year (Number) | 1 | 0 | 21 | 305 | 864 | 27 | 1,218 |
| EL enrolled not first year (Percent) | 6.7 | 0 | 1 | 1.9 | 4.2 | 5.2 | 3.1 |
| Exited ESL/bilingual program and in first year of monitoring (Number) | 0 | 0 | 5 | 27 | 62 | 1 | 95 |
| Exited ESL/bilingual program and in first year of monitoring (Percent) | 0 | 0 | . 2 | . 2 | . 3 | . 2 | . 2 |


| Demographic or Educational Characteristic | Other* | Gr. 8 | Gr. 9 | Gr. 10 | Gr. 11 | Gr. 12 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exited ESL/bilingual program and in 2nd year of monitoring (Number) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 35 | 101 | 2 | 139 |
| Exited ESL/bilingual program and in 2nd year of monitoring (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 2 | . 5 | . 4 | . 4 |
| Former EL no longer monitored (Number) | 0 | 0 | 49 | 366 | 476 | 3 | 894 |
| Former EL no longer monitored (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | . 6 | 2.3 |
| LIFE first year (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| LIFE first year (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LIFE not first year (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 21 | 4 | 33 |
| LIFE not first year (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 1 | . 8 | . 1 |
| Former EL exited and in 3rd year of monitoring (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Former EL exited and in 3rd year of monitoring (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Former EL exited and in 4th year of monitoring (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Former EL exited and in 4th year of monitoring (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Foreign exchange student (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 9 |
| Foreign exchange student (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Economically disadvantaged (Number) | 2 | 0 | 713 | 7,141 | 11,745 | 261 | 19,862 |
| Economically disadvantaged (Percent) | 13.3 | 0 | 33.8 | 43.9 | 57.3 | 49.8 | 50.4 |
| Historically Underperforming Subgroup (Number) | 2 | 0 | 802 | 8,426 | 13,796 | 315 | 23,341 |
| Historically Underperforming Subgroup (Percent) | 13.3 | 0 | 38.1 | 51.8 | 67.4 | 60.1 | 59.3 |
| Enrollment in school of residence after Oct 1 (Number) | 0 | 0 | 15 | 83 | 373 | 10 | 481 |
| Enrollment in school of residence after Oct 1 (Percent) | 0 | 0 | . 7 | . 5 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.2 |
| Enrollment in district of residence after Oct 1 (Number) | 0 | 0 | 10 | 58 | 303 | 8 | 379 |
| Enrollment in district of residence after Oct 1 (Percent) | 0 | 0 | . 5 | . 4 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1 |
| Enrollment as PA resident after Oct 1 (Number) | 0 | 0 | 7 | 31 | 128 | 1 | 167 |
| Enrollment as PA resident after Oct 1 (Percent) | 0 | 0 | . 3 | . 2 | . 6 | . 2 | . 4 |
| Enrollment in school of residence after previous Oct 1 but on/before current Oct 1 (Number) | 0 | 0 | 935 | 2,839 | 3,604 | 73 | 7,451 |
| Enrollment in school of residence after previous Oct 1 but on/before current 0ct 1 (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 44.4 | 17.5 | 17.6 | 13.9 | 18.9 |
| Enrollment in district of residence after previous Oct 1 but on/before current Oct 1 (Number) | 0 | 0 | 139 | 850 | 1,767 | 59 | 2,815 |
| Enrollment in district of residence after previous Oct 1 but on/before current 0ct 1 (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 6.6 | 5.2 | 8.6 | 11.3 | 7.1 |
| Home schooled (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Home schooled (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Court/agency placed (Number) | 1 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 42 | 19 | 80 |
| Court/agency placed (Percent) | 6.7 | 0 | 0 | . 1 | . 2 | 3.6 | . 2 |
| Number of assessed students (Number) | 15 | 0 | 2,107 | 16,257 | 20,483 | 524 | 39,386 |

*Other combines students coded as (1) below Grade 8, (2) ungraded, or (3) without a coded grade

## Demographic Characteristics of Students taking the Winter 2017/2018 Keystone: Literature

| Demographic or Educational Characteristic | Other* | Gr. 8 | Gr. 9 | Gr. 10 | Gr. 11 | Gr. 12 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female (Number) | 0 | 0 | 117 | 5,942 | 9,294 | 156 | 15,509 |
| Female (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 43.2 | 48.4 | 42 | 39.1 | 44.2 |
| Male (Number) | 0 | 1 | 154 | 6,339 | 12,819 | 238 | 19,551 |
| Male (Percent) | 0 | 100 | 56.8 | 51.6 | 58 | 59.6 | 55.7 |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native (not Hispanic) (Number) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 19 | 45 | 0 | 66 |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native (not Hispanic) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | . 7 | . 2 | . 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Asian (not Hispanic) (Number) | 0 | 0 | 4 | 423 | 506 | 15 | 948 |
| Asian (not Hispanic) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 1.5 | 3.4 | 2.3 | 3.8 | 2.7 |
| Black or African American (not Hispanic) (Number) | 0 | 0 | 58 | 1,602 | 4,032 | 56 | 5,748 |
| Black or African American (not Hispanic) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 21.4 | 13 | 18.2 | 14 | 16.4 |
| Hispanic (any race) (Number) | 0 | 0 | 51 | 1,435 | 3,225 | 71 | 4,782 |
| Hispanic (any race) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 18.8 | 11.7 | 14.6 | 17.8 | 13.6 |
| Multi-Racial (not Hispanic) (Number) | 0 | 0 | 14 | 287 | 542 | 12 | 855 |
| Multi-Racial (not Hispanic) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 5.2 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 3 | 2.4 |
| White (not Hispanic) (Number) | 0 | 1 | 141 | 8,503 | 13,745 | 238 | 22,628 |
| White (not Hispanic) (Percent) | 0 | 100 | 52 | 69.2 | 62.1 | 59.6 | 64.5 |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander (not Hispanic) (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 17 | 2 | 30 |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander (not Hispanic) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 1 | . 1 | . 5 | . 1 |
| IEP (not gifted) (Number) | 0 | 0 | 40 | 1,705 | 5,590 | 109 | 7,444 |
| IEP (not gifted) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 14.8 | 13.9 | 25.3 | 27.3 | 21.2 |
| Student exited IEP in last 2 years (Number) | 0 | 0 | 3 | 150 | 352 | 2 | 507 |
| Student exited IEP in last 2 years (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.6 | . 5 | 1.4 |
| Title I (Number) | 0 | 0 | 71 | 1,636 | 4,741 | 94 | 6,542 |
| Title I (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 26.2 | 13.3 | 21.4 | 23.6 | 18.7 |
| Title III served (Number) | 0 | 0 | 6 | 220 | 1,247 | 27 | 1,500 |
| Title III served (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 5.6 | 6.8 | 4.3 |
| Title III not served (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Title III not served (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Migrant student (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 62 | 3 | 78 |
| Migrant student (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 1 | . 3 | . 8 | . 2 |
| EL enrolled first year (Number) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 44 | 349 | 4 | 398 |
| EL enrolled first year (Percent) | 0 | 0 | . 4 | . 4 | 1.6 | 1 | 1.1 |
| EL enrolled not first year (Number) | 0 | 0 | 7 | 199 | 961 | 26 | 1,193 |
| EL enrolled not first year (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 2.6 | 1.6 | 4.3 | 6.5 | 3.4 |
| Exited ESL/bilingual program and in first year of monitoring (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 64 | 2 | 96 |
| Exited ESL/bilingual program and in first year of monitoring (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 2 | . 3 | . 5 | . 3 |


| Demographic or Educational Characteristic | Other* | Gr. 8 | Gr. 9 | Gr. 10 | Gr. 11 | Gr. 12 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exited ESL/bilingual program and in 2nd year of monitoring (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 114 | 1 | 135 |
| Exited ESL/bilingual program and in 2nd year of monitoring (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 2 | . 5 | . 3 | . 4 |
| Former EL no longer monitored (Number) | 0 | 0 | 6 | 257 | 460 | 4 | 727 |
| Former EL no longer monitored (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 1 | 2.1 |
| LIFE first year (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| LIFE first year (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LIFE not first year (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 22 | 4 | 27 |
| LIFE not first year (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 1 | 1 | . 1 |
| Former EL exited and in 3rd year of monitoring (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Former EL exited and in 3rd year of monitoring (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Former EL exited and in 4th year of monitoring (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Former EL exited and in 4th year of monitoring (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Foreign exchange student (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 11 |
| Foreign exchange student (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Economically disadvantaged (Number) | 0 | 0 | 178 | 4,666 | 12,270 | 211 | 17,325 |
| Economically disadvantaged (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 65.7 | 38 | 55.5 | 52.9 | 49.4 |
| Historically Underperforming Subgroup (Number) | 0 | 0 | 190 | 5,491 | 14,763 | 262 | 20,706 |
| Historically Underperforming Subgroup (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 70.1 | 44.7 | 66.8 | 65.7 | 59 |
| Enrollment in school of residence after Oct 1 (Number) | 0 | 0 | 4 | 106 | 402 | 7 | 519 |
| Enrollment in school of residence after Oct 1 (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 1.5 | . 9 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.5 |
| Enrollment in district of residence after Oct 1 (Number) | 0 | 0 | 3 | 70 | 332 | 6 | 411 |
| Enrollment in district of residence after Oct 1 (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 1.1 | . 6 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.2 |
| Enrollment as PA resident after Oct 1 (Number) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 29 | 121 | 1 | 153 |
| Enrollment as PA resident after Oct 1 (Percent) | 0 | 0 | . 7 | . 2 | . 5 | . 3 | . 4 |
| Enrollment in school of residence after previous Oct 1 but on/before current Oct 1 (Number) | 0 | 0 | 63 | 1,791 | 3,990 | 73 | 5,917 |
| Enrollment in school of residence after previous Oct 1 but on/before current 0ct 1 (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 23.2 | 14.6 | 18 | 18.3 | 16.9 |
| Enrollment in district of residence after previous Oct 1 but on/before current Oct 1 (Number) | 0 | 0 | 34 | 622 | 1,989 | 61 | 2,706 |
| Enrollment in district of residence after previous Oct 1 but on/before current 0ct 1 (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 12.5 | 5.1 | 9 | 15.3 | 7.7 |
| Home schooled (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Home schooled (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Court/agency placed (Number) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 19 | 39 | 18 | 78 |
| Court/agency placed (Percent) | 0 | 0 | . 7 | . 2 | . 2 | 4.5 | . 2 |
| Number of assessed students (Number) | 5 | 1 | 271 | 12,284 | 22,116 | 399 | 35,076 |

*Other combines students coded as (1) below Grade 8, (2) ungraded, or (3) without a coded grade

Incidence of Presentation Accommodations Received on the Winter 2017/2018 Keystone: Algebra I

| Type of Presentation Accommodation | PPT | CBT | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Braille format (Number) | 3 | N/A | 3 |
| Braille format (Percent) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Large print format (Number) | 16 | N/A | 16 |
| Large print format (Percent) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Computer Assistive Technology (Number) | 2 | N/A | 2 |
| Computer Assistive Technology (Percent) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Some test items/questions read aloud (Number) | 313 | 35 | 348 |
| Some test items/questions read aloud (Percent) | . 6 | . 8 | . 7 |
| All test items/questions read aloud (Number) | 246 | 44 | 290 |
| All test items/questions read aloud (Percent) | . 5 | 1 | . 6 |
| Test items/questions signed (Number) | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Test items/questions signed (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Test items/questions interpreted for EL student (Number) | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| Test items/questions interpreted for EL student (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Amplification device (Number) | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Amplification device (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Magnification device (Number) | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Magnification device (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Color overlay (Number) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Color overlay (Percent) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Number) | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spanish version (Number) | 697 | N/A | 697 |
| Spanish version (Percent) | 1.4 | N/A | 1.3 |
| Audio (Number) | N/A | 233 | 233 |
| Audio (Percent) | N/A | 5.5 | . 4 |
| Color Chooser (Number) | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| Color Chooser (Percent) | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| Contrasting Text Chooser (Number) | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| Contrasting Text Chooser (Percent) | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| Reverse Contrast (Number) | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| Reverse Contrast (Percent) | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| Refreshable Braille (Number) | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| Refreshable Braille (Percent) | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| Video Sign Language (Number) | N/A | 2 | 2 |
| Video Sign Language (Percent) | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| Number of assessed students (Number) | 48,458 | 4,236 | 52,694 |

Incidence of Presentation Accommodations Received on the Winter 2017/2018 Keystone: Biology

| Type of Presentation Accommodation | PPT | CBT | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Braille format (Number) | 1 | N/A | 1 |
| Braille format (Percent) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Large print format (Number) | 18 | N/A | 18 |
| Large print format (Percent) | . 1 | N/A | 0 |
| Computer Assistive Technology (Number) | 2 | N/A | 2 |
| Computer Assistive Technology (Percent) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Some test items/questions read aloud (Number) | 218 | 38 | 256 |
| Some test items/questions read aloud (Percent) | . 6 | . 9 | . 6 |
| All test items/questions read aloud (Number) | 320 | 39 | 359 |
| All test items/questions read aloud (Percent) | . 9 | . 9 | . 9 |
| Test items/questions signed (Number) | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Test items/questions signed (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Test items/questions interpreted for EL student (Number) | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| Test items/questions interpreted for EL student (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Amplification device (Number) | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Amplification device (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Magnification device (Number) | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Magnification device (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Color overlay (Number) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Color overlay (Percent) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Number) | 13 | 2 | 15 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spanish version (Number) | 434 | N/A | 434 |
| Spanish version (Percent) | 1.2 | N/A | 1.1 |
| Audio (Number) | N/A | 286 | 286 |
| Audio (Percent) | N/A | 6.5 | . 7 |
| Color Chooser (Number) | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| Color Chooser (Percent) | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| Contrasting Text Chooser (Number) | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| Contrasting Text Chooser (Percent) | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| Reverse Contrast (Number) | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| Reverse Contrast (Percent) | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| Refreshable Braille (Number) | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| Refreshable Braille (Percent) | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| Video Sign Language (Number) | N/A | 3 | 3 |
| Video Sign Language (Percent) | N/A | . 1 | 0 |
| Number of assessed students (Number) | 34,998 | 4,388 | 39,386 |

Incidence of Presentation Accommodations Received on the Winter 2017/2018 Keystone: Literature

| Type of Presentation Accommodation | PPT | CBT | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Braille format (Number) | 1 | N/A | 1 |
| Braille format (Percent) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Large print format (Number) | 13 | N/A | 13 |
| Large print format (Percent) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Computer Assistive Technology (Number) | 2 | N/A | 2 |
| Computer Assistive Technology (Percent) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Amplification device (Number) | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| Amplification device (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Magnification device (Number) | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Magnification device (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Color overlay (Number) | 2 | N/A | 2 |
| Color overlay (Percent) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Number) | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Color Chooser (Number) | N/A | 1 | 1 |
| Color Chooser (Percent) | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| Contrasting Text Chooser (Number) | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| Contrasting Text Chooser (Percent) | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| Reverse Contrast (Number) | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| Reverse Contrast (Percent) | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| Refreshable Braille (Number) | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| Refreshable Braille (Percent) | 31,586 | 3,490 | 35,076 |
| Number of assessed students (Number) | 0 | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Incidence of Response Accommodations Received on the Winter 2017/2018 Keystone: Algebra I

| Type of Response Accommodation | PPT | CBT | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Test administrator marked multiple-choice responses at student's direction (Number) | 13 | 1 | 14 |
| Test administrator marked multiple-choice responses at student's direction (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Test administrator scribed open-ended responses at student's direction (Number) | 23 | 2 | 25 |
| Test administrator scribed open-ended responses at student's direction (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Test administrator transcribed student responses (Number) | 32 | 1 | 33 |
| Test administrator transcribed student responses (Percent) | . 1 | 0 | . 1 |
| Qualified interpreter translated, transcribed, and/or scribed student's signed responses (Number) | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| Qualified interpreter translated, transcribed, and/or scribed student's signed responses (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Qualified interpreter translated, transcribed, and/or scribed EL student responses (Number) | 28 | 0 | 28 |
| Qualified interpreter translated, transcribed, and/or scribed EL student responses (Percent) | . 1 | 0 | . 1 |
| Keyboard, word processor, or computer (Number) | 5 | N/A | 5 |
| Keyboard, word processor, or computer (Percent) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Brailler/Notetaker (Number) | 3 | N/A | 3 |
| Brailler/Notetaker (Percent) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Augmentative communication device (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Augmentative communication device (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Computer Assistive Technology (Number) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Computer Assistive Technology (Percent) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Translation dictionary for EL student (Number) | 66 | 3 | 69 |
| Translation dictionary for EL student (Percent) | . 1 | . 1 | . 1 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Number) | 3 | 4 | 7 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Percent) | 0 | . 1 | 0 |
| Number of assessed students (Number) | 48,458 | 4,236 | 52,694 |

Incidence of Response Accommodations Received on the Winter 2017/2018 Keystone: Biology

| Type of Response Accommodation | PPT | CBT | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Test administrator marked multiple-choice responses at student's direction (Number) | 9 | 0 | 9 |
| Test administrator marked multiple-choice responses at student's direction (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Test administrator scribed open-ended responses at student's direction (Number) | 11 | 1 | 12 |
| Test administrator scribed open-ended responses at student's direction (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Test administrator transcribed student responses (Number) | 32 | 0 | 32 |
| Test administrator transcribed student responses (Percent) | . 1 | 0 | . 1 |
| Qualified interpreter translated, transcribed, and/or scribed student's signed responses (Number) | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Qualified interpreter translated, transcribed, and/or scribed student's signed responses (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Qualified interpreter translated, transcribed, and/or scribed EL student responses (Number) | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| Qualified interpreter translated, transcribed, and/or scribed EL student responses (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Keyboard, word processor, or computer (Number) | 11 | N/A | 11 |
| Keyboard, word processor, or computer (Percent) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Brailler/Notetaker (Number) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Brailler/Notetaker (Percent) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Augmentative communication device (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Augmentative communication device (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Computer Assistive Technology (Number) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Computer Assistive Technology (Percent) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Translation dictionary for EL student (Number) | 78 | 1 | 79 |
| Translation dictionary for EL student (Percent) | . 2 | 0 | . 2 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Number) | 15 | 0 | 15 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number of assessed students (Number) | 34,998 | 4,388 | 39,386 |

Incidence of Response Accommodations Received on the Winter 2017/2018 Keystone: Literature

| Type of Response Accommodation | PPT | CBT | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Test administrator marked multiple-choice responses at student's direction (Number) | 10 | 1 | 11 |
| Test administrator marked multiple-choice responses at student's direction (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Test administrator scribed open-ended responses at student's direction (Number) | 22 | 2 | 24 |
| Test administrator scribed open-ended responses at student's direction (Percent) | .1 | .1 | .1 |
| Test administrator transcribed student responses (Number) | 33 | 1 | 34 |
| Test administrator transcribed student responses (Percent) | .1 | 0 | .1 |
| Keyboard, word processor, or computer (Number) | 18 | N/A | 18 |
| Keyboard, word processor, or computer (Percent) | 1 | N/A | .1 |
| Brailler/Notetaker (Number) | 1 | N/A | 1 |
| Brailler/Notetaker (Percent) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Augmentative communication device (Number) | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Augmentative communication device (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Computer Assistive Technology (Number) | 1 | N/A | 1 |
| Computer Assistive Technology (Percent) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Number) | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number of assessed students (Number) | 31,586 | 3,490 | 35,076 |

## Incidence of Setting Accommodations Received on the Winter 2017/2018 Keystone: Algebra I

| Type of Setting Accommodation | PPT | CBT | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Hospital/home setting (Number) | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| Hospital/home setting (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| One-on-one setting (Number) | 73 | 2 | 75 |
| One-on-one setting (Percent) | .2 | 0 | .1 |
| Small group setting (Number) | 4,299 | 372 | 4,671 |
| Small group setting (Percent) | 8.9 | 8.8 | 8.9 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Number) | 11 | 1 | 12 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number of assessed students (Number) | 48,458 | 4,236 | 52,694 |

Incidence of Setting Accommodations Received on the Winter 2017/2018 Keystone: Biology

| Type of Setting Accommodation | PPT | CBT | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Hospital/home setting (Number) | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| Hospital/home setting (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| One-on-one setting (Number) | 78 | 2 | 80 |
| One-on-one setting (Percent) | .2 | 0 | .2 |
| Small group setting (Number) | 3,313 | 405 | 3,718 |
| Small group setting (Percent) | 9.5 | 9.2 | 9.4 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Number) | 10 | 1 | 11 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number of assessed students (Number) | 34,998 | 4,388 | 39,386 |

Incidence of Setting Accommodations Received on the Winter 2017/2018 Keystone: Literature

| Type of Setting Accommodation | PPT | CBT | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Hospital/home setting (Number) | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| Hospital/home setting (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| One-on-one setting (Number) | 71 | 3 | 74 |
| One-on-one setting (Percent) | .2 | .1 | .2 |
| Small group setting (Number) | 3,357 | 370 | 3,727 |
| Small group setting (Percent) | 10.6 | 10.6 | 10.6 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Number) | 10 | 1 | 11 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number of assessed students (Number) | 31,586 | 3,490 | 35,076 |

Incidence of Timing Accommodations Received on the Winter 2017/2018 Keystone: Algebra I

| Type of Timing Accommodation | PPT | CBT | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Extended time (Number) | 4,336 | 304 | 4,640 |
| Extended time (Percent) | 8.9 | 7.2 | 8.8 |
| Frequent breaks (Number) | 187 | 45 | 232 |
| Frequent breaks (Percent) | .4 | 1.1 | .4 |
| Changed test schedule (Number) | 51 | 1 | 52 |
| Changed test schedule (Percent) | .1 | 0 | .1 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number of assessed students (Number) | 48,458 | 4,236 | 52,694 |

Incidence of Timing Accommodations Received on the Winter 2017/2018 Keystone: Biology

| Type of Timing Accommodation | PPT | CBT | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Extended time (Number) | 1,342 | 243 | 1,585 |
| Extended time (Percent) | 3.8 | 5.5 | 4 |
| Frequent breaks (Number) | 144 | 51 | 195 |
| Frequent breaks (Percent) | .4 | 1.2 | .5 |
| Changed test schedule (Number) | 54 | 0 | 54 |
| Changed test schedule (Percent) | .2 | 0 | .1 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Number) | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number of assessed students (Number) | 34,998 | 4,388 | 39,386 |

Incidence of Timing Accommodations Received on the Winter 2017/2018 Keystone: Literature

| Type of Timing Accommodation | PPT | CBT | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Extended time (Number) | 3,131 | 200 | 3,331 |
| Extended time (Percent) | 9.9 | 5.7 | 9.5 |
| Frequent breaks (Number) | 117 | 65 | 182 |
| Frequent breaks (Percent) | .4 | 1.9 | .5 |
| Changed test schedule (Number) | 43 | 1 | 44 |
| Changed test schedule (Percent) | .1 | 0 | .1 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Number) | 8 | 0 | 8 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number of assessed students (Number) | 31,586 | 3,490 | 35,076 |

Accommodation Rate for Non-IEP and IEP Students on the Winter 2017/2018 Keystone Exams: Algebra I

| Student Subgroup Tested | PPT | CBT | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Non-IEP Students (Number) | 39,947 | 3,497 | 43,444 |
| Non-Accommodated (Number) | 36,158 | 3,340 | 39,498 |
| Non-Accommodated (Percent) | 90.5 | 95.5 | 90.9 |
| Accommodated (Number) | 3,789 | 157 | 3,946 |
| Accommodated (Percent) | 9.5 | 4.5 | 9.1 |
| IEP Students (Number) | 8,511 | 739 | 9,250 |
| Non-Accommodated (Number) | 4,285 | 313 | 4,598 |
| Non-Accommodated (Percent) | 50.3 | 42.4 | 49.7 |
| Accommodated (Number) | 4,226 | 426 | 4,652 |
| Accommodated (Percent) | 49.7 | 57.6 | 50.3 |

Accommodation Rate for Non-IEP and IEP Students on the Winter 2017/2018 Keystone Exams: Biology

| Student Subgroup Tested | PPT | CBT | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Non-IEP Students (Number) | 28,326 | 3,522 | 31,848 |
| Non-Accommodated (Number) | 27,055 | 3,447 | 30,502 |
| Non-Accommodated (Percent) | 95.5 | 97.9 | 95.8 |
| Accommodated (Number) | 1,271 | 75 | 1,346 |
| Accommodated (Percent) | 4.5 | 2.1 | 4.2 |
| IEP Students (Number) | 6,672 | 866 | 7,538 |
| Non-Accommodated (Number) | 3,495 | 392 | 3,887 |
| Non-Accommodated (Percent) | 52.4 | 45.3 | 51.6 |
| Accommodated (Number) | 3,177 | 474 | 3,651 |
| Accommodated (Percent) | 47.6 | 54.7 | 48.4 |

Accommodation Rate for Non-IEP and IEP Students on the Winter 2017/2018 Keystone Exams: Literature

| Student Subgroup Tested | PPT | CBT | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-IEP Students (Number) | 24,907 | 2,725 | 27,632 |
| Non-Accommodated (Number) | 22,313 | 2,687 | 25,000 |
| Non-Accommodated (Percent) | 89.6 | 98.6 | 90.5 |
| Accommodated (Number) | 2,594 | 38 | 2,632 |
| Accommodated (Percent) | 10.4 | 1.4 | 9.5 |
| IEP Students (Number) | 6,679 | 765 | 7,444 |
| Non-Accommodated (Number) | 3,299 | 401 | 3,700 |
| Non-Accommodated (Percent) | 49.4 | 52.4 | 49.7 |
| Accommodated (Number) | 3,380 | 364 | 3,744 |
| Accommodated (Percent) | 50.6 | 47.6 | 50.3 |

Incidence of IEP and EL Students Receiving Accommodations on the Winter 2017/2018 Keystone: Algebra I
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|r|r|r|r|}\hline \text { Accommodation Received by Administration Mode } & \begin{array}{r}\text { Both IEP } \\ \text { and EL }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { EL and non- } \\ \text { IEP }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { General } \\ \text { Education } \\ \text { (non-IEP or } \\ \text { EL) }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { IEP and } \\ \text { non-EL }\end{array}\right\}$

Incidence of IEP and EL Students Receiving Accommodations on the Winter 2017/2018 Keystone: Biology

| Accommodation Received by Administration Mode | Both IEP <br> and EL | EL and non- <br> IEP | General <br> Education <br> (non-IEP or <br> EL) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Incidence of IEP and EL Students Receiving Accommodations on the Winter 2017/2018 Keystone: Literature

| Accommodation Received by Administration Mode | Both IEP <br> and EL | EL and nonIEP | General Education (non-IEP or EL) | IEP and non-EL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PPT - Small group setting (Number) | 59 | 74 | 216 | 3,008 |
| PPT - Small group setting (Percent) | 27.4 | 5.5 | . 9 | 46.5 |
| PPT - Extended time (Number) | 27 | 108 | 2,224 | 772 |
| PPT - Extended time (Percent) | 12.6 | 8.1 | 9.4 | 11.9 |
| PPT - Frequent breaks (Number) | 6 | 0 | 7 | 104 |
| PPT - Frequent breaks (Percent) | 2.8 | 0 | 0 | 1.6 |
| PPT - Number assessed (Number) | 215 | 1,336 | 23,571 | 6,464 |
| CBT - Small group setting (Number) | 1 | 7 | 12 | 350 |
| CBT - Small group setting (Percent) | 20 | 20 | . 4 | 46.1 |
| CBT - Extended time (Number) | 1 | 1 | 24 | 174 |
| CBT - Extended time (Percent) | 20 | 2.9 | . 9 | 22.9 |
| CBT - Frequent breaks (Number) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 64 |
| CBT - Frequent breaks (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8.4 |
| CBT - Number assessed (Number) | 5 | 35 | 2,690 | 760 |
| Total - Small group setting (Number) | 60 | 81 | 228 | 3,358 |
| Total - Small group setting (Percent) | 27.3 | 5.9 | . 9 | 46.5 |
| Total - Extended time (Number) | 28 | 109 | 2,248 | 946 |
| Total - Extended time (Percent) | 12.7 | 8 | 8.6 | 13.1 |
| Total - Frequent breaks (Number) | 6 | 0 | 8 | 168 |
| Total - Frequent breaks (Percent) | 2.7 | 0 | 0 | 2.3 |
| Total - Number assessed (Number) | 220 | 1,371 | 26,261 | 7,224 |

## SUMMER 2018

Students Assessed on the Summer 2018 Keystone: Algebra I

| Description | Other* | Gr. 6 | Gr. 7 | Gr. 8 | Gr. 9 | Gr. 10 | Gr. 11 | Gr. 12 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total number of PPT processed (Number) | 0 | 0 | 14 | 108 | 425 | 355 | 83 | 12 | 997 |
| Total number of CBT processed (Number) | 1 | 3 | 10 | 37 | 144 | 88 | 49 | 0 | 332 |
| Total number of tests processed (Number) | 1 | 3 | 24 | 145 | 569 | 443 | 132 | 12 | 1,329 |
| Total number of tests processed with a score (Number) | 1 | 3 | 24 | 142 | 559 | 431 | 126 | 9 | 1,295 |
| Total number of tests processed with a score (Percent) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 97.9 | 98.2 | 97.3 | 95.5 | 75 | 97.4 |
| Total number of tests processed without a score (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 12 | 6 | 3 | 34 |
| Total number of tests processed without a score (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 2.7 | 4.5 | 25 | 2.6 |

*Other combines students coded as (1) below Grade 6, (2) ungraded, or (3) without a coded grade
Students Assessed on the Summer 2018 Keystone: Biology

| Description | Other* | Gr. 8 | Gr. 9 | Gr. 10 | Gr. 11 | Gr. 12 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total number of PPT processed (Number) | 2 | 40 | 231 | 327 | 68 | 13 | 681 |
| Total number of CBT processed (Number) | 0 | 2 | 113 | 75 | 31 | 0 | 221 |
| Total number of tests processed (Number) | 2 | 42 | 344 | 402 | 99 | 13 | 902 |
| Total number of tests processed with a score (Number) | 2 | 42 | 337 | 395 | 93 | 12 | 881 |
| Total number of tests processed with a score (Percent) | 100 | 100 | 98 | 98.3 | 93.9 | 92.3 | 97.7 |
| Total number of tests processed without a score (Number) | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 21 |
| Total number of tests processed without a score (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1.7 | 6.1 | 7.7 | 2.3 |

*Other combines students coded as (1) below Grade 8, (2) ungraded, or (3) without a coded grade

Students Assessed on the Summer 2018 Keystone: Literature

| Description | Other* | Gr. 8 | Gr. 9 | Gr. 10 | Gr. 11 | Gr. 12 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total number of PPT processed (Number) | 0 | 0 | 24 | 202 | 64 | 5 | 295 |
| Total number of CBT processed (Number) | 0 | 1 | 8 | 60 | 47 | 0 | 116 |
| Total number of tests processed (Number) | 0 | 1 | 32 | 262 | 111 | 5 | 411 |
| Total number of tests processed with a score (Number) | 0 | 1 | 32 | 256 | 107 | 5 | 401 |
| Total number of tests processed with a score (Percent) | 0 | 100 | 100 | 97.7 | 96.4 | 100 | 97.6 |
| Total number of tests processed without a score (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 10 |
| Total number of tests processed without a score (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.3 | 3.6 | 0 | 2.4 |

*Other combines students coded as (1) below Grade 8, (2) ungraded, or (3) without a coded grade

## Counts of Students without Scores on the Summer 2018 Keystone: Algebra I

| Reason for Non-Assessment | Other* | Gr. 6 | Gr. 7 | Gr. 8 | Gr. 9 | Gr. 10 | Gr. 11 | Gr. 12 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Extended absence from school (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Extended absence from school (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.9 |
| Non-attempt (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 12 | 6 | 3 | 33 |
| Non-attempt (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 90 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 97.1 |
| Medical emergency (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Medical emergency (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parental request - Chapter 4 (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parental request - Chapter 4 (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parental request - Other reasons (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parental request - Other reasons (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other reasons (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other reasons (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total not assessed (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 12 | 6 | 3 | 34 |

*Other combines students coded as (1) below Grade 6, (2) ungraded, or (3) without a coded grade

Counts of Students without Scores on the Summer 2018 Keystone: Biology

| Reason for Non-Assessment | Other* | Gr. 8 | Gr. 9 | Gr. 10 | Gr. 11 | Gr. 12 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Extended absence from school (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Extended absence from school (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Non-attempt (Number) | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 21 |
| Non-attempt (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Medical emergency (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Medical emergency (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parental request - Chapter 4 (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parental request - Chapter 4 (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parental request - Other reasons (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parental request - Other reasons (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other reasons (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other reasons (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total not assessed (Number) | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 21 |

*Other combines students coded as (1) below Grade 8, (2) ungraded, or (3) without a coded grade
Counts of Students without Scores on the Summer 2018 Keystone: Literature

| Reason for Non-Assessment | Other* | Gr. 8 | Gr. 9 | Gr. 10 | Gr. 11 | Gr. 12 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Extended absence from school (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Extended absence from school (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Non-attempt (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 10 |
| Non-attempt (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 100 |
| EL in first year in U.S. schools (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| EL in first year in U.S. schools (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Medical emergency (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Medical emergency (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parental request - Chapter 4 (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parental request - Chapter 4 (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parental request - Other reasons (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parental request - Other reasons (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other reasons (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other reasons (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total not assessed (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 10 |

*Other combines students coded as (1) below Grade 8, (2) ungraded, or (3) without a coded grade

Demographic Characteristics of Students taking the Summer 2018 Keystone: Algebra I

| Demographic or Educational Characteristic | Other* | Gr. 6 | Gr. 7 | Gr. 8 | Gr. 9 | Gr. 10 | Gr. 11 | Gr. 12 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female (Number) | 0 | 1 | 15 | 60 | 265 | 219 | 54 | 3 | 617 |
| Female (Percent) | 0 | 33.3 | 62.5 | 42.3 | 47.4 | 50.8 | 42.9 | 33.3 | 47.6 |
| Male (Number) | 1 | 2 | 9 | 77 | 247 | 206 | 64 | 6 | 612 |
| Male (Percent) | 100 | 66.7 | 37.5 | 54.2 | 44.2 | 47.8 | 50.8 | 66.7 | 47.3 |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native (not Hispanic) (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native (not Hispanic) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 1 |
| Asian (not Hispanic) (Number) | 0 | 2 | 6 | 14 | 18 | 15 | 3 | 0 | 58 |
| Asian (not Hispanic) (Percent) | 0 | 66.7 | 25 | 9.9 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 2.4 | 0 | 4.5 |
| Black or African American (not Hispanic) (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 41 | 89 | 18 | 5 | 159 |
| Black or African American (not Hispanic) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.2 | 7.3 | 20.6 | 14.3 | 55.6 | 12.3 |
| Hispanic (any race) (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 54 | 48 | 9 | 0 | 135 |
| Hispanic (any race) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16.9 | 9.7 | 11.1 | 7.1 | 0 | 10.4 |
| Multi-Racial (not Hispanic) (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 22 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 41 |
| Multi-Racial (not Hispanic) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.1 | 3.9 | 3.2 | 1.6 | 0 | 3.2 |
| White (not Hispanic) (Number) | 1 | 1 | 18 | 90 | 375 | 258 | 86 | 4 | 833 |
| White (not Hispanic) (Percent) | 100 | 33.3 | 75 | 63.4 | 67.1 | 59.9 | 68.3 | 44.4 | 64.3 |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander (not Hispanic) (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander (not Hispanic) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 2 | . 2 | 0 | 0 | . 2 |
| IEP (not gifted) (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 63 | 84 | 21 | 1 | 174 |
| IEP (not gifted) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.5 | 11.3 | 19.5 | 16.7 | 11.1 | 13.4 |
| Student exited IEP in last 2 years (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 10 |
| Student exited IEP in last 2 years (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.3 | . 2 | 1.6 | 0 | . 8 |
| Title I (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 29 | 132 | 0 | 0 | 180 |
| Title I (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13.4 | 5.2 | 30.6 | 0 | 0 | 13.9 |
| Title III served (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Title III served (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 7 | 1.4 | 0 | 0 | . 8 |
| Title III not served (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Title III not served (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Migrant student (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Migrant student (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| EL enrolled first year (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| EL enrolled first year (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 2 | 0 | 0 | . 1 |
| EL enrolled not first year (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 16 |
| EL enrolled not first year (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 7 | 1.1 | 1.9 | . 8 | 0 | 1.2 |


| Demographic or Educational Characteristic | Other* | Gr. 6 | Gr. 7 | Gr. 8 | Gr. 9 | Gr. 10 | Gr. 11 | Gr. 12 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exited ESL/bilingual program and in first year of monitoring (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Exited ESL/bilingual program and in first year of monitoring (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Exited ESL/bilingual program and in 2nd year of monitoring (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Exited ESL/bilingual program and in 2nd year of monitoring (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 1 |
| Former EL no longer monitored (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| Former EL no longer monitored (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 7 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 0 | 0 | 1.7 |
| LIFE first year (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LIFE first year (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LIFE not first year (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LIFE not first year (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Former EL exited and in 3rd year of monitoring (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Former EL exited and in 3rd year of monitoring (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Former EL exited and in 4th year of monitoring (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Former EL exited and in 4th year of monitoring (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Foreign exchange student (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Foreign exchange student (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Economically disadvantaged (Number) | 0 | 0 | 4 | 42 | 151 | 170 | 28 | 0 | 395 |
| Economically disadvantaged (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 16.7 | 29.6 | 27 | 39.4 | 22.2 | 0 | 30.5 |
| Historically Underperforming Subgroup (Number) | 0 | 0 | 4 | 46 | 199 | 231 | 42 | 1 | 523 |
| Historically Underperforming Subgroup (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 16.7 | 32.4 | 35.6 | 53.6 | 33.3 | 11.1 | 40.4 |
| Enrollment in school of residence after Oct 1 (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| Enrollment in school of residence after Oct 1 (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.8 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 0 | 0 | 1.6 |
| Enrollment in district of residence after Oct 1 (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| Enrollment in district of residence after 0ct 1 (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.8 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 0 | 0 | 1.6 |
| Enrollment as PA resident after Oct 1 (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Enrollment as PA resident after Oct 1 (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 7 | . 7 | . 7 | 0 | 0 | . 6 |
| Enrollment in school of residence after previous Oct 1 but on/before current Oct 1 (Number) | 0 | 0 | 3 | 14 | 99 | 46 | 6 | 0 | 168 |
| Enrollment in school of residence after previous Oct 1 but on/before current 0ct 1 (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 12.5 | 9.9 | 17.7 | 10.7 | 4.8 | 0 | 13 |
| Enrollment in district of residence after previous Oct 1 but on/before current 0ct 1 (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 33 | 13 | 6 | 0 | 53 |


| Demographic or Educational Characteristic | Other* | Gr. 6 | Gr. 7 | Gr. 8 | Gr. 9 | Gr. 10 | Gr. 11 | Gr. 12 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Enrollment in district of residence after previous Oct 1 but on/before current Oct 1 (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 7 | 5.9 | 3 | 4.8 | 0 | 4.1 |
| Military family (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Military family (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 1 |
| Homeless (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeless (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Foster (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Foster (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Home schooled (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Home schooled (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Court/agency placed (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Court/agency placed (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number of assessed students (Number) | 1 | 3 | 24 | 142 | 559 | 431 | 126 | 9 | 1,295 |

*Other combines students coded as (1) below Grade 6, (2) ungraded, or (3) without a coded grade

Demographic Characteristics of Students taking the Summer 2018 Keystone: Biology

| Demographic or Educational Characteristic | Other* | Gr. 8 | Gr. 9 | Gr. 10 | Gr. 11 | Gr. 12 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female (Number) | 1 | 22 | 180 | 185 | 41 | 7 | 436 |
| Female (Percent) | 50 | 52.4 | 53.4 | 46.8 | 44.1 | 58.3 | 49.5 |
| Male (Number) | 1 | 20 | 139 | 203 | 46 | 5 | 414 |
| Male (Percent) | 50 | 47.6 | 41.2 | 51.4 | 49.5 | 41.7 | 47 |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native (not Hispanic) (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native (not Hispanic) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Asian (not Hispanic) (Number) | 0 | 21 | 2 | 20 | 4 | 0 | 47 |
| Asian (not Hispanic) (Percent) | 0 | 50 | . 6 | 5.1 | 4.3 | 0 | 5.3 |
| Black or African American (not Hispanic) (Number) | 0 | 1 | 29 | 24 | 9 | 3 | 66 |
| Black or African American (not Hispanic) (Percent) | 0 | 2.4 | 8.6 | 6.1 | 9.7 | 25 | 7.5 |
| Hispanic (any race) (Number) | 0 | 1 | 37 | 60 | 8 | 0 | 106 |
| Hispanic (any race) (Percent) | 0 | 2.4 | 11 | 15.2 | 8.6 | 0 | 12 |
| Multi-Racial (not Hispanic) (Number) | 1 | 1 | 12 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 23 |
| Multi-Racial (not Hispanic) (Percent) | 50 | 2.4 | 3.6 | 2 | 1.1 | 0 | 2.6 |
| White (not Hispanic) (Number) | 1 | 18 | 238 | 274 | 68 | 9 | 608 |
| White (not Hispanic) (Percent) | 50 | 42.9 | 70.6 | 69.4 | 73.1 | 75 | 69 |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander (not Hispanic) (Number) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander (not Hispanic) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | . 3 | . 5 | 0 | 0 | . 3 |
| IEP (not gifted) (Number) | 1 | 2 | 56 | 67 | 10 | 3 | 139 |
| IEP (not gifted) (Percent) | 50 | 4.8 | 16.6 | 17 | 10.8 | 25 | 15.8 |
| Student exited IEP in last 2 years (Number) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Student exited IEP in last 2 years (Percent) | 0 | 0 | . 6 | . 8 | 0 | 0 | . 6 |
| Title I (Number) | 0 | 0 | 36 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 53 |
| Title I (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 10.7 | 4.3 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Title III served (Number) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Title III served (Percent) | 0 | 0 | . 6 | 1.5 | 0 | 0 | . 9 |
| Title III not served (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Title III not served (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Migrant student (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Migrant student (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| EL enrolled first year (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| EL enrolled first year (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 3 | 0 | 0 | . 1 |
| EL enrolled not first year (Number) | 0 | 1 | 3 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 20 |
| EL enrolled not first year (Percent) | 0 | 2.4 | . 9 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 0 | 2.3 |
| Exited ESL/bilingual program and in first year of monitoring (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |


| Demographic or Educational Characteristic | Other* | Gr. 8 | Gr. 9 | Gr. 10 | Gr. 11 | Gr. 12 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exited ESL/bilingual program and in first year of monitoring (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 3 | 0 | 0 | . 1 |
| Exited ESL/bilingual program and in 2nd year of monitoring (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Exited ESL/bilingual program and in 2nd year of monitoring (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Former EL no longer monitored (Number) | 0 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| Former EL no longer monitored (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 2.1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1.7 |
| LIFE first year (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LIFE first year (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LIFE not first year (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LIFE not first year (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Former EL exited and in 3rd year of monitoring (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Former EL exited and in 3rd year of monitoring (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Former EL exited and in 4th year of monitoring (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Former EL exited and in 4th year of monitoring (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Foreign exchange student (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Foreign exchange student (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Economically disadvantaged (Number) | 0 | 5 | 107 | 108 | 15 | 1 | 236 |
| Economically disadvantaged (Percent) | 0 | 11.9 | 31.8 | 27.3 | 16.1 | 8.3 | 26.8 |
| Historically Underperforming Subgroup (Number) | 1 | 6 | 144 | 161 | 23 | 4 | 339 |
| Historically Underperforming Subgroup (Percent) | 50 | 14.3 | 42.7 | 40.8 | 24.7 | 33.3 | 38.5 |
| Enrollment in school of residence after Oct 1 (Number) | 0 | 0 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| Enrollment in school of residence after Oct 1 (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 2.7 | 1.8 | 0 | 0 | 1.8 |
| Enrollment in district of residence after Oct 1 (Number) | 0 | 0 | 8 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| Enrollment in district of residence after Oct 1 (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 0 | 0 | 1.7 |
| Enrollment as PA resident after Oct 1 (Number) | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Enrollment as PA resident after Oct 1 (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 1.2 | . 8 | 0 | 0 | . 8 |
| Enrollment in school of residence after previous Oct 1 but on/before current Oct 1 (Number) | 0 | 0 | 66 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 116 |
| Enrollment in school of residence after previous Oct 1 but on/before current Oct 1 (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 19.6 | 12.7 | 0 | 0 | 13.2 |
| Enrollment in district of residence after previous Oct 1 but on/before current Oct 1 (Number) | 0 | 0 | 22 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 39 |
| Enrollment in district of residence after previous Oct 1 but on/before current Oct 1 (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 6.5 | 4.3 | 0 | 0 | 4.4 |


| Demographic or Educational Characteristic | Other* | Gr. 8 | Gr. 9 | Gr. 10 | Gr. 11 | Gr. 12 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Military family (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military family (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeless (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeless (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Foster (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Foster (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Home schooled (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Home schooled (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Court/agency placed (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Court/agency placed (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number of assessed students (Number) | 2 | 42 | 337 | 395 | 93 | 12 | 881 |

*Other combines students coded as (1) below Grade 8, (2) ungraded, or (3) without a coded grade

Demographic Characteristics of Students taking the Summer 2018 Keystone: Literature

| Demographic or Educational Characteristic | Other* | Gr. 8 | Gr. 9 | Gr. 10 | Gr. 11 | Gr. 12 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female (Number) | 0 | 1 | 11 | 104 | 37 | 1 | 154 |
| Female (Percent) | 0 | 100 | 34.4 | 40.6 | 34.6 | 20 | 38.4 |
| Male (Number) | 0 | 0 | 21 | 146 | 67 | 4 | 238 |
| Male (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 65.6 | 57 | 62.6 | 80 | 59.4 |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native (not Hispanic) (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native (not Hispanic) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 9 | 0 | . 2 |
| Asian (not Hispanic) (Number) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 8 |
| Asian (not Hispanic) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 3.1 | 2.3 | . 9 | 0 | 2 |
| Black or African American (not Hispanic) (Number) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 14 | 2 | 35 |
| Black or African American (not Hispanic) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 3.1 | 7 | 13.1 | 40 | 8.7 |
| Hispanic (any race) (Number) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 28 | 5 | 0 | 34 |
| Hispanic (any race) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 3.1 | 10.9 | 4.7 | 0 | 8.5 |
| Multi-Racial (not Hispanic) (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 8 |
| Multi-Racial (not Hispanic) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 0 | 2 |
| White (not Hispanic) (Number) | 0 | 1 | 29 | 192 | 84 | 3 | 309 |
| White (not Hispanic) (Percent) | 0 | 100 | 90.6 | 75 | 78.5 | 60 | 77.1 |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander (not Hispanic) (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander (not Hispanic) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| IEP (not gifted) (Number) | 0 | 0 | 5 | 65 | 21 | 2 | 93 |
| IEP (not gifted) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 15.6 | 25.4 | 19.6 | 40 | 23.2 |
| Student exited IEP in last 2 years (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 5 |
| Student exited IEP in last 2 years (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 0 | 1.2 |
| Title I (Number) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| Title I (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 3.1 | 3.9 | 0 | 0 | 2.7 |
| Title III served (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Title III served (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | . 7 |
| Title III not served (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Title III not served (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Migrant student (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Migrant student (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 9 | 0 | . 2 |
| EL enrolled first year (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| EL enrolled first year (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| EL enrolled not first year (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| EL enrolled not first year (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.2 | . 9 | 0 | 1 |


| Demographic or Educational Characteristic | Other* | Gr. 8 | Gr. 9 | Gr. 10 | Gr. 11 | Gr. 12 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exited ESL/bilingual program and in first year of monitoring (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Exited ESL/bilingual program and in first year of monitoring (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Exited ESL/bilingual program and in 2nd year of monitoring (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Exited ESL/bilingual program and in 2nd year of monitoring (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 4 | 0 | 0 | . 2 |
| Former EL no longer monitored (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Former EL no longer monitored (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | . 7 |
| LIFE first year (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LIFE first year (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LIFE not first year (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LIFE not first year (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Former EL exited and in 3rd year of monitoring (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Former EL exited and in 3rd year of monitoring (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Former EL exited and in 4th year of monitoring (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Former EL exited and in 4th year of monitoring (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Foreign exchange student (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Foreign exchange student (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Economically disadvantaged (Number) | 0 | 0 | 3 | 87 | 27 | 0 | 117 |
| Economically disadvantaged (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 9.4 | 34 | 25.2 | 0 | 29.2 |
| Historically Underperforming Subgroup (Number) | 0 | 0 | 8 | 135 | 42 | 2 | 187 |
| Historically Underperforming Subgroup (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 25 | 52.7 | 39.3 | 40 | 46.6 |
| Enrollment in school of residence after Oct 1 (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enrollment in school of residence after Oct 1 (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enrollment in district of residence after Oct 1 (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enrollment in district of residence after Oct 1 (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enrollment as PA resident after Oct 1 (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enrollment as PA resident after Oct 1 (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enrollment in school of residence after previous Oct 1 but on/before current Oct 1 (Number) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 17 | 4 | 0 | 23 |
| Enrollment in school of residence after previous Oct 1 but on/before current Oct 1 (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 6.3 | 6.6 | 3.7 | 0 | 5.7 |
| Enrollment in district of residence after previous Oct 1 but on/before current 0ct 1 (Number) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 14 |


| Demographic or Educational Characteristic | Other* | Gr. 8 | Gr. 9 | Gr. 10 | Gr. 11 | Gr. 12 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Enrollment in district of residence after previous Oct 1 but on/before current Oct 1 (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 6.3 | 3.1 | 3.7 | 0 | 3.5 |
| Military family (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military family (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeless (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeless (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Foster (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Foster (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Home schooled (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Home schooled (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Court/agency placed (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Court/agency placed (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number of assessed students (Number) | 0 | 1 | 32 | 256 | 107 | 5 | 401 |

*Other combines students coded as (1) below Grade 8, (2) ungraded, or (3) without a coded grade

## Incidence of Presentation Accommodations Received on the Summer 2018 Keystone: Algebra I

| Type of Presentation Accommodation | PPT | CBT | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Braille format (Number) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Braille format (Percent) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Large print format (Number) | 3 | N/A | 3 |
| Large print format (Percent) | . 3 | N/A | . 2 |
| Computer Assistive Technology (Number) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Computer Assistive Technology (Percent) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Some test items/questions read aloud (Number) | 3 | 2 | 5 |
| Some test items/questions read aloud (Percent) | . 3 | . 6 | . 4 |
| All test items/questions read aloud (Number) | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| All test items/questions read aloud (Percent) | . 1 | . 3 | . 2 |
| Test items/questions signed (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Test items/questions signed (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Test items/questions interpreted for EL student (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Test items/questions interpreted for EL student (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Amplification device (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Amplification device (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Magnification device (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Magnification device (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Color overlay (Number) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Color overlay (Percent) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spanish version (Number) | 1 | N/A | 1 |
| Spanish version (Percent) | . 1 | N/A | . 1 |
| Audio (Number) | N/A | 4 | 4 |
| Audio (Percent) | N/A | 1.2 | . 3 |
| Color Chooser (Number) | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| Color Chooser (Percent) | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| Contrasting Text Chooser (Number) | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| Contrasting Text Chooser (Percent) | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| Reverse Contrast (Number) | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| Reverse Contrast (Percent) | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| Refreshable Braille (Number) | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| Refreshable Braille (Percent) | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| Video Sign Language (Number) | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| Video Sign Language (Percent) | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| Number of assessed students (Number) | 965 | 330 | 1,295 |

Incidence of Presentation Accommodations Received on the Summer 2018 Keystone: Biology

| Type of Presentation Accommodation | PPT | CBT | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Braille format (Number) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Braille format (Percent) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Large print format (Number) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Large print format (Percent) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Computer Assistive Technology (Number) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Computer Assistive Technology (Percent) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Some test items/questions read aloud (Number) | 12 | 1 | 13 |
| Some test items/questions read aloud (Percent) | 1.8 | . 5 | 1.5 |
| All test items/questions read aloud (Number) | 5 | 1 | 6 |
| All test items/questions read aloud (Percent) | . 8 | . 5 | . 7 |
| Test items/questions signed (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Test items/questions signed (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Test items/questions interpreted for EL student (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Test items/questions interpreted for EL student (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Amplification device (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Amplification device (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Magnification device (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Magnification device (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Color overlay (Number) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Color overlay (Percent) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spanish version (Number) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Spanish version (Percent) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Audio (Number) | N/A | 3 | 3 |
| Audio (Percent) | N/A | 1.4 | . 3 |
| Color Chooser (Number) | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| Color Chooser (Percent) | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| Contrasting Text Chooser (Number) | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| Contrasting Text Chooser (Percent) | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| Reverse Contrast (Number) | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| Reverse Contrast (Percent) | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| Refreshable Braille (Number) | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| Refreshable Braille (Percent) | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| Video Sign Language (Number) | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| Video Sign Language (Percent) | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| Number of assessed students (Number) | 663 | 218 | 881 |

Incidence of Presentation Accommodations Received on the Summer 2018 Keystone: Literature

| Type of Presentation Accommodation | PPT | CBT | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Braille format (Number) | 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 0 |
| Braille format (Percent) | 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 0 |
| Large print format (Number) | 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 0 |
| Large print format (Percent) | 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 0 |
| Computer Assistive Technology (Number) | 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 0 |
| Computer Assistive Technology (Percent) | 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 0 |
| Amplification device (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Amplification device (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Magnification device (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Magnification device (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Color overlay (Number) | 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 0 |
| Color overlay (Percent) | 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 0 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Color Chooser (Number) | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 3 | 3 |
| Color Chooser (Percent) | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 2.6 | .7 |
| Contrasting Text Chooser (Number) | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 3 | 3 |
| Contrasting Text Chooser (Percent) | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 2.6 | .7 |
| Reverse Contrast (Number) | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 3 | 3 |
| Reverse Contrast (Percent) | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 2.6 | .7 |
| Refreshable Braille (Number) | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 0 | 0 |
| Refreshable Braille (Percent) | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 0 | 0 |
| Number of assessed students (Number) | 285 | 116 | 401 |

Incidence of Response Accommodations Received on the Summer 2018 Keystone: Algebra I

| Type of Response Accommodation | PPT | CBT | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Test administrator marked multiple-choice responses at <br> student's direction (Number) | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Test administrator marked multiple-choice responses at <br> student's direction (Percent) | .2 | 0 | .2 |
| Test administrator scribed open-ended responses at <br> student's direction (Number) | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Test administrator scribed open-ended responses at <br> student's direction (Percent) | .2 | 0 | .2 |
| Test administrator transcribed student responses (Number) | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Test administrator transcribed student responses (Percent) | .1 | 0 | .1 |
| Qualified interpreter translated, transcribed, and/or scribed <br> student's signed responses (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Qualified interpreter translated, transcribed, and/or scribed <br> student's signed responses (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Qualified interpreter translated, transcribed, and/or scribed <br> EL student responses (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Qualified interpreter translated, transcribed, and/or scribed <br> EL student responses (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Keyboard, word processor, or computer (Number) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Keyboard, word processor, or computer (Percent) | 065 | 330 | 1,295 |
| Brailler/Notetaker (Number) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Brailler/Notetaker (Percent) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Augmentative communication device (Number) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Augmentative communication device (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Computer Assistive Technology (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Computer Assistive Technology (Percent) | 0 | 0 |  |
| Translation dictionary for EL student (Number) | 0 | 0 |  |
| Translation dictionary for EL student (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Number) | 0 | 0 |  |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number of assessed students (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Incidence of Response Accommodations Received on the Summer 2018 Keystone: Biology

| Type of Response Accommodation | PPT | CBT | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Test administrator marked multiple-choice responses at <br> student's direction (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Test administrator marked multiple-choice responses at <br> student's direction (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Test administrator scribed open-ended responses at <br> student's direction (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Test administrator scribed open-ended responses at <br> student's direction (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Test administrator transcribed student responses (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Test administrator transcribed student responses (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Qualified interpreter translated, transcribed, and/or scribed <br> student's signed responses (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Qualified interpreter translated, transcribed, and/or scribed <br> student's signed responses (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Qualified interpreter translated, transcribed, and/or scribed <br> EL student responses (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Qualified interpreter translated, transcribed, and/or scribed <br> EL student responses (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Keyboard, word processor, or computer (Number) | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| Keyboard, word processor, or computer (Percent) | 0 | 0 |  |
| Brailler/Notetaker (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Brailler/Notetaker (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Augmentative communication device (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Augmentative communication device (Percent) | 0 | 0 |  |
| Computer Assistive Technology (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Computer Assistive Technology (Percent) | 0 | 0 |  |
| Translation dictionary for EL student (Number) | 0 | 0 |  |
| Translation dictionary for EL student (Percent) | 0 | 0 |  |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Number) | 0 | 0 |  |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Percent) | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Incidence of Response Accommodations Received on the Summer 2018 Keystone: Literature

| Type of Response Accommodation | PPT | CBT | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Test administrator marked multiple-choice responses at <br> student's direction (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Test administrator marked multiple-choice responses at <br> student's direction (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Test administrator scribed open-ended responses at <br> student's direction (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Test administrator scribed open-ended responses at <br> student's direction (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Test administrator transcribed student responses (Number) | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Test administrator transcribed student responses (Percent) | .4 | 0 | .2 |
| Keyboard, word processor, or computer (Number) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Keyboard, word processor, or computer (Percent) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Brailler/Notetaker (Number) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Brailler/Notetaker (Percent) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Augmentative communication device (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Augmentative communication device (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Computer Assistive Technology (Number) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Computer Assistive Technology (Percent) | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number of assessed students (Number) | 285 | 116 | 401 |

Incidence of Setting Accommodations Received on the Summer 2018 Keystone: Algebra I

| Type of Setting Accommodation | PPT | CBT | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Hospital/home setting (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hospital/home setting (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| One-on-one setting (Number) | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| One-on-one setting (Percent) | .3 | 0 | .2 |
| Small group setting (Number) | 49 | 20 | 69 |
| Small group setting (Percent) | 5.1 | 6.1 | 5.3 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Number) | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Percent) | 0 | .3 | .1 |
| Number of assessed students (Number) | 965 | 330 | 1,295 |

Incidence of Setting Accommodations Received on the Summer 2018 Keystone: Biology

| Type of Setting Accommodation | PPT | CBT | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Hospital/home setting (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hospital/home setting (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| One-on-one setting (Number) | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| One-on-one setting (Percent) | .2 | .5 | .2 |
| Small group setting (Number) | 30 | 10 | 40 |
| Small group setting (Percent) | 4.5 | 4.6 | 4.5 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number of assessed students (Number) | 663 | 218 | 881 |

## Incidence of Setting Accommodations Received on the Summer 2018 Keystone: Literature

| Type of Setting Accommodation | PPT | CBT | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Hospital/home setting (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hospital/home setting (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| One-on-one setting (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| One-on-one setting (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Small group setting (Number) | 20 | 8 | 28 |
| Small group setting (Percent) | 7 | 6.9 | 7 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number of assessed students (Number) | 285 | 116 | 401 |

Incidence of Timing Accommodations Received on the Summer 2018 Keystone: Algebra I

| Type of Timing Accommodation | PPT | CBT | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Extended time (Number) | 43 | 14 | 57 |
| Extended time (Percent) | 4.5 | 4.2 | 4.4 |
| Frequent breaks (Number) | 0 | 8 | 8 |
| Frequent breaks (Percent) | 0 | 2.4 | .6 |
| Changed test schedule (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Changed test schedule (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number of assessed students (Number) | 965 | 330 | 1,295 |

Incidence of Timing Accommodations Received on the Summer 2018 Keystone: Biology

| Type of Timing Accommodation | PPT | CBT | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Extended time (Number) | 13 | 12 | 25 |
| Extended time (Percent) | 2 | 5.5 | 2.8 |
| Frequent breaks (Number) | 2 | 5 | 7 |
| Frequent breaks (Percent) | .3 | 2.3 | .8 |
| Changed test schedule (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Changed test schedule (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number of assessed students (Number) | 663 | 218 | 881 |

## Incidence of Timing Accommodations Received on the Summer 2018 Keystone: Literature

| Type of Timing Accommodation | PPT | CBT | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Extended time (Number) | 14 | 7 | 21 |
| Extended time (Percent) | 4.9 | 6 | 5.2 |
| Frequent breaks (Number) | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Frequent breaks (Percent) | 0 | .9 | .2 |
| Changed test schedule (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Changed test schedule (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other (per Accommodations Guidelines) (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number of assessed students (Number) | 285 | 116 | 401 |

Accommodation Rate for Non-IEP and IEP Students on the Summer 2018 Keystone Exams: Algebra I

| Student Subgroup Tested | PPT | CBT | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Non-IEP Students (Number) | 845 | 276 | 1,121 |
| Non-Accommodated (Number) | 812 | 272 | 1,084 |
| Non-Accommodated (Percent) | 96.1 | 98.6 | 96.7 |
| Accommodated (Number) | 33 | 4 | 37 |
| Accommodated (Percent) | 3.9 | 1.4 | 3.3 |
| IEP Students (Number) | 120 | 54 | 174 |
| Non-Accommodated (Number) | 68 | 35 | 103 |
| Non-Accommodated (Percent) | 56.7 | 64.8 | 59.2 |
| Accommodated (Number) | 52 | 19 | 71 |
| Accommodated (Percent) | 43.3 | 35.2 | 40.8 |

Accommodation Rate for Non-IEP and IEP Students on the Summer 2018 Keystone Exams: Biology

| Student Subgroup Tested | PPT | CBT | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Non-IEP Students (Number) | 555 | 187 | 742 |
| Non-Accommodated (Number) | 542 | 183 | 725 |
| Non-Accommodated (Percent) | 97.7 | 97.9 | 97.7 |
| Accommodated (Number) | 13 | 4 | 17 |
| Accommodated (Percent) | 2.3 | 2.1 | 2.3 |
| IEP Students (Number) | 108 | 31 | 139 |
| Non-Accommodated (Number) | 82 | 18 | 100 |
| Non-Accommodated (Percent) | 75.9 | 58.1 | 71.9 |
| Accommodated (Number) | 26 | 13 | 39 |
| Accommodated (Percent) | 24.1 | 41.9 | 28.1 |

Accommodation Rate for Non-IEP and IEP Students on the Summer 2018 Keystone Exams: Literature

| Student Subgroup Tested | PPT | CBT | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Non-IEP Students (Number) | 224 | 84 | 308 |
| Non-Accommodated (Number) | 214 | 84 | 298 |
| Non-Accommodated (Percent) | 95.5 | 100 | 96.8 |
| Accommodated (Number) | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| Accommodated (Percent) | 4.5 | 0 | 3.2 |
| IEP Students (Number) | 61 | 32 | 93 |
| Non-Accommodated (Number) | 37 | 21 | 58 |
| Non-Accommodated (Percent) | 60.7 | 65.6 | 62.4 |
| Accommodated (Number) | 24 | 11 | 35 |
| Accommodated (Percent) | 39.3 | 34.4 | 37.6 |

Incidence of IEP and EL Students Receiving Accommodations on the Summer 2018 Keystone: Algebra I

| Accommodation Received by Administration Mode | Both IEP and <br> EL | EL and non- <br> IEP |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Incidence of IEP and EL Students Receiving Accommodations on the Summer 2018 Keystone: Biology

| Accommodation Received by Administration Mode | Both IEP and EL | EL and nonIEP | General Education (non-IEP or EL) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IEP and } \\ & \text { non-EL } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PPT - Some test items/questions read aloud (Number) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 |
| PPT - Some test items/questions read aloud (Percent) | 0 | 0 | . 4 | 9.6 |
| PPT - All test items/questions read aloud (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| PPT - All test items/questions read aloud (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.8 |
| PPT - Small group setting (Number) | 2 | 3 | 4 | 21 |
| PPT - Small group setting (Percent) | 50 | 30 | . 7 | 20.2 |
| PPT - Extended time (Number) | 1 | 1 | 6 | 5 |
| PPT - Extended time (Percent) | 25 | 10 | 1.1 | 4.8 |
| PPT - Frequent breaks (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| PPT - Frequent breaks (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.9 |
| PPT - Number assessed (Number) | 4 | 10 | 545 | 104 |
| CBT - Some test items/questions read aloud (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| CBT - Some test items/questions read aloud (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.3 |
| CBT - All test items/questions read aloud (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| CBT - All test items/questions read aloud (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.3 |
| CBT - Small group setting (Number) | 1 | 0 | 2 | 7 |
| CBT - Small group setting (Percent) | 100 | 0 | 1.1 | 23.3 |
| CBT - Extended time (Number) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 |
| CBT - Extended time (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 1.1 | 33.3 |
| CBT - Frequent breaks (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| CBT - Frequent breaks (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16.7 |
| CBT - Number assessed (Number) | 1 | 6 | 181 | 30 |
| Total - Some test items/questions read aloud (Number) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 11 |
| Total - Some test items/questions read aloud (Percent) | 0 | 0 | . 3 | 8.2 |
| Total - All test items/questions read aloud (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Total - All test items/questions read aloud (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.5 |
| Total - Small group setting (Number) | 3 | 3 | 6 | 28 |
| Total - Small group setting (Percent) | 60 | 18.8 | . 8 | 20.9 |
| Total - Extended time (Number) | 1 | 1 | 8 | 15 |
| Total - Extended time (Percent) | 20 | 6.3 | 1.1 | 11.2 |
| Total - Frequent breaks (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Total - Frequent breaks (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.2 |
| Total - Number assessed (Number) | 5 | 16 | 726 | 134 |

Incidence of IEP and EL Students Receiving Accommodations on the Summer 2018 Keystone: Literature

| Accommodation Received by Administration Mode | Both IEP and EL | EL and nonIEP | General Education (non-IEP or <br> EL) | IEP and non-EL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PPT - Small group setting (Number) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 18 |
| PPT - Small group setting (Percent) | 0 | 0 | . 9 | 29.5 |
| PPT - Extended time (Number) | 0 | 0 | 8 | 6 |
| PPT - Extended time (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 3.6 | 9.8 |
| PPT - Frequent breaks (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PPT - Frequent breaks (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PPT - Number assessed (Number) | 0 | 4 | 220 | 61 |
| CBT - Small group setting (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| CBT - Small group setting (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| CBT - Extended time (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| CBT - Extended time (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21.9 |
| CBT - Frequent breaks (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| CBT - Frequent breaks (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.1 |
| CBT - Number assessed (Number) | 0 | 0 | 84 | 32 |
| Total - Small group setting (Number) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 26 |
| Total - Small group setting (Percent) | 0 | 0 | . 7 | 28 |
| Total - Extended time (Number) | 0 | 0 | 8 | 13 |
| Total - Extended time (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 2.6 | 14 |
| Total - Frequent breaks (Number) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Total - Frequent breaks (Percent) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.1 |
| Total - Number assessed (Number) | 0 | 4 | 304 | 93 |

## APPENDIX J: ITEM STATISTICS

Table J-1. Item Statistics

| Column Heading | Definition |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ref | Reference line number |
| ID | Item ID |
| Form | Test form |
| N | Number of students |
| PVal | P-Value |
| P( ) | Proportion selecting given response (- = blank) |
| ITCorr | Item total correlation |
| Corr( ) | Correlation of options/points and total test score |
| Meas | Rasch item difficulty measure estimate |
| SEM | Standard error of Rasch item difficulty measure estimate |
| z-Infit | z infit statistic |
| MS-Infit | Mean square infit statistic |
| Z-Outfit | z outfit statistic |
| MS-Outfit | Mean square outfit statistic |
| M/F | Male/Female DIF code |
| W/B | White/Black DIF code |
| W/H | White/Hispanic DIF code |
| O/P | Online computer-based test/paper-pencil-based test DIF code |

## ALGEBRA I MULTIPLE-CHOICE ITEMS

Table J-2. Algebra I Multiple-Choice Item Statistics: Winter

| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | $P(A)$ | P(B) | P(C) | P(D) | P(-) | P(*) | ITCorr | Corr(A) | Corr(B) | Corr(C) | Corr(D) | Meas | SEM | z-Infit | MS-Infit | z-0utfit | MS- <br> Outfit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 640535 | 0 | 53759 | 0.63 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.63 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.37 | -0.15 | -0.20 | 0.37 | -0.21 | -0.82 | 0.01 | 6.77 | 1.03 | 1.38 | 1.01 |
| 2 | 657749 | 0 | 53759 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.21 | 0.29 | 0.15 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.18 | 0.18 | -0.12 | -0.01 | -0.05 | -0.57 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.95 | -9.90 | 0.92 |
| 3 | 736794 | 0 | 53759 | 0.48 | 0.21 | 0.48 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.37 | -0.15 | 0.37 | -0.18 | -0.14 | 1.23 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.15 | 9.90 | 1.28 |
| 4 | 640544 | 0 | 53759 | 0.35 | 0.28 | 0.35 | 0.20 | 0.15 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.27 | -0.01 | 0.27 | -0.15 | -0.14 | 0.89 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.28 | 9.90 | 1.38 |
| 5 | 674491 | 0 | 53759 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.15 | 0.18 | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.44 | 0.44 | -0.22 | -0.16 | -0.22 | 0.65 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.24 | 9.90 | 1.32 |
| 6 | 703997 | 0 | 53759 | 0.46 | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.28 | 0.46 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.33 | -0.18 | -0.20 | -0.06 | 0.33 | 1.71 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.14 | 9.90 | 1.37 |
| 7 | 674456 | 0 | 53759 | 0.36 | 0.21 | 0.32 | 0.36 | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.30 | -0.04 | -0.15 | 0.30 | -0.16 | -0.51 | 0.01 | 2.01 | 1.01 | -3.51 | 0.98 |
| 8 | 703177 | 0 | 53759 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.25 | 0.27 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.16 | -0.07 | -0.06 | 0.00 | 1.20 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.35 | 9.90 | 1.48 |
| 9 | 674455 | 0 | 53759 | 0.71 | 0.12 | 0.08 | 0.71 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.44 | -0.24 | -0.22 | 0.44 | -0.17 | 0.67 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.19 | 9.90 | 1.27 |
| 10 | 674454 | 0 | 53759 | 0.26 | 0.20 | 0.30 | 0.22 | 0.26 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.19 | -0.11 | 0.03 | -0.10 | 0.19 | 1.15 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.24 | 9.90 | 1.37 |
| 11 | 736720 | 0 | 53759 | 0.61 | 0.27 | 0.61 | 0.09 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32 | -0.21 | 0.32 | -0.14 | -0.13 | -0.01 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.96 | -9.90 | 0.95 |
| 12 | 640609 | 0 | 53759 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.12 | 0.23 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.42 | 0.42 | -0.18 | -0.26 | -0.16 | 0.76 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.04 | 9.90 | 1.07 |
| 13 | 700802 | 0 | 53759 | 0.63 | 0.08 | 0.23 | 0.63 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.35 | -0.17 | -0.19 | 0.35 | -0.16 | -0.11 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.89 | -9.90 | 0.86 |
| 14 | 703346 | 0 | 53759 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.18 | 0.26 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.30 | -0.13 | -0.11 | -0.10 | 0.21 | 0.01 | -4.72 | 0.99 | -1.85 | 0.99 |
| 15 | 674396 | 0 | 53759 | 0.28 | 0.21 | 0.28 | 0.22 | 0.28 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.36 | -0.24 | -0.07 | -0.08 | 0.36 | 0.55 | 0.01 | -3.94 | 0.99 | 0.66 | 1.00 |
| 16 | 712255 | 0 | 53759 | 0.45 | 0.11 | 0.45 | 0.29 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.23 | -0.09 | 0.23 | -0.08 | -0.13 | 1.42 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.09 | 9.90 | 1.24 |
| 17 | 713139 | 0 | 53759 | 0.33 | 0.16 | 0.31 | 0.20 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.14 | -0.13 | -0.01 | -0.04 | 0.14 | -1.32 | 0.01 | 8.07 | 1.05 | -7.53 | 0.93 |
| 18 | 736775 | 0 | 53759 | 0.73 | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.73 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.36 | -0.19 | -0.20 | 0.36 | -0.17 | 1.46 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.19 | 9.90 | 1.33 |
| 19 | 678777 | 0 | 53759 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.13 | 0.26 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.35 | 0.35 | -0.17 | -0.15 | -0.19 | -0.37 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.97 | -9.48 | 0.95 |
| 20 | 673951 | 0 | 53759 | 0.57 | 0.57 | 0.12 | 0.06 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.41 | 0.41 | -0.18 | -0.16 | -0.25 | -0.65 | 0.01 | -2.34 | 0.99 | -6.80 | 0.96 |
| 21 | 681814 | 0 | 53759 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.25 | 0.18 | 0.12 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.27 | 0.27 | -0.16 | -0.09 | -0.06 | 0.75 | 0.01 | -2.42 | 0.99 | -0.02 | 1.00 |
| 22 | 696804 | 0 | 53759 | 0.17 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.30 | 0.36 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.23 | -0.22 | 0.23 | -0.11 | 0.12 | 1.07 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.93 | -7.46 | 0.95 |
| 23 | 678781 | 0 | 53759 | 0.29 | 0.47 | 0.29 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.20 | -0.15 | 0.20 | -0.04 | -0.01 | 0.33 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.08 | 9.90 | 1.10 |
| 24 | 702472 | 0 | 53759 | 0.48 | 0.14 | 0.27 | 0.48 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.29 | -0.10 | -0.13 | 0.29 | -0.15 | 1.20 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.30 | 9.90 | 1.44 |
| 25 | 645199 | 0 | 53759 | 0.56 | 0.15 | 0.56 | 0.21 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.26 | -0.10 | 0.26 | -0.13 | -0.13 | -1.20 | 0.01 | -3.62 | 0.98 | -9.90 | 0.91 |
| 26 | 703171 | 0 | 53759 | 0.44 | 0.11 | 0.24 | 0.44 | 0.20 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.22 | -0.14 | -0.08 | 0.22 | -0.06 | 0.04 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.97 | -8.52 | 0.96 |
| 27 | 678728 | 0 | 53759 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.09 | 0.20 | 0.19 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.36 | 0.36 | -0.20 | -0.17 | -0.12 | -0.30 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.92 | -9.90 | 0.88 |


| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})$ | P(B) | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{C})$ | P(D) | $\mathrm{P}(-)$ | P(*) | ITCorr | Corr(A) | Corr(B) | Corre( | Corr(D) | Meas | SEM | $z-\operatorname{lnfit}$ | MS-Infit | $z$-Outit | $\begin{gathered} \text { MS- } \\ \text { Outfit } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | 724179 | 0 | 53759 | 0.33 | 0.13 | 0.18 | 0.35 | 0.33 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.23 | -0.16 | -0.05 | -0.05 | 0.23 | 0.54 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.08 | 9.90 | 1.10 |
| 29 | 712485 | 0 | 53759 | 0.33 | 0.25 | 0.27 | 0.33 | 0.14 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.26 | -0.09 | -0.11 | 0.26 | -0.09 | 1.81 | 0.01 | -4.49 | 0.97 | 9.90 | 1.21 |
| 30 | 678802 | 0 | 53759 | 0.28 | 0.38 | 0.28 | 0.17 | 0.15 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.06 | 0.16 | -0.15 | -0.10 | -0.06 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.03 | 8.30 | 1.04 |
| 31 | 713818 | 0 | 53759 | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.08 | 0.16 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.15 | -0.14 | -0.19 | 0.07 | -0.01 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.04 | 9.90 | 1.05 |
| 32 | 682100 | 0 | 53759 | 0.48 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.26 | 0.48 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.17 | -0.05 | -0.08 | -0.09 | 0.17 | 0.35 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.09 | 9.90 | 1.11 |
| 33 | 674153 | 0 | 53759 | 0.21 | 0.39 | 0.18 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.06 | -0.08 | -0.19 | 0.20 | -0.29 | 0.01 | 1.05 | 1.00 | -2.87 | 0.99 |
| 34 | 678766 | 0 | 53759 | 0.58 | 0.07 | 0.30 | 0.58 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.35 | -0.17 | -0.22 | 0.35 | -0.12 | 0.66 | 0.01 | 9.22 | 1.03 | 9.68 | 1.05 |
| 35 | 678753 | 0 | 53759 | 0.41 | 0.13 | 0.41 | 0.19 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.30 | -0.16 | 0.30 | -0.16 | -0.07 | 1.25 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.21 | 9.90 | 1.33 |
| 36 | 736728 | 0 | 53759 | 0.41 | 0.12 | 0.26 | 0.41 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.15 | -0.07 | -0.08 | 0.15 | -0.04 | 1.37 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.23 | 9.90 | 1.37 |

Table J-3. Biology Multiple-Choice Item Statistics: Winter

| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})$ | P(B) | P(C) | P(D) | P(-) | P(*) | ITCorr | Corr(A) | Corr(B) | Corrs( ${ }^{\text {( }}$ | Corrr( ${ }^{\text {( }}$ | Meas | SEM | $z$-Infit | MS-Infit | z-Outit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MS- } \\ & \text { Outfit } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 714642 | 0 | 40370 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.05 | 0.59 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.21 | -0.21 | -0.06 | -0.15 | 1.02 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.23 | 9.90 | 1.35 |
| 2 | 635767 | 0 | 40370 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.21 | -0.09 | -0.13 | -0.10 | -0.86 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.93 | -0.88 | 0.99 |
| 3 | 642837 | 0 | 40370 | 0.44 | 0.11 | 0.35 | 0.11 | 0.44 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.37 | -0.19 | -0.15 | -0.16 | 0.37 | 0.34 | 0.01 | 2.37 | 1.01 | 1.33 | 1.01 |
| 4 | 673854 | 0 | 40370 | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.24 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.43 | 0.43 | -0.22 | -0.12 | -0.17 | 0.47 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.92 | -9.90 | 0.91 |
| 5 | 714648 | 0 | 40370 | 0.49 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.16 | 0.49 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.35 | -0.12 | -0.16 | -0.18 | 0.35 | 0.12 | 0.01 | 2.97 | 1.01 | 2.45 | 1.01 |
| 6 | 678875 | 0 | 40370 | 0.69 | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.69 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.37 | -0.22 | -0.19 | 0.37 | -0.15 | -0.85 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.90 | -9.90 | 0.84 |
| 7 | 674343 | 0 | 40370 | 0.59 | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.59 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.36 | -0.16 | -0.19 | 0.36 | -0.17 | -0.41 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.95 | -9.90 | 0.93 |
| 8 | 678417 | 0 | 40370 | 0.38 | 0.16 | 0.38 | 0.26 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.35 | -0.13 | 0.35 | -0.17 | -0.12 | 0.74 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.08 | 9.90 | 1.13 |
| 9 | 741372 | 0 | 40370 | 0.32 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.32 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.26 | -0.07 | -0.17 | 0.26 | -0.05 | 1.00 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.15 | 9.90 | 1.27 |
| 10 | 702097 | 0 | 40370 | 0.62 | 0.12 | 0.62 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.27 | -0.15 | 0.27 | -0.13 | -0.11 | -0.12 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.06 | 9.90 | 1.07 |
| 11 | 714149 | 0 | 40370 | 0.66 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.14 | 0.66 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.40 | -0.21 | -0.19 | -0.21 | 0.40 | -0.84 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.90 | -9.90 | 0.86 |
| 12 | 714153 | 0 | 40370 | 0.35 | 0.18 | 0.26 | 0.22 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32 | -0.13 | -0.09 | -0.15 | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.01 | -1.10 | 1.00 | 1.97 | 1.01 |
| 13 | 735309 | 0 | 40370 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.38 | 0.19 | 0.11 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.26 | 0.26 | -0.01 | -0.19 | -0.11 | 1.36 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.38 | 9.90 | 1.59 |
| 14 | 739667 | 0 | 40370 | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.21 | 0.27 | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.26 | 0.26 | -0.19 | 0.01 | -0.16 | 0.79 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.26 | 9.90 | 1.32 |
| 15 | 735102 | 0 | 40370 | 0.48 | 0.16 | 0.15 | 0.21 | 0.48 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.36 | -0.18 | -0.18 | -0.11 | 0.36 | -0.17 | 0.01 | -5.26 | 0.98 | -0.56 | 1.00 |
| 16 | 739690 | 0 | 40370 | 0.62 | 0.10 | 0.16 | 0.62 | 0.11 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.37 | -0.20 | -0.18 | 0.37 | -0.14 | -0.69 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.95 | -9.57 | 0.93 |
| 17 | 735312 | 0 | 40370 | 0.51 | 0.17 | 0.13 | 0.51 | 0.18 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.40 | -0.21 | -0.21 | 0.40 | -0.10 | -0.07 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.95 | -9.90 | 0.93 |
| 18 | 677992 | 0 | 40370 | 0.39 | 0.12 | 0.39 | 0.29 | 0.19 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.37 | -0.19 | 0.37 | -0.18 | -0.08 | 0.58 | 0.01 | 5.75 | 1.03 | 6.60 | 1.04 |
| 19 | 739693 | 0 | 40370 | 0.50 | 0.21 | 0.13 | 0.50 | 0.16 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.45 | -0.20 | -0.21 | 0.45 | -0.17 | -0.47 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.96 | -9.90 | 0.91 |
| 20 | 739675 | 0 | 40370 | 0.41 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.41 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.40 | -0.18 | -0.21 | -0.09 | 0.40 | 0.15 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.94 | -9.90 | 0.94 |
| 21 | 703519 | 0 | 40370 | 0.56 | 0.15 | 0.56 | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.42 | -0.17 | 0.42 | -0.24 | -0.16 | -0.64 | 0.01 | -8.63 | 0.97 | -9.90 | 0.93 |
| 22 | 721607 | 0 | 40370 | 0.46 | 0.18 | 0.19 | 0.46 | 0.16 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.39 | -0.14 | -0.21 | 0.39 | -0.13 | -0.29 | 0.01 | -4.23 | 0.99 | -1.80 | 0.99 |
| 23 | 721611 | 0 | 40370 | 0.46 | 0.10 | 0.46 | 0.16 | 0.26 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.28 | -0.13 | 0.28 | -0.20 | -0.04 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.06 | 9.90 | 1.09 |
| 24 | 680242 | 0 | 40370 | 0.43 | 0.16 | 0.43 | 0.16 | 0.24 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.37 | -0.21 | 0.37 | -0.24 | -0.02 | -0.11 | 0.01 | -3.69 | 0.99 | -3.65 | 0.98 |
| 25 | 674108 | 0 | 40370 | 0.58 | 0.18 | 0.13 | 0.58 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.35 | -0.18 | -0.20 | 0.35 | -0.10 | -0.35 | 0.01 | -8.14 | 0.97 | -5.78 | 0.96 |
| 26 | 742325 | 0 | 40370 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.34 | 0.21 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.26 | 0.26 | -0.07 | -0.12 | -0.14 | 1.03 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.25 | 9.90 | 1.35 |
| 27 | 635603 | 0 | 40370 | 0.32 | 0.15 | 0.28 | 0.32 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.23 | 0.02 | -0.10 | 0.23 | -0.16 | 0.87 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.15 | 9.90 | 1.24 |
| 28 | 739784 | 0 | 40370 | 0.42 | 0.12 | 0.16 | 0.42 | 0.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.25 | -0.18 | -0.21 | 0.25 | 0.03 | 0.25 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.10 | 9.90 | 1.11 |


| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | $P(A)$ | P(B) | P(C) | P(D) | P(-) | P(*) | ITCorr | Corr(A) | Corr(B) | Corr(C) | Corr(D) | Meas | SEM | z-Infit | MS-Infit | z-Outfit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MS- } \\ & \text { Outfit } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29 | 678888 | 0 | 40370 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.08 | 0.30 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.26 | 0.26 | -0.18 | -0.07 | -0.14 | -0.14 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.07 | 9.90 | 1.13 |
| 30 | 679963 | 0 | 40370 | 0.38 | 0.29 | 0.17 | 0.38 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.30 | -0.10 | -0.14 | 0.30 | -0.12 | 0.44 | 0.01 | 9.66 | 1.04 | 8.98 | 1.05 |
| 31 | 673885 | 0 | 40370 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.18 | 0.29 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 | 0.33 | -0.14 | -0.12 | -0.13 | 0.51 | 0.01 | 2.03 | 1.01 | 5.71 | 1.04 |
| 32 | 713512 | 0 | 40370 | 0.36 | 0.09 | 0.45 | 0.09 | 0.36 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.24 | -0.22 | -0.02 | -0.15 | 0.24 | 0.96 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.27 | 9.90 | 1.37 |
| 33 | 678896 | 0 | 40370 | 0.38 | 0.20 | 0.32 | 0.38 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32 | -0.14 | -0.11 | 0.32 | -0.16 | 0.18 | 0.01 | 0.40 | 1.00 | -0.51 | 1.00 |
| 34 | 611464 | 0 | 40370 | 0.33 | 0.04 | 0.13 | 0.50 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.50 | -0.13 | -0.23 | -0.26 | 0.50 | 0.31 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.82 | -9.90 | 0.80 |
| 35 | 684511 | 0 | 40370 | 0.55 | 0.11 | 0.21 | 0.55 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.39 | -0.15 | -0.20 | 0.39 | -0.20 | -0.57 | 0.01 | -6.07 | 0.98 | -6.72 | 0.95 |
| 36 | 682405 | 0 | 40370 | 0.41 | 0.26 | 0.12 | 0.41 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.34 | -0.15 | -0.19 | 0.34 | -0.09 | 0.39 | 0.01 | 4.47 | 1.02 | 5.28 | 1.03 |
| 37 | 741662 | 0 | 40370 | 0.46 | 0.15 | 0.46 | 0.15 | 0.23 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.39 | -0.17 | 0.39 | -0.23 | -0.10 | -0.01 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.96 | -7.51 | 0.96 |
| 38 | 735092 | 0 | 40370 | 0.58 | 0.07 | 0.27 | 0.58 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.36 | -0.21 | -0.17 | 0.36 | -0.17 | -0.57 | 0.01 | -8.24 | 0.97 | -3.08 | 0.98 |
| 39 | 742294 | 0 | 40370 | 0.65 | 0.08 | 0.65 | 0.11 | 0.16 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.39 | -0.18 | 0.39 | -0.21 | -0.18 | -0.92 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.95 | -9.90 | 0.90 |
| 40 | 681523 | 0 | 40370 | 0.44 | 0.18 | 0.44 | 0.22 | 0.15 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.39 | -0.19 | 0.39 | -0.17 | -0.12 | -0.06 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.96 | -9.90 | 0.94 |
| 41 | 739687 | 0 | 40370 | 0.35 | 0.31 | 0.35 | 0.19 | 0.14 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.18 | -0.03 | 0.18 | -0.12 | -0.04 | 0.78 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.21 | 9.90 | 1.30 |
| 42 | 742292 | 0 | 40370 | 0.45 | 0.27 | 0.11 | 0.45 | 0.16 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.42 | -0.16 | -0.22 | 0.42 | -0.16 | -0.12 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.94 | -9.90 | 0.92 |
| 43 | 610531 | 0 | 40370 | 0.34 | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.34 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.38 | -0.07 | -0.17 | -0.18 | 0.38 | 0.72 | 0.01 | -1.77 | 0.99 | 1.54 | 1.01 |
| 44 | 702152 | 0 | 40370 | 0.45 | 0.18 | 0.45 | 0.21 | 0.16 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.42 | -0.18 | 0.42 | -0.21 | -0.12 | -0.35 | 0.01 | -1.48 | 0.99 | -5.35 | 0.97 |
| 45 | 734729 | 0 | 40370 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.22 | 0.12 | 0.16 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.40 | 0.40 | -0.16 | -0.23 | -0.14 | -0.03 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.95 | -9.90 | 0.94 |
| 46 | 741020 | 0 | 40370 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.17 | 0.35 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.26 | 0.26 | -0.12 | -0.08 | -0.11 | 0.48 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.09 | 9.90 | 1.10 |
| 47 | 642376 | 0 | 40370 | 0.38 | 0.19 | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.38 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.42 | -0.17 | -0.16 | -0.15 | 0.42 | 0.58 | 0.01 | -7.25 | 0.97 | -4.80 | 0.97 |
| 48 | 678976 | 0 | 40370 | 0.48 | 0.18 | 0.15 | 0.17 | 0.48 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.46 | -0.18 | -0.18 | -0.23 | 0.46 | -0.43 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.95 | -9.90 | 0.90 |

Table J-4. Literature Multiple-Choice Item Statistics: Winter

| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | $P(A)$ | P(B) | P(C) | P(D) | P(-) | P(*) | ITCorr | Corr(A) | Corr(B) | Corr(C) | Corr(D) | Meas | SEM | z-Infit | MS-Infit | z-Outfit | $\begin{gathered} \text { MS- } \\ \text { Outfit } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 678064 | 0 | 36078 | 0.46 | 0.27 | 0.46 | 0.21 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.19 | -0.07 | 0.19 | -0.12 | -0.05 | 1.06 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.35 | 9.90 | 1.53 |
| 2 | 682760 | 0 | 36078 | 0.82 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.82 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.30 | -0.11 | -0.18 | 0.30 | -0.21 | -1.29 | 0.01 | -5.65 | 0.96 | 3.44 | 1.06 |
| 3 | 678060 | 0 | 36078 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.09 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.40 | 0.40 | -0.22 | -0.21 | -0.20 | -1.02 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.89 | -9.90 | 0.80 |
| 4 | 678056 | 0 | 36078 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.15 | 0.17 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32 | 0.32 | -0.18 | -0.11 | -0.17 | 0.68 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.18 | 9.90 | 1.24 |
| 5 | 682762 | 0 | 36078 | 0.57 | 0.15 | 0.57 | 0.12 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.42 | -0.28 | 0.42 | -0.17 | -0.15 | 0.51 | 0.01 | 0.45 | 1.00 | 0.79 | 1.01 |
| 6 | 678062 | 0 | 36078 | 0.68 | 0.07 | 0.68 | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.39 | -0.19 | 0.39 | -0.21 | -0.19 | -0.33 | 0.01 | -8.11 | 0.96 | -5.48 | 0.95 |
| 7 | 678061 | 0 | 36078 | 0.41 | 0.25 | 0.19 | 0.15 | 0.41 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.30 | -0.01 | -0.25 | -0.11 | 0.30 | 1.11 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.16 | 9.90 | 1.28 |
| 8 | 678065 | 0 | 36078 | 0.51 | 0.12 | 0.07 | 0.29 | 0.51 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.40 | -0.20 | -0.22 | -0.17 | 0.40 | 0.65 | 0.01 | 6.48 | 1.03 | 7.25 | 1.05 |
| 9 | 678063 | 0 | 36078 | 0.82 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.82 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.41 | -0.23 | -0.25 | 0.41 | -0.19 | -1.28 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.83 | -9.90 | 0.71 |
| 10 | 643240 | 0 | 36078 | 0.74 | 0.11 | 0.74 | 0.11 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.50 | -0.26 | 0.50 | -0.29 | -0.21 | -1.36 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.14 | -0.41 | 0.99 |
| 11 | 643237 | 0 | 36078 | 0.67 | 0.14 | 0.10 | 0.67 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.39 | -0.20 | -0.21 | 0.39 | -0.18 | -0.52 | 0.01 | 2.01 | 1.01 | 2.28 | 1.03 |
| 12 | 643241 | 0 | 36078 | 0.51 | 0.10 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.51 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.43 | -0.23 | -0.24 | -0.11 | 0.43 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 4.63 | 1.02 | 4.28 | 1.03 |
| 13 | 643236 | 0 | 36078 | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.43 | 0.43 | -0.18 | -0.23 | -0.23 | -0.45 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.92 | -9.90 | 0.89 |
| 14 | 643238 | 0 | 36078 | 0.34 | 0.07 | 0.50 | 0.34 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.27 | -0.24 | 0.01 | 0.27 | -0.23 | 1.36 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.15 | 9.90 | 1.34 |
| 15 | 643239 | 0 | 36078 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.20 | 0.19 | 0.16 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.28 | 0.28 | -0.14 | -0.07 | -0.14 | 0.75 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.16 | 9.90 | 1.25 |
| 16 | 643259 | 0 | 36078 | 0.62 | 0.21 | 0.11 | 0.06 | 0.62 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.48 | -0.24 | -0.23 | -0.25 | 0.48 | -0.57 | 0.01 | 5.63 | 1.03 | 2.57 | 1.03 |
| 17 | 643289 | 0 | 36078 | 0.36 | 0.23 | 0.19 | 0.36 | 0.21 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.22 | -0.05 | -0.10 | 0.22 | -0.09 | 1.35 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.26 | 9.90 | 1.47 |
| 18 | 704153 | 0 | 36078 | 0.45 | 0.33 | 0.07 | 0.14 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.37 | -0.14 | -0.25 | -0.15 | 0.37 | 0.49 | 0.01 | 9.54 | 1.04 | 8.88 | 1.07 |
| 19 | 704150 | 0 | 36078 | 0.54 | 0.12 | 0.24 | 0.10 | 0.54 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.40 | -0.20 | -0.13 | -0.26 | 0.40 | 0.34 | 0.01 | 2.73 | 1.01 | 3.86 | 1.03 |
| 20 | 704156 | 0 | 36078 | 0.53 | 0.25 | 0.15 | 0.53 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32 | -0.14 | -0.16 | 0.32 | -0.16 | 0.50 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.11 | 9.90 | 1.14 |
| 21 | 704147 | 0 | 36078 | 0.53 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.53 | 0.34 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.41 | -0.19 | -0.23 | 0.41 | -0.22 | 0.44 | 0.01 | 1.24 | 1.01 | 0.94 | 1.01 |
| 22 | 704157 | 0 | 36078 | 0.74 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.74 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.48 | -0.26 | -0.26 | -0.22 | 0.48 | -0.96 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.90 | -9.90 | 0.80 |
| 23 | 704158 | 0 | 36078 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.13 | 0.25 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 | 0.33 | -0.27 | -0.12 | -0.04 | 0.91 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.11 | 9.90 | 1.21 |
| 24 | 704154 | 0 | 36078 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.37 | 0.13 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 | 0.33 | -0.09 | -0.20 | -0.20 | 1.01 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.11 | 9.90 | 1.22 |
| 25 | 704149 | 0 | 36078 | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.38 | 0.38 | -0.24 | -0.19 | -0.15 | -0.60 | 0.01 | 0.32 | 1.00 | 0.18 | 1.00 |
| 26 | 683439 | 0 | 36078 | 0.43 | 0.25 | 0.17 | 0.14 | 0.43 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.42 | -0.06 | -0.25 | -0.23 | 0.42 | 0.87 | 0.01 | 0.58 | 1.00 | 3.42 | 1.03 |
| 27 | 683441 | 0 | 36078 | 0.47 | 0.24 | 0.47 | 0.19 | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.28 | -0.07 | 0.28 | -0.16 | -0.14 | 0.85 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.19 | 9.90 | 1.28 |
| 28 | 683442 | 0 | 36078 | 0.37 | 0.13 | 0.23 | 0.37 | 0.26 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.28 | -0.19 | -0.05 | 0.28 | -0.10 | 1.45 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.23 | 9.90 | 1.48 |


| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})$ | P(B) | P(C) | P(D) | P(-) | $\mathbf{P}\left({ }^{*}\right)$ | ITCorr | Corr(A) | Corr(B) | Corr(C) | Corr(D) | Meas | SEM | z-Infit | MS-Infit | $z$-Outit | $\begin{gathered} \text { MS- } \\ \text { Outitit } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29 | 683443 | 0 | 36078 | 0.46 | 0.07 | 0.40 | 0.07 | 0.46 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.33 | -0.21 | -0.10 | -0.24 | 0.33 | 0.61 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.09 | 9.90 | 1.17 |
| 30 | 683437 | 0 | 36078 | 0.59 | 0.08 | 0.59 | 0.24 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.44 | -0.26 | 0.44 | -0.18 | -0.21 | -0.21 | 0.01 | 1.29 | 1.01 | 2.49 | 1.02 |
| 31 | 683444 | 0 | 36078 | 0.61 | 0.61 | 0.18 | 0.15 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.44 | 0.44 | -0.23 | -0.19 | -0.21 | -0.28 | 0.01 | -0.18 | 1.00 | -4.53 | 0.96 |
| 32 | 683435 | 0 | 36078 | 0.56 | 0.16 | 0.09 | 0.18 | 0.56 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.43 | -0.11 | -0.24 | -0.26 | 0.43 | -0.03 | 0.01 | -0.91 | 1.00 | 4.48 | 1.04 |
| 33 | 683433 | 0 | 36078 | 0.59 | 0.10 | 0.59 | 0.16 | 0.14 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.36 | -0.25 | 0.36 | -0.15 | -0.11 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 7.51 | 1.04 | 9.90 | 1.11 |
| 34 | 683434 | 0 | 36078 | 0.35 | 0.18 | 0.23 | 0.35 | 0.23 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.16 | -0.10 | -0.03 | 0.16 | -0.04 | 1.69 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.44 | 9.90 | 1.85 |

Table J-5. Algebra I Multiple-Choice Item Statistics: Spring

| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})$ | P(B) | P(C) | P(D) | $\mathrm{P}(-)$ | P(*) | ITCorr | Corr(A) | Corr(B) | Corr(C) | Corr(D) | M/F | W/B | W/H | 0/P | Meas | SEM | z-Infit | MSInfit | z-Outit | $\begin{gathered} \text { MS- } \\ \text { Outitit } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 819876 | 0 | 161851 | 0.73 | 0.07 | 0.11 | 0.73 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.48 | -0.26 | -0.24 | 0.48 | -0.26 |  |  |  |  | -0.53 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.77 | -9.90 | 0.66 |
| 2 | 674460 | 0 | 161851 | 0.58 | 0.13 | 0.58 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.41 | -0.21 | 0.41 | -0.13 | -0.23 |  |  |  |  | 0.06 | 0.01 | 1.24 | 1.01 | -0.78 | 0.99 |
| 3 | 713270 | 0 | 161851 | 0.60 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.29 | 0.60 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.46 | -0.26 | -0.21 | -0.25 | 0.46 |  |  |  |  | -0.07 | 0.01 | -8.68 | 0.95 | -9.15 | 0.90 |
| 4 | 700812 | 0 | 161851 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.23 | 0.14 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.30 | -0.16 | -0.13 | -0.11 |  |  |  |  | 0.41 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.16 | 9.90 | 1.22 |
| 5 | 736722 | 0 | 161851 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.13 | 0.18 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 | 0.33 | -0.17 | -0.15 | -0.13 |  |  |  |  | 0.51 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.10 | 9.90 | 1.12 |
| 6 | 674501 | 0 | 161851 | 0.48 | 0.08 | 0.38 | 0.06 | 0.48 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.55 | -0.29 | -0.30 | -0.21 | 0.55 |  |  |  |  | 0.68 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.86 | -9.90 | 0.83 |
| 7 | 736727 | 0 | 161851 | 0.69 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.19 | 0.69 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.48 | -0.24 | -0.25 | -0.27 | 0.48 |  |  |  |  | -0.52 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.85 | -9.90 | 0.76 |
| 8 | 818906 | 0 | 161851 | 0.67 | 0.19 | 0.67 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.42 | -0.19 | 0.42 | -0.27 | -0.20 |  |  |  |  | -0.21 | 0.01 | -7.31 | 0.95 | -5.52 | 0.93 |
| 9 | 818910 | 0 | 161851 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.11 | 0.16 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.48 | 0.48 | -0.25 | -0.28 | -0.21 |  |  |  |  | -0.31 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.82 | -9.90 | 0.74 |
| 10 | 818265 | 0 | 161851 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.14 | 0.27 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.38 | 0.38 | -0.23 | -0.11 | -0.17 |  |  |  |  | 0.58 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.07 | 9.90 | 1.10 |
| 11 | 817701 | 0 | 161851 | 0.69 | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.69 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.52 | -0.25 | -0.30 | 0.52 | -0.21 |  |  |  |  | -0.61 | 0.02 | -9.90 | 0.84 | -9.90 | 0.71 |
| 12 | 681999 | 0 | 161851 | 0.38 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.38 | 0.23 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.25 | -0.03 | -0.15 | 0.25 | -0.08 |  |  |  |  | 1.16 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.17 | 9.90 | 1.29 |
| 13 | 818258 | 0 | 161851 | 0.47 | 0.21 | 0.08 | 0.47 | 0.22 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.42 | -0.04 | -0.17 | 0.42 | -0.33 |  |  |  |  | 0.61 | 0.01 | 1.29 | 1.01 | 1.98 | 1.02 |
| 14 | 736769 | 0 | 161851 | 0.57 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.57 | 0.17 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.48 | -0.22 | -0.26 | 0.48 | -0.18 |  |  |  |  | -0.28 | 0.01 | 3.90 | 1.03 | -0.05 | 1.00 |
| 15 | 714012 | 0 | 161851 | 0.59 | 0.21 | 0.59 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.32 | -0.06 | 0.32 | -0.24 | -0.18 |  |  |  |  | 0.12 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.13 | 9.90 | 1.18 |
| 16 | 703347 | 0 | 161851 | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.35 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.43 | -0.09 | -0.27 | -0.20 | 0.43 |  |  |  |  | 1.21 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.94 | -1.79 | 0.98 |
| 17 | 700855 | 0 | 161851 | 0.59 | 0.59 | 0.17 | 0.14 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.47 | 0.47 | -0.25 | -0.21 | -0.19 |  |  |  |  | -0.09 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.93 | -6.31 | 0.93 |
| 18 | 700844 | 0 | 161851 | 0.60 | 0.20 | 0.60 | 0.13 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.45 | -0.17 | 0.45 | -0.27 | -0.22 |  |  |  |  | -0.25 | 0.01 | -4.23 | 0.97 | -5.95 | 0.93 |
| 19 | 702537 | 0 | 161851 | 0.63 | 0.19 | 0.63 | 0.07 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.50 | -0.29 | 0.50 | -0.21 | -0.23 |  |  |  |  | -0.27 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.89 | -9.90 | 0.80 |
| 20 | 819215 | 0 | 161851 | 0.59 | 0.59 | 0.16 | 0.20 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.49 | 0.49 | -0.30 | -0.22 | -0.19 |  |  |  |  | 0.04 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.92 | -9.90 | 0.88 |
| 21 | 657752 | 0 | 161851 | 0.66 | 0.09 | 0.66 | 0.09 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.45 | -0.13 | 0.45 | -0.24 | -0.28 |  |  |  |  | -0.47 | 0.01 | -3.02 | 0.98 | -0.52 | 0.99 |
| 22 | 702481 | 0 | 161851 | 0.63 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.17 | 0.63 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 | -0.15 | -0.11 | -0.21 | 0.33 |  |  |  |  | 0.17 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.07 | 6.00 | 1.06 |
| 23 | 818388 | 0 | 161851 | 0.63 | 0.11 | 0.20 | 0.63 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.30 | -0.10 | -0.16 | 0.30 | -0.18 |  |  |  |  | 0.15 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.13 | 9.90 | 1.19 |
| 24 | 820457 | 0 | 161851 | 0.53 | 0.24 | 0.12 | 0.53 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.43 | -0.27 | -0.24 | 0.43 | -0.06 |  |  |  |  | 0.33 | 0.01 | -1.28 | 0.99 | -0.88 | 0.99 |
| 25 | 817736 | 0 | 161851 | 0.47 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.14 | 0.47 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.42 | -0.12 | -0.22 | -0.21 | 0.42 |  |  |  |  | 0.60 | 0.01 | 4.61 | 1.03 | 4.19 | 1.04 |
| 26 | 817160 | 0 | 161851 | 0.57 | 0.57 | 0.13 | 0.12 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.27 | 0.27 | -0.17 | -0.20 | -0.03 |  |  |  |  | 0.37 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.16 | 9.90 | 1.17 |
| 27 | 818256 | 0 | 161851 | 0.80 | 0.10 | 0.80 | 0.08 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.38 | -0.26 | 0.38 | -0.18 | -0.15 |  |  |  |  | -1.24 | 0.02 | -5.68 | 0.94 | 0.47 | 1.01 |
| 28 | 704003 | 0 | 161851 | 0.74 | 0.74 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.46 | 0.46 | -0.25 | -0.24 | -0.21 |  |  |  |  | -0.79 | 0.02 | -9.90 | 0.81 | -9.90 | 0.75 |


| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | $P(A)$ | P(B) | P(C) | P(D) | P(-) | P(*) | ITCorr | Corr(A) | Corr(B) | Corr(C) | Corr(D) | M/F | W/B | W/H | 0/P | Meas | SEM | z-Infit | MS- <br> Infit | z-Outfit | $\begin{gathered} \text { MS- } \\ \text { Outfit } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29 | 724133 | 0 | 161851 | 0.49 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.49 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.55 | -0.18 | -0.28 | -0.25 | 0.55 |  |  |  |  | 0.29 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.89 | -9.90 | 0.86 |
| 30 | 712985 | 0 | 161851 | 0.52 | 0.25 | 0.13 | 0.09 | 0.52 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.51 | -0.27 | -0.17 | -0.24 | 0.51 |  |  |  |  | 0.23 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.89 | -9.90 | 0.83 |
| 31 | 703976 | 0 | 161851 | 0.58 | 0.10 | 0.13 | 0.58 | 0.19 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.48 | -0.19 | -0.25 | 0.48 | -0.22 |  |  |  |  | 0.19 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.91 | -9.90 | 0.87 |
| 32 | 678738 | 0 | 161851 | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.19 | 0.23 | 0.16 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.25 | -0.15 | -0.05 | -0.09 |  |  |  |  | 0.67 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.25 | 9.90 | 1.37 |
| 33 | 702528 | 0 | 161851 | 0.61 | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.61 | 0.12 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.38 | -0.25 | -0.19 | 0.38 | -0.09 |  |  |  |  | -0.16 | 0.01 | 9.62 | 1.06 | 9.90 | 1.19 |
| 34 | 605117 | 0 | 161851 | 0.48 | 0.24 | 0.12 | 0.48 | 0.15 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.40 | -0.17 | -0.19 | 0.40 | -0.15 |  |  |  |  | 0.44 | 0.01 | 8.31 | 1.05 | 4.46 | 1.04 |
| 35 | 817164 | 0 | 161851 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.19 | 0.31 | 0.17 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.36 | 0.36 | -0.19 | -0.16 | -0.02 |  |  |  |  | 1.46 | 0.01 | 0.51 | 1.00 | 9.90 | 1.12 |
| 36 | 816628 | 0 | 161851 | 0.59 | 0.25 | 0.59 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.48 | -0.23 | 0.48 | -0.27 | -0.22 |  |  |  |  | 0.10 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.90 | -9.90 | 0.87 |
| 37 | 897696 | 1 | 5899 | 0.70 | 0.16 | 0.09 | 0.70 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.52 | -0.24 | -0.35 | 0.52 | -0.24 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A+ | -0.33 | 0.06 | -3.42 | 0.90 | -3.13 | 0.82 |
| 38 | 897700 | 1 | 5899 | 0.82 | 0.08 | 0.82 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.44 | -0.23 | 0.44 | -0.25 | -0.24 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | -1.15 | 0.08 | -3.09 | 0.87 | -1.67 | 0.84 |
| 39 | 895182 | 1 | 5899 | 0.42 | 0.33 | 0.16 | 0.42 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.05 | -0.15 | 0.17 | -0.18 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 1.24 | 0.06 | 9.90 | 1.34 | 9.90 | 1.54 |
| 40 | 818277 | 1 | 5899 | 0.35 | 0.11 | 0.35 | 0.43 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.42 | 0.06 | 0.42 | -0.29 | -0.25 | B- | A- | A- | A+ | 1.61 | 0.06 | -0.04 | 1.00 | 1.40 | 1.06 |
| 41 | 906335 | 1 | 5899 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.27 | 0.16 | 0.27 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.06 | -0.24 | -0.25 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A+ | 1.88 | 0.06 | -0.41 | 0.99 | 3.95 | 1.22 |
| 42 | 819885 | 1 | 5899 | 0.69 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.69 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.43 | -0.24 | -0.27 | 0.43 | -0.16 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | -0.26 | 0.06 | -0.27 | 0.99 | -0.92 | 0.95 |
| 43 | 895975 | 1 | 5899 | 0.50 | 0.25 | 0.13 | 0.50 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 0.01 | -0.25 | 0.29 | -0.19 | A+ | A+ | A- | A- | 0.79 | 0.06 | 8.09 | 1.21 | 7.02 | 1.29 |
| 44 | 905145 | 1 | 5899 | 0.63 | 0.08 | 0.24 | 0.05 | 0.63 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.51 | -0.29 | -0.26 | -0.25 | 0.51 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | 0.10 | 0.06 | -3.18 | 0.92 | -2.87 | 0.87 |
| 45 | 905146 | 1 | 5899 | 0.91 | 0.03 | 0.91 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.41 | -0.23 | 0.41 | -0.23 | -0.21 | A+ | A- | A- | B+ | -2.09 | 0.10 | -2.57 | 0.83 | -3.95 | 0.50 |
| 46 | 900256 | 1 | 5899 | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.11 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 0.50 | -0.15 | -0.28 | -0.28 | A- | A+ | A+ | A+ | 0.16 | 0.06 | -2.58 | 0.93 | -1.76 | 0.92 |
| 47 | 871092 | 2 | 5814 | 0.36 | 0.06 | 0.29 | 0.36 | 0.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.35 | -0.24 | -0.17 | 0.35 | -0.07 | B- | A- | A- | A+ | 1.45 | 0.06 | 2.29 | 1.06 | 4.36 | 1.20 |
| 48 | 895980 | 2 | 5814 | 0.55 | 0.09 | 0.55 | 0.11 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.45 | -0.20 | 0.45 | -0.28 | -0.19 | A- | A+ | A+ | A+ | 0.47 | 0.06 | -0.48 | 0.99 | -0.48 | 0.98 |
| 49 | 906331 | 2 | 5814 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.21 | 0.10 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.37 | 0.37 | -0.14 | -0.24 | -0.22 | A+ | A- | A+ | A- | -0.06 | 0.06 | 0.89 | 1.02 | 0.46 | 1.02 |
| 50 | 736779 | 2 | 5814 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.21 | 0.30 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.26 | 0.26 | -0.15 | -0.04 | -0.14 | A- | A+ | A- | A+ | 1.33 | 0.06 | 7.42 | 1.21 | 8.06 | 1.36 |
| 51 | 896399 | 2 | 5814 | 0.71 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.71 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.44 | -0.22 | -0.13 | 0.44 | -0.31 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | -0.39 | 0.06 | -0.96 | 0.97 | -0.27 | 0.98 |
| 52 | 818914 | 2 | 5814 | 0.67 | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.67 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.54 | -0.29 | -0.29 | 0.54 | -0.23 | A- | A- | A+ | A+ | -0.18 | 0.06 | -6.18 | 0.84 | -5.59 | 0.74 |
| 53 | 818270 | 2 | 5814 | 0.31 | 0.22 | 0.08 | 0.39 | 0.31 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.24 | -0.25 | -0.19 | 0.09 | 0.24 | A- | A- | A+ | A- | 1.74 | 0.06 | 4.22 | 1.13 | 5.66 | 1.31 |
| 54 | 906382 | 2 | 5814 | 0.24 | 0.13 | 0.17 | 0.24 | 0.46 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.18 | -0.18 | -0.13 | 0.18 | 0.08 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A+ | 2.20 | 0.07 | 5.31 | 1.20 | 9.49 | 1.77 |
| 55 | 819223 | 2 | 5814 | 0.66 | 0.23 | 0.66 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.50 | -0.29 | 0.50 | -0.26 | -0.24 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A+ | -0.12 | 0.06 | -4.08 | 0.89 | -4.53 | 0.80 |
| 56 | 895188 | 2 | 5814 | 0.66 | 0.14 | 0.66 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 | -0.14 | 0.33 | -0.22 | -0.14 | A+ | A- | A- | B+ | -0.13 | 0.06 | 3.93 | 1.11 | 2.01 | 1.10 |
| 57 | 819218 | 3 | 5780 | 0.40 | 0.18 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.44 | -0.19 | -0.24 | -0.11 | 0.44 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | 1.29 | 0.06 | -0.81 | 0.98 | -0.49 | 0.98 |


| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | $P(A)$ | P(B) | P(C) | P(D) | P(-) | P(*) | ITCorr | Corr(A) | Corr(B) | Corr(C) | Corr(D) | M/F | W/B | W/H | 0/P | Meas | SEM | z-Infit | MS- <br> Infit | z-Outfit | $\begin{gathered} \text { MS- } \\ \text { Outfit } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 58 | 818909 | 3 | 5780 | 0.72 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.13 | 0.72 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.46 | -0.26 | -0.20 | -0.25 | 0.46 | A+ | A- | A+ | A+ | -0.47 | 0.07 | -2.48 | 0.92 | -3.10 | 0.82 |
| 59 | 712002 | 3 | 5780 | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.13 | 0.19 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32 | 0.32 | -0.18 | -0.13 | -0.18 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | 0.13 | 0.06 | 6.06 | 1.17 | 3.84 | 1.18 |
| 60 | 896220 | 3 | 5780 | 0.76 | 0.04 | 0.11 | 0.76 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.40 | -0.19 | -0.22 | 0.40 | -0.23 | A- | A+ | A+ | A+ | -0.70 | 0.07 | 0.43 | 1.01 | 1.44 | 1.10 |
| 61 | 818772 | 3 | 5780 | 0.61 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.61 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.47 | -0.27 | -0.16 | 0.47 | -0.25 | A+ | A- | A+ | A+ | 0.19 | 0.06 | -0.81 | 0.98 | -1.05 | 0.95 |
| 62 | 819213 | 3 | 5780 | 0.58 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.18 | 0.58 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.52 | -0.24 | -0.30 | -0.21 | 0.52 | A+ | A- | A+ | A+ | 0.31 | 0.06 | -3.01 | 0.92 | -3.07 | 0.88 |
| 63 | 896433 | 3 | 5780 | 0.34 | 0.21 | 0.34 | 0.09 | 0.36 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.26 | -0.23 | 0.26 | -0.19 | 0.06 | A- | A- | A+ | A+ | 1.63 | 0.06 | 5.14 | 1.15 | 8.64 | 1.48 |
| 64 | 871099 | 3 | 5780 | 0.46 | 0.16 | 0.22 | 0.46 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 | -0.15 | -0.22 | 0.33 | -0.05 | A- | A- | A+ | A+ | 0.95 | 0.06 | 4.91 | 1.13 | 4.73 | 1.18 |
| 65 | 896426 | 3 | 5780 | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.57 | 0.57 | -0.28 | -0.22 | -0.36 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | -0.50 | 0.07 | -7.44 | 0.78 | -6.71 | 0.63 |
| 66 | 901560 | 3 | 5780 | 0.53 | 0.12 | 0.53 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.41 | -0.19 | 0.41 | -0.16 | -0.21 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A- | 0.62 | 0.06 | 3.09 | 1.08 | 3.10 | 1.12 |
| 67 | 902452 | 4 | 5792 | 0.73 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.15 | 0.73 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.49 | -0.24 | -0.30 | -0.25 | 0.49 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | -0.56 | 0.07 | -2.82 | 0.91 | -2.56 | 0.84 |
| 68 | 896215 | 4 | 5792 | 0.64 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.64 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.45 | -0.26 | -0.17 | 0.45 | -0.25 | A- | A- | A- | A- | -0.05 | 0.06 | -0.26 | 0.99 | -0.68 | 0.97 |
| 69 | 895183 | 4 | 5792 | 0.75 | 0.04 | 0.16 | 0.75 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.43 | -0.18 | -0.25 | 0.43 | -0.25 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A+ | -0.66 | 0.07 | -1.37 | 0.95 | -0.26 | 0.98 |
| 70 | 819880 | 4 | 5792 | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.41 | 0.41 | -0.15 | -0.20 | -0.15 | A+ | A+ | A- | A- | 1.21 | 0.06 | 0.53 | 1.01 | 3.26 | 1.13 |
| 71 | 895728 | 4 | 5792 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.13 | 0.04 | 0.31 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 0.50 | -0.27 | -0.20 | -0.25 | A+ | A- | A+ | A+ | 0.65 | 0.06 | -2.25 | 0.95 | -2.20 | 0.92 |
| 72 | 906336 | 4 | 5792 | 0.25 | 0.54 | 0.16 | 0.25 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.19 | 0.11 | -0.26 | 0.19 | -0.19 | A- | A+ | A+ | A- | 2.13 | 0.07 | 4.67 | 1.17 | 8.90 | 1.69 |
| 73 | 905149 | 4 | 5792 | 0.73 | 0.10 | 0.73 | 0.13 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.41 | -0.23 | 0.41 | -0.24 | -0.18 | A- | B- | A- | A+ | -0.56 | 0.07 | -0.85 | 0.97 | -0.16 | 0.99 |
| 74 | 892865 | 4 | 5792 | 0.62 | 0.16 | 0.62 | 0.06 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.53 | -0.34 | 0.53 | -0.22 | -0.21 | A- | A- | A- | B+ | 0.06 | 0.06 | -4.41 | 0.89 | -4.44 | 0.81 |
| 75 | 817708 | 4 | 5792 | 0.47 | 0.33 | 0.13 | 0.07 | 0.47 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.37 | -0.13 | -0.21 | -0.20 | 0.37 | B- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.86 | 0.06 | 4.66 | 1.12 | 5.32 | 1.20 |
| 76 | 895159 | 4 | 5792 | 0.59 | 0.59 | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.49 | 0.49 | -0.26 | -0.27 | -0.17 | A- | A+ | A+ | A+ | 0.27 | 0.06 | -2.13 | 0.95 | -2.37 | 0.91 |
| 77 | 903108 | 5 | 5818 | 0.42 | 0.12 | 0.35 | 0.42 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.19 | -0.20 | 0.10 | 0.19 | -0.26 | A- | A+ | A+ | A+ | 1.12 | 0.06 | 9.90 | 1.30 | 9.90 | 1.44 |
| 78 | 895727 | 5 | 5818 | 0.28 | 0.29 | 0.32 | 0.28 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.20 | -0.17 | -0.03 | 0.20 | 0.00 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 1.92 | 0.07 | 5.05 | 1.17 | 5.84 | 1.35 |
| 79 | 896424 | 5 | 5818 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.34 | 0.07 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.40 | 0.40 | -0.13 | -0.27 | -0.22 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.80 | 0.06 | -0.89 | 0.98 | -1.01 | 0.96 |
| 80 | 799609 | 5 | 5818 | 0.40 | 0.21 | 0.40 | 0.14 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 | -0.08 | 0.33 | -0.20 | -0.13 | A+ | A+ | A- | A- | 1.22 | 0.06 | 5.34 | 1.14 | 5.08 | 1.20 |
| 81 | 712005 | 5 | 5818 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.36 | 0.29 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.04 | -0.29 | -0.12 | A+ | A- | A+ | A+ | 1.97 | 0.07 | -0.02 | 1.00 | 4.98 | 1.31 |
| 82 | 893941 | 5 | 5818 | 0.36 | 0.15 | 0.26 | 0.36 | 0.23 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.07 | -0.05 | -0.01 | -0.07 | 0.14 | A+ | A+ | A- | A+ | 1.47 | 0.06 | 9.90 | 1.64 | 9.90 | 1.97 |
| 83 | 893946 | 5 | 5818 | 0.27 | 0.09 | 0.27 | 0.54 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.35 | -0.20 | 0.35 | -0.12 | -0.12 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A+ | 1.97 | 0.07 | -1.30 | 0.96 | 4.09 | 1.25 |
| 84 | 896146 | 5 | 5818 | 0.83 | 0.05 | 0.83 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.40 | -0.27 | 0.40 | -0.15 | -0.23 | A+ | B- | A- | A+ | -1.23 | 0.08 | -3.28 | 0.86 | -1.01 | 0.90 |
| 85 | 896883 | 5 | 5818 | 0.46 | 0.25 | 0.46 | 0.13 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.38 | -0.30 | 0.38 | -0.21 | 0.04 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.91 | 0.06 | 2.93 | 1.07 | 2.90 | 1.10 |
| 86 | 820052 | 5 | 5818 | 0.63 | 0.16 | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.63 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.43 | -0.15 | -0.21 | -0.28 | 0.43 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | 0.05 | 0.06 | -0.19 | 0.99 | 1.15 | 1.05 |


| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | $P(A)$ | P(B) | P(C) | P(D) | $\mathrm{P}(-)$ | P(*) | ITCorr | Corr(A) | Corr(B) | Corr(C) | Corr(D) | M/F | W/B | W/H | 0/P | Meas | SEM | z-Infit | MS- <br> Infit | z-Outfit | MS- <br> Outit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 87 | 736791 | 6 | 5781 | 0.33 | 0.19 | 0.39 | 0.33 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.35 | -0.20 | -0.16 | 0.35 | -0.03 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 1.67 | 0.06 | 1.86 | 1.06 | 3.80 | 1.20 |
| 88 | 900008 | 6 | 5781 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.39 | 0.39 | -0.14 | -0.18 | -0.23 | A+ | A+ | A- | A- | 0.75 | 0.06 | 3.39 | 1.08 | 3.37 | 1.13 |
| 89 | 895156 | 6 | 5781 | 0.69 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.69 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.34 | -0.23 | -0.26 | 0.34 | -0.08 | A- | A- | A- | A- | -0.30 | 0.06 | 2.15 | 1.06 | 3.43 | 1.20 |
| 90 | 736797 | 6 | 5781 | 0.45 | 0.16 | 0.14 | 0.25 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.43 | -0.08 | -0.24 | -0.24 | 0.43 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A- | 1.01 | 0.06 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 0.99 | 1.04 |
| 91 | 895977 | 6 | 5781 | 0.61 | 0.13 | 0.61 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.52 | -0.25 | 0.52 | -0.26 | -0.25 | A- | A- | B- | B+ | 0.15 | 0.06 | -4.71 | 0.88 | -3.72 | 0.85 |
| 92 | 818269 | 6 | 5781 | 0.75 | 0.13 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.44 | -0.27 | -0.22 | -0.20 | 0.44 | A+ | A- | A+ | A+ | -0.65 | 0.07 | -2.69 | 0.91 | -1.09 | 0.93 |
| 93 | 895387 | 6 | 5781 | 0.50 | 0.11 | 0.50 | 0.17 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.45 | -0.13 | 0.45 | -0.25 | -0.21 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.73 | 0.06 | 0.39 | 1.01 | 0.57 | 1.02 |
| 94 | 895388 | 6 | 5781 | 0.46 | 0.16 | 0.28 | 0.46 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.37 | -0.10 | -0.15 | 0.37 | -0.27 | B- | A- | A- | A- | 0.93 | 0.06 | 4.31 | 1.11 | 4.72 | 1.18 |
| 95 | 816630 | 6 | 5781 | 0.26 | 0.13 | 0.26 | 0.17 | 0.44 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.29 | -0.03 | 0.29 | -0.12 | -0.14 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 2.07 | 0.07 | 1.46 | 1.05 | 4.98 | 1.34 |
| 96 | 816453 | 6 | 5781 | 0.63 | 0.20 | 0.63 | 0.12 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.46 | -0.27 | 0.46 | -0.23 | -0.17 | A+ | A- | A+ | A+ | 0.03 | 0.06 | -2.11 | 0.94 | -1.80 | 0.92 |
| 97 | 696823 | 7 | 5741 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.17 | 0.20 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 | 0.33 | -0.28 | -0.19 | 0.04 | A- | A+ | A+ | A+ | 1.02 | 0.06 | 4.48 | 1.12 | 4.47 | 1.18 |
| 98 | 898184 | 7 | 5741 | 0.57 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.57 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.36 | -0.25 | -0.28 | 0.36 | -0.13 | A- | A- | A+ | A- | 0.41 | 0.06 | 5.41 | 1.14 | 3.87 | 1.18 |
| 99 | 871090 | 7 | 5741 | 0.66 | 0.20 | 0.07 | 0.66 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.39 | -0.16 | -0.28 | 0.39 | -0.20 | A- | A- | A- | A- | -0.08 | 0.06 | 2.94 | 1.08 | 2.73 | 1.16 |
| 100 | 819206 | 7 | 5741 | 0.77 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.77 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.46 | -0.26 | -0.25 | -0.23 | 0.46 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A+ | -0.78 | 0.07 | -2.66 | 0.91 | -1.90 | 0.85 |
| 101 | 896218 | 7 | 5741 | 0.62 | 0.07 | 0.11 | 0.19 | 0.62 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.50 | -0.20 | -0.26 | -0.27 | 0.50 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.16 | 0.06 | -1.68 | 0.96 | -1.19 | 0.94 |
| 102 | 896434 | 7 | 5741 | 0.89 | 0.06 | 0.89 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.38 | -0.23 | 0.38 | -0.21 | -0.19 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | -1.82 | 0.09 | -1.58 | 0.90 | -2.00 | 0.75 |
| 103 | 713812 | 7 | 5741 | 0.59 | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.21 | 0.59 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.39 | -0.25 | -0.30 | -0.06 | 0.39 | A+ | A+ | A- | A+ | 0.33 | 0.06 | 3.98 | 1.11 | 3.66 | 1.17 |
| 104 | 818792 | 7 | 5741 | 0.62 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.62 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.40 | -0.20 | -0.11 | 0.40 | -0.25 | A+ | A- | A+ | A+ | 0.16 | 0.06 | 1.30 | 1.03 | 0.99 | 1.05 |
| 105 | 905147 | 7 | 5741 | 0.54 | 0.13 | 0.20 | 0.54 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.45 | -0.28 | -0.14 | 0.45 | -0.21 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.55 | 0.06 | 0.67 | 1.02 | 0.28 | 1.01 |
| 106 | 898185 | 7 | 5741 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.11 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.55 | 0.55 | -0.21 | -0.32 | -0.26 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A- | 0.23 | 0.06 | -5.13 | 0.87 | -4.44 | 0.81 |
| 107 | 892769 | 8 | 5724 | 0.57 | 0.09 | 0.57 | 0.25 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32 | -0.16 | 0.32 | -0.11 | -0.21 | A+ | A+ | A- | A- | 0.41 | 0.06 | 4.89 | 1.12 | 3.58 | 1.14 |
| 108 | 897697 | 8 | 5724 | 0.87 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.87 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.47 | -0.24 | -0.29 | 0.47 | -0.24 | A+ | A- | A+ | A+ | -1.53 | 0.08 | -2.64 | 0.86 | -3.52 | 0.66 |
| 109 | 893947 | 8 | 5724 | 0.39 | 0.38 | 0.14 | 0.39 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.35 | -0.07 | -0.26 | 0.35 | -0.17 | B+ | A+ | A- | A+ | 1.33 | 0.06 | 2.89 | 1.08 | 3.63 | 1.15 |
| 110 | 818282 | 8 | 5724 | 0.69 | 0.09 | 0.69 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.47 | -0.17 | 0.47 | -0.29 | -0.24 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | -0.24 | 0.06 | -2.20 | 0.94 | -2.19 | 0.89 |
| 111 | 896216 | 8 | 5724 | 0.57 | 0.57 | 0.09 | 0.27 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32 | 0.32 | -0.15 | -0.17 | -0.14 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 0.40 | 0.06 | 6.29 | 1.16 | 5.73 | 1.23 |
| 112 | 902853 | 8 | 5724 | 0.57 | 0.10 | 0.57 | 0.22 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.49 | -0.18 | 0.49 | -0.25 | -0.28 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 0.39 | 0.06 | -1.62 | 0.96 | -0.75 | 0.97 |
| 113 | 819087 | 8 | 5724 | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.11 | 0.06 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.35 | 0.35 | -0.32 | -0.27 | -0.01 | A- | A- | A+ | A+ | 0.13 | 0.06 | 3.01 | 1.08 | 2.32 | 1.10 |
| 114 | 893942 | 8 | 5724 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.59 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.19 | 0.19 | -0.14 | 0.10 | -0.17 | B- | A- | A- | A- | 3.17 | 0.09 | 0.76 | 1.04 | 8.33 | 2.26 |
| 115 | 702489 | 8 | 5724 | 0.52 | 0.07 | 0.33 | 0.52 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.17 | -0.30 | 0.06 | 0.17 | -0.11 | A- | A- | A+ | A- | 0.66 | 0.06 | 9.90 | 1.30 | 9.90 | 1.39 |


| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | $P(A)$ | P(B) | P(C) | P(D) | $\mathrm{P}(-)$ | P(*) | ITCorr | Corr(A) | Corr(B) | Corr(C) | Corr(D) | M/F | W/B | W/H | 0/P | Meas | SEM | z-Infit | MS- <br> Infit | z-Outfit | MS- <br> Outit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 116 | 817737 | 8 | 5724 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.13 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.37 | 0.37 | -0.15 | -0.25 | -0.23 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | -0.80 | 0.07 | -1.02 | 0.96 | 1.34 | 1.10 |
| 117 | 819089 | 9 | 5748 | 0.64 | 0.19 | 0.11 | 0.64 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.36 | -0.14 | -0.24 | 0.36 | -0.17 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | -0.02 | 0.06 | 3.22 | 1.09 | 1.61 | 1.08 |
| 118 | 902451 | 9 | 5748 | 0.74 | 0.05 | 0.74 | 0.05 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.43 | -0.28 | 0.43 | -0.26 | -0.19 | A- | A- | A+ | A- | -0.61 | 0.07 | -1.09 | 0.96 | 1.49 | 1.10 |
| 119 | 817706 | 9 | 5748 | 0.71 | 0.09 | 0.16 | 0.71 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.49 | -0.20 | -0.32 | 0.49 | -0.23 | A+ | A+ | A- | A+ | -0.39 | 0.07 | -2.50 | 0.92 | -2.84 | 0.84 |
| 120 | 700867 | 9 | 5748 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.13 | 0.19 | 0.39 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.11 | -0.10 | -0.06 | 0.02 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 1.93 | 0.06 | 6.68 | 1.22 | 9.66 | 1.64 |
| 121 | 893948 | 9 | 5748 | 0.50 | 0.18 | 0.50 | 0.12 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 | -0.16 | 0.33 | -0.21 | -0.08 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | 0.75 | 0.06 | 6.80 | 1.17 | 6.21 | 1.24 |
| 122 | 819211 | 9 | 5748 | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.15 | 0.06 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.51 | 0.51 | -0.26 | -0.26 | -0.26 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A- | -0.24 | 0.06 | -2.72 | 0.92 | -3.20 | 0.83 |
| 123 | 819886 | 9 | 5748 | 0.49 | 0.10 | 0.49 | 0.17 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.30 | -0.25 | 0.30 | -0.23 | 0.03 | A- | A+ | A- | A- | 0.78 | 0.06 | 6.11 | 1.16 | 5.82 | 1.23 |
| 124 | 901562 | 9 | 5748 | 0.70 | 0.09 | 0.70 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.51 | -0.23 | 0.51 | -0.29 | -0.26 | A- | A+ | A+ | A+ | -0.37 | 0.06 | -4.12 | 0.88 | -4.23 | 0.77 |
| 125 | 819080 | 9 | 5748 | 0.29 | 0.38 | 0.05 | 0.29 | 0.27 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.21 | -0.16 | -0.26 | 0.21 | 0.10 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 1.87 | 0.06 | 5.79 | 1.18 | 8.66 | 1.54 |
| 126 | 900011 | 9 | 5748 | 0.38 | 0.30 | 0.20 | 0.38 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.28 | 0.00 | -0.21 | 0.28 | -0.16 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 1.33 | 0.06 | 4.58 | 1.12 | 7.00 | 1.31 |
| 127 | 700795 | 10 | 5780 | 0.63 | 0.06 | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.63 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.46 | -0.23 | -0.26 | -0.21 | 0.46 | A+ | A- | A+ | A+ | 0.07 | 0.06 | -2.06 | 0.95 | -2.52 | 0.88 |
| 128 | 818285 | 10 | 5780 | 0.45 | 0.11 | 0.20 | 0.45 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32 | -0.25 | -0.13 | 0.32 | -0.06 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A+ | 1.00 | 0.06 | 4.98 | 1.13 | 4.19 | 1.18 |
| 129 | 799607 | 10 | 5780 | 0.46 | 0.18 | 0.15 | 0.21 | 0.46 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 | -0.08 | -0.20 | -0.15 | 0.33 | A- | A- | A+ | A+ | 0.94 | 0.06 | 3.13 | 1.08 | 2.77 | 1.11 |
| 130 | 900007 | 10 | 5780 | 0.87 | 0.04 | 0.87 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.44 | -0.24 | 0.44 | -0.27 | -0.22 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | -1.58 | 0.08 | -4.74 | 0.77 | -4.83 | 0.53 |
| 131 | 818773 | 10 | 5780 | 0.37 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.34 | 0.37 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.31 | -0.20 | -0.10 | -0.09 | 0.31 | A+ | A+ | A- | A+ | 1.45 | 0.06 | 2.55 | 1.07 | 5.40 | 1.26 |
| 132 | 902852 | 10 | 5780 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.49 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.00 | -0.23 | -0.27 | A- | A+ | A+ | A+ | 1.71 | 0.06 | 4.44 | 1.13 | 7.47 | 1.42 |
| 133 | 897702 | 10 | 5780 | 0.68 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.22 | 0.68 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.43 | -0.23 | -0.28 | -0.21 | 0.43 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | -0.18 | 0.06 | -1.07 | 0.97 | -0.71 | 0.96 |
| 134 | 816454 | 10 | 5780 | 0.29 | 0.20 | 0.31 | 0.29 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 | -0.26 | 0.16 | 0.06 | 0.00 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 1.88 | 0.06 | 9.90 | 1.36 | 9.90 | 1.76 |
| 135 | 906387 | 10 | 5780 | 0.42 | 0.19 | 0.42 | 0.17 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.24 | -0.20 | 0.24 | -0.23 | 0.12 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 1.14 | 0.06 | 7.88 | 1.21 | 7.77 | 1.35 |
| 136 | 893943 | 10 | 5780 | 0.58 | 0.20 | 0.58 | 0.16 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.51 | -0.16 | 0.51 | -0.34 | -0.27 | B- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.31 | 0.06 | -2.98 | 0.93 | -2.82 | 0.88 |
| 137 | 871093 | 11 | 5727 | 0.45 | 0.07 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.17 | -0.15 | 0.01 | 0.17 | -0.25 | A- | A+ | A- | A- | 1.01 | 0.06 | 9.90 | 1.33 | 9.90 | 1.45 |
| 138 | 893949 | 11 | 5727 | 0.43 | 0.09 | 0.28 | 0.20 | 0.43 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32 | -0.11 | -0.18 | -0.13 | 0.32 | A+ | A+ | A- | A- | 1.13 | 0.06 | 3.54 | 1.09 | 3.16 | 1.12 |
| 139 | 700809 | 11 | 5727 | 0.32 | 0.36 | 0.32 | 0.17 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.15 | -0.01 | 0.15 | -0.11 | -0.07 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A+ | 1.71 | 0.06 | 8.71 | 1.27 | 9.85 | 1.55 |
| 140 | 895394 | 11 | 5727 | 0.76 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.76 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.49 | -0.27 | -0.27 | 0.49 | -0.25 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | -0.69 | 0.07 | -2.97 | 0.90 | -2.18 | 0.85 |
| 141 | 903109 | 11 | 5727 | 0.45 | 0.10 | 0.39 | 0.06 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.51 | -0.31 | -0.25 | -0.15 | 0.51 | A- | A+ | A+ | A+ | 1.02 | 0.06 | -5.14 | 0.88 | -3.74 | 0.87 |
| 142 | 895157 | 11 | 5727 | 0.45 | 0.13 | 0.30 | 0.45 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.43 | -0.23 | -0.11 | 0.43 | -0.25 | A+ | A- | A+ | A- | 1.02 | 0.06 | -1.11 | 0.97 | -0.14 | 0.99 |
| 143 | 898187 | 11 | 5727 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.07 | 0.44 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.22 | 0.22 | -0.27 | 0.00 | -0.19 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 1.00 | 0.06 | 9.72 | 1.26 | 8.58 | 1.34 |
| 144 | 896404 | 11 | 5727 | 0.53 | 0.10 | 0.53 | 0.26 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.36 | -0.12 | 0.36 | -0.23 | -0.14 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | 0.61 | 0.06 | 2.93 | 1.07 | 3.09 | 1.12 |


| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | $P(A)$ | P(B) | P(C) | P(D) | $\mathrm{P}(-)$ | P(*) | ITCorr | Corr(A) | Corr(B) | Corr(C) | Corr(D) | M/F | W/B | W/H | 0/P | Meas | SEM | z-Infit | MS- <br> Infit | z-Outfit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MS- } \\ & \text { Outfit } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 145 | 819214 | 11 | 5727 | 0.74 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.74 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.52 | -0.30 | -0.32 | 0.52 | -0.19 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | -0.59 | 0.07 | -5.01 | 0.84 | -3.89 | 0.76 |
| 146 | 818796 | 11 | 5727 | 0.47 | 0.31 | 0.14 | 0.47 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.53 | -0.30 | -0.26 | 0.53 | -0.13 | B- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.88 | 0.06 | -4.17 | 0.90 | -2.59 | 0.91 |
| 147 | 817158 | 12 | 5757 | 0.68 | 0.09 | 0.17 | 0.68 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.44 | -0.25 | -0.20 | 0.44 | -0.25 | A+ | A- | A+ | A+ | -0.21 | 0.06 | -2.48 | 0.93 | -2.20 | 0.88 |
| 148 | 820046 | 12 | 5757 | 0.43 | 0.11 | 0.18 | 0.43 | 0.27 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.22 | -0.09 | -0.17 | 0.22 | -0.03 | A+ | A- | A+ | A+ | 1.14 | 0.06 | 9.90 | 1.32 | 9.90 | 1.51 |
| 149 | 903110 | 12 | 5757 | 0.70 | 0.08 | 0.70 | 0.06 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.43 | -0.19 | 0.43 | -0.26 | -0.23 | A+ | A- | A+ | A+ | -0.30 | 0.06 | -0.25 | 0.99 | -0.50 | 0.97 |
| 150 | 905139 | 12 | 5757 | 0.66 | 0.09 | 0.66 | 0.23 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.45 | -0.17 | 0.45 | -0.30 | -0.21 | A+ | A- | A+ | A+ | -0.07 | 0.06 | -0.81 | 0.98 | -0.64 | 0.97 |
| 151 | 818257 | 12 | 5757 | 0.38 | 0.27 | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.38 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.44 | -0.22 | -0.18 | -0.12 | 0.44 | A+ | A+ | A- | A- | 1.38 | 0.06 | -2.24 | 0.94 | -0.96 | 0.96 |
| 152 | 895160 | 12 | 5757 | 0.58 | 0.24 | 0.07 | 0.58 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.44 | -0.15 | -0.25 | 0.44 | -0.29 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.32 | 0.06 | -0.15 | 1.00 | -0.56 | 0.98 |
| 153 | 906391 | 12 | 5757 | 0.54 | 0.07 | 0.17 | 0.54 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.54 | -0.19 | -0.24 | 0.54 | -0.30 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.56 | 0.06 | -5.02 | 0.88 | -4.23 | 0.85 |
| 154 | 892935 | 12 | 5757 | 0.38 | 0.19 | 0.38 | 0.32 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.21 | -0.20 | 0.21 | 0.05 | -0.15 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A+ | 1.36 | 0.06 | 9.90 | 1.28 | 9.90 | 1.52 |
| 155 | 902455 | 12 | 5757 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.19 | 0.16 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.42 | 0.42 | -0.21 | -0.19 | -0.22 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.36 | 0.06 | 2.20 | 1.06 | 1.28 | 1.05 |
| 156 | 900015 | 12 | 5757 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.21 | 0.20 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.43 | 0.43 | -0.14 | -0.34 | -0.07 | B- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.74 | 0.06 | 1.41 | 1.03 | 1.41 | 1.05 |
| 157 | 818911 | 13 | 5684 | 0.45 | 0.26 | 0.45 | 0.10 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.38 | -0.19 | 0.38 | -0.12 | -0.18 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | 1.01 | 0.06 | 1.52 | 1.04 | 1.83 | 1.07 |
| 158 | 819877 | 13 | 5684 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.39 | 0.13 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.36 | 0.36 | -0.10 | -0.21 | -0.22 | A+ | A- | A+ | A+ | 1.25 | 0.06 | 3.31 | 1.09 | 3.96 | 1.16 |
| 159 | 819219 | 13 | 5684 | 0.38 | 0.31 | 0.17 | 0.13 | 0.38 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.44 | -0.18 | -0.18 | -0.17 | 0.44 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | 1.35 | 0.06 | -1.27 | 0.97 | 0.09 | 1.00 |
| 160 | 817704 | 13 | 5684 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.06 | 0.27 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32 | 0.32 | -0.18 | -0.16 | -0.13 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | 0.51 | 0.06 | 5.84 | 1.15 | 4.62 | 1.18 |
| 161 | 892861 | 13 | 5684 | 0.41 | 0.22 | 0.14 | 0.41 | 0.23 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.24 | -0.04 | -0.15 | 0.24 | -0.11 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | 1.22 | 0.06 | 7.50 | 1.20 | 8.03 | 1.34 |
| 162 | 897490 | 13 | 5684 | 0.64 | 0.14 | 0.16 | 0.64 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.51 | -0.30 | -0.26 | 0.51 | -0.16 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.02 | 0.06 | -2.91 | 0.92 | -3.35 | 0.85 |
| 163 | 896432 | 13 | 5684 | 0.30 | 0.46 | 0.17 | 0.30 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.19 | -0.20 | 0.08 | -0.21 | A- | A+ | A+ | A+ | 1.83 | 0.06 | 9.90 | 1.35 | 9.90 | 1.81 |
| 164 | 724152 | 13 | 5684 | 0.61 | 0.14 | 0.61 | 0.19 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.54 | -0.21 | 0.54 | -0.34 | -0.24 | A- | A+ | A- | A+ | 0.19 | 0.06 | -5.07 | 0.88 | -4.63 | 0.81 |
| 165 | 871094 | 13 | 5684 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.06 | 0.24 | 0.44 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.31 | 0.31 | -0.17 | -0.19 | -0.03 | A- | A+ | A- | A- | 2.06 | 0.07 | 0.12 | 1.00 | 4.14 | 1.27 |
| 166 | 800482 | 13 | 5684 | 0.38 | 0.10 | 0.29 | 0.23 | 0.38 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.44 | -0.21 | -0.04 | -0.31 | 0.44 | A+ | A+ | A- | A+ | 1.37 | 0.06 | -1.80 | 0.95 | -0.23 | 0.99 |
| 167 | 896430 | 14 | 5735 | 0.80 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.80 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.42 | -0.24 | -0.24 | -0.20 | 0.42 | A+ | A- | A- | B+ | -1.01 | 0.07 | -3.26 | 0.87 | -1.31 | 0.89 |
| 168 | 818908 | 14 | 5735 | 0.43 | 0.16 | 0.13 | 0.43 | 0.27 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.30 | -0.18 | -0.04 | 0.30 | -0.15 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A+ | 1.09 | 0.06 | 5.25 | 1.13 | 4.67 | 1.18 |
| 169 | 896428 | 14 | 5735 | 0.42 | 0.11 | 0.42 | 0.31 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.40 | -0.22 | 0.40 | -0.08 | -0.24 | A+ | A+ | A- | A+ | 1.17 | 0.06 | 2.50 | 1.06 | 3.34 | 1.13 |
| 170 | 820454 | 14 | 5735 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.19 | 0.24 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.35 | 0.35 | -0.18 | -0.10 | -0.16 | A- | A+ | A+ | A+ | 1.07 | 0.06 | 2.97 | 1.07 | 3.33 | 1.13 |
| 171 | 818799 | 14 | 5735 | 0.47 | 0.36 | 0.04 | 0.47 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.41 | -0.27 | -0.16 | 0.41 | -0.12 | A- | A+ | A- | A+ | 0.90 | 0.06 | 1.11 | 1.03 | 1.77 | 1.06 |
| 172 | 905217 | 14 | 5735 | 0.43 | 0.31 | 0.12 | 0.43 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.31 | -0.16 | -0.20 | 0.31 | -0.05 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | 1.07 | 0.06 | 5.03 | 1.13 | 6.05 | 1.24 |
| 173 | 818292 | 14 | 5735 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.26 | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.16 | -0.04 | -0.14 | 0.01 | B- | A- | A- | A+ | 1.93 | 0.06 | 8.78 | 1.29 | 9.90 | 1.73 |


| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | $P(A)$ | P(B) | P(C) | P(D) | P(-) | P(*) | ITCorr | Corr(A) | Corr(B) | Corr(C) | Corr(D) | M/F | W/B | W/H | 0/P | Meas | SEM | z-Infit | MS- <br> Infit | z-Outfit | $\begin{gathered} \text { MS- } \\ \text { Outfit } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 174 | 893945 | 14 | 5735 | 0.27 | 0.31 | 0.36 | 0.27 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.21 | -0.22 | 0.05 | 0.21 | -0.05 | B- | A+ | A+ | A+ | 1.99 | 0.07 | 6.53 | 1.22 | 9.90 | 1.69 |
| 175 | 901565 | 14 | 5735 | 0.75 | 0.14 | 0.75 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.45 | -0.23 | 0.45 | -0.27 | -0.23 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | -0.64 | 0.07 | -3.91 | 0.87 | -1.65 | 0.89 |
| 176 | 902457 | 14 | 5735 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.39 | 0.13 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.07 | -0.19 | -0.20 | A- | A- | A+ | A+ | 1.90 | 0.06 | 3.64 | 1.11 | 5.81 | 1.34 |
| 177 | 736788 | 15 | 5799 | 0.35 | 0.31 | 0.25 | 0.35 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.25 | -0.20 | -0.02 | 0.25 | -0.07 | A- | A+ | A- | A+ | 1.56 | 0.06 | 3.58 | 1.10 | 5.68 | 1.28 |
| 178 | 898183 | 15 | 5799 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.45 | 0.45 | -0.31 | -0.21 | -0.18 | A+ | A+ | A- | A+ | -0.91 | 0.07 | -2.96 | 0.89 | -2.94 | 0.77 |
| 179 | 895185 | 15 | 5799 | 0.48 | 0.20 | 0.15 | 0.48 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.29 | -0.03 | -0.23 | 0.29 | -0.13 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | 0.87 | 0.06 | 7.47 | 1.19 | 7.74 | 1.31 |
| 180 | 819205 | 15 | 5799 | 0.55 | 0.24 | 0.55 | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.40 | -0.09 | 0.40 | -0.27 | -0.25 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A+ | 0.50 | 0.06 | 1.20 | 1.03 | 1.88 | 1.08 |
| 181 | 905141 | 15 | 5799 | 0.85 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.85 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.44 | -0.24 | -0.26 | 0.44 | -0.23 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | -1.40 | 0.08 | -2.76 | 0.87 | -1.88 | 0.80 |
| 182 | 903113 | 15 | 5799 | 0.73 | 0.13 | 0.73 | 0.04 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.23 | -0.16 | 0.23 | -0.19 | -0.04 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | -0.50 | 0.07 | 3.55 | 1.12 | 4.34 | 1.31 |
| 183 | 903111 | 15 | 5799 | 0.58 | 0.08 | 0.22 | 0.58 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32 | -0.23 | -0.12 | 0.32 | -0.14 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A- | 0.34 | 0.06 | 6.21 | 1.17 | 4.96 | 1.22 |
| 184 | 896396 | 15 | 5799 | 0.45 | 0.25 | 0.17 | 0.45 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.22 | 0.00 | -0.19 | 0.22 | -0.11 | A- | A- | A+ | A+ | 1.00 | 0.06 | 9.63 | 1.25 | 8.27 | 1.34 |
| 185 | 819884 | 15 | 5799 | 0.75 | 0.13 | 0.75 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.50 | -0.22 | 0.50 | -0.28 | -0.30 | A- | A+ | A- | A+ | -0.63 | 0.07 | -3.56 | 0.88 | -2.76 | 0.81 |
| 186 | 903114 | 15 | 5799 | 0.62 | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.15 | 0.62 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.58 | -0.31 | -0.25 | -0.28 | 0.58 | A- | A+ | A- | A- | 0.13 | 0.06 | -6.30 | 0.84 | -5.08 | 0.78 |
| 187 | 895153 | 16 | 5755 | 0.64 | 0.15 | 0.13 | 0.08 | 0.64 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.41 | -0.18 | -0.23 | -0.21 | 0.41 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A- | -0.03 | 0.06 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 0.27 | 1.01 |
| 188 | 895151 | 16 | 5755 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.41 | 0.41 | -0.25 | -0.23 | -0.22 | B+ | B+ | A+ | A- | -1.92 | 0.09 | -2.39 | 0.85 | -4.33 | 0.52 |
| 189 | 892860 | 16 | 5755 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.16 | 0.48 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.14 | -0.20 | 0.09 | -0.09 | A- | A+ | A+ | A+ | 2.38 | 0.07 | 5.79 | 1.24 | 9.90 | 2.02 |
| 190 | 901557 | 16 | 5755 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.35 | 0.21 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.03 | -0.15 | -0.10 | A+ | A- | A+ | A+ | 1.97 | 0.07 | 8.55 | 1.30 | 9.90 | 1.75 |
| 191 | 817734 | 16 | 5755 | 0.57 | 0.23 | 0.11 | 0.57 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.31 | 0.01 | -0.25 | 0.31 | -0.29 | A+ | A- | A+ | A- | 0.35 | 0.06 | 6.86 | 1.18 | 7.01 | 1.29 |
| 192 | 819078 | 16 | 5755 | 0.85 | 0.06 | 0.85 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.38 | -0.20 | 0.38 | -0.25 | -0.18 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | -1.43 | 0.08 | -2.21 | 0.90 | -1.82 | 0.82 |
| 193 | 900257 | 16 | 5755 | 0.55 | 0.04 | 0.14 | 0.55 | 0.27 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.28 | -0.24 | -0.22 | 0.28 | -0.02 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 0.48 | 0.06 | 8.38 | 1.22 | 7.39 | 1.29 |
| 194 | 903115 | 16 | 5755 | 0.64 | 0.09 | 0.15 | 0.64 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.41 | -0.19 | -0.20 | 0.41 | -0.21 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | -0.04 | 0.06 | 1.90 | 1.05 | 2.50 | 1.12 |
| 195 | 896408 | 16 | 5755 | 0.73 | 0.08 | 0.73 | 0.07 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.51 | -0.25 | 0.51 | -0.27 | -0.28 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | -0.57 | 0.07 | -4.13 | 0.87 | -4.11 | 0.76 |
| 196 | 901564 | 16 | 5755 | 0.21 | 0.11 | 0.19 | 0.50 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.22 | -0.28 | -0.32 | 0.25 | 0.22 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 2.42 | 0.07 | 5.71 | 1.24 | 7.91 | 1.73 |
| 197 | 896400 | 17 | 5802 | 0.74 | 0.07 | 0.74 | 0.04 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.40 | -0.23 | 0.40 | -0.26 | -0.16 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A+ | -0.57 | 0.07 | -1.30 | 0.96 | 0.87 | 1.06 |
| 198 | 896429 | 17 | 5802 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.66 | 0.04 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.25 | -0.04 | -0.22 | -0.12 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 2.59 | 0.08 | 0.74 | 1.03 | 6.08 | 1.61 |
| 199 | 818664 | 17 | 5802 | 0.50 | 0.16 | 0.15 | 0.50 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.43 | -0.36 | -0.07 | 0.43 | -0.14 | A+ | A+ | A- | A- | 0.77 | 0.06 | -0.13 | 1.00 | 0.21 | 1.01 |
| 200 | 896425 | 17 | 5802 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.16 | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.52 | 0.52 | -0.26 | -0.29 | -0.21 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | -0.02 | 0.06 | -3.05 | 0.92 | -2.89 | 0.85 |
| 201 | 900255 | 17 | 5802 | 0.43 | 0.13 | 0.16 | 0.43 | 0.28 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.29 | -0.14 | -0.14 | 0.29 | -0.10 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 1.15 | 0.06 | 6.15 | 1.17 | 6.73 | 1.30 |
| 202 | 818779 | 17 | 5802 | 0.46 | 0.08 | 0.46 | 0.41 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.26 | -0.21 | 0.26 | -0.07 | -0.17 | A+ | A+ | A- | A- | 1.00 | 0.06 | 6.76 | 1.18 | 6.23 | 1.27 |


| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | $P(A)$ | P(B) | P(C) | P(D) | P(-) | P(*) | ITCorr | Corr(A) | Corr(B) | Corr(C) | Corr(D) | M/F | W/B | W/H | 0/P | Meas | SEM | z-Infit | MS- <br> Infit | z-Outfit | MSOutfit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 203 | 903112 | 17 | 5802 | 0.50 | 0.12 | 0.50 | 0.24 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.45 | -0.21 | 0.45 | -0.16 | -0.24 | A- | A- | A+ | A+ | 0.79 | 0.06 | -0.19 | 0.99 | -0.13 | 0.99 |
| 204 | 896435 | 17 | 5802 | 0.27 | 0.10 | 0.17 | 0.27 | 0.46 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.18 | -0.26 | -0.27 | 0.18 | 0.20 | A- | A+ | A- | A+ | 2.05 | 0.07 | 7.41 | 1.28 | 9.90 | 1.89 |
| 205 | 905353 | 17 | 5802 | 0.61 | 0.18 | 0.11 | 0.61 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.53 | -0.23 | -0.33 | 0.53 | -0.22 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A+ | 0.20 | 0.06 | -4.22 | 0.89 | -2.93 | 0.87 |
| 206 | 894327 | 17 | 5802 | 0.64 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.29 | 0.64 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.51 | -0.18 | -0.24 | -0.37 | 0.51 | B- | A- | A- | A- | 0.02 | 0.06 | -2.53 | 0.93 | -1.90 | 0.90 |
| 207 | 896217 | 18 | 5794 | 0.25 | 0.37 | 0.27 | 0.11 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32 | -0.03 | -0.08 | -0.29 | 0.32 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 2.13 | 0.07 | 1.99 | 1.07 | 4.43 | 1.30 |
| 208 | 819882 | 18 | 5794 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.54 | 0.54 | -0.26 | -0.27 | -0.28 | A- | A- | A+ | A- | -0.05 | 0.06 | -4.69 | 0.88 | -4.81 | 0.78 |
| 209 | 906330 | 18 | 5794 | 0.26 | 0.42 | 0.17 | 0.15 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.28 | -0.06 | -0.16 | -0.09 | 0.28 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 2.10 | 0.07 | 2.33 | 1.08 | 6.35 | 1.44 |
| 210 | 896427 | 18 | 5794 | 0.47 | 0.31 | 0.13 | 0.47 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.37 | -0.09 | -0.24 | 0.37 | -0.21 | A- | A+ | A+ | A- | 0.87 | 0.06 | 2.64 | 1.07 | 2.33 | 1.09 |
| 211 | 895392 | 18 | 5794 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.24 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.43 | 0.43 | -0.23 | -0.21 | -0.26 | A- | A- | A- | A- | -0.13 | 0.06 | 0.86 | 1.02 | -0.44 | 0.98 |
| 212 | 895158 | 18 | 5794 | 0.70 | 0.18 | 0.04 | 0.70 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.37 | -0.24 | -0.21 | 0.37 | -0.14 | A- | A- | A- | A- | -0.36 | 0.07 | 2.13 | 1.07 | 1.24 | 1.07 |
| 213 | 871097 | 18 | 5794 | 0.52 | 0.23 | 0.08 | 0.17 | 0.52 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.44 | -0.22 | -0.31 | -0.11 | 0.44 | A- | A+ | A+ | A- | 0.65 | 0.06 | -0.15 | 1.00 | 0.17 | 1.01 |
| 214 | 819637 | 18 | 5794 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.29 | 0.35 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.26 | 0.26 | -0.06 | -0.11 | -0.11 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 2.06 | 0.07 | 3.26 | 1.11 | 7.00 | 1.48 |
| 215 | 819098 | 18 | 5794 | 0.40 | 0.13 | 0.27 | 0.20 | 0.40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.18 | -0.09 | -0.13 | -0.01 | 0.18 | A+ | A+ | A- | A- | 1.25 | 0.06 | 8.27 | 1.22 | 9.19 | 1.40 |
| 216 | 819888 | 18 | 5794 | 0.47 | 0.14 | 0.47 | 0.24 | 0.14 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.42 | -0.09 | 0.42 | -0.23 | -0.23 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A- | 0.87 | 0.06 | 0.87 | 1.02 | 1.28 | 1.05 |
| 217 | 820450 | 19 | 5791 | 0.41 | 0.36 | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.41 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.41 | -0.08 | -0.26 | -0.23 | 0.41 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | 1.27 | 0.06 | 1.39 | 1.04 | 2.72 | 1.12 |
| 218 | 818761 | 19 | 5791 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.14 | 0.17 | 0.38 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.44 | 0.44 | -0.02 | -0.25 | -0.21 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | 1.79 | 0.06 | -2.79 | 0.92 | 0.29 | 1.01 |
| 219 | 819094 | 19 | 5791 | 0.35 | 0.09 | 0.16 | 0.39 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.28 | -0.18 | -0.23 | 0.01 | 0.28 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A- | 1.59 | 0.06 | 6.01 | 1.18 | 7.85 | 1.43 |
| 220 | 820549 | 19 | 5791 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.11 | 0.38 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 0.29 | -0.21 | -0.05 | -0.15 | A- | A+ | A- | A+ | 1.32 | 0.06 | 4.49 | 1.12 | 4.43 | 1.20 |
| 221 | 696814 | 19 | 5791 | 0.23 | 0.39 | 0.18 | 0.23 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.24 | -0.08 | -0.14 | 0.24 | -0.01 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 2.32 | 0.07 | 2.22 | 1.08 | 7.12 | 1.61 |
| 222 | 724200 | 19 | 5791 | 0.52 | 0.20 | 0.19 | 0.09 | 0.52 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.56 | -0.15 | -0.31 | -0.33 | 0.56 | A- | A+ | A- | A- | 0.66 | 0.06 | -5.64 | 0.87 | -4.37 | 0.84 |
| 223 | 898182 | 19 | 5791 | 0.68 | 0.13 | 0.10 | 0.68 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.45 | -0.23 | -0.28 | 0.45 | -0.16 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | -0.19 | 0.06 | -1.66 | 0.95 | -1.40 | 0.92 |
| 224 | 800483 | 19 | 5791 | 0.65 | 0.21 | 0.07 | 0.65 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.49 | -0.23 | -0.30 | 0.49 | -0.24 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.00 | 0.06 | -2.16 | 0.94 | -2.06 | 0.90 |
| 225 | 818778 | 19 | 5791 | 0.60 | 0.11 | 0.60 | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.37 | -0.23 | 0.37 | -0.31 | -0.05 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | 0.26 | 0.06 | 3.32 | 1.09 | 2.64 | 1.12 |
| 226 | 800478 | 19 | 5791 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.16 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.51 | 0.51 | -0.21 | -0.31 | -0.30 | A- | A- | A- | A- | -0.36 | 0.07 | -3.29 | 0.90 | -3.12 | 0.82 |
| 227 | 896407 | 20 | 5795 | 0.45 | 0.15 | 0.36 | 0.45 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32 | -0.12 | -0.17 | 0.32 | -0.16 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 1.01 | 0.06 | 4.56 | 1.12 | 3.93 | 1.15 |
| 228 | 819208 | 20 | 5795 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.40 | 0.40 | -0.21 | -0.26 | -0.19 | A- | A- | A- | B+ | -1.15 | 0.08 | -3.02 | 0.87 | -2.86 | 0.75 |
| 229 | 897698 | 20 | 5795 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.22 | 0.17 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.34 | 0.34 | -0.11 | -0.21 | -0.13 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A- | 0.83 | 0.06 | 4.09 | 1.10 | 4.59 | 1.18 |
| 230 | 905140 | 20 | 5795 | 0.57 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.57 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.45 | -0.17 | -0.25 | 0.45 | -0.21 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A- | 0.37 | 0.06 | 0.50 | 1.01 | 0.74 | 1.03 |
| 231 | 900392 | 20 | 5795 | 0.55 | 0.26 | 0.55 | 0.13 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.45 | -0.28 | 0.45 | -0.18 | -0.16 | A- | A+ | A+ | A+ | 0.51 | 0.06 | -0.97 | 0.98 | -0.87 | 0.97 |


| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})$ | P(B) | P(C) | P(D) | P(-) | $\mathbf{P}\left({ }^{*}\right)$ | ITCorr | Corr(A) | Corr(B) | Corr(C) | Corr(D) | M/F | W/B | W/H | 0/P | Meas | SEM | z-Infit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MS- } \\ & \text { Infit } \end{aligned}$ | z-Outitit | $\begin{gathered} \text { MS- } \\ \text { Outfit } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 232 | 712526 | 20 | 5795 | 0.11 | 0.40 | 0.46 | 0.11 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.20 | -0.05 | -0.04 | 0.20 | -0.12 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 3.29 | 0.09 | 0.25 | 1.01 | 2.68 | 1.35 |
| 233 | 902458 | 20 | 5795 | 0.43 | 0.34 | 0.43 | 0.16 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.34 | -0.07 | 0.34 | -0.24 | -0.18 | A- | A+ | A- | A+ | 1.09 | 0.06 | 6.12 | 1.16 | 6.53 | 1.27 |
| 234 | 818798 | 20 | 5795 | 0.40 | 0.28 | 0.40 | 0.15 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.26 | 0.01 | 0.26 | -0.22 | -0.13 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | 1.26 | 0.06 | 7.08 | 1.19 | 7.28 | 1.32 |
| 235 | 818290 | 20 | 5795 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.20 | -0.12 | 0.13 | -0.23 | A- | A+ | A- | A- | 2.13 | 0.07 | 5.68 | 1.20 | 8.62 | 1.64 |
| 236 | 800487 | 20 | 5795 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.33 | 0.21 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.17 | -0.26 | -0.18 | B- | A- | A- | A- | 2.05 | 0.07 | 5.01 | 1.17 | 6.40 | 1.43 |

Table J-6. Biology Multiple-Choice Item Statistics: Spring

| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | $P(A)$ | P(B) | P(C) | P(D) | P(-) | P(*) | ITCorr | Corr(A) | Corr(B) | Corr(C) | Corr(D) | M/F | W/B | W/H | 0/P | Meas | SEM | z-Infit | MS- <br> Infit | z-Outfit | MS- <br> Outif |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 702074 | 0 | 139180 | 0.77 | 0.11 | 0.77 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.38 | -0.23 | 0.38 | -0.20 | -0.18 |  |  |  |  | -0.99 | 0.02 | -9.90 | 0.92 | -8.91 | 0.86 |
| 2 | 703237 | 0 | 139180 | 0.32 | 0.22 | 0.29 | 0.17 | 0.32 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.37 | -0.22 | -0.09 | -0.11 | 0.37 |  |  |  |  | 1.55 | 0.01 | 4.15 | 1.02 | 9.90 | 1.16 |
| 3 | 680246 | 0 | 139180 | 0.47 | 0.15 | 0.17 | 0.47 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 | -0.13 | -0.19 | 0.33 | -0.11 |  |  |  |  | 0.80 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.13 | 9.90 | 1.18 |
| 4 | 703488 | 0 | 139180 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.06 | 0.22 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.58 | 0.58 | -0.23 | -0.32 | -0.27 |  |  |  |  | 0.09 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.82 | -9.90 | 0.74 |
| 5 | 702259 | 0 | 139180 | 0.51 | 0.23 | 0.51 | 0.17 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.39 | -0.16 | 0.39 | -0.25 | -0.12 |  |  |  |  | 0.12 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.12 | 9.90 | 1.13 |
| 6 | 702087 | 0 | 139180 | 0.50 | 0.21 | 0.16 | 0.50 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.44 | -0.21 | -0.24 | 0.44 | -0.13 |  |  |  |  | 0.34 | 0.01 | 1.58 | 1.01 | -2.75 | 0.98 |
| 7 | 740931 | 0 | 139180 | 0.76 | 0.76 | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.47 | 0.47 | -0.25 | -0.27 | -0.21 |  |  |  |  | -0.98 | 0.02 | -9.90 | 0.84 | -9.90 | 0.68 |
| 8 | 703516 | 0 | 139180 | 0.44 | 0.16 | 0.24 | 0.44 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.36 | -0.13 | -0.13 | 0.36 | -0.20 |  |  |  |  | 0.97 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.08 | 9.90 | 1.14 |
| 9 | 679205 | 0 | 139180 | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 0.50 | -0.26 | -0.26 | -0.25 |  |  |  |  | -0.50 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.84 | -9.90 | 0.73 |
| 10 | 703495 | 0 | 139180 | 0.76 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.76 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.42 | -0.26 | -0.26 | -0.15 | 0.42 |  |  |  |  | -0.97 | 0.02 | -9.90 | 0.90 | -6.92 | 0.89 |
| 11 | 679673 | 0 | 139180 | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.10 | 0.16 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.56 | 0.56 | -0.24 | -0.31 | -0.26 |  |  |  |  | -0.17 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.81 | -9.90 | 0.71 |
| 12 | 679671 | 0 | 139180 | 0.53 | 0.17 | 0.53 | 0.19 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.43 | -0.21 | 0.43 | -0.22 | -0.16 |  |  |  |  | 0.67 | 0.01 | -2.37 | 0.99 | -2.86 | 0.98 |
| 13 | 703708 | 0 | 139180 | 0.47 | 0.16 | 0.23 | 0.14 | 0.47 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.53 | -0.24 | -0.22 | -0.22 | 0.53 |  |  |  |  | 0.84 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.91 | -9.90 | 0.90 |
| 14 | 677969 | 0 | 139180 | 0.57 | 0.57 | 0.18 | 0.15 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.53 | 0.53 | -0.23 | -0.26 | -0.25 |  |  |  |  | 0.18 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.87 | -9.90 | 0.81 |
| 15 | 678542 | 0 | 139180 | 0.59 | 0.19 | 0.10 | 0.59 | 0.11 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.45 | -0.25 | -0.26 | 0.45 | -0.11 |  |  |  |  | -0.12 | 0.01 | -1.66 | 0.99 | -0.87 | 0.99 |
| 16 | 703874 | 0 | 139180 | 0.79 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.79 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.49 | -0.26 | -0.27 | 0.49 | -0.24 |  |  |  |  | -1.60 | 0.02 | -2.43 | 0.97 | -9.90 | 0.71 |
| 17 | 703871 | 0 | 139180 | 0.72 | 0.08 | 0.72 | 0.13 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.50 | -0.26 | 0.50 | -0.28 | -0.22 |  |  |  |  | -0.81 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.84 | -9.90 | 0.74 |
| 18 | 702204 | 0 | 139180 | 0.49 | 0.17 | 0.49 | 0.18 | 0.15 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.49 | -0.18 | 0.49 | -0.22 | -0.25 |  |  |  |  | 0.18 | 0.01 | -5.00 | 0.97 | -8.22 | 0.93 |
| 19 | 673871 | 0 | 139180 | 0.51 | 0.17 | 0.13 | 0.18 | 0.51 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.44 | -0.23 | -0.21 | -0.14 | 0.44 |  |  |  |  | 0.24 | 0.01 | 7.03 | 1.04 | 0.63 | 1.01 |
| 20 | 810612 | 0 | 139180 | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.09 | 0.14 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 0.50 | -0.26 | -0.24 | -0.24 |  |  |  |  | -0.58 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.88 | -9.90 | 0.81 |
| 21 | 705267 | 0 | 139180 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.12 | 0.19 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.45 | 0.45 | -0.17 | -0.26 | -0.20 |  |  |  |  | -0.36 | 0.01 | 6.48 | 1.04 | 0.41 | 1.00 |
| 22 | 703517 | 0 | 139180 | 0.46 | 0.10 | 0.46 | 0.19 | 0.24 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.33 | -0.15 | 0.33 | -0.27 | -0.01 |  |  |  |  | 0.47 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.16 | 9.90 | 1.22 |
| 23 | 673866 | 0 | 139180 | 0.50 | 0.11 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 0.13 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.48 | -0.17 | -0.26 | 0.48 | -0.19 |  |  |  |  | 0.51 | 0.01 | -9.89 | 0.95 | -9.14 | 0.93 |
| 24 | 683613 | 0 | 139180 | 0.53 | 0.20 | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.53 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.41 | -0.12 | -0.25 | -0.18 | 0.41 |  |  |  |  | 0.34 | 0.01 | 8.64 | 1.05 | 4.31 | 1.04 |
| 25 | 679976 | 0 | 139180 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 0.29 | -0.14 | -0.12 | -0.17 |  |  |  |  | 0.20 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.16 | 9.90 | 1.17 |
| 26 | 705228 | 0 | 139180 | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.18 | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.53 | 0.53 | -0.33 | -0.24 | -0.20 |  |  |  |  | -0.25 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.84 | -9.90 | 0.75 |
| 27 | 679253 | 0 | 139180 | 0.67 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.67 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.39 | -0.18 | -0.28 | 0.39 | -0.15 |  |  |  |  | -0.32 | 0.01 | 0.49 | 1.00 | 4.26 | 1.05 |
| 28 | 704204 | 0 | 139180 | 0.61 | 0.11 | 0.17 | 0.61 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.47 | -0.22 | -0.26 | 0.47 | -0.20 |  |  |  |  | -0.01 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.91 | -9.90 | 0.84 |


| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | $P(A)$ | P(B) | P(C) | P(D) | P(-) | P(*) | ITCorr | Corr(A) | Corr(B) | Corr(C) | Corr(D) | M/F | W/B | W/H | 0/P | Meas | SEM | z-Infit | MS- Infit | z-Outfit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MS- } \\ & \text { Outfit } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29 | 742312 | 0 | 139180 | 0.41 | 0.27 | 0.41 | 0.13 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.31 | -0.10 | 0.31 | -0.23 | -0.09 |  |  |  |  | 1.17 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.16 | 9.90 | 1.29 |
| 30 | 702150 | 0 | 139180 | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.06 | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.54 | 0.54 | -0.26 | -0.35 | -0.21 |  |  |  |  | -0.39 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.77 | -9.90 | 0.68 |
| 31 | 702147 | 0 | 139180 | 0.77 | 0.03 | 0.15 | 0.04 | 0.77 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.39 | -0.21 | -0.22 | -0.23 | 0.39 |  |  |  |  | -0.84 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.87 | -6.61 | 0.90 |
| 32 | 739785 | 0 | 139180 | 0.34 | 0.17 | 0.34 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 | -0.19 | 0.33 | -0.10 | -0.09 |  |  |  |  | 1.34 | 0.01 | 9.73 | 1.06 | 9.90 | 1.19 |
| 33 | 739682 | 0 | 139180 | 0.44 | 0.29 | 0.44 | 0.17 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.29 | -0.16 | 0.29 | -0.07 | -0.15 |  |  |  |  | 1.01 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.19 | 9.90 | 1.28 |
| 34 | 678968 | 0 | 139180 | 0.72 | 0.10 | 0.06 | 0.11 | 0.72 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.51 | -0.26 | -0.27 | -0.27 | 0.51 |  |  |  |  | -0.76 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.81 | -9.90 | 0.67 |
| 35 | 702141 | 0 | 139180 | 0.66 | 0.11 | 0.16 | 0.66 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.39 | -0.21 | -0.18 | 0.39 | -0.20 |  |  |  |  | -0.30 | 0.01 | -3.06 | 0.98 | -0.98 | 0.99 |
| 36 | 702138 | 0 | 139180 | 0.69 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.09 | 0.69 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.52 | -0.26 | -0.28 | -0.24 | 0.52 |  |  |  |  | -0.59 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.84 | -9.90 | 0.76 |
| 37 | 742293 | 0 | 139180 | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.15 | 0.21 | 0.23 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.30 | -0.25 | -0.10 | -0.02 |  |  |  |  | 0.87 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.16 | 9.90 | 1.22 |
| 38 | 678985 | 0 | 139180 | 0.68 | 0.09 | 0.13 | 0.68 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.50 | -0.28 | -0.28 | 0.50 | -0.18 |  |  |  |  | -0.64 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.89 | -9.90 | 0.80 |
| 39 | 702735 | 0 | 139180 | 0.57 | 0.08 | 0.57 | 0.18 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.34 | -0.21 | 0.34 | -0.14 | -0.14 |  |  |  |  | 0.08 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.12 | 9.90 | 1.20 |
| 40 | 742300 | 0 | 139180 | 0.48 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.48 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.27 | -0.19 | -0.27 | 0.27 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  | 0.67 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.22 | 9.90 | 1.31 |
| 41 | 677885 | 0 | 139180 | 0.45 | 0.08 | 0.22 | 0.24 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.41 | -0.26 | -0.27 | -0.03 | 0.41 |  |  |  |  | 0.68 | 0.01 | 8.59 | 1.05 | 6.65 | 1.05 |
| 42 | 809059 | 0 | 139180 | 0.66 | 0.10 | 0.66 | 0.09 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.54 | -0.27 | 0.54 | -0.30 | -0.23 |  |  |  |  | -0.52 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.85 | -9.90 | 0.74 |
| 43 | 703154 | 0 | 139180 | 0.50 | 0.16 | 0.27 | 0.50 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.44 | -0.23 | -0.17 | 0.44 | -0.22 |  |  |  |  | 0.28 | 0.01 | 1.74 | 1.01 | -0.78 | 0.99 |
| 44 | 681520 | 0 | 139180 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.27 | 0.14 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.35 | 0.35 | -0.15 | -0.16 | -0.16 |  |  |  |  | 0.82 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.11 | 9.90 | 1.14 |
| 45 | 673878 | 0 | 139180 | 0.68 | 0.11 | 0.68 | 0.08 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.41 | -0.17 | 0.41 | -0.22 | -0.21 |  |  |  |  | -0.62 | 0.01 | 2.31 | 1.02 | 2.69 | 1.04 |
| 46 | 678893 | 0 | 139180 | 0.61 | 0.10 | 0.16 | 0.14 | 0.61 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.50 | -0.27 | -0.23 | -0.21 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  | -0.29 | 0.01 | -9.80 | 0.94 | -9.90 | 0.85 |
| 47 | 703162 | 0 | 139180 | 0.43 | 0.16 | 0.21 | 0.19 | 0.43 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.55 | -0.19 | -0.19 | -0.29 | 0.55 |  |  |  |  | 0.62 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.86 | -9.90 | 0.84 |
| 48 | 674169 | 0 | 139180 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.12 | 0.29 | 0.11 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.45 | 0.45 | -0.21 | -0.20 | -0.20 |  |  |  |  | 0.74 | 0.01 | -1.39 | 0.99 | 0.78 | 1.01 |
| 49 | 871100 | 1 | 5720 | 0.21 | 0.20 | 0.23 | 0.21 | 0.36 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.07 | -0.01 | -0.17 | 0.07 | 0.10 | A- | A+ | A+ | A+ | 2.29 | 0.07 | 5.20 | 1.20 | 9.90 | 2.04 |
| 50 | 871103 | 1 | 5720 | 0.33 | 0.31 | 0.33 | 0.17 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.25 | -0.20 | -0.10 | A- | A+ | A+ | A+ | 1.54 | 0.06 | 4.79 | 1.14 | 7.54 | 1.37 |
| 51 | 808540 | 1 | 5720 | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.18 | 0.05 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.39 | 0.39 | -0.27 | -0.13 | -0.16 | A+ | A+ | A- | A- | -0.03 | 0.06 | 1.66 | 1.04 | 0.71 | 1.03 |
| 52 | 714623 | 1 | 5720 | 0.58 | 0.14 | 0.58 | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.52 | -0.25 | 0.52 | -0.29 | -0.19 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 0.20 | 0.06 | -4.21 | 0.90 | -4.17 | 0.84 |
| 53 | 809683 | 1 | 5720 | 0.54 | 0.28 | 0.54 | 0.13 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.46 | -0.19 | 0.46 | -0.31 | -0.17 | A- | A- | A+ | A+ | 0.40 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 1.00 | -0.76 | 0.97 |
| 54 | 809694 | 1 | 5720 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.40 | 0.40 | -0.33 | -0.05 | -0.18 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | -0.12 | 0.06 | 1.55 | 1.04 | 2.17 | 1.10 |
| 55 | 880324 | 1 | 5720 | 0.31 | 0.20 | 0.31 | 0.18 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.31 | -0.14 | 0.31 | -0.25 | 0.03 | A- | A+ | A+ | A- | 1.64 | 0.06 | 4.07 | 1.12 | 5.44 | 1.27 |
| 56 | 809465 | 1 | 5720 | 0.62 | 0.08 | 0.62 | 0.13 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.45 | -0.11 | 0.45 | -0.24 | -0.28 | A+ | A+ | A- | A+ | -0.01 | 0.06 | 0.42 | 1.01 | 1.86 | 1.08 |
| 57 | 863227 | 1 | 5720 | 0.51 | 0.08 | 0.17 | 0.51 | 0.23 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.22 | -0.25 | -0.25 | 0.22 | 0.13 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | 0.57 | 0.06 | 9.90 | 1.34 | 9.90 | 1.43 |


| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | $P(A)$ | P(B) | P(C) | P(D) | P(-) | P(*) | ITCorr | Corr(A) | Corr(B) | Corr(C) | Corr(D) | M/F | W/B | W/H | 0/P | Meas | SEM | z-Infit | MS- <br> Infit | z-Outfit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MS- } \\ & \text { Outfit } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 58 | 863224 | 1 | 5720 | 0.71 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.71 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.54 | -0.27 | -0.29 | 0.54 | -0.27 | A- | A+ | A- | A+ | -0.54 | 0.06 | -5.58 | 0.84 | -4.72 | 0.74 |
| 59 | 896420 | 1 | 5720 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.22 | 0.06 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32 | 0.32 | -0.04 | -0.26 | -0.20 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.53 | 0.06 | 6.09 | 1.15 | 5.56 | 1.21 |
| 60 | 809191 | 1 | 5720 | 0.61 | 0.61 | 0.14 | 0.20 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.59 | 0.59 | -0.34 | -0.35 | -0.15 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | 0.02 | 0.06 | -6.76 | 0.84 | -5.59 | 0.78 |
| 61 | 713987 | 1 | 5720 | 0.49 | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.24 | 0.49 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.41 | -0.10 | -0.20 | -0.24 | 0.41 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A+ | 0.68 | 0.06 | 2.25 | 1.05 | 1.80 | 1.06 |
| 62 | 811934 | 1 | 5720 | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.15 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.55 | 0.55 | -0.28 | -0.27 | -0.25 | A- | A+ | A+ | A- | -0.01 | 0.06 | -5.54 | 0.87 | -5.15 | 0.79 |
| 63 | 869041 | 1 | 5720 | 0.46 | 0.46 | 0.31 | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.42 | 0.42 | -0.10 | -0.27 | -0.23 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A- | 0.84 | 0.06 | 0.73 | 1.02 | 0.53 | 1.02 |
| 64 | 868438 | 1 | 5720 | 0.41 | 0.28 | 0.13 | 0.18 | 0.41 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.25 | -0.11 | -0.18 | -0.02 | 0.25 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 1.08 | 0.06 | 7.76 | 1.20 | 7.22 | 1.28 |
| 65 | 871101 | 2 | 5686 | 0.57 | 0.19 | 0.57 | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32 | -0.17 | 0.32 | -0.20 | -0.07 | A- | A+ | A- | A- | 0.28 | 0.06 | 6.08 | 1.16 | 4.36 | 1.19 |
| 66 | 871104 | 2 | 5686 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.14 | 0.19 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.46 | 0.46 | -0.15 | -0.26 | -0.20 | A- | A+ | A- | A- | 0.58 | 0.06 | -0.21 | 0.99 | -0.19 | 0.99 |
| 67 | 880280 | 2 | 5686 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.46 | 0.46 | -0.27 | -0.29 | -0.19 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | -1.66 | 0.08 | -4.43 | 0.79 | -4.80 | 0.55 |
| 68 | 808538 | 2 | 5686 | 0.46 | 0.17 | 0.13 | 0.24 | 0.46 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.40 | -0.14 | -0.24 | -0.14 | 0.40 | A- | A+ | A+ | A- | 0.88 | 0.06 | 1.23 | 1.03 | 1.10 | 1.04 |
| 69 | 809697 | 2 | 5686 | 0.54 | 0.13 | 0.54 | 0.12 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.37 | -0.26 | 0.37 | -0.19 | -0.08 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 0.43 | 0.06 | 3.86 | 1.10 | 2.93 | 1.12 |
| 70 | 713990 | 2 | 5686 | 0.33 | 0.18 | 0.14 | 0.36 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.00 | -0.17 | -0.11 | 0.25 | A+ | A+ | A- | A- | 1.57 | 0.06 | 5.12 | 1.14 | 7.02 | 1.36 |
| 71 | 880332 | 2 | 5686 | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.52 | 0.52 | -0.25 | -0.30 | -0.26 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A+ | -0.55 | 0.06 | -5.45 | 0.84 | -4.69 | 0.73 |
| 72 | 868432 | 2 | 5686 | 0.54 | 0.14 | 0.25 | 0.07 | 0.54 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.47 | -0.18 | -0.28 | -0.20 | 0.47 | A- | A+ | A- | A- | 0.46 | 0.06 | -2.36 | 0.94 | -2.41 | 0.91 |
| 73 | 863223 | 2 | 5686 | 0.46 | 0.26 | 0.17 | 0.46 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.04 | -0.26 | 0.25 | -0.15 | A- | A- | A+ | A- | 0.85 | 0.06 | 9.24 | 1.23 | 8.01 | 1.32 |
| 74 | 863225 | 2 | 5686 | 0.79 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.79 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.56 | -0.29 | -0.30 | -0.29 | 0.56 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | -0.99 | 0.07 | -6.32 | 0.78 | -5.85 | 0.60 |
| 75 | 868971 | 2 | 5686 | 0.77 | 0.09 | 0.77 | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.48 | -0.27 | 0.48 | -0.27 | -0.21 | A- | A+ | A- | A- | -0.88 | 0.07 | -3.32 | 0.89 | -3.25 | 0.77 |
| 76 | 811174 | 2 | 5686 | 0.59 | 0.24 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.59 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.49 | -0.20 | -0.26 | -0.29 | 0.49 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | 0.19 | 0.06 | -4.29 | 0.90 | -3.82 | 0.84 |
| 77 | 868435 | 2 | 5686 | 0.41 | 0.10 | 0.41 | 0.30 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.22 | -0.21 | 0.22 | 0.04 | -0.16 | A- | A- | A+ | A+ | 1.11 | 0.06 | 9.90 | 1.26 | 9.90 | 1.48 |
| 78 | 811184 | 2 | 5686 | 0.53 | 0.13 | 0.20 | 0.14 | 0.53 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.47 | -0.24 | -0.21 | -0.19 | 0.47 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.51 | 0.06 | -1.92 | 0.96 | -1.65 | 0.94 |
| 79 | 741705 | 2 | 5686 | 0.22 | 0.26 | 0.12 | 0.40 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.12 | -0.10 | -0.21 | 0.14 | 0.12 | A- | A- | A+ | A+ | 2.25 | 0.07 | 6.03 | 1.22 | 9.90 | 1.95 |
| 80 | 868442 | 2 | 5686 | 0.46 | 0.46 | 0.17 | 0.25 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 | 0.33 | -0.16 | -0.10 | -0.18 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 0.88 | 0.06 | 5.57 | 1.14 | 4.42 | 1.17 |
| 81 | 871105 | 3 | 5645 | 0.45 | 0.07 | 0.19 | 0.45 | 0.28 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.38 | -0.22 | -0.16 | 0.38 | -0.15 | A- | A+ | A- | A- | 0.89 | 0.06 | 3.12 | 1.08 | 2.92 | 1.11 |
| 82 | 871102 | 3 | 5645 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.23 | 0.16 | 0.36 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.17 | -0.01 | -0.28 | 0.07 | A- | A+ | A- | A- | 2.04 | 0.06 | 6.18 | 1.20 | 9.01 | 1.62 |
| 83 | 714632 | 3 | 5645 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.18 | 0.36 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.28 | 0.28 | -0.09 | -0.05 | -0.15 | A- | A- | A+ | A+ | 1.94 | 0.06 | 1.88 | 1.06 | 6.70 | 1.41 |
| 84 | 880321 | 3 | 5645 | 0.73 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.73 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.54 | -0.28 | -0.27 | 0.54 | -0.28 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | -0.61 | 0.06 | -6.62 | 0.81 | -6.16 | 0.65 |
| 85 | 816516 | 3 | 5645 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.13 | 0.10 | 0.39 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.30 | -0.24 | -0.23 | 0.02 | A- | A+ | A+ | A- | 1.27 | 0.06 | 6.10 | 1.16 | 7.31 | 1.31 |
| 86 | 816616 | 3 | 5645 | 0.59 | 0.09 | 0.17 | 0.15 | 0.59 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.56 | -0.30 | -0.25 | -0.26 | 0.56 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | 0.16 | 0.06 | -5.43 | 0.87 | -5.09 | 0.80 |


| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | $P(A)$ | P(B) | P(C) | P(D) | P(-) | P(*) | ITCorr | Corr(A) | Corr(B) | Corr(C) | Corr(D) | M/F | W/B | W/H | 0/P | Meas | SEM | z-Infit | MS- <br> Infit | z-Outfit | $\begin{gathered} \text { MS- } \\ \text { Outfit } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 87 | 714622 | 3 | 5645 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.10 | 0.22 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.44 | 0.44 | -0.29 | -0.16 | -0.17 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.55 | 0.06 | 0.72 | 1.02 | 0.49 | 1.02 |
| 88 | 892751 | 3 | 5645 | 0.49 | 0.27 | 0.11 | 0.49 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.41 | -0.17 | -0.19 | 0.41 | -0.21 | B- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.69 | 0.06 | 2.81 | 1.07 | 2.80 | 1.10 |
| 89 | 889587 | 3 | 5645 | 0.58 | 0.11 | 0.21 | 0.58 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.51 | -0.28 | -0.23 | 0.51 | -0.24 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A+ | 0.23 | 0.06 | -2.49 | 0.94 | -2.83 | 0.89 |
| 90 | 889585 | 3 | 5645 | 0.72 | 0.09 | 0.72 | 0.13 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.56 | -0.26 | 0.56 | -0.30 | -0.29 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A- | -0.54 | 0.06 | -6.22 | 0.82 | -6.36 | 0.66 |
| 91 | 734728 | 3 | 5645 | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.16 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.42 | 0.42 | -0.21 | -0.22 | -0.22 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A+ | -0.35 | 0.06 | 0.61 | 1.02 | 0.12 | 1.01 |
| 92 | 674101 | 3 | 5645 | 0.57 | 0.57 | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.41 | 0.41 | -0.20 | -0.23 | -0.16 | A+ | A- | A+ | A+ | 0.29 | 0.06 | 1.88 | 1.05 | 0.99 | 1.04 |
| 93 | 809063 | 3 | 5645 | 0.76 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.76 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.43 | -0.27 | -0.22 | 0.43 | -0.19 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | -0.85 | 0.07 | -1.98 | 0.93 | 0.04 | 1.00 |
| 94 | 809193 | 3 | 5645 | 0.63 | 0.12 | 0.09 | 0.63 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.52 | -0.29 | -0.31 | 0.52 | -0.19 | A- | A- | A+ | A+ | -0.06 | 0.06 | -2.80 | 0.93 | -3.54 | 0.84 |
| 95 | 877357 | 3 | 5645 | 0.57 | 0.18 | 0.57 | 0.09 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 | -0.16 | 0.33 | -0.15 | -0.16 | A- | A+ | A+ | A+ | 0.30 | 0.06 | 6.72 | 1.18 | 6.74 | 1.29 |
| 96 | 809285 | 3 | 5645 | 0.63 | 0.08 | 0.63 | 0.09 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.51 | -0.27 | 0.51 | -0.24 | -0.27 | A- | A- | A- | A- | -0.06 | 0.06 | -4.71 | 0.88 | -3.37 | 0.85 |
| 97 | 890026 | 4 | 5639 | 0.63 | 0.13 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.63 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.49 | -0.17 | -0.31 | -0.24 | 0.49 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A- | -0.02 | 0.06 | -1.86 | 0.95 | -2.22 | 0.90 |
| 98 | 890029 | 4 | 5639 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.36 | 0.22 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.17 | -0.18 | -0.04 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A- | 2.18 | 0.07 | 8.62 | 1.31 | 9.90 | 1.93 |
| 99 | 868408 | 4 | 5639 | 0.48 | 0.24 | 0.21 | 0.48 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.49 | -0.21 | -0.27 | 0.49 | -0.18 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 0.80 | 0.06 | -1.41 | 0.97 | -1.10 | 0.96 |
| 100 | 809157 | 4 | 5639 | 0.61 | 0.16 | 0.09 | 0.61 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.51 | -0.26 | -0.28 | 0.51 | -0.21 | A+ | A- | A+ | A- | 0.08 | 0.06 | -2.89 | 0.93 | -3.76 | 0.85 |
| 101 | 892749 | 4 | 5639 | 0.62 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.24 | 0.62 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.43 | -0.23 | -0.27 | -0.19 | 0.43 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A- | 0.05 | 0.06 | 2.07 | 1.05 | 0.25 | 1.01 |
| 102 | 871923 | 4 | 5639 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.20 | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.46 | 0.46 | -0.22 | -0.28 | -0.18 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 0.26 | 0.06 | -0.25 | 0.99 | -1.13 | 0.96 |
| 103 | 868430 | 4 | 5639 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.26 | 0.25 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.41 | 0.41 | -0.14 | -0.19 | -0.12 | A+ | A+ | A- | A- | 1.59 | 0.06 | -0.71 | 0.98 | 3.84 | 1.18 |
| 104 | 880284 | 4 | 5639 | 0.56 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.56 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.38 | -0.16 | -0.18 | 0.38 | -0.20 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.39 | 0.06 | 2.37 | 1.06 | 1.21 | 1.04 |
| 105 | 889584 | 4 | 5639 | 0.44 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.39 | 0.44 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.13 | -0.28 | -0.30 | 0.20 | 0.13 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A+ | 0.99 | 0.06 | 9.90 | 1.43 | 9.90 | 1.56 |
| 106 | 889588 | 4 | 5639 | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.36 | 0.36 | -0.26 | -0.10 | -0.21 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A+ | -0.54 | 0.06 | 1.90 | 1.06 | 3.11 | 1.20 |
| 107 | 810561 | 4 | 5639 | 0.57 | 0.22 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.57 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.53 | -0.22 | -0.27 | -0.29 | 0.53 | A- | A- | B- | A+ | 0.29 | 0.06 | -5.86 | 0.86 | -4.74 | 0.83 |
| 108 | 810639 | 4 | 5639 | 0.59 | 0.07 | 0.22 | 0.59 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.45 | -0.17 | -0.30 | 0.45 | -0.16 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A- | 0.21 | 0.06 | 0.16 | 1.00 | -0.17 | 0.99 |
| 109 | 809058 | 4 | 5639 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.20 | 0.13 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.45 | 0.45 | -0.27 | -0.28 | -0.05 | A+ | A+ | A- | A- | 0.78 | 0.06 | -1.10 | 0.97 | 0.26 | 1.01 |
| 110 | 808356 | 4 | 5639 | 0.40 | 0.10 | 0.43 | 0.40 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.29 | -0.28 | 0.02 | 0.29 | -0.26 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 1.19 | 0.06 | 7.16 | 1.18 | 7.58 | 1.30 |
| 111 | 734723 | 4 | 5639 | 0.33 | 0.12 | 0.21 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.25 | -0.16 | 0.00 | -0.13 | 0.25 | A- | A+ | A+ | A+ | 1.55 | 0.06 | 6.24 | 1.17 | 5.84 | 1.27 |
| 112 | 868443 | 4 | 5639 | 0.41 | 0.16 | 0.15 | 0.41 | 0.27 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.37 | -0.19 | -0.17 | 0.37 | -0.11 | A+ | A- | A+ | A+ | 1.12 | 0.06 | 1.68 | 1.04 | 2.56 | 1.09 |
| 113 | 890027 | 5 | 5721 | 0.44 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.37 | 0.44 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.27 | -0.23 | -0.18 | -0.02 | 0.27 | A- | A- | A+ | A- | 0.97 | 0.06 | 9.38 | 1.24 | 8.82 | 1.33 |
| 114 | 890028 | 5 | 5721 | 0.33 | 0.24 | 0.21 | 0.33 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.08 | -0.13 | 0.12 | -0.09 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 1.56 | 0.06 | 9.90 | 1.30 | 9.90 | 1.53 |
| 115 | 808018 | 5 | 5721 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.20 | 0.12 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.08 | -0.26 | -0.28 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.65 | 0.06 | 6.73 | 1.17 | 8.08 | 1.29 |


| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | $P(A)$ | P(B) | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{C})$ | P(D) | $\mathrm{P}(-)$ | P(*) | ITCorr | Corrs(A) | Corr(B) | Corr(C) | Corr(D) | M/F | W/B | W/H | 0/P | Meas | SEM | z-Infit | MS- <br> Infit | z-Outfit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MS- } \\ & \text { Outfit } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 116 | 868409 | 5 | 5721 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.57 | 0.57 | -0.22 | -0.34 | -0.21 | A- | A+ | A+ | A+ | 0.49 | 0.06 | -6.02 | 0.86 | -5.70 | 0.82 |
| 117 | 869044 | 5 | 5721 | 0.56 | 0.20 | 0.56 | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 | -0.16 | 0.33 | -0.18 | -0.12 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.36 | 0.06 | 5.73 | 1.14 | 5.59 | 1.21 |
| 118 | 809685 | 5 | 5721 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.15 | 0.17 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.41 | 0.41 | -0.16 | -0.21 | -0.17 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A+ | 0.55 | 0.06 | -0.08 | 1.00 | -1.09 | 0.96 |
| 119 | 893661 | 5 | 5721 | 0.44 | 0.23 | 0.17 | 0.16 | 0.44 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.41 | -0.12 | -0.18 | -0.24 | 0.41 | A- | A+ | A+ | A- | 0.93 | 0.06 | 1.50 | 1.04 | 1.42 | 1.05 |
| 120 | 810033 | 5 | 5721 | 0.52 | 0.12 | 0.26 | 0.10 | 0.52 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.38 | -0.20 | -0.24 | -0.07 | 0.38 | A- | A+ | A- | A- | 0.56 | 0.06 | 4.21 | 1.10 | 3.57 | 1.12 |
| 121 | 889583 | 5 | 5721 | 0.57 | 0.18 | 0.13 | 0.57 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.38 | -0.12 | -0.27 | 0.38 | -0.17 | A+ | A+ | A- | A+ | 0.28 | 0.06 | 3.90 | 1.10 | 1.94 | 1.07 |
| 122 | 889586 | 5 | 5721 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.17 | 0.10 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.53 | 0.53 | -0.28 | -0.31 | -0.16 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A- | 0.45 | 0.06 | -5.18 | 0.88 | -5.02 | 0.84 |
| 123 | 741040 | 5 | 5721 | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.40 | 0.40 | -0.25 | -0.30 | -0.15 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | -0.56 | 0.06 | -0.22 | 0.99 | -0.34 | 0.98 |
| 124 | 809065 | 5 | 5721 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.38 | 0.05 | 0.28 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32 | 0.32 | -0.03 | -0.19 | -0.19 | A+ | A+ | A- | A- | 1.82 | 0.06 | 1.10 | 1.03 | 3.41 | 1.18 |
| 125 | 813652 | 5 | 5721 | 0.57 | 0.13 | 0.19 | 0.57 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.43 | -0.20 | -0.20 | 0.43 | -0.21 | A+ | A+ | A- | A+ | 0.30 | 0.06 | 0.22 | 1.00 | 0.38 | 1.01 |
| 126 | 892443 | 5 | 5721 | 0.42 | 0.09 | 0.42 | 0.23 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 | -0.27 | 0.08 | -0.01 | 0.09 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 1.05 | 0.06 | 9.90 | 1.48 | 9.90 | 1.69 |
| 127 | 809196 | 5 | 5721 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.13 | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.48 | 0.48 | -0.23 | -0.26 | -0.25 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | -0.45 | 0.06 | -3.70 | 0.90 | -2.26 | 0.88 |
| 128 | 880290 | 5 | 5721 | 0.49 | 0.15 | 0.49 | 0.15 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.43 | -0.17 | 0.43 | -0.23 | -0.17 | A+ | A- | A+ | A+ | 0.68 | 0.06 | 1.47 | 1.03 | 1.68 | 1.06 |
| 129 | 863861 | 6 | 5690 | 0.53 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.53 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.36 | -0.13 | -0.17 | 0.36 | -0.19 | A+ | A- | A+ | A+ | 0.47 | 0.06 | 2.64 | 1.06 | 2.10 | 1.07 |
| 130 | 863859 | 6 | 5690 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.16 | 0.30 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.25 | -0.16 | 0.00 | -0.21 | A- | A+ | A- | A+ | 1.03 | 0.06 | 7.80 | 1.19 | 7.95 | 1.29 |
| 131 | 868416 | 6 | 5690 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.13 | 0.38 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.17 | -0.29 | -0.09 | 0.16 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 2.03 | 0.06 | 5.58 | 1.19 | 7.42 | 1.49 |
| 132 | 868424 | 6 | 5690 | 0.60 | 0.21 | 0.60 | 0.13 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.28 | 0.00 | 0.28 | -0.26 | -0.20 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A+ | 0.11 | 0.06 | 6.15 | 1.15 | 4.88 | 1.20 |
| 133 | 810026 | 6 | 5690 | 0.56 | 0.10 | 0.24 | 0.09 | 0.56 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.46 | -0.22 | -0.18 | -0.28 | 0.46 | A+ | A+ | A- | A+ | 0.31 | 0.06 | -1.34 | 0.97 | -2.04 | 0.93 |
| 134 | 868412 | 6 | 5690 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.23 | 0.31 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.22 | 0.22 | -0.11 | 0.00 | -0.19 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 1.44 | 0.06 | 6.82 | 1.19 | 8.11 | 1.37 |
| 135 | 868431 | 6 | 5690 | 0.42 | 0.27 | 0.42 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.14 | -0.02 | 0.14 | -0.11 | -0.05 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 1.06 | 0.06 | 9.90 | 1.38 | 9.90 | 1.52 |
| 136 | 713993 | 6 | 5690 | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.43 | 0.43 | -0.19 | -0.12 | -0.20 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 1.30 | 0.06 | -1.29 | 0.97 | 1.26 | 1.05 |
| 137 | 892448 | 6 | 5690 | 0.43 | 0.14 | 0.43 | 0.07 | 0.36 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.02 | -0.16 | -0.02 | -0.11 | 0.20 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.99 | 0.06 | 9.90 | 1.47 | 9.90 | 1.68 |
| 138 | 892451 | 6 | 5690 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.17 | 0.16 | 0.27 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.01 | -0.13 | -0.08 | A+ | A+ | A- | A- | 1.16 | 0.06 | 9.90 | 1.30 | 9.90 | 1.43 |
| 139 | 816427 | 6 | 5690 | 0.49 | 0.26 | 0.06 | 0.49 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.34 | -0.13 | -0.28 | 0.34 | -0.12 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | 0.66 | 0.06 | 4.70 | 1.11 | 4.23 | 1.14 |
| 140 | 741703 | 6 | 5690 | 0.44 | 0.19 | 0.22 | 0.15 | 0.44 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.40 | -0.18 | -0.11 | -0.23 | 0.40 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A- | 0.94 | 0.06 | 2.10 | 1.05 | 2.50 | 1.08 |
| 141 | 808546 | 6 | 5690 | 0.67 | 0.11 | 0.17 | 0.67 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.41 | -0.23 | -0.18 | 0.41 | -0.22 | A+ | A+ | A- | A- | -0.24 | 0.06 | 0.93 | 1.02 | -0.35 | 0.98 |
| 142 | 811177 | 6 | 5690 | 0.79 | 0.08 | 0.79 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.46 | -0.22 | 0.46 | -0.21 | -0.29 | A- | A+ | A- | A- | -0.97 | 0.07 | -3.19 | 0.89 | -1.44 | 0.90 |
| 143 | 880328 | 6 | 5690 | 0.61 | 0.61 | 0.07 | 0.20 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.34 | 0.34 | -0.20 | -0.14 | -0.17 | A- | A- | A+ | A+ | 0.07 | 0.06 | 3.65 | 1.09 | 2.28 | 1.09 |
| 144 | 880337 | 6 | 5690 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.43 | 0.43 | -0.21 | -0.25 | -0.22 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A+ | -0.72 | 0.06 | -2.41 | 0.93 | -2.93 | 0.83 |


| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | $P(A)$ | P(B) | P(C) | P(D) | P(-) | P(*) | ITCorr | Corr(A) | Corr(B) | Corr(C) | Corr(D) | M/F | W/B | W/H | 0/P | Meas | SEM | z-Infit | MS- <br> Infit | z-Outfit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MS- } \\ & \text { Outfit } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 145 | 863858 | 7 | 5678 | 0.46 | 0.10 | 0.46 | 0.33 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.30 | -0.17 | 0.30 | -0.11 | -0.15 | A- | A+ | A+ | A+ | 0.85 | 0.06 | 5.81 | 1.14 | 5.30 | 1.18 |
| 146 | 863860 | 7 | 5678 | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.31 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.25 | -0.02 | -0.15 | -0.16 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A+ | 1.36 | 0.06 | 7.44 | 1.19 | 8.45 | 1.36 |
| 147 | 678878 | 7 | 5678 | 0.61 | 0.19 | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.61 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.53 | -0.25 | -0.24 | -0.29 | 0.53 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | 0.11 | 0.06 | -4.99 | 0.88 | -4.36 | 0.84 |
| 148 | 869844 | 7 | 5678 | 0.64 | 0.09 | 0.64 | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.44 | -0.24 | 0.44 | -0.30 | -0.13 | A- | A+ | A- | A- | -0.08 | 0.06 | 0.43 | 1.01 | 0.89 | 1.04 |
| 149 | 879256 | 7 | 5678 | 0.35 | 0.23 | 0.10 | 0.35 | 0.32 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.30 | -0.06 | -0.23 | 0.30 | -0.10 | B- | A- | A- | A+ | 1.45 | 0.06 | 3.80 | 1.10 | 5.61 | 1.24 |
| 150 | 868419 | 7 | 5678 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.15 | 0.19 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.45 | 0.45 | -0.28 | -0.12 | -0.24 | A- | A+ | A- | A- | 0.39 | 0.06 | -0.51 | 0.99 | -0.08 | 1.00 |
| 151 | 868434 | 7 | 5678 | 0.49 | 0.13 | 0.23 | 0.49 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.44 | -0.27 | -0.15 | 0.44 | -0.18 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A- | 0.72 | 0.06 | -0.68 | 0.98 | -0.69 | 0.98 |
| 152 | 721606 | 7 | 5678 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.43 | 0.43 | -0.13 | -0.26 | -0.22 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A+ | 0.36 | 0.06 | 0.66 | 1.02 | 0.65 | 1.02 |
| 153 | 892452 | 7 | 5678 | 0.49 | 0.16 | 0.49 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.35 | -0.16 | 0.35 | -0.26 | -0.05 | A- | A+ | A- | A+ | 0.70 | 0.06 | 3.22 | 1.08 | 2.89 | 1.09 |
| 154 | 892450 | 7 | 5678 | 0.14 | 0.24 | 0.14 | 0.45 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.16 | -0.04 | -0.16 | 0.24 | -0.12 | A+ | A- | A+ | A+ | 2.90 | 0.08 | 7.01 | 1.37 | 9.90 | 3.30 |
| 155 | 809317 | 7 | 5678 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.18 | 0.09 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32 | 0.32 | -0.18 | -0.20 | -0.07 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.73 | 0.06 | 7.66 | 1.19 | 7.75 | 1.27 |
| 156 | 868406 | 7 | 5678 | 0.46 | 0.10 | 0.14 | 0.46 | 0.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.18 | -0.25 | -0.15 | 0.18 | 0.09 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A+ | 0.84 | 0.06 | 9.90 | 1.31 | 9.90 | 1.44 |
| 157 | 869845 | 7 | 5678 | 0.80 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.80 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.48 | -0.27 | -0.21 | -0.29 | 0.48 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | -1.10 | 0.07 | -5.19 | 0.81 | -3.66 | 0.74 |
| 158 | 868439 | 7 | 5678 | 0.46 | 0.08 | 0.37 | 0.09 | 0.46 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.52 | -0.09 | -0.34 | -0.24 | 0.52 | A+ | A- | A+ | A- | 0.85 | 0.06 | -4.72 | 0.90 | -3.71 | 0.89 |
| 159 | 880293 | 7 | 5678 | 0.66 | 0.07 | 0.66 | 0.20 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.57 | -0.26 | 0.57 | -0.33 | -0.28 | A- | A+ | A+ | A+ | -0.22 | 0.06 | -7.69 | 0.80 | -7.30 | 0.70 |
| 160 | 643405 | 7 | 5678 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.12 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.41 | 0.41 | -0.17 | -0.24 | -0.14 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | 0.43 | 0.06 | 1.63 | 1.04 | 0.88 | 1.03 |
| 161 | 889577 | 8 | 5672 | 0.49 | 0.25 | 0.11 | 0.49 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 | -0.04 | -0.28 | 0.33 | -0.17 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | 0.69 | 0.06 | 5.05 | 1.12 | 4.17 | 1.14 |
| 162 | 889578 | 8 | 5672 | 0.64 | 0.07 | 0.64 | 0.09 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.48 | -0.26 | 0.48 | -0.25 | -0.22 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | -0.09 | 0.06 | -1.43 | 0.96 | -1.91 | 0.92 |
| 163 | 808543 | 8 | 5672 | 0.47 | 0.19 | 0.47 | 0.12 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.38 | -0.16 | 0.38 | -0.08 | -0.24 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.78 | 0.06 | 3.77 | 1.09 | 4.16 | 1.14 |
| 164 | 882803 | 8 | 5672 | 0.53 | 0.11 | 0.09 | 0.27 | 0.53 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.47 | -0.17 | -0.27 | -0.23 | 0.47 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A+ | 0.46 | 0.06 | -0.91 | 0.98 | -1.47 | 0.95 |
| 165 | 868413 | 8 | 5672 | 0.67 | 0.11 | 0.67 | 0.05 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32 | -0.19 | 0.32 | -0.25 | -0.09 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | -0.28 | 0.06 | 3.88 | 1.11 | 3.56 | 1.19 |
| 166 | 880331 | 8 | 5672 | 0.58 | 0.05 | 0.25 | 0.12 | 0.58 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.39 | -0.20 | -0.15 | -0.26 | 0.39 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | 0.20 | 0.06 | 1.70 | 1.04 | 0.69 | 1.03 |
| 167 | 868415 | 8 | 5672 | 0.62 | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.62 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.40 | -0.26 | -0.24 | 0.40 | -0.11 | A- | A+ | A+ | A- | 0.03 | 0.06 | 2.09 | 1.05 | 2.82 | 1.12 |
| 168 | 809692 | 8 | 5672 | 0.46 | 0.19 | 0.13 | 0.46 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.40 | -0.29 | -0.11 | 0.40 | -0.12 | A+ | A+ | A- | A+ | 0.84 | 0.06 | 2.65 | 1.06 | 2.99 | 1.10 |
| 169 | 892453 | 8 | 5672 | 0.45 | 0.27 | 0.07 | 0.45 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.00 | -0.23 | 0.25 | -0.16 | A+ | A+ | A- | A+ | 0.92 | 0.06 | 8.82 | 1.22 | 8.45 | 1.31 |
| 170 | 892449 | 8 | 5672 | 0.48 | 0.09 | 0.34 | 0.48 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.25 | -0.27 | 0.01 | 0.25 | -0.18 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | 0.76 | 0.06 | 7.89 | 1.19 | 6.66 | 1.24 |
| 171 | 809055 | 8 | 5672 | 0.63 | 0.21 | 0.63 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.43 | -0.16 | 0.43 | -0.31 | -0.22 | A+ | A+ | A- | A- | -0.02 | 0.06 | 0.65 | 1.02 | -0.35 | 0.98 |
| 172 | 871925 | 8 | 5672 | 0.69 | 0.16 | 0.69 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.48 | -0.29 | 0.48 | -0.22 | -0.21 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | -0.38 | 0.06 | -2.26 | 0.94 | -3.09 | 0.85 |
| 173 | 741013 | 8 | 5672 | 0.71 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.71 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.53 | -0.27 | -0.29 | 0.53 | -0.25 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | -0.51 | 0.06 | -4.76 | 0.87 | -3.74 | 0.80 |


| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | $P(A)$ | P(B) | P(C) | P(D) | P(-) | P(*) | ITCorr | Corr(A) | Corr(B) | Corr(C) | Corr(D) | M/F | W/B | W/H | 0/P | Meas | SEM | z-Infit | MS- <br> Infit | z-Outfit | $\begin{gathered} \text { MS- } \\ \text { Outfit } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 174 | 868452 | 8 | 5672 | 0.68 | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.68 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.40 | -0.17 | -0.24 | 0.40 | -0.20 | A+ | A+ | A- | A+ | -0.33 | 0.06 | -0.78 | 0.98 | -0.50 | 0.97 |
| 175 | 810560 | 8 | 5672 | 0.53 | 0.13 | 0.53 | 0.07 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.44 | -0.15 | 0.44 | -0.30 | -0.20 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.48 | 0.06 | -0.77 | 0.98 | -0.88 | 0.97 |
| 176 | 892429 | 8 | 5672 | 0.37 | 0.17 | 0.33 | 0.37 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.28 | -0.21 | 0.00 | 0.28 | -0.16 | A+ | A- | A+ | A+ | 1.33 | 0.06 | 6.74 | 1.18 | 8.06 | 1.35 |
| 177 | 889582 | 9 | 5646 | 0.51 | 0.12 | 0.51 | 0.19 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32 | -0.09 | 0.32 | -0.24 | -0.10 | A+ | A+ | A- | A- | 0.59 | 0.06 | 5.81 | 1.13 | 4.73 | 1.16 |
| 178 | 889580 | 9 | 5646 | 0.34 | 0.24 | 0.11 | 0.34 | 0.31 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.18 | -0.12 | -0.24 | 0.18 | 0.09 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | 1.52 | 0.06 | 8.47 | 1.24 | 9.84 | 1.47 |
| 179 | 869048 | 9 | 5646 | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.14 | 0.07 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.51 | 0.51 | -0.25 | -0.25 | -0.26 | A- | A- | A- | A- | -0.03 | 0.06 | -3.14 | 0.92 | -3.50 | 0.87 |
| 180 | 868410 | 9 | 5646 | 0.59 | 0.11 | 0.18 | 0.12 | 0.59 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.52 | -0.27 | -0.20 | -0.29 | 0.52 | A+ | A- | A+ | A- | 0.18 | 0.06 | -3.40 | 0.92 | -4.00 | 0.86 |
| 181 | 869045 | 9 | 5646 | 0.30 | 0.22 | 0.30 | 0.23 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.13 | -0.06 | 0.13 | -0.19 | 0.10 | A- | A- | A+ | A- | 1.74 | 0.06 | 8.93 | 1.27 | 9.90 | 1.63 |
| 182 | 880330 | 9 | 5646 | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 0.29 | -0.24 | -0.27 | 0.00 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A- | 0.01 | 0.06 | 6.12 | 1.16 | 8.78 | 1.39 |
| 183 | 871924 | 9 | 5646 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.15 | 0.17 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.48 | 0.48 | -0.25 | -0.23 | -0.18 | A- | A+ | A- | A- | 0.42 | 0.06 | -2.10 | 0.95 | -2.31 | 0.93 |
| 184 | 879426 | 9 | 5646 | 0.40 | 0.33 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.37 | -0.19 | -0.09 | -0.17 | 0.37 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 1.19 | 0.06 | 2.50 | 1.06 | 3.86 | 1.14 |
| 185 | 896414 | 9 | 5646 | 0.46 | 0.10 | 0.25 | 0.46 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.31 | -0.02 | -0.11 | 0.31 | -0.26 | A+ | A+ | A- | A- | 0.86 | 0.06 | 5.41 | 1.13 | 5.03 | 1.17 |
| 186 | 896417 | 9 | 5646 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.20 | 0.19 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.34 | 0.34 | -0.12 | -0.18 | -0.15 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | 0.77 | 0.06 | 5.18 | 1.12 | 5.80 | 1.19 |
| 187 | 877359 | 9 | 5646 | 0.52 | 0.11 | 0.23 | 0.14 | 0.52 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.48 | -0.18 | -0.24 | -0.23 | 0.48 | A+ | A- | A+ | A+ | 0.57 | 0.06 | -1.59 | 0.96 | -1.29 | 0.96 |
| 188 | 811188 | 9 | 5646 | 0.58 | 0.15 | 0.12 | 0.58 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 | -0.08 | -0.18 | 0.33 | -0.20 | A+ | A+ | A- | A- | 0.25 | 0.06 | 4.93 | 1.12 | 5.72 | 1.21 |
| 189 | 809197 | 9 | 5646 | 0.65 | 0.06 | 0.13 | 0.65 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.45 | -0.23 | -0.29 | 0.45 | -0.16 | A+ | A- | A+ | A+ | -0.16 | 0.06 | -2.57 | 0.94 | -3.02 | 0.87 |
| 190 | 868453 | 9 | 5646 | 0.18 | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.45 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.18 | -0.09 | 0.18 | -0.14 | 0.09 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A- | 2.52 | 0.07 | 3.15 | 1.13 | 7.73 | 1.70 |
| 191 | 877371 | 9 | 5646 | 0.55 | 0.19 | 0.12 | 0.55 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.52 | -0.27 | -0.25 | 0.52 | -0.21 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A+ | 0.41 | 0.06 | -2.13 | 0.95 | -2.60 | 0.92 |
| 192 | 808352 | 9 | 5646 | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.38 | 0.38 | -0.28 | -0.28 | -0.11 | A- | A- | A+ | A+ | -0.29 | 0.06 | 0.84 | 1.02 | 3.18 | 1.16 |
| 193 | 889581 | 10 | 5600 | 0.59 | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.59 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.57 | -0.21 | -0.31 | -0.28 | 0.57 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 0.23 | 0.06 | -7.04 | 0.84 | -6.10 | 0.78 |
| 194 | 889579 | 10 | 5600 | 0.55 | 0.11 | 0.55 | 0.23 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.45 | -0.27 | 0.45 | -0.19 | -0.19 | A- | A- | A+ | A- | 0.42 | 0.06 | -0.04 | 1.00 | -0.96 | 0.97 |
| 195 | 880313 | 10 | 5600 | 0.71 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.13 | 0.71 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.47 | -0.23 | -0.21 | -0.26 | 0.47 | A+ | A+ | A- | A+ | -0.44 | 0.06 | -1.77 | 0.95 | -1.89 | 0.90 |
| 196 | 882793 | 10 | 5600 | 0.53 | 0.25 | 0.53 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.45 | -0.14 | 0.45 | -0.33 | -0.18 | A- | A+ | A+ | A- | 0.54 | 0.06 | 0.40 | 1.01 | 0.11 | 1.00 |
| 197 | 808347 | 10 | 5600 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.08 | 0.22 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.39 | 0.39 | -0.19 | -0.27 | -0.08 | A- | A+ | A+ | A+ | 0.53 | 0.06 | 2.52 | 1.06 | 1.81 | 1.06 |
| 198 | 882791 | 10 | 5600 | 0.72 | 0.13 | 0.72 | 0.04 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.50 | -0.26 | 0.50 | -0.23 | -0.30 | A- | A- | A- | A- | -0.52 | 0.06 | -4.71 | 0.87 | -4.02 | 0.78 |
| 199 | 868420 | 10 | 5600 | 0.74 | 0.10 | 0.74 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.51 | -0.26 | 0.51 | -0.29 | -0.25 | A- | A+ | A- | A+ | -0.67 | 0.06 | -4.07 | 0.88 | -4.19 | 0.75 |
| 200 | 877370 | 10 | 5600 | 0.49 | 0.14 | 0.23 | 0.49 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.28 | -0.30 | 0.05 | 0.28 | -0.17 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 0.71 | 0.06 | 8.43 | 1.21 | 7.43 | 1.27 |
| 201 | 896416 | 10 | 5600 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.21 | 0.20 | 0.27 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.17 | -0.21 | -0.17 | 0.18 | A- | A+ | A- | A- | 1.65 | 0.06 | 9.05 | 1.27 | 9.78 | 1.52 |
| 202 | 896415 | 10 | 5600 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.34 | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.16 | -0.21 | -0.16 | A- | A+ | A+ | A- | 1.12 | 0.06 | 9.90 | 1.39 | 9.90 | 1.55 |


| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | $P(A)$ | P(B) | P(C) | P(D) | P(-) | P(*) | ITCorr | Corr(A) | Corr(B) | Corr(C) | Corr(D) | M/F | W/B | W/H | 0/P | Meas | SEM | z-Infit | MS- <br> Infit | z-Outfit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MS- } \\ & \text { Outfit } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 203 | 868446 | 10 | 5600 | 0.62 | 0.08 | 0.18 | 0.12 | 0.62 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.24 | -0.20 | -0.14 | -0.02 | 0.24 | A+ | A+ | A- | A- | 0.05 | 0.06 | 7.56 | 1.20 | 9.90 | 1.49 |
| 204 | 868968 | 10 | 5600 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.09 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.44 | 0.44 | -0.25 | -0.22 | -0.11 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 1.01 | 0.06 | -1.03 | 0.98 | 0.48 | 1.02 |
| 205 | 868969 | 10 | 5600 | 0.75 | 0.05 | 0.15 | 0.75 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.48 | -0.28 | -0.24 | 0.48 | -0.26 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | -0.68 | 0.06 | -3.43 | 0.90 | -3.57 | 0.79 |
| 206 | 868444 | 10 | 5600 | 0.48 | 0.16 | 0.19 | 0.48 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.39 | -0.19 | -0.24 | 0.39 | -0.06 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A+ | 0.80 | 0.06 | 2.85 | 1.07 | 3.09 | 1.11 |
| 207 | 868448 | 10 | 5600 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.26 | 0.11 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.47 | 0.47 | -0.26 | -0.26 | -0.12 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.51 | 0.06 | -2.26 | 0.95 | -1.91 | 0.93 |
| 208 | 809061 | 10 | 5600 | 0.64 | 0.16 | 0.64 | 0.07 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.44 | -0.21 | 0.44 | -0.27 | -0.20 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | -0.07 | 0.06 | 0.35 | 1.01 | -0.08 | 1.00 |
| 209 | 880867 | 11 | 5590 | 0.64 | 0.09 | 0.13 | 0.64 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.49 | -0.29 | -0.26 | 0.49 | -0.18 | A- | A+ | A+ | A+ | -0.08 | 0.06 | -3.26 | 0.92 | -2.77 | 0.88 |
| 210 | 880863 | 11 | 5590 | 0.62 | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.62 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.52 | -0.24 | -0.29 | 0.52 | -0.23 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A+ | -0.01 | 0.06 | -4.65 | 0.89 | -3.87 | 0.84 |
| 211 | 868423 | 11 | 5590 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.20 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.41 | 0.41 | -0.20 | -0.15 | -0.22 | A- | A+ | A+ | A- | 0.46 | 0.06 | 0.85 | 1.02 | -0.22 | 0.99 |
| 212 | 880285 | 11 | 5590 | 0.53 | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.53 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.43 | -0.10 | -0.21 | 0.43 | -0.27 | A+ | A- | A+ | A+ | 0.48 | 0.06 | 0.86 | 1.02 | 0.72 | 1.02 |
| 213 | 880000 | 11 | 5590 | 0.37 | 0.41 | 0.16 | 0.37 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.25 | -0.02 | -0.18 | 0.25 | -0.19 | A- | A- | A+ | A+ | 1.29 | 0.06 | 6.53 | 1.17 | 7.83 | 1.33 |
| 214 | 882801 | 11 | 5590 | 0.57 | 0.09 | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.57 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.39 | -0.26 | -0.25 | -0.07 | 0.39 | A- | A- | A+ | A- | 0.27 | 0.06 | 1.80 | 1.04 | 2.99 | 1.12 |
| 215 | 739680 | 11 | 5590 | 0.30 | 0.26 | 0.09 | 0.35 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.38 | -0.05 | -0.20 | -0.19 | 0.38 | A- | A+ | A- | A+ | 1.72 | 0.06 | -1.22 | 0.97 | 0.83 | 1.04 |
| 216 | 878715 | 11 | 5590 | 0.37 | 0.31 | 0.37 | 0.20 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.12 | -0.02 | -0.15 | A+ | A- | A+ | A- | 1.33 | 0.06 | 9.90 | 1.34 | 9.90 | 1.52 |
| 217 | 896413 | 11 | 5590 | 0.52 | 0.10 | 0.52 | 0.21 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.39 | -0.21 | 0.39 | -0.19 | -0.13 | A- | A+ | A- | A- | 0.52 | 0.06 | 3.15 | 1.07 | 3.01 | 1.11 |
| 218 | 896418 | 11 | 5590 | 0.59 | 0.11 | 0.16 | 0.15 | 0.59 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.37 | -0.14 | -0.22 | -0.15 | 0.37 | A+ | A+ | A- | A- | 0.19 | 0.06 | 2.88 | 1.07 | 1.85 | 1.07 |
| 219 | 811186 | 11 | 5590 | 0.75 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.52 | -0.28 | -0.26 | -0.28 | 0.52 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A+ | -0.73 | 0.06 | -6.34 | 0.81 | -5.00 | 0.71 |
| 220 | 892441 | 11 | 5590 | 0.84 | 0.09 | 0.03 | 0.84 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.43 | -0.28 | -0.22 | 0.43 | -0.20 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | -1.40 | 0.07 | -3.17 | 0.86 | -2.32 | 0.79 |
| 221 | 880345 | 11 | 5590 | 0.45 | 0.10 | 0.29 | 0.45 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.35 | -0.22 | -0.09 | 0.35 | -0.18 | A- | A+ | A- | A+ | 0.88 | 0.06 | 4.89 | 1.12 | 4.45 | 1.16 |
| 222 | 868456 | 11 | 5590 | 0.61 | 0.04 | 0.13 | 0.22 | 0.61 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.45 | -0.23 | -0.32 | -0.15 | 0.45 | A- | A- | A+ | A+ | 0.08 | 0.06 | -1.75 | 0.96 | -1.60 | 0.94 |
| 223 | 643347 | 11 | 5590 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.16 | 0.19 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.27 | 0.27 | -0.23 | -0.21 | 0.09 | A- | A+ | A+ | A- | 1.19 | 0.06 | 6.18 | 1.16 | 6.37 | 1.25 |
| 224 | 896412 | 11 | 5590 | 0.43 | 0.14 | 0.27 | 0.43 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.31 | -0.22 | -0.12 | 0.31 | -0.06 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | 0.97 | 0.06 | 6.12 | 1.15 | 6.86 | 1.25 |
| 225 | 880865 | 12 | 5633 | 0.80 | 0.05 | 0.80 | 0.11 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.41 | -0.23 | 0.41 | -0.23 | -0.23 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | -1.12 | 0.07 | -0.99 | 0.96 | -0.92 | 0.93 |
| 226 | 880866 | 12 | 5633 | 0.61 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.61 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.48 | -0.24 | -0.15 | -0.30 | 0.48 | A- | A- | A+ | A- | 0.06 | 0.06 | -2.87 | 0.93 | -2.04 | 0.92 |
| 227 | 868411 | 12 | 5633 | 0.48 | 0.19 | 0.09 | 0.24 | 0.48 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.50 | -0.26 | -0.27 | -0.16 | 0.50 | A+ | A- | A+ | A- | 0.76 | 0.06 | -3.69 | 0.92 | -3.16 | 0.90 |
| 228 | 677980 | 12 | 5633 | 0.18 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.16 | 0.49 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.10 | -0.09 | 0.10 | -0.18 | 0.13 | A- | A+ | A+ | A- | 2.53 | 0.07 | 3.01 | 1.12 | 9.90 | 2.22 |
| 229 | 812547 | 12 | 5633 | 0.57 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 0.26 | 0.57 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.30 | -0.25 | -0.13 | -0.12 | 0.30 | A+ | A+ | A- | A+ | 0.29 | 0.06 | 5.87 | 1.15 | 4.77 | 1.18 |
| 230 | 882792 | 12 | 5633 | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.06 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.47 | 0.47 | -0.28 | -0.24 | -0.21 | A- | A+ | A+ | A- | -0.39 | 0.06 | -1.71 | 0.95 | -3.15 | 0.85 |
| 231 | 819051 | 12 | 5633 | 0.68 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.19 | 0.68 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.58 | -0.29 | -0.28 | -0.34 | 0.58 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | -0.31 | 0.06 | -7.13 | 0.81 | -7.08 | 0.69 |


| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | $P(A)$ | P(B) | P(C) | P(D) | P(-) | P(*) | ITCorr | Corr(A) | Corr(B) | Corr(C) | Corr(D) | M/F | W/B | W/H | 0/P | Meas | SEM | z-Infit | MS- <br> Infit | z-Outfit | $\begin{gathered} \text { MS- } \\ \text { Outfit } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 232 | 678880 | 12 | 5633 | 0.57 | 0.09 | 0.57 | 0.21 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.31 | -0.18 | 0.31 | -0.15 | -0.12 | A- | A- | A+ | A- | 0.29 | 0.06 | 5.50 | 1.14 | 5.93 | 1.22 |
| 233 | 856512 | 12 | 5633 | 0.50 | 0.16 | 0.22 | 0.50 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.23 | -0.07 | -0.17 | 0.23 | -0.05 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 0.63 | 0.06 | 9.90 | 1.25 | 9.90 | 1.38 |
| 234 | 856511 | 12 | 5633 | 0.49 | 0.32 | 0.49 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.15 | -0.31 | -0.25 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 0.68 | 0.06 | 9.90 | 1.34 | 9.90 | 1.47 |
| 235 | 809064 | 12 | 5633 | 0.83 | 0.05 | 0.83 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.51 | -0.29 | 0.51 | -0.27 | -0.27 | A+ | B- | A- | A+ | -1.31 | 0.07 | -5.14 | 0.79 | -6.24 | 0.55 |
| 236 | 869043 | 12 | 5633 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.25 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.35 | 0.35 | -0.03 | -0.25 | -0.24 | A+ | A+ | A- | A- | 0.65 | 0.06 | 6.03 | 1.15 | 5.96 | 1.20 |
| 237 | 877358 | 12 | 5633 | 0.48 | 0.08 | 0.24 | 0.20 | 0.48 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.21 | -0.25 | -0.01 | -0.08 | 0.21 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | 0.73 | 0.06 | 9.90 | 1.29 | 9.90 | 1.40 |
| 238 | 879999 | 12 | 5633 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.11 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.46 | 0.46 | -0.28 | -0.19 | -0.16 | A+ | A- | A+ | A- | 0.59 | 0.06 | -1.42 | 0.97 | -1.00 | 0.97 |
| 239 | 811173 | 12 | 5633 | 0.59 | 0.15 | 0.59 | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.49 | -0.29 | 0.49 | -0.23 | -0.17 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A+ | 0.16 | 0.06 | -3.52 | 0.92 | -3.31 | 0.88 |
| 240 | 893663 | 12 | 5633 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.06 | 0.13 | 0.31 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.46 | 0.46 | -0.25 | -0.26 | -0.17 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | 0.64 | 0.06 | -1.38 | 0.97 | -1.55 | 0.95 |
| 241 | 880868 | 13 | 5671 | 0.57 | 0.19 | 0.57 | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.44 | -0.15 | 0.44 | -0.28 | -0.21 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.29 | 0.06 | 0.24 | 1.01 | -0.43 | 0.98 |
| 242 | 880864 | 13 | 5671 | 0.61 | 0.61 | 0.13 | 0.08 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.42 | 0.42 | -0.21 | -0.27 | -0.16 | A- | A- | A+ | A+ | 0.10 | 0.06 | -0.21 | 0.99 | -0.70 | 0.97 |
| 243 | 721608 | 13 | 5671 | 0.55 | 0.17 | 0.55 | 0.18 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.41 | -0.16 | 0.41 | -0.21 | -0.21 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A- | 0.37 | 0.06 | 3.31 | 1.08 | 1.23 | 1.05 |
| 244 | 810614 | 13 | 5671 | 0.37 | 0.17 | 0.11 | 0.37 | 0.34 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.12 | -0.08 | -0.22 | 0.12 | 0.09 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | 1.35 | 0.06 | 9.90 | 1.41 | 9.90 | 1.61 |
| 245 | 882798 | 13 | 5671 | 0.56 | 0.17 | 0.16 | 0.11 | 0.56 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.34 | -0.13 | -0.08 | -0.27 | 0.34 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | 0.34 | 0.06 | 4.06 | 1.10 | 3.16 | 1.12 |
| 246 | 877369 | 13 | 5671 | 0.73 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.73 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.56 | -0.33 | -0.32 | 0.56 | -0.20 | A- | A- | A+ | A- | -0.63 | 0.06 | -5.52 | 0.84 | -5.83 | 0.68 |
| 247 | 810025 | 13 | 5671 | 0.61 | 0.18 | 0.10 | 0.61 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.34 | -0.09 | -0.24 | 0.34 | -0.17 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | 0.06 | 0.06 | 4.45 | 1.12 | 3.23 | 1.14 |
| 248 | 868421 | 13 | 5671 | 0.66 | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0.66 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.45 | -0.17 | -0.25 | 0.45 | -0.25 | A- | A+ | A+ | A+ | -0.19 | 0.06 | -0.34 | 0.99 | 0.96 | 1.05 |
| 249 | 856510 | 13 | 5671 | 0.70 | 0.10 | 0.70 | 0.16 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.51 | -0.32 | 0.51 | -0.25 | -0.23 | A- | A- | A+ | A- | -0.46 | 0.06 | -3.64 | 0.90 | -3.91 | 0.80 |
| 250 | 856507 | 13 | 5671 | 0.44 | 0.32 | 0.08 | 0.44 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32 | -0.06 | -0.23 | 0.32 | -0.18 | A- | A- | A+ | A- | 0.98 | 0.06 | 5.82 | 1.15 | 5.82 | 1.22 |
| 251 | 878950 | 13 | 5671 | 0.65 | 0.11 | 0.09 | 0.15 | 0.65 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.45 | -0.24 | -0.20 | -0.23 | 0.45 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | -0.13 | 0.06 | 0.77 | 1.02 | 0.78 | 1.04 |
| 252 | 878949 | 13 | 5671 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.55 | 0.55 | -0.29 | -0.31 | -0.26 | A+ | A- | A+ | A- | -0.99 | 0.07 | -4.93 | 0.84 | -5.35 | 0.64 |
| 253 | 878952 | 13 | 5671 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.49 | 0.49 | -0.27 | -0.28 | -0.24 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | -1.34 | 0.07 | -5.65 | 0.78 | -5.35 | 0.58 |
| 254 | 880327 | 13 | 5671 | 0.51 | 0.26 | 0.51 | 0.14 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.50 | -0.23 | 0.50 | -0.31 | -0.15 | A+ | A+ | A- | A- | 0.59 | 0.06 | -3.16 | 0.93 | -3.16 | 0.89 |
| 255 | 737659 | 13 | 5671 | 0.74 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.74 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.55 | -0.26 | -0.30 | -0.29 | 0.55 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | -0.65 | 0.06 | -6.79 | 0.81 | -6.10 | 0.66 |
| 256 | 813413 | 13 | 5671 | 0.56 | 0.12 | 0.56 | 0.24 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.45 | -0.21 | 0.45 | -0.21 | -0.23 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.32 | 0.06 | -0.75 | 0.98 | -0.50 | 0.98 |
| 257 | 869131 | 14 | 5612 | 0.37 | 0.16 | 0.29 | 0.37 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.16 | -0.11 | 0.03 | 0.16 | -0.13 | A- | A+ | A- | A- | 1.36 | 0.06 | 9.90 | 1.31 | 9.90 | 1.51 |
| 258 | 869144 | 14 | 5612 | 0.71 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.71 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.48 | -0.23 | -0.26 | -0.25 | 0.48 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A- | -0.46 | 0.06 | -2.32 | 0.93 | -1.56 | 0.91 |
| 259 | 871930 | 14 | 5612 | 0.74 | 0.11 | 0.74 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.47 | -0.23 | 0.47 | -0.28 | -0.22 | A+ | A+ | A- | A- | -0.63 | 0.06 | -2.17 | 0.93 | -1.27 | 0.92 |
| 260 | 808541 | 14 | 5612 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.07 | 0.30 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 | 0.33 | -0.24 | -0.15 | -0.10 | A- | A+ | A- | A+ | 0.78 | 0.06 | 6.04 | 1.15 | 5.50 | 1.20 |


| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | $P(A)$ | P(B) | P(C) | P(D) | P(-) | P(*) | ITCorr | Corr(A) | Corr(B) | Corr(C) | Corr(D) | M/F | W/B | W/H | 0/P | Meas | SEM | z-Infit | MS- <br> Infit | z-Outfit | $\begin{gathered} \text { MS- } \\ \text { Outfit } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 261 | 880325 | 14 | 5612 | 0.62 | 0.14 | 0.62 | 0.13 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.48 | -0.22 | 0.48 | -0.24 | -0.23 | A- | A- | A+ | A- | 0.06 | 0.06 | -0.33 | 0.99 | -0.37 | 0.98 |
| 262 | 869826 | 14 | 5612 | 0.56 | 0.15 | 0.56 | 0.18 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.47 | -0.26 | 0.47 | -0.24 | -0.14 | A- | A+ | A- | A- | 0.37 | 0.06 | 0.38 | 1.01 | -0.90 | 0.97 |
| 263 | 880323 | 14 | 5612 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.09 | 0.18 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.43 | 0.43 | -0.20 | -0.21 | -0.25 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | -0.10 | 0.06 | -0.19 | 0.99 | 1.11 | 1.05 |
| 264 | 713992 | 14 | 5612 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.11 | 0.33 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.46 | 0.46 | -0.26 | -0.20 | -0.15 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A+ | 1.00 | 0.06 | -1.19 | 0.97 | -0.20 | 0.99 |
| 265 | 856506 | 14 | 5612 | 0.55 | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.55 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.40 | -0.20 | -0.13 | 0.40 | -0.21 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | 0.38 | 0.06 | 4.38 | 1.11 | 4.62 | 1.18 |
| 266 | 856508 | 14 | 5612 | 0.45 | 0.16 | 0.24 | 0.45 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.41 | -0.13 | -0.23 | 0.41 | -0.16 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A+ | 0.92 | 0.06 | 0.98 | 1.02 | 1.40 | 1.05 |
| 267 | 868455 | 14 | 5612 | 0.65 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.20 | 0.65 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.52 | -0.26 | -0.26 | -0.27 | 0.52 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | -0.16 | 0.06 | -4.66 | 0.88 | -3.98 | 0.82 |
| 268 | 810286 | 14 | 5612 | 0.74 | 0.07 | 0.74 | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.50 | -0.27 | 0.50 | -0.24 | -0.26 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A- | -0.66 | 0.06 | -3.66 | 0.89 | -3.11 | 0.82 |
| 269 | 868458 | 14 | 5612 | 0.73 | 0.05 | 0.73 | 0.09 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.48 | -0.27 | 0.48 | -0.24 | -0.24 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | -0.58 | 0.06 | -3.21 | 0.91 | -2.48 | 0.86 |
| 270 | 868437 | 14 | 5612 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.09 | 0.18 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.15 | -0.21 | -0.15 | 0.11 | A- | A- | B- | A+ | 2.22 | 0.07 | 4.95 | 1.18 | 9.11 | 1.72 |
| 271 | 871938 | 14 | 5612 | 0.66 | 0.16 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.66 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.39 | -0.09 | -0.27 | -0.25 | 0.39 | A- | A+ | A- | A+ | -0.16 | 0.06 | 0.73 | 1.02 | 1.09 | 1.05 |
| 272 | 880346 | 14 | 5612 | 0.43 | 0.15 | 0.31 | 0.43 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.28 | -0.15 | -0.02 | 0.28 | -0.25 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A+ | 1.00 | 0.06 | 8.82 | 1.23 | 9.06 | 1.35 |
| 273 | 869148 | 15 | 5693 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.13 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.52 | 0.52 | -0.34 | -0.28 | -0.17 | A- | A- | A- | A- | -0.11 | 0.06 | -3.40 | 0.92 | -3.49 | 0.85 |
| 274 | 869132 | 15 | 5693 | 0.38 | 0.25 | 0.20 | 0.17 | 0.38 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.36 | -0.07 | -0.19 | -0.19 | 0.36 | A+ | A+ | A- | A- | 1.26 | 0.06 | 3.40 | 1.09 | 4.40 | 1.17 |
| 275 | 871932 | 15 | 5693 | 0.48 | 0.14 | 0.20 | 0.48 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.36 | -0.20 | -0.29 | 0.36 | 0.01 | A- | A- | A+ | A- | 0.73 | 0.06 | 2.33 | 1.06 | 2.06 | 1.07 |
| 276 | 868414 | 15 | 5693 | 0.55 | 0.19 | 0.55 | 0.18 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.40 | -0.24 | 0.40 | -0.17 | -0.15 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A+ | 0.36 | 0.06 | 1.74 | 1.04 | 0.66 | 1.02 |
| 277 | 816145 | 15 | 5693 | 0.57 | 0.19 | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.57 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.47 | -0.19 | -0.25 | -0.24 | 0.47 | A- | A+ | A+ | A+ | 0.28 | 0.06 | -1.90 | 0.96 | -3.28 | 0.88 |
| 278 | 880314 | 15 | 5693 | 0.50 | 0.44 | 0.50 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.09 | -0.20 | -0.22 | A- | A+ | A+ | A+ | 0.63 | 0.06 | 9.90 | 1.42 | 9.90 | 1.55 |
| 279 | 892746 | 15 | 5693 | 0.30 | 0.07 | 0.30 | 0.14 | 0.49 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.16 | -0.21 | 0.16 | -0.25 | 0.14 | A- | A- | A+ | A+ | 1.74 | 0.06 | 8.91 | 1.28 | 9.21 | 1.52 |
| 280 | 868426 | 15 | 5693 | 0.64 | 0.06 | 0.16 | 0.64 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.39 | -0.10 | -0.10 | 0.39 | -0.36 | A- | A+ | A- | A+ | -0.11 | 0.06 | 1.09 | 1.03 | 0.28 | 1.01 |
| 281 | 856059 | 15 | 5693 | 0.33 | 0.04 | 0.46 | 0.17 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.28 | -0.23 | -0.10 | -0.09 | 0.28 | A+ | A+ | A- | A+ | 1.54 | 0.06 | 4.73 | 1.13 | 5.81 | 1.27 |
| 282 | 856062 | 15 | 5693 | 0.57 | 0.57 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.38 | 0.38 | -0.19 | -0.20 | -0.14 | A- | A+ | A+ | A+ | 0.28 | 0.06 | 3.18 | 1.08 | 3.28 | 1.13 |
| 283 | 871939 | 15 | 5693 | 0.52 | 0.11 | 0.33 | 0.03 | 0.52 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.29 | -0.13 | -0.14 | -0.20 | 0.29 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | 0.51 | 0.06 | 6.66 | 1.17 | 4.86 | 1.18 |
| 284 | 880347 | 15 | 5693 | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.16 | 0.44 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.13 | -0.06 | -0.05 | -0.02 | 0.13 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 2.45 | 0.07 | 3.53 | 1.14 | 9.18 | 1.84 |
| 285 | 879427 | 15 | 5693 | 0.63 | 0.06 | 0.14 | 0.63 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.49 | -0.25 | -0.29 | 0.49 | -0.20 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | -0.03 | 0.06 | -3.23 | 0.92 | -3.46 | 0.86 |
| 286 | 880299 | 15 | 5693 | 0.69 | 0.17 | 0.69 | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.48 | -0.31 | 0.48 | -0.20 | -0.21 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | -0.40 | 0.06 | -2.25 | 0.94 | -2.23 | 0.88 |
| 287 | 808878 | 15 | 5693 | 0.65 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.19 | 0.65 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.49 | -0.26 | -0.32 | -0.18 | 0.49 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | -0.14 | 0.06 | -2.48 | 0.94 | -1.84 | 0.92 |
| 288 | 868445 | 15 | 5693 | 0.24 | 0.17 | 0.38 | 0.20 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.29 | -0.28 | 0.03 | -0.06 | 0.29 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 2.11 | 0.07 | 1.01 | 1.03 | 5.26 | 1.35 |
| 289 | 869129 | 16 | 5620 | 0.67 | 0.06 | 0.10 | 0.17 | 0.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32 | -0.20 | -0.16 | -0.14 | 0.32 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | -0.27 | 0.06 | 4.29 | 1.12 | 6.22 | 1.34 |


| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | $P(A)$ | P(B) | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{C})$ | P(D) | $\mathrm{P}(-)$ | P(*) | ITCorr | Corrs(A) | Corr(B) | Corr(C) | Corr(D) | M/F | W/B | W/H | 0/P | Meas | SEM | z-Infit | MS- <br> Infit | z-Outfit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MS- } \\ & \text { Outfit } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 290 | 869145 | 16 | 5620 | 0.49 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.49 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.46 | -0.15 | -0.23 | -0.23 | 0.46 | A+ | A- | A+ | A+ | 0.70 | 0.06 | -1.11 | 0.97 | -0.56 | 0.98 |
| 291 | 880333 | 16 | 5620 | 0.57 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.57 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.26 | -0.14 | -0.24 | 0.26 | -0.03 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | 0.30 | 0.06 | 8.32 | 1.21 | 9.58 | 1.40 |
| 292 | 868433 | 16 | 5620 | 0.37 | 0.13 | 0.31 | 0.20 | 0.37 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.31 | -0.22 | -0.07 | -0.11 | 0.31 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | 1.36 | 0.06 | 4.91 | 1.13 | 6.32 | 1.27 |
| 293 | 810034 | 16 | 5620 | 0.68 | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.68 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.46 | -0.30 | -0.21 | 0.46 | -0.18 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | -0.30 | 0.06 | -1.44 | 0.96 | -1.90 | 0.91 |
| 294 | 892748 | 16 | 5620 | 0.83 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.83 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.47 | -0.26 | -0.28 | 0.47 | -0.22 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | -1.28 | 0.07 | -4.05 | 0.84 | -5.37 | 0.59 |
| 295 | 868405 | 16 | 5620 | 0.43 | 0.12 | 0.43 | 0.20 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.23 | -0.28 | 0.23 | -0.05 | 0.00 | A+ | A+ | A- | A- | 1.01 | 0.06 | 8.15 | 1.21 | 7.60 | 1.28 |
| 296 | 868427 | 16 | 5620 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.12 | 0.20 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.44 | 0.44 | -0.22 | -0.21 | -0.20 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 0.16 | 0.06 | -0.49 | 0.99 | -1.62 | 0.94 |
| 297 | 856064 | 16 | 5620 | 0.60 | 0.18 | 0.05 | 0.60 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.56 | -0.30 | -0.21 | 0.56 | -0.30 | A+ | A+ | A- | A- | 0.17 | 0.06 | -7.58 | 0.82 | -6.97 | 0.75 |
| 298 | 856060 | 16 | 5620 | 0.56 | 0.14 | 0.56 | 0.26 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32 | -0.11 | 0.32 | -0.15 | -0.24 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | 0.36 | 0.06 | 5.25 | 1.13 | 5.05 | 1.19 |
| 299 | 869046 | 16 | 5620 | 0.77 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.77 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.49 | -0.29 | -0.28 | 0.49 | -0.21 | A- | A- | A+ | A- | -0.85 | 0.07 | -3.83 | 0.87 | -4.18 | 0.73 |
| 300 | 887616 | 16 | 5620 | 0.58 | 0.11 | 0.20 | 0.11 | 0.58 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.52 | -0.22 | -0.25 | -0.27 | 0.52 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | 0.24 | 0.06 | -5.41 | 0.87 | -5.33 | 0.81 |
| 301 | 882802 | 16 | 5620 | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.25 | 0.28 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.40 | 0.40 | -0.18 | -0.14 | -0.22 | A- | A+ | A- | A- | 1.12 | 0.06 | -0.60 | 0.99 | 0.41 | 1.01 |
| 302 | 880289 | 16 | 5620 | 0.44 | 0.24 | 0.11 | 0.44 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.34 | -0.06 | -0.22 | 0.34 | -0.18 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A+ | 0.94 | 0.06 | 5.60 | 1.14 | 5.09 | 1.18 |
| 303 | 868440 | 16 | 5620 | 0.61 | 0.15 | 0.61 | 0.15 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.46 | -0.30 | 0.46 | -0.15 | -0.23 | A+ | A+ | A- | A+ | 0.10 | 0.06 | -1.37 | 0.97 | -2.19 | 0.91 |
| 304 | 808876 | 16 | 5620 | 0.53 | 0.18 | 0.53 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.37 | -0.20 | 0.37 | -0.28 | -0.01 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.52 | 0.06 | 4.93 | 1.12 | 4.31 | 1.15 |
| 305 | 893686 | 17 | 5586 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.07 | 0.11 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.41 | 0.41 | -0.24 | -0.21 | -0.19 | A- | A+ | A- | A- | -0.13 | 0.06 | 1.74 | 1.05 | 1.33 | 1.07 |
| 306 | 893690 | 17 | 5586 | 0.46 | 0.08 | 0.46 | 0.25 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.26 | -0.18 | 0.26 | -0.11 | -0.08 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 0.91 | 0.06 | 9.90 | 1.26 | 9.90 | 1.40 |
| 307 | 868428 | 17 | 5586 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.41 | 0.41 | -0.23 | -0.29 | -0.15 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | -0.10 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 1.00 | 0.52 | 1.02 |
| 308 | 869827 | 17 | 5586 | 0.45 | 0.29 | 0.09 | 0.16 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.50 | -0.17 | -0.25 | -0.27 | 0.50 | A- | A+ | A+ | A+ | 0.96 | 0.06 | -4.19 | 0.90 | -3.25 | 0.89 |
| 309 | 871936 | 17 | 5586 | 0.65 | 0.07 | 0.12 | 0.65 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.45 | -0.28 | -0.22 | 0.45 | -0.19 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | -0.07 | 0.06 | -0.69 | 0.98 | -0.56 | 0.97 |
| 310 | 869824 | 17 | 5586 | 0.74 | 0.05 | 0.74 | 0.13 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.45 | -0.25 | 0.45 | -0.24 | -0.22 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | -0.63 | 0.07 | -2.34 | 0.93 | -1.54 | 0.90 |
| 311 | 868417 | 17 | 5586 | 0.46 | 0.46 | 0.21 | 0.11 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.43 | 0.43 | -0.23 | -0.24 | -0.10 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.90 | 0.06 | -0.37 | 0.99 | 0.48 | 1.02 |
| 312 | 879424 | 17 | 5586 | 0.48 | 0.23 | 0.19 | 0.10 | 0.48 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.47 | -0.22 | -0.14 | -0.28 | 0.47 | A- | A+ | A+ | A- | 0.82 | 0.06 | -2.96 | 0.93 | -2.19 | 0.93 |
| 313 | 856063 | 17 | 5586 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.07 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.45 | 0.45 | -0.15 | -0.19 | -0.31 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | -0.18 | 0.06 | -1.25 | 0.97 | -1.04 | 0.95 |
| 314 | 856061 | 17 | 5586 | 0.67 | 0.10 | 0.67 | 0.08 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.39 | -0.27 | 0.39 | -0.24 | -0.10 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | -0.18 | 0.06 | 2.44 | 1.07 | 2.71 | 1.14 |
| 315 | 871940 | 17 | 5586 | 0.59 | 0.09 | 0.05 | 0.59 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.42 | -0.16 | -0.27 | 0.42 | -0.22 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 0.22 | 0.06 | 0.53 | 1.01 | -0.38 | 0.98 |
| 316 | 868447 | 17 | 5586 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.22 | 0.30 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.24 | 0.24 | -0.06 | -0.06 | -0.21 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | 1.25 | 0.06 | 8.60 | 1.23 | 8.72 | 1.36 |
| 317 | 877372 | 17 | 5586 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.34 | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.54 | 0.54 | -0.34 | -0.30 | -0.06 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | 0.71 | 0.06 | -4.82 | 0.89 | -4.15 | 0.86 |
| 318 | 809194 | 17 | 5586 | 0.83 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.83 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.44 | -0.27 | -0.22 | 0.44 | -0.23 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | -1.24 | 0.07 | -2.32 | 0.90 | -2.05 | 0.82 |


| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | $P(A)$ | P(B) | P(C) | P(D) | P(-) | P(*) | ITCorr | Corr(A) | Corr(B) | Corr(C) | Corr(D) | M/F | W/B | W/H | 0/P | Meas | SEM | z-Infit | MS- <br> Infit | z-Outfit | $\begin{gathered} \text { MS- } \\ \text { Outfit } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 319 | 880291 | 17 | 5586 | 0.61 | 0.06 | 0.21 | 0.61 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.46 | -0.24 | -0.26 | 0.46 | -0.18 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.15 | 0.06 | -0.48 | 0.99 | -0.66 | 0.97 |
| 320 | 868436 | 17 | 5586 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.35 | 0.35 | -0.08 | -0.17 | -0.23 | A+ | A- | A+ | A- | 0.45 | 0.06 | 3.27 | 1.08 | 1.62 | 1.06 |
| 321 | 893689 | 18 | 5570 | 0.38 | 0.17 | 0.16 | 0.29 | 0.38 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.35 | -0.21 | -0.14 | -0.08 | 0.35 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | 1.31 | 0.06 | 2.06 | 1.05 | 2.40 | 1.09 |
| 322 | 893691 | 18 | 5570 | 0.39 | 0.37 | 0.14 | 0.39 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.34 | 0.01 | -0.28 | 0.34 | -0.24 | A- | A+ | A- | A+ | 1.26 | 0.06 | 3.54 | 1.09 | 5.54 | 1.21 |
| 323 | 868404 | 18 | 5570 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.15 | 0.19 | 0.28 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.42 | 0.42 | -0.25 | -0.13 | -0.14 | A- | A+ | A+ | A+ | 1.30 | 0.06 | -1.41 | 0.97 | 2.42 | 1.09 |
| 324 | 879998 | 18 | 5570 | 0.62 | 0.10 | 0.19 | 0.62 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.40 | -0.28 | -0.18 | 0.40 | -0.15 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | 0.03 | 0.06 | 1.98 | 1.05 | 1.14 | 1.05 |
| 325 | 871935 | 18 | 5570 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.47 | 0.47 | -0.27 | -0.28 | -0.13 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | 0.14 | 0.06 | -0.54 | 0.99 | -0.65 | 0.97 |
| 326 | 880316 | 18 | 5570 | 0.65 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.65 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.53 | -0.23 | -0.29 | 0.53 | -0.27 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | -0.12 | 0.06 | -5.97 | 0.85 | -5.59 | 0.77 |
| 327 | 869823 | 18 | 5570 | 0.62 | 0.11 | 0.62 | 0.16 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.48 | -0.25 | 0.48 | -0.24 | -0.20 | A- | A+ | A- | A+ | 0.07 | 0.06 | -1.78 | 0.96 | -2.88 | 0.89 |
| 328 | 880283 | 18 | 5570 | 0.41 | 0.12 | 0.33 | 0.41 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.36 | -0.26 | -0.09 | 0.36 | -0.15 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | 1.12 | 0.06 | 3.41 | 1.08 | 3.72 | 1.13 |
| 329 | 859009 | 18 | 5570 | 0.48 | 0.18 | 0.23 | 0.48 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.30 | -0.16 | -0.05 | 0.30 | -0.21 | A+ | A+ | A- | A- | 0.78 | 0.06 | 7.05 | 1.17 | 7.41 | 1.25 |
| 330 | 859012 | 18 | 5570 | 0.37 | 0.08 | 0.37 | 0.16 | 0.38 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.31 | -0.21 | 0.31 | -0.22 | -0.02 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 1.33 | 0.06 | 4.65 | 1.12 | 5.70 | 1.23 |
| 331 | 869047 | 18 | 5570 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.38 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.11 | -0.25 | -0.20 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 1.38 | 0.06 | 5.65 | 1.15 | 7.37 | 1.31 |
| 332 | 879997 | 18 | 5570 | 0.54 | 0.16 | 0.54 | 0.13 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.37 | -0.16 | 0.37 | -0.28 | -0.08 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A- | 0.46 | 0.06 | 2.33 | 1.05 | 1.29 | 1.04 |
| 333 | 892431 | 18 | 5570 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.21 | 0.29 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.36 | 0.36 | -0.12 | -0.19 | -0.12 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 1.19 | 0.06 | 1.94 | 1.05 | 2.66 | 1.10 |
| 334 | 880350 | 18 | 5570 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.32 | 0.20 | 0.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.12 | -0.12 | 0.06 | -0.03 | A- | A+ | A+ | A- | 2.50 | 0.07 | 3.63 | 1.15 | 7.15 | 1.62 |
| 335 | 882804 | 18 | 5570 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.39 | 0.39 | -0.22 | -0.26 | -0.07 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A+ | 0.51 | 0.06 | 1.46 | 1.03 | 1.78 | 1.06 |
| 336 | 868972 | 18 | 5570 | 0.59 | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.59 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.26 | -0.06 | -0.15 | 0.26 | -0.21 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | 0.19 | 0.06 | 7.05 | 1.17 | 8.55 | 1.35 |
| 337 | 893687 | 19 | 5620 | 0.64 | 0.24 | 0.07 | 0.64 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.43 | -0.26 | -0.23 | 0.43 | -0.18 | A- | A- | A+ | A+ | -0.12 | 0.06 | -0.31 | 0.99 | -1.61 | 0.93 |
| 338 | 893688 | 19 | 5620 | 0.52 | 0.28 | 0.07 | 0.13 | 0.52 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.41 | -0.15 | -0.26 | -0.21 | 0.41 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | 0.51 | 0.06 | 2.32 | 1.05 | 1.80 | 1.06 |
| 339 | 892742 | 19 | 5620 | 0.52 | 0.14 | 0.52 | 0.20 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.48 | -0.28 | 0.48 | -0.12 | -0.26 | A+ | A- | A+ | A+ | 0.50 | 0.06 | -2.18 | 0.95 | -2.53 | 0.92 |
| 340 | 868418 | 19 | 5620 | 0.64 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.64 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.34 | -0.20 | -0.05 | 0.34 | -0.26 | A- | A- | A+ | A+ | -0.10 | 0.06 | 1.80 | 1.05 | 1.70 | 1.07 |
| 341 | 880320 | 19 | 5620 | 0.32 | 0.20 | 0.26 | 0.23 | 0.32 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 | -0.17 | -0.03 | -0.17 | 0.33 | A- | A+ | A+ | A- | 1.61 | 0.06 | -0.95 | 0.97 | 2.81 | 1.13 |
| 342 | 713513 | 19 | 5620 | 0.63 | 0.15 | 0.63 | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.38 | -0.17 | 0.38 | -0.28 | -0.12 | A- | A+ | A- | A- | -0.04 | 0.06 | 3.23 | 1.08 | 1.42 | 1.06 |
| 343 | 880322 | 19 | 5620 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.38 | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.20 | -0.32 | -0.27 | A- | A+ | A+ | A+ | 1.47 | 0.06 | 5.90 | 1.16 | 6.84 | 1.31 |
| 344 | 879255 | 19 | 5620 | 0.64 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.64 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.44 | -0.20 | -0.24 | -0.21 | 0.44 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A+ | -0.09 | 0.06 | -0.57 | 0.99 | -0.91 | 0.96 |
| 345 | 859005 | 19 | 5620 | 0.61 | 0.07 | 0.22 | 0.10 | 0.61 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.44 | -0.24 | -0.16 | -0.30 | 0.44 | A- | A+ | A- | A- | 0.06 | 0.06 | -0.81 | 0.98 | 0.29 | 1.01 |
| 346 | 859011 | 19 | 5620 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.08 | 0.19 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.40 | 0.40 | -0.15 | -0.20 | -0.27 | A- | A- | A- | A- | -0.22 | 0.06 | 1.95 | 1.05 | 1.44 | 1.07 |
| 347 | 880288 | 19 | 5620 | 0.49 | 0.08 | 0.16 | 0.49 | 0.28 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.26 | -0.22 | -0.16 | 0.26 | -0.02 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | 0.69 | 0.06 | 8.56 | 1.21 | 7.73 | 1.26 |


| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | $P(A)$ | P(B) | P(C) | P(D) | P(-) | P(*) | ITCorr | Corr(A) | Corr(B) | Corr(C) | Corr(D) | M/F | W/B | W/H | 0/P | Meas | SEM | z-Infit | MS- <br> Infit | z-0utfit | MS- <br> Outif |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 348 | 811187 | 19 | 5620 | 0.31 | 0.16 | 0.31 | 0.19 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.10 | -0.14 | 0.10 | -0.10 | 0.10 | A- | A+ | A+ | A- | 1.66 | 0.06 | 9.42 | 1.28 | 9.90 | 1.55 |
| 349 | 809316 | 19 | 5620 | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.41 | 0.41 | -0.17 | -0.27 | -0.19 | A- | A- | A- | A- | -0.39 | 0.06 | -0.29 | 0.99 | 0.72 | 1.04 |
| 350 | 892430 | 19 | 5620 | 0.39 | 0.30 | 0.19 | 0.12 | 0.39 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.29 | -0.03 | -0.07 | -0.30 | 0.29 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | 1.21 | 0.06 | 7.54 | 1.20 | 8.08 | 1.32 |
| 351 | 880349 | 19 | 5620 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.08 | 0.27 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.38 | 0.38 | -0.27 | -0.10 | -0.20 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 0.67 | 0.06 | 1.76 | 1.04 | 1.59 | 1.05 |
| 352 | 892432 | 19 | 5620 | 0.47 | 0.32 | 0.15 | 0.47 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.25 | -0.11 | -0.09 | 0.25 | -0.16 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 0.80 | 0.06 | 8.85 | 1.22 | 8.03 | 1.28 |
| 353 | 889573 | 20 | 5604 | 0.52 | 0.14 | 0.52 | 0.14 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.35 | -0.07 | 0.35 | -0.25 | -0.16 | A+ | A- | A+ | A+ | 0.55 | 0.06 | 1.75 | 1.04 | 1.57 | 1.05 |
| 354 | 889572 | 20 | 5604 | 0.53 | 0.30 | 0.08 | 0.53 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.38 | -0.16 | -0.20 | 0.38 | -0.21 | A- | A- | A+ | A+ | 0.51 | 0.06 | 3.07 | 1.07 | 2.09 | 1.07 |
| 355 | 880315 | 20 | 5604 | 0.41 | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.41 | 0.39 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.43 | -0.18 | -0.20 | 0.43 | -0.19 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 1.10 | 0.06 | -1.46 | 0.97 | -0.40 | 0.99 |
| 356 | 880318 | 20 | 5604 | 0.44 | 0.17 | 0.44 | 0.26 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.21 | -0.16 | 0.21 | -0.02 | -0.10 | A- | A+ | A+ | A- | 0.96 | 0.06 | 8.84 | 1.22 | 8.63 | 1.31 |
| 357 | 741118 | 20 | 5604 | 0.56 | 0.10 | 0.56 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.42 | -0.20 | 0.42 | -0.23 | -0.16 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A+ | 0.36 | 0.06 | -1.04 | 0.98 | -0.75 | 0.97 |
| 358 | 871922 | 20 | 5604 | 0.41 | 0.26 | 0.23 | 0.41 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.29 | -0.07 | -0.13 | 0.29 | -0.18 | A- | A+ | A- | A+ | 1.09 | 0.06 | 7.96 | 1.20 | 8.92 | 1.33 |
| 359 | 892747 | 20 | 5604 | 0.56 | 0.10 | 0.56 | 0.30 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.44 | -0.22 | 0.44 | -0.22 | -0.25 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.35 | 0.06 | -0.43 | 0.99 | -0.85 | 0.97 |
| 360 | 892750 | 20 | 5604 | 0.36 | 0.13 | 0.17 | 0.34 | 0.36 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.26 | -0.15 | -0.16 | -0.03 | 0.26 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | 1.36 | 0.06 | 5.34 | 1.14 | 6.34 | 1.26 |
| 361 | 859007 | 20 | 5604 | 0.19 | 0.23 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.39 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.07 | 0.05 | -0.07 | -0.23 | 0.21 | A- | A+ | A- | A- | 2.46 | 0.07 | 9.90 | 1.44 | 9.90 | 2.40 |
| 362 | 859010 | 20 | 5604 | 0.62 | 0.10 | 0.22 | 0.07 | 0.62 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.30 | -0.29 | 0.01 | -0.26 | 0.30 | A- | A+ | A+ | A+ | 0.03 | 0.06 | 4.06 | 1.10 | 5.59 | 1.25 |
| 363 | 880307 | 20 | 5604 | 0.68 | 0.17 | 0.68 | 0.10 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.42 | -0.24 | 0.42 | -0.18 | -0.22 | A+ | A- | A+ | A+ | -0.30 | 0.06 | -0.39 | 0.99 | 0.27 | 1.01 |
| 364 | 880287 | 20 | 5604 | 0.49 | 0.22 | 0.10 | 0.49 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.28 | -0.07 | -0.17 | 0.28 | -0.14 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | 0.72 | 0.06 | 5.14 | 1.12 | 4.23 | 1.14 |
| 365 | 892438 | 20 | 5604 | 0.17 | 0.36 | 0.13 | 0.17 | 0.34 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.01 | 0.11 | -0.14 | -0.01 | 0.00 | A- | A- | A+ | A+ | 2.62 | 0.07 | 6.59 | 1.30 | 9.90 | 2.43 |
| 366 | 877373 | 20 | 5604 | 0.37 | 0.20 | 0.37 | 0.26 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 | -0.15 | 0.33 | -0.14 | -0.09 | A- | A+ | A+ | A+ | 1.34 | 0.06 | 2.02 | 1.05 | 3.76 | 1.15 |
| 367 | 878951 | 20 | 5604 | 0.52 | 0.18 | 0.52 | 0.13 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.42 | -0.08 | 0.42 | -0.26 | -0.23 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | 0.54 | 0.06 | 2.76 | 1.06 | 2.05 | 1.07 |
| 368 | 880292 | 20 | 5604 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.47 | 0.47 | -0.25 | -0.29 | -0.19 | A- | B- | A- | A+ | -0.23 | 0.06 | -3.76 | 0.90 | -2.42 | 0.89 |

Table J-7. Literature Multiple-Choice Item Statistics: Spring

| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | $P(A)$ | P(B) | P(C) | P(D) | $\mathrm{P}(-)$ | P(*) | ITCorr | Corr(A) | Corr(B) | Corr(C) | Corr(D) | M/F | W/B | W/H | 0/P | Meas | SEM | z-lnfit | MS- <br> Infit | z-Outfit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MS- } \\ & \text { Outfit } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 701626 | 0 | 127042 | 0.62 | 0.17 | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.62 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.38 | -0.19 | -0.18 | -0.19 | 0.38 |  |  |  |  | 0.33 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.08 | 9.90 | 1.13 |
| 2 | 703953 | 0 | 127042 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.45 | 0.45 | -0.25 | -0.25 | -0.22 |  |  |  |  | -0.78 | 0.02 | -9.90 | 0.89 | -9.90 | 0.76 |
| 3 | 701628 | 0 | 127042 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.15 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 0.50 | -0.31 | -0.29 | -0.20 |  |  |  |  | -0.50 | 0.02 | -9.90 | 0.90 | -9.90 | 0.77 |
| 4 | 701617 | 0 | 127042 | 0.43 | 0.02 | 0.28 | 0.43 | 0.27 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.23 | -0.24 | -0.03 | 0.23 | -0.14 |  |  |  |  | 1.09 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.22 | 9.90 | 1.35 |
| 5 | 701627 | 0 | 127042 | 0.86 | 0.04 | 0.86 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.44 | -0.23 | 0.44 | -0.22 | -0.27 |  |  |  |  | -1.35 | 0.02 | -9.90 | 0.84 | -9.90 | 0.63 |
| 6 | 701623 | 0 | 127042 | 0.56 | 0.06 | 0.29 | 0.09 | 0.56 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.27 | -0.29 | 0.00 | -0.23 | 0.27 |  |  |  |  | 0.69 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.20 | 9.90 | 1.31 |
| 7 | 701624 | 0 | 127042 | 0.61 | 0.13 | 0.61 | 0.11 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.28 | -0.01 | 0.28 | -0.28 | -0.13 |  |  |  |  | 0.45 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.19 | 9.90 | 1.31 |
| 8 | 701619 | 0 | 127042 | 0.83 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.83 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.47 | -0.27 | -0.27 | -0.22 | 0.47 |  |  |  |  | -0.81 | 0.02 | -9.90 | 0.78 | -9.90 | 0.71 |
| 9 | 824173 | 0 | 127042 | 0.65 | 0.08 | 0.23 | 0.03 | 0.65 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.40 | -0.26 | -0.16 | -0.26 | 0.40 |  |  |  |  | -0.07 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.12 | 9.90 | 1.14 |
| 10 | 824170 | 0 | 127042 | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.18 | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.40 | 0.40 | -0.14 | -0.25 | -0.22 |  |  |  |  | 0.19 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.09 | 9.90 | 1.13 |
| 11 | 824168 | 0 | 127042 | 0.60 | 0.13 | 0.60 | 0.21 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.43 | -0.29 | 0.43 | -0.12 | -0.27 |  |  |  |  | 0.34 | 0.01 | 3.88 | 1.02 | 3.41 | 1.03 |
| 12 | 824172 | 0 | 127042 | 0.43 | 0.17 | 0.43 | 0.31 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.31 | -0.20 | 0.31 | -0.06 | -0.16 |  |  |  |  | 1.27 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.10 | 9.90 | 1.23 |
| 13 | 824219 | 0 | 127042 | 0.38 | 0.22 | 0.38 | 0.23 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.01 | 0.14 | -0.12 | -0.04 |  |  |  |  | 1.59 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.28 | 9.90 | 1.56 |
| 14 | 824175 | 0 | 127042 | 0.82 | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.82 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.52 | -0.28 | -0.29 | 0.52 | -0.26 |  |  |  |  | -1.40 | 0.02 | 5.54 | 1.07 | -4.63 | 0.89 |
| 15 | 824177 | 0 | 127042 | 0.75 | 0.05 | 0.15 | 0.75 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.49 | -0.27 | -0.26 | 0.49 | -0.25 |  |  |  |  | -0.63 | 0.02 | -5.96 | 0.95 | -9.89 | 0.84 |
| 16 | 824169 | 0 | 127042 | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.07 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 0.50 | -0.28 | -0.30 | -0.17 |  |  |  |  | 0.17 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.93 | -9.90 | 0.88 |
| 17 | 824171 | 0 | 127042 | 0.51 | 0.04 | 0.35 | 0.09 | 0.51 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.36 | -0.26 | -0.06 | -0.33 | 0.36 |  |  |  |  | 0.91 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.09 | 9.90 | 1.14 |
| 18 | 683201 | 0 | 127042 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.40 | 0.40 | -0.27 | -0.26 | -0.18 |  |  |  |  | -0.80 | 0.02 | -9.90 | 0.87 | -5.89 | 0.90 |
| 19 | 673034 | 0 | 127042 | 0.80 | 0.05 | 0.80 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.40 | -0.28 | 0.40 | -0.26 | -0.15 |  |  |  |  | -0.88 | 0.02 | -4.27 | 0.96 | -2.84 | 0.95 |
| 20 | 673046 | 0 | 127042 | 0.39 | 0.03 | 0.55 | 0.39 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.19 | -0.22 | -0.04 | 0.19 | -0.17 |  |  |  |  | 1.46 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.20 | 9.90 | 1.42 |
| 21 | 673051 | 0 | 127042 | 0.65 | 0.15 | 0.08 | 0.13 | 0.65 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.36 | -0.20 | -0.20 | -0.14 | 0.36 |  |  |  |  | 0.29 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.10 | 9.90 | 1.16 |
| 22 | 673049 | 0 | 127042 | 0.77 | 0.06 | 0.77 | 0.04 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.37 | -0.24 | 0.37 | -0.27 | -0.14 |  |  |  |  | -0.45 | 0.02 | -2.54 | 0.98 | 5.52 | 1.09 |
| 23 | 673052 | 0 | 127042 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.06 | 0.10 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.53 | 0.53 | -0.25 | -0.33 | -0.26 |  |  |  |  | -0.45 | 0.02 | -9.90 | 0.73 | -9.90 | 0.63 |
| 24 | 673047 | 0 | 127042 | 0.55 | 0.33 | 0.04 | 0.55 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.39 | -0.12 | -0.28 | 0.39 | -0.29 |  |  |  |  | 0.96 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.06 | 9.90 | 1.11 |
| 25 | 673050 | 0 | 127042 | 0.54 | 0.03 | 0.54 | 0.41 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.29 | -0.25 | 0.29 | -0.13 | -0.25 |  |  |  |  | 1.04 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.16 | 9.90 | 1.27 |
| 26 | 673045 | 0 | 127042 | 0.71 | 0.12 | 0.06 | 0.10 | 0.71 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.41 | -0.27 | -0.31 | -0.08 | 0.41 |  |  |  |  | 0.10 | 0.01 | -7.15 | 0.95 | -1.41 | 0.98 |
| 27 | 809472 | 0 | 127042 | 0.49 | 0.20 | 0.16 | 0.49 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 | -0.18 | -0.23 | 0.33 | -0.01 |  |  |  |  | 1.12 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.12 | 9.90 | 1.24 |
| 28 | 809475 | 0 | 127042 | 0.46 | 0.14 | 0.28 | 0.12 | 0.46 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.41 | -0.19 | -0.13 | -0.23 | 0.41 |  |  |  |  | 0.86 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.06 | 9.90 | 1.09 |


| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | $P(A)$ | P(B) | P(C) | P(D) | $\mathrm{P}(-)$ | $P(*)$ | ITCorr | Corr(A) | Corr(B) | Corr(C) | Corr(D) | M/F | W/B | W/H | 0/P | Meas | SEM | z-Infit | MS- <br> Infit | z-Outfit | MS- <br> Outit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29 | 809480 | 0 | 127042 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.56 | 0.56 | -0.31 | -0.31 | -0.24 |  |  |  |  | -0.21 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.83 | -9.90 | 0.72 |
| 30 | 809474 | 0 | 127042 | 0.55 | 0.24 | 0.15 | 0.06 | 0.55 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.47 | -0.19 | -0.27 | -0.23 | 0.47 |  |  |  |  | 0.54 | 0.01 | -3.74 | 0.98 | -4.17 | 0.96 |
| 31 | 809481 | 0 | 127042 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.10 | 0.13 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.53 | 0.53 | -0.30 | -0.23 | -0.27 |  |  |  |  | -0.42 | 0.02 | -4.78 | 0.96 | -8.82 | 0.87 |
| 32 | 809479 | 0 | 127042 | 0.70 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.70 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.50 | -0.30 | -0.28 | 0.50 | -0.19 |  |  |  |  | -0.11 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.90 | -9.90 | 0.81 |
| 33 | 809477 | 0 | 127042 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.16 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.52 | 0.52 | -0.21 | -0.33 | -0.25 |  |  |  |  | -0.12 | 0.01 | -3.96 | 0.97 | -5.46 | 0.93 |
| 34 | 809473 | 0 | 127042 | 0.64 | 0.14 | 0.64 | 0.15 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.45 | -0.25 | 0.45 | -0.16 | -0.30 |  |  |  |  | -0.08 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.09 | 9.90 | 1.15 |
| 35 | 915047 | 1 | 5500 | 0.60 | 0.14 | 0.05 | 0.60 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.31 | -0.17 | -0.22 | 0.31 | -0.10 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 0.56 | 0.06 | 5.81 | 1.15 | 5.85 | 1.23 |
| 36 | 915076 | 1 | 5500 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.46 | 0.46 | -0.23 | -0.29 | -0.25 | B- | B- | C- | A+ | -1.49 | 0.09 | -2.28 | 0.87 | -3.62 | 0.63 |
| 37 | 915048 | 1 | 5500 | 0.86 | 0.01 | 0.86 | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.40 | -0.21 | 0.40 | -0.23 | -0.27 | A- | A- | A- | A- | -1.15 | 0.08 | -0.11 | 0.99 | -1.33 | 0.87 |
| 38 | 915081 | 1 | 5500 | 0.79 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.79 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.48 | -0.27 | -0.30 | 0.48 | -0.23 | A- | A- | A- | A- | -0.57 | 0.07 | -0.72 | 0.97 | -0.52 | 0.96 |
| 39 | 915078 | 1 | 5500 | 0.56 | 0.11 | 0.06 | 0.56 | 0.27 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.11 | -0.10 | -0.23 | 0.11 | 0.07 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 0.76 | 0.06 | 9.90 | 1.37 | 9.90 | 1.59 |
| 40 | 915080 | 1 | 5500 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.06 | 0.20 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.45 | 0.45 | -0.31 | -0.16 | -0.27 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | 0.20 | 0.06 | 0.43 | 1.01 | 1.08 | 1.05 |
| 41 | 900544 | 1 | 5500 | 0.43 | 0.36 | 0.04 | 0.43 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.27 | -0.18 | -0.30 | 0.27 | 0.03 | A- | A- | B- | A+ | 1.47 | 0.06 | 6.74 | 1.16 | 8.72 | 1.36 |
| 42 | 900548 | 1 | 5500 | 0.61 | 0.61 | 0.26 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.45 | 0.45 | -0.20 | -0.32 | -0.19 | A- | A- | A+ | A- | 0.54 | 0.06 | 0.53 | 1.01 | 0.71 | 1.03 |
| 43 | 900554 | 1 | 5500 | 0.81 | 0.02 | 0.81 | 0.03 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.48 | -0.24 | 0.48 | -0.24 | -0.32 | A- | A+ | A- | A- | -0.73 | 0.07 | -2.13 | 0.91 | -3.09 | 0.78 |
| 44 | 900553 | 1 | 5500 | 0.51 | 0.07 | 0.29 | 0.51 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 | -0.24 | -0.08 | 0.33 | -0.19 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 1.02 | 0.06 | 3.39 | 1.08 | 3.38 | 1.12 |
| 45 | 900555 | 1 | 5500 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.09 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.49 | 0.49 | -0.27 | -0.32 | -0.21 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A+ | -0.79 | 0.07 | -3.52 | 0.86 | -3.75 | 0.73 |
| 46 | 900552 | 1 | 5500 | 0.70 | 0.08 | 0.70 | 0.16 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.41 | -0.34 | 0.41 | -0.06 | -0.30 | A- | A+ | A- | A+ | 0.03 | 0.06 | -0.92 | 0.97 | 0.18 | 1.01 |
| 47 | 915074 | 2 | 5459 | 0.84 | 0.09 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.84 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.46 | -0.30 | -0.26 | -0.19 | 0.46 | A+ | C- | B- | A+ | -1.04 | 0.08 | -1.95 | 0.91 | -2.59 | 0.78 |
| 48 | 915073 | 2 | 5459 | 0.56 | 0.32 | 0.08 | 0.56 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.30 | -0.09 | -0.26 | 0.30 | -0.17 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A- | 0.79 | 0.06 | 6.38 | 1.16 | 6.08 | 1.24 |
| 49 | 915046 | 2 | 5459 | 0.81 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.81 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.51 | -0.27 | -0.30 | -0.26 | 0.51 | A- | A- | A- | A- | -0.74 | 0.07 | -2.94 | 0.89 | -3.12 | 0.77 |
| 50 | 915077 | 2 | 5459 | 0.63 | 0.30 | 0.63 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.46 | -0.28 | 0.46 | -0.25 | -0.27 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | 0.37 | 0.06 | -1.94 | 0.95 | -2.13 | 0.91 |
| 51 | 915079 | 2 | 5459 | 0.31 | 0.37 | 0.19 | 0.13 | 0.31 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.13 | -0.18 | -0.15 | 0.13 | A- | A- | A+ | A+ | 2.08 | 0.06 | 8.52 | 1.26 | 9.90 | 1.76 |
| 52 | 915049 | 2 | 5459 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.63 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.07 | -0.21 | -0.27 | 0.13 | A- | A+ | A+ | A+ | 2.22 | 0.06 | 9.90 | 1.34 | 9.90 | 1.93 |
| 53 | 900550 | 2 | 5459 | 0.62 | 0.14 | 0.22 | 0.62 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.43 | -0.34 | -0.15 | 0.43 | -0.18 | A- | A+ | A- | A+ | 0.47 | 0.06 | -0.34 | 0.99 | -1.16 | 0.95 |
| 54 | 900545 | 2 | 5459 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.26 | 0.26 | -0.26 | -0.17 | -0.07 | A- | A+ | A- | A- | 0.78 | 0.06 | 6.32 | 1.16 | 5.83 | 1.23 |
| 55 | 900551 | 2 | 5459 | 0.65 | 0.17 | 0.07 | 0.11 | 0.65 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.47 | -0.17 | -0.33 | -0.24 | 0.47 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A+ | 0.28 | 0.06 | -1.56 | 0.96 | -1.27 | 0.94 |
| 56 | 900556 | 2 | 5459 | 0.60 | 0.04 | 0.60 | 0.19 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.36 | -0.26 | 0.36 | -0.17 | -0.15 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | 0.57 | 0.06 | 3.93 | 1.10 | 2.91 | 1.12 |
| 57 | 900546 | 2 | 5459 | 0.77 | 0.04 | 0.77 | 0.15 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.47 | -0.29 | 0.47 | -0.29 | -0.20 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | -0.45 | 0.07 | -1.46 | 0.95 | -1.43 | 0.91 |


| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | $P(A)$ | P(B) | P(C) | P(D) | P(-) | P(*) | ITCorr | $\operatorname{Corr}(\mathrm{A})$ | Corr(B) | Corrs(C) | Corr(D) | M/F | W/B | W/H | 0/P | Meas | SEM | z-Infit | MS- <br> Infit | z-Outfit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MS- } \\ & \text { Outfit } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 58 | 900547 | 2 | 5459 | 0.68 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.20 | 0.68 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.31 | -0.23 | -0.24 | -0.08 | 0.31 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A- | 0.13 | 0.06 | 3.56 | 1.11 | 3.81 | 1.19 |
| 59 | 928649 | 3 | 5448 | 0.96 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.96 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.36 | -0.25 | -0.18 | -0.17 | 0.36 | A+ | C- | A- | A+ | -2.71 | 0.13 | -2.03 | 0.80 | -3.86 | 0.38 |
| 60 | 928656 | 3 | 5448 | 0.82 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.82 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.44 | -0.23 | -0.28 | 0.44 | -0.19 | A- | A+ | A+ | A- | -0.91 | 0.07 | -0.99 | 0.96 | -2.53 | 0.79 |
| 61 | 928652 | 3 | 5448 | 0.77 | 0.12 | 0.77 | 0.08 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.46 | -0.24 | 0.46 | -0.28 | -0.20 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A- | -0.46 | 0.07 | 0.33 | 1.01 | -0.79 | 0.95 |
| 62 | 928659 | 3 | 5448 | 0.83 | 0.05 | 0.83 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.51 | -0.31 | 0.51 | -0.34 | -0.17 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | -1.00 | 0.08 | -3.76 | 0.84 | -3.92 | 0.68 |
| 63 | 928657 | 3 | 5448 | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.23 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.35 | 0.35 | -0.13 | -0.24 | -0.27 | A- | A+ | A+ | A- | 0.10 | 0.06 | 3.81 | 1.11 | 3.55 | 1.18 |
| 64 | 928660 | 3 | 5448 | 0.74 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.14 | 0.74 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.43 | -0.24 | -0.29 | -0.17 | 0.43 | A+ | A+ | A- | A- | -0.31 | 0.07 | -0.56 | 0.98 | -1.05 | 0.94 |
| 65 | 902757 | 3 | 5448 | 0.83 | 0.09 | 0.03 | 0.83 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.46 | -0.27 | -0.22 | 0.46 | -0.25 | A- | A- | A- | A- | -1.00 | 0.08 | -1.31 | 0.94 | -1.31 | 0.88 |
| 66 | 902755 | 3 | 5448 | 0.67 | 0.09 | 0.67 | 0.04 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.40 | -0.27 | 0.40 | -0.27 | -0.14 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.16 | 0.06 | 1.00 | 1.03 | 0.09 | 1.00 |
| 67 | 902760 | 3 | 5448 | 0.47 | 0.06 | 0.47 | 0.15 | 0.31 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.30 | -0.21 | 0.30 | -0.21 | -0.05 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 1.20 | 0.06 | 5.33 | 1.13 | 6.57 | 1.27 |
| 68 | 902753 | 3 | 5448 | 0.70 | 0.09 | 0.05 | 0.16 | 0.70 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.49 | -0.32 | -0.25 | -0.21 | 0.49 | A- | A- | A- | A- | -0.02 | 0.06 | -0.88 | 0.97 | -0.55 | 0.97 |
| 69 | 902765 | 3 | 5448 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.46 | 0.46 | -0.28 | -0.24 | -0.27 | A- | A- | A+ | A+ | -0.54 | 0.07 | -3.49 | 0.88 | -3.55 | 0.77 |
| 70 | 902764 | 3 | 5448 | 0.59 | 0.15 | 0.11 | 0.59 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.34 | -0.15 | -0.21 | 0.34 | -0.13 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.57 | 0.06 | 4.51 | 1.12 | 3.34 | 1.14 |
| 71 | 928648 | 4 | 5465 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.35 | 0.35 | -0.20 | -0.17 | -0.23 | B+ | A- | A+ | A- | -1.64 | 0.09 | 0.67 | 1.04 | -1.18 | 0.84 |
| 72 | 928654 | 4 | 5465 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.34 | 0.34 | -0.23 | -0.24 | -0.12 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | -1.02 | 0.08 | -2.71 | 0.87 | -0.47 | 0.95 |
| 73 | 928651 | 4 | 5465 | 0.70 | 0.10 | 0.70 | 0.16 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.38 | -0.31 | 0.38 | -0.08 | -0.26 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.76 | 1.02 | 1.64 | 1.09 |
| 74 | 928650 | 4 | 5465 | 0.62 | 0.05 | 0.27 | 0.62 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.25 | -0.28 | -0.03 | 0.25 | -0.23 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A+ | 0.50 | 0.06 | 5.68 | 1.16 | 6.05 | 1.28 |
| 75 | 928658 | 4 | 5465 | 0.39 | 0.13 | 0.39 | 0.10 | 0.38 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.22 | -0.21 | 0.22 | -0.22 | 0.06 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 1.71 | 0.06 | 8.21 | 1.20 | 9.90 | 1.51 |
| 76 | 928655 | 4 | 5465 | 0.69 | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.19 | 0.69 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 | -0.22 | -0.22 | -0.13 | 0.33 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 0.12 | 0.06 | 4.61 | 1.15 | 4.78 | 1.26 |
| 77 | 902766 | 4 | 5465 | 0.66 | 0.19 | 0.66 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.43 | -0.28 | 0.43 | -0.26 | -0.12 | A- | A- | B- | A- | 0.27 | 0.06 | 1.18 | 1.03 | 0.29 | 1.01 |
| 78 | 902756 | 4 | 5465 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.06 | 0.11 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.37 | 0.37 | -0.23 | -0.24 | -0.10 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 1.18 | 0.06 | 2.68 | 1.06 | 4.68 | 1.18 |
| 79 | 902763 | 4 | 5465 | 0.69 | 0.10 | 0.17 | 0.69 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.54 | -0.30 | -0.28 | 0.54 | -0.27 | A- | B- | A- | A+ | 0.11 | 0.06 | -4.53 | 0.87 | -4.30 | 0.79 |
| 80 | 902762 | 4 | 5465 | 0.69 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.06 | 0.69 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.51 | -0.27 | -0.25 | -0.25 | 0.51 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 0.15 | 0.06 | -2.12 | 0.94 | -2.72 | 0.87 |
| 81 | 902761 | 4 | 5465 | 0.66 | 0.08 | 0.20 | 0.66 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.43 | -0.23 | -0.20 | 0.43 | -0.24 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.30 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 1.00 | -0.60 | 0.97 |
| 82 | 902758 | 4 | 5465 | 0.85 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.85 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.54 | -0.28 | -0.32 | -0.29 | 0.54 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | -1.01 | 0.08 | -5.33 | 0.76 | -5.55 | 0.56 |
| 83 | 928525 | 5 | 5433 | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.11 | 0.28 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.28 | 0.28 | -0.13 | -0.09 | -0.13 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 1.61 | 0.06 | 5.10 | 1.13 | 8.20 | 1.38 |
| 84 | 928527 | 5 | 5433 | 0.62 | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.62 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.38 | -0.18 | -0.21 | 0.38 | -0.17 | A- | A+ | A- | A+ | 0.50 | 0.06 | 1.14 | 1.03 | 0.56 | 1.02 |
| 85 | 928528 | 5 | 5433 | 0.67 | 0.10 | 0.16 | 0.67 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.37 | -0.16 | -0.25 | 0.37 | -0.12 | A+ | A+ | A- | A+ | 0.26 | 0.06 | 3.23 | 1.10 | 1.18 | 1.06 |
| 86 | 928532 | 5 | 5433 | 0.68 | 0.22 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.68 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.39 | -0.12 | -0.31 | -0.28 | 0.39 | A- | A+ | A+ | A+ | 0.16 | 0.06 | 1.01 | 1.03 | 1.71 | 1.09 |


| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | $P(A)$ | P(B) | P(C) | P(D) | $\mathrm{P}(-)$ | P(*) | ITCorr | Corr(A) | Corr(B) | Corr(C) | Corr(D) | M/F | W/B | W/H | 0/P | Meas | SEM | z-Infit | MS- <br> Infit | z-Outfit | MS- <br> Outit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 87 | 928534 | 5 | 5433 | 0.68 | 0.10 | 0.68 | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.42 | -0.20 | 0.42 | -0.20 | -0.22 | A+ | A+ | A- | A- | 0.18 | 0.06 | 1.30 | 1.04 | 0.60 | 1.03 |
| 88 | 928560 | 5 | 5433 | 0.39 | 0.34 | 0.07 | 0.19 | 0.39 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.24 | 0.03 | -0.27 | -0.15 | 0.24 | A- | A+ | A- | A- | 1.68 | 0.06 | 6.70 | 1.17 | 7.06 | 1.33 |
| 89 | 891552 | 5 | 5433 | 0.58 | 0.08 | 0.58 | 0.29 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.48 | -0.28 | 0.48 | -0.31 | -0.08 | A- | A+ | A- | A+ | 0.74 | 0.06 | -2.58 | 0.94 | -1.14 | 0.96 |
| 90 | 891547 | 5 | 5433 | 0.90 | 0.04 | 0.90 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.47 | -0.26 | 0.47 | -0.27 | -0.24 | A- | A- | A- | A- | -1.56 | 0.09 | -1.81 | 0.89 | -3.01 | 0.65 |
| 91 | 891557 | 5 | 5433 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 0.50 | -0.32 | -0.24 | -0.27 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | -1.57 | 0.09 | -4.33 | 0.76 | -4.13 | 0.55 |
| 92 | 891553 | 5 | 5433 | 0.76 | 0.16 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.76 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.39 | -0.19 | -0.27 | -0.23 | 0.39 | A- | A+ | A- | A- | -0.34 | 0.07 | -0.06 | 1.00 | -0.24 | 0.98 |
| 93 | 891546 | 5 | 5433 | 0.83 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.83 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.40 | -0.28 | -0.26 | 0.40 | -0.20 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | -0.82 | 0.07 | 0.46 | 1.02 | -0.69 | 0.94 |
| 94 | 891554 | 5 | 5433 | 0.86 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.86 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.54 | -0.30 | -0.30 | -0.29 | 0.54 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | -1.18 | 0.08 | -5.30 | 0.75 | -6.12 | 0.48 |
| 95 | 928530 | 6 | 5440 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.24 | 0.04 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32 | 0.32 | -0.11 | -0.24 | -0.15 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 1.04 | 0.06 | 5.02 | 1.12 | 4.84 | 1.17 |
| 96 | 928535 | 6 | 5440 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.10 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.41 | 0.41 | -0.25 | -0.19 | -0.17 | A- | A+ | A+ | A- | 0.36 | 0.06 | 0.70 | 1.02 | -0.54 | 0.98 |
| 97 | 928526 | 6 | 5440 | 0.63 | 0.15 | 0.63 | 0.15 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 | -0.12 | 0.33 | -0.19 | -0.19 | B- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.41 | 0.06 | 2.51 | 1.07 | 1.25 | 1.05 |
| 98 | 928533 | 6 | 5440 | 0.43 | 0.22 | 0.13 | 0.43 | 0.23 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.22 | -0.18 | -0.14 | 0.22 | 0.03 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 1.46 | 0.06 | 9.73 | 1.24 | 9.90 | 1.50 |
| 99 | 928531 | 6 | 5440 | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.16 | 0.36 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.27 | 0.27 | -0.13 | -0.05 | -0.19 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 1.74 | 0.06 | 3.90 | 1.10 | 5.91 | 1.27 |
| 100 | 928536 | 6 | 5440 | 0.71 | 0.09 | 0.71 | 0.14 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.38 | -0.29 | 0.38 | -0.11 | -0.21 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | -0.03 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 1.00 | 0.70 | 1.04 |
| 101 | 891548 | 6 | 5440 | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.42 | 0.42 | -0.23 | -0.27 | -0.17 | B- | A- | B- | A+ | -0.17 | 0.06 | -0.64 | 0.98 | -1.51 | 0.92 |
| 102 | 891550 | 6 | 5440 | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.24 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.46 | 0.46 | -0.27 | -0.28 | -0.23 | A- | A- | B- | A+ | 0.14 | 0.06 | -0.57 | 0.98 | -0.83 | 0.96 |
| 103 | 891551 | 6 | 5440 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.49 | 0.49 | -0.27 | -0.27 | -0.28 | A+ | A- | A+ | A+ | -0.88 | 0.07 | -4.05 | 0.83 | -4.09 | 0.69 |
| 104 | 891555 | 6 | 5440 | 0.21 | 0.04 | 0.21 | 0.68 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.09 | -0.20 | -0.09 | 0.24 | -0.14 | A- | A+ | A+ | A+ | 2.72 | 0.07 | 8.37 | 1.35 | 9.90 | 2.67 |
| 105 | 891545 | 6 | 5440 | 0.52 | 0.11 | 0.03 | 0.52 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 | -0.27 | -0.27 | 0.33 | -0.08 | A+ | A- | A+ | A- | 1.00 | 0.06 | 3.03 | 1.07 | 3.58 | 1.13 |
| 106 | 891556 | 6 | 5440 | 0.76 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.06 | 0.76 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.50 | -0.31 | -0.23 | -0.27 | 0.50 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | -0.37 | 0.07 | -5.60 | 0.82 | -5.04 | 0.71 |
| 107 | 928233 | 7 | 5484 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.03 | 0.11 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.45 | 0.45 | -0.28 | -0.28 | -0.20 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | -0.86 | 0.07 | -3.08 | 0.87 | -2.74 | 0.78 |
| 108 | 928243 | 7 | 5484 | 0.83 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.83 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.56 | -0.30 | -0.29 | -0.32 | 0.56 | A- | A+ | A- | A+ | -0.92 | 0.08 | -3.51 | 0.85 | -3.97 | 0.68 |
| 109 | 928236 | 7 | 5484 | 0.88 | 0.06 | 0.88 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.49 | -0.28 | 0.49 | -0.29 | -0.25 | A- | A+ | A+ | A- | -1.44 | 0.09 | -3.25 | 0.83 | -3.67 | 0.62 |
| 110 | 928242 | 7 | 5484 | 0.64 | 0.05 | 0.64 | 0.08 | 0.23 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.43 | -0.26 | 0.43 | -0.26 | -0.18 | A- | A- | A+ | A- | 0.38 | 0.06 | 0.91 | 1.03 | 0.99 | 1.04 |
| 111 | 928245 | 7 | 5484 | 0.85 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.85 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.47 | -0.23 | -0.27 | 0.47 | -0.27 | A- | A- | A- | B+ | -1.10 | 0.08 | -1.61 | 0.92 | -1.06 | 0.89 |
| 112 | 928240 | 7 | 5484 | 0.76 | 0.05 | 0.13 | 0.06 | 0.76 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.54 | -0.28 | -0.31 | -0.27 | 0.54 | A- | A- | B- | A- | -0.35 | 0.07 | -3.85 | 0.87 | -4.29 | 0.74 |
| 113 | 916263 | 7 | 5484 | 0.67 | 0.11 | 0.67 | 0.14 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32 | -0.13 | 0.32 | -0.22 | -0.12 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.18 | 0.06 | 3.39 | 1.10 | 3.15 | 1.16 |
| 114 | 916258 | 7 | 5484 | 0.48 | 0.24 | 0.23 | 0.48 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.40 | -0.17 | -0.24 | 0.40 | -0.10 | B- | A- | A- | A+ | 1.25 | 0.06 | 1.90 | 1.05 | 3.80 | 1.16 |
| 115 | 916264 | 7 | 5484 | 0.72 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.72 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.38 | -0.27 | -0.29 | 0.38 | -0.11 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | -0.08 | 0.06 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.17 | 1.07 |


| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | $P(A)$ | P(B) | P(C) | P(D) | P(-) | P(*) | ITCorr | Corr(A) | Corr(B) | Corr(C) | Corr(D) | M/F | W/B | W/H | 0/P | Meas | SEM | z-Infit | MS- <br> Infit | z-Outfit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MS- } \\ & \text { Outfit } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 116 | 916268 | 7 | 5484 | 0.64 | 0.12 | 0.64 | 0.08 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.44 | -0.21 | 0.44 | -0.29 | -0.17 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.37 | 0.06 | -0.72 | 0.98 | -1.04 | 0.95 |
| 117 | 916262 | 7 | 5484 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.49 | 0.49 | -0.24 | -0.32 | -0.21 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | -0.59 | 0.07 | -3.10 | 0.88 | -3.23 | 0.77 |
| 118 | 916269 | 7 | 5484 | 0.61 | 0.07 | 0.61 | 0.09 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.36 | -0.25 | 0.36 | -0.24 | -0.09 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.52 | 0.06 | 3.32 | 1.09 | 3.65 | 1.16 |
| 119 | 928235 | 8 | 5473 | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.13 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.44 | 0.44 | -0.19 | -0.24 | -0.24 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 0.16 | 0.06 | -0.04 | 1.00 | -0.14 | 0.99 |
| 120 | 928234 | 8 | 5473 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.35 | 0.35 | -0.06 | -0.33 | -0.16 | A+ | A- | A+ | A- | -0.30 | 0.07 | 2.65 | 1.10 | 3.69 | 1.24 |
| 121 | 928237 | 8 | 5473 | 0.65 | 0.11 | 0.16 | 0.65 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.39 | -0.26 | -0.15 | 0.39 | -0.19 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 0.32 | 0.06 | 1.72 | 1.05 | 1.25 | 1.05 |
| 122 | 928241 | 8 | 5473 | 0.64 | 0.09 | 0.24 | 0.64 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.34 | -0.24 | -0.12 | 0.34 | -0.25 | B- | A- | A- | A- | 0.39 | 0.06 | 4.07 | 1.12 | 3.24 | 1.14 |
| 123 | 928244 | 8 | 5473 | 0.85 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.85 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.46 | -0.21 | -0.29 | -0.25 | 0.46 | A- | A- | A- | A- | -1.03 | 0.08 | -1.58 | 0.93 | -1.96 | 0.83 |
| 124 | 928238 | 8 | 5473 | 0.56 | 0.12 | 0.19 | 0.56 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.34 | -0.21 | -0.07 | 0.34 | -0.22 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A+ | 0.82 | 0.06 | 3.79 | 1.10 | 5.01 | 1.19 |
| 125 | 916260 | 8 | 5473 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.17 | 0.23 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.27 | 0.27 | -0.13 | -0.07 | -0.21 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.94 | 0.06 | 6.52 | 1.16 | 6.29 | 1.24 |
| 126 | 916257 | 8 | 5473 | 0.86 | 0.04 | 0.86 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.53 | -0.27 | 0.53 | -0.31 | -0.26 | C- | A- | A- | A+ | -1.17 | 0.08 | -3.63 | 0.83 | -4.62 | 0.60 |
| 127 | 916259 | 8 | 5473 | 0.55 | 0.04 | 0.13 | 0.27 | 0.55 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.30 | -0.25 | -0.20 | -0.07 | 0.30 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.84 | 0.06 | 6.32 | 1.16 | 5.51 | 1.21 |
| 128 | 916265 | 8 | 5473 | 0.75 | 0.04 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.59 | -0.32 | -0.33 | -0.29 | 0.59 | A- | A+ | A- | A+ | -0.28 | 0.07 | -5.59 | 0.81 | -6.00 | 0.68 |
| 129 | 916267 | 8 | 5473 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.05 | 0.32 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.15 | -0.21 | 0.04 | -0.16 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 0.88 | 0.06 | 9.90 | 1.34 | 9.90 | 1.44 |
| 130 | 916261 | 8 | 5473 | 0.79 | 0.06 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.79 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.54 | -0.27 | -0.29 | -0.30 | 0.54 | A+ | A- | B- | A+ | -0.57 | 0.07 | -6.40 | 0.77 | -5.38 | 0.66 |
| 131 | 915775 | 9 | 5460 | 0.52 | 0.17 | 0.52 | 0.06 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.25 | -0.16 | 0.25 | -0.27 | 0.01 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 1.00 | 0.06 | 7.08 | 1.17 | 6.95 | 1.25 |
| 132 | 915779 | 9 | 5460 | 0.62 | 0.26 | 0.03 | 0.62 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.22 | -0.04 | -0.22 | 0.22 | -0.18 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 0.52 | 0.06 | 9.52 | 1.26 | 8.74 | 1.38 |
| 133 | 915776 | 9 | 5460 | 0.73 | 0.13 | 0.05 | 0.73 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.48 | -0.28 | -0.23 | 0.48 | -0.23 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | -0.11 | 0.06 | -2.31 | 0.93 | -3.05 | 0.84 |
| 134 | 915777 | 9 | 5460 | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.13 | 0.12 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.36 | 0.36 | -0.23 | -0.18 | -0.12 | A- | A+ | A+ | A+ | 0.15 | 0.06 | 2.67 | 1.08 | 4.02 | 1.20 |
| 135 | 915786 | 9 | 5460 | 0.34 | 0.05 | 0.35 | 0.34 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.15 | -0.20 | -0.01 | 0.15 | -0.05 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A+ | 1.92 | 0.06 | 7.81 | 1.21 | 9.90 | 1.56 |
| 136 | 915785 | 9 | 5460 | 0.51 | 0.05 | 0.29 | 0.15 | 0.51 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 | -0.25 | -0.13 | -0.14 | 0.33 | A- | A+ | A+ | A- | 1.05 | 0.06 | 3.50 | 1.08 | 2.87 | 1.10 |
| 137 | 897065 | 9 | 5460 | 0.72 | 0.10 | 0.72 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.48 | -0.33 | 0.48 | -0.30 | -0.13 | B- | A- | A- | B+ | -0.09 | 0.06 | -1.83 | 0.94 | -1.74 | 0.91 |
| 138 | 897063 | 9 | 5460 | 0.76 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.76 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.50 | -0.25 | -0.22 | -0.32 | 0.50 | B- | A- | A- | A+ | -0.35 | 0.07 | -3.10 | 0.89 | -4.16 | 0.76 |
| 139 | 897058 | 9 | 5460 | 0.57 | 0.06 | 0.57 | 0.31 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.31 | -0.25 | 0.31 | -0.13 | -0.13 | A- | A+ | A- | A- | 0.75 | 0.06 | 5.97 | 1.15 | 5.45 | 1.20 |
| 140 | 897060 | 9 | 5460 | 0.73 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.73 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.40 | -0.33 | -0.22 | 0.40 | -0.08 | A- | A+ | A- | A+ | -0.16 | 0.06 | 1.40 | 1.05 | 1.52 | 1.09 |
| 141 | 897062 | 9 | 5460 | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.04 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.48 | 0.48 | -0.30 | -0.21 | -0.26 | A- | A+ | A- | A- | -0.16 | 0.06 | -3.99 | 0.87 | -3.30 | 0.82 |
| 142 | 897054 | 9 | 5460 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.38 | 0.38 | -0.24 | -0.25 | -0.17 | A- | A- | B- | A+ | -0.98 | 0.08 | -0.90 | 0.96 | -0.08 | 0.99 |
| 143 | 915781 | 10 | 5455 | 0.69 | 0.12 | 0.69 | 0.15 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.41 | -0.21 | 0.41 | -0.24 | -0.18 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | 0.03 | 0.06 | 1.03 | 1.03 | -0.10 | 0.99 |
| 144 | 915774 | 10 | 5455 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.04 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.28 | 0.28 | -0.22 | -0.15 | -0.09 | A- | A- | A+ | A- | 0.26 | 0.06 | 6.97 | 1.21 | 6.85 | 1.33 |


| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | $P(A)$ | P(B) | P(C) | P(D) | P(-) | P(*) | ITCorr | Corr(A) | Corr(B) | Corr(C) | Corr(D) | M/F | W/B | W/H | 0/P | Meas | SEM | z-Infit | MS- <br> Infit | z-Outfit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MS- } \\ & \text { Outfit } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 145 | 915782 | 10 | 5455 | 0.57 | 0.18 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.57 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.44 | -0.13 | -0.30 | -0.21 | 0.44 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 0.73 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 1.00 | 0.90 | 1.03 |
| 146 | 915783 | 10 | 5455 | 0.52 | 0.36 | 0.52 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.12 | -0.24 | -0.28 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A- | 0.97 | 0.06 | 9.90 | 1.39 | 9.90 | 1.62 |
| 147 | 915778 | 10 | 5455 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.39 | 0.07 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.25 | -0.12 | -0.23 | -0.01 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A- | 1.44 | 0.06 | 6.95 | 1.17 | 7.68 | 1.33 |
| 148 | 915780 | 10 | 5455 | 0.67 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.17 | 0.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.41 | -0.27 | -0.22 | -0.17 | 0.41 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | 0.20 | 0.06 | 0.75 | 1.02 | -0.10 | 1.00 |
| 149 | 897057 | 10 | 5455 | 0.75 | 0.14 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.51 | -0.33 | -0.23 | -0.22 | 0.51 | C- | A- | A- | A+ | -0.31 | 0.07 | -2.95 | 0.90 | -3.23 | 0.82 |
| 150 | 897056 | 10 | 5455 | 0.83 | 0.04 | 0.83 | 0.09 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.50 | -0.24 | 0.50 | -0.33 | -0.21 | B- | A- | A- | A+ | -0.89 | 0.07 | -2.48 | 0.90 | -3.01 | 0.77 |
| 151 | 897055 | 10 | 5455 | 0.54 | 0.07 | 0.26 | 0.54 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.28 | -0.21 | -0.04 | 0.28 | -0.19 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.88 | 0.06 | 7.79 | 1.20 | 6.81 | 1.26 |
| 152 | 897061 | 10 | 5455 | 0.76 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.76 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.50 | -0.24 | -0.25 | 0.50 | -0.30 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | -0.38 | 0.07 | -2.90 | 0.90 | -3.87 | 0.78 |
| 153 | 897064 | 10 | 5455 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.26 | 0.06 | 0.39 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.02 | -0.07 | -0.15 | 0.12 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A- | 2.16 | 0.06 | 9.90 | 1.34 | 9.90 | 1.99 |
| 154 | 897059 | 10 | 5455 | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.13 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.43 | 0.43 | -0.16 | -0.32 | -0.19 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | -0.10 | 0.06 | -1.36 | 0.96 | -0.16 | 0.99 |
| 155 | 928462 | 11 | 5464 | 0.88 | 0.06 | 0.88 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.41 | -0.25 | 0.41 | -0.26 | -0.17 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | -1.41 | 0.09 | -1.81 | 0.90 | -2.80 | 0.71 |
| 156 | 928461 | 11 | 5464 | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.31 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.38 | 0.38 | -0.16 | -0.27 | -0.07 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | 1.58 | 0.06 | -0.85 | 0.98 | 2.89 | 1.12 |
| 157 | 928485 | 11 | 5464 | 0.56 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.18 | 0.56 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.27 | -0.15 | -0.18 | -0.04 | 0.27 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | 0.79 | 0.06 | 7.33 | 1.18 | 7.35 | 1.29 |
| 158 | 928494 | 11 | 5464 | 0.82 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.82 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.53 | -0.28 | -0.32 | -0.25 | 0.53 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | -0.81 | 0.07 | -5.07 | 0.80 | -3.30 | 0.75 |
| 159 | 928487 | 11 | 5464 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.06 | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.46 | 0.46 | -0.26 | -0.24 | -0.26 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | -0.71 | 0.07 | -1.91 | 0.92 | -1.94 | 0.85 |
| 160 | 928493 | 11 | 5464 | 0.75 | 0.03 | 0.10 | 0.75 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.44 | -0.30 | -0.27 | 0.44 | -0.16 | A+ | B- | A- | A+ | -0.33 | 0.07 | -1.63 | 0.94 | -0.78 | 0.95 |
| 161 | 899871 | 11 | 5464 | 0.61 | 0.08 | 0.21 | 0.61 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.30 | -0.11 | -0.21 | 0.30 | -0.09 | A- | A- | A+ | A+ | 0.50 | 0.06 | 6.40 | 1.18 | 5.01 | 1.21 |
| 162 | 899876 | 11 | 5464 | 0.54 | 0.26 | 0.54 | 0.14 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.40 | -0.16 | 0.40 | -0.21 | -0.22 | A+ | A- | A+ | A- | 0.90 | 0.06 | 3.46 | 1.08 | 3.70 | 1.14 |
| 163 | 899873 | 11 | 5464 | 0.69 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.16 | 0.69 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.53 | -0.28 | -0.30 | -0.25 | 0.53 | A- | A+ | A- | A- | 0.06 | 0.06 | -3.19 | 0.91 | -3.67 | 0.83 |
| 164 | 899875 | 11 | 5464 | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.08 | 0.18 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32 | 0.32 | -0.25 | -0.08 | -0.17 | A- | A+ | A- | A- | 0.42 | 0.06 | 6.09 | 1.17 | 7.87 | 1.36 |
| 165 | 899879 | 11 | 5464 | 0.79 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.79 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.55 | -0.28 | -0.37 | 0.55 | -0.23 | A+ | B+ | A+ | A- | -0.59 | 0.07 | -3.25 | 0.88 | -3.84 | 0.74 |
| 166 | 899878 | 11 | 5464 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.11 | 0.19 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.36 | 0.36 | -0.22 | -0.12 | -0.25 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.26 | 0.06 | 2.70 | 1.08 | 2.69 | 1.12 |
| 167 | 928492 | 12 | 5422 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.09 | 0.20 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.34 | 0.34 | -0.27 | -0.16 | -0.10 | A+ | A+ | A- | A- | 0.16 | 0.06 | 4.25 | 1.13 | 3.27 | 1.15 |
| 168 | 928491 | 12 | 5422 | 0.38 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.38 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 | -0.04 | -0.08 | 0.06 | 0.06 | A+ | A+ | A- | A- | 1.69 | 0.06 | 9.90 | 1.35 | 9.90 | 1.61 |
| 169 | 928486 | 12 | 5422 | 0.69 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.20 | 0.69 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.29 | -0.25 | -0.30 | -0.01 | 0.29 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | 0.05 | 0.06 | 4.84 | 1.15 | 6.12 | 1.31 |
| 170 | 928484 | 12 | 5422 | 0.46 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.46 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.28 | -0.16 | -0.08 | 0.28 | -0.13 | A- | A- | A+ | A+ | 1.25 | 0.06 | 5.77 | 1.13 | 6.79 | 1.25 |
| 171 | 928489 | 12 | 5422 | 0.66 | 0.12 | 0.66 | 0.14 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.45 | -0.17 | 0.45 | -0.24 | -0.27 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.23 | 0.06 | -0.59 | 0.98 | -1.58 | 0.93 |
| 172 | 928490 | 12 | 5422 | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.15 | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.40 | 0.40 | -0.15 | -0.22 | -0.22 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.41 | 0.06 | 1.36 | 1.04 | 1.13 | 1.04 |
| 173 | 899872 | 12 | 5422 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.29 | 0.02 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.34 | 0.34 | -0.21 | -0.24 | -0.10 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.97 | 0.06 | 3.75 | 1.09 | 4.77 | 1.16 |


| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | $P(A)$ | P(B) | P(C) | P(D) | P(-) | P(*) | ITCorr | Corr(A) | Corr(B) | Corr(C) | Corr(D) | M/F | W/B | W/H | 0/P | Meas | SEM | z-Infit | MS- <br> Infit | z-Outfit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MS- } \\ & \text { Outfit } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 174 | 905103 | 12 | 5422 | 0.86 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.86 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.43 | -0.27 | -0.23 | 0.43 | -0.22 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | -1.12 | 0.08 | -1.81 | 0.91 | -2.10 | 0.82 |
| 175 | 899868 | 12 | 5422 | 0.72 | 0.13 | 0.05 | 0.72 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.48 | -0.27 | -0.29 | 0.48 | -0.21 | A- | A- | A+ | A+ | -0.12 | 0.06 | -1.99 | 0.94 | -2.29 | 0.89 |
| 176 | 899880 | 12 | 5422 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.19 | 0.10 | 0.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.35 | 0.35 | -0.12 | -0.21 | -0.13 | A- | B- | A- | A+ | 1.45 | 0.06 | -0.01 | 1.00 | 2.27 | 1.08 |
| 177 | 899869 | 12 | 5422 | 0.56 | 0.17 | 0.56 | 0.18 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 | -0.11 | 0.33 | -0.20 | -0.14 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.78 | 0.06 | 3.58 | 1.09 | 3.42 | 1.12 |
| 178 | 899877 | 12 | 5422 | 0.61 | 0.05 | 0.27 | 0.61 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.07 | -0.21 | 0.14 | 0.07 | -0.20 | A+ | A- | A+ | A+ | 0.52 | 0.06 | 9.90 | 1.38 | 9.90 | 1.62 |
| 179 | 928459 | 13 | 5482 | 0.72 | 0.20 | 0.06 | 0.72 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.39 | -0.25 | -0.22 | 0.39 | -0.15 | A- | A- | A- | A- | -0.16 | 0.06 | 1.29 | 1.04 | 0.57 | 1.03 |
| 180 | 928450 | 13 | 5482 | 0.79 | 0.09 | 0.79 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.42 | -0.28 | 0.42 | -0.25 | -0.15 | B+ | A- | A- | A- | -0.63 | 0.07 | 0.16 | 1.01 | 0.28 | 1.02 |
| 181 | 928456 | 13 | 5482 | 0.66 | 0.20 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.66 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.44 | -0.24 | -0.21 | -0.22 | 0.44 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.20 | 0.06 | -1.67 | 0.95 | -2.07 | 0.91 |
| 182 | 928460 | 13 | 5482 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.35 | 0.11 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.23 | 0.23 | -0.06 | -0.17 | -0.09 | A- | A+ | A- | A- | 1.58 | 0.06 | 7.22 | 1.18 | 7.46 | 1.33 |
| 183 | 928454 | 13 | 5482 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.14 | 0.09 | 0.27 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.24 | 0.24 | -0.25 | -0.10 | 0.00 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 1.05 | 0.06 | 8.88 | 1.22 | 9.10 | 1.35 |
| 184 | 928453 | 13 | 5482 | 0.61 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.20 | 0.61 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.42 | -0.25 | -0.28 | -0.11 | 0.42 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | 0.51 | 0.06 | 3.19 | 1.08 | 3.27 | 1.13 |
| 185 | 898193 | 13 | 5482 | 0.60 | 0.06 | 0.20 | 0.15 | 0.60 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.40 | -0.27 | -0.12 | -0.23 | 0.40 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.57 | 0.06 | 1.59 | 1.04 | 1.65 | 1.06 |
| 186 | 898192 | 13 | 5482 | 0.66 | 0.02 | 0.66 | 0.04 | 0.28 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.34 | -0.22 | 0.34 | -0.24 | -0.18 | A- | A+ | A- | A+ | 0.21 | 0.06 | 3.60 | 1.11 | 2.48 | 1.11 |
| 187 | 898178 | 13 | 5482 | 0.71 | 0.10 | 0.71 | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.47 | -0.25 | 0.47 | -0.25 | -0.22 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | -0.10 | 0.06 | -2.76 | 0.91 | -2.10 | 0.89 |
| 188 | 898176 | 13 | 5482 | 0.53 | 0.25 | 0.53 | 0.19 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32 | -0.18 | 0.32 | -0.11 | -0.24 | A- | B- | B- | A+ | 0.89 | 0.06 | 4.27 | 1.10 | 3.70 | 1.13 |
| 189 | 898195 | 13 | 5482 | 0.61 | 0.61 | 0.13 | 0.06 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.36 | 0.36 | -0.13 | -0.31 | -0.14 | A- | A+ | A- | A- | 0.49 | 0.06 | 2.67 | 1.07 | 1.63 | 1.06 |
| 190 | 898177 | 13 | 5482 | 0.36 | 0.16 | 0.14 | 0.36 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.13 | -0.09 | -0.30 | 0.13 | 0.16 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 1.80 | 0.06 | 9.61 | 1.25 | 9.90 | 1.59 |
| 191 | 928451 | 14 | 5479 | 0.47 | 0.07 | 0.14 | 0.32 | 0.47 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.24 | -0.16 | -0.07 | -0.11 | 0.24 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | 1.21 | 0.06 | 8.51 | 1.20 | 7.60 | 1.28 |
| 192 | 928483 | 14 | 5479 | 0.65 | 0.16 | 0.65 | 0.13 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.30 | -0.19 | 0.30 | -0.05 | -0.22 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | 0.32 | 0.06 | 5.77 | 1.16 | 6.20 | 1.29 |
| 193 | 928455 | 14 | 5479 | 0.65 | 0.12 | 0.07 | 0.65 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.38 | -0.28 | -0.18 | 0.38 | -0.11 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | 0.31 | 0.06 | 2.29 | 1.06 | 2.36 | 1.10 |
| 194 | 928452 | 14 | 5479 | 0.45 | 0.18 | 0.24 | 0.45 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.22 | -0.07 | -0.11 | 0.22 | -0.09 | A+ | A- | A+ | A+ | 1.32 | 0.06 | 9.47 | 1.23 | 9.76 | 1.38 |
| 195 | 928457 | 14 | 5479 | 0.56 | 0.09 | 0.56 | 0.26 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32 | -0.19 | 0.32 | -0.08 | -0.24 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | 0.77 | 0.06 | 6.39 | 1.16 | 5.74 | 1.22 |
| 196 | 928458 | 14 | 5479 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.13 | 0.23 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.25 | -0.11 | -0.05 | -0.24 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | 0.78 | 0.06 | 8.22 | 1.21 | 9.13 | 1.35 |
| 197 | 898190 | 14 | 5479 | 0.51 | 0.21 | 0.51 | 0.18 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.25 | -0.14 | 0.25 | -0.07 | -0.14 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 1.05 | 0.06 | 7.09 | 1.17 | 7.41 | 1.27 |
| 198 | 898191 | 14 | 5479 | 0.69 | 0.21 | 0.05 | 0.69 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.38 | -0.15 | -0.25 | 0.38 | -0.25 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | 0.06 | 0.06 | 2.34 | 1.07 | 1.39 | 1.07 |
| 199 | 898174 | 14 | 5479 | 0.60 | 0.23 | 0.07 | 0.60 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 | -0.13 | -0.22 | 0.33 | -0.16 | B- | A- | A- | A- | 0.56 | 0.06 | 4.61 | 1.12 | 3.75 | 1.15 |
| 200 | 898194 | 14 | 5479 | 0.63 | 0.25 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.63 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.34 | -0.10 | -0.30 | -0.23 | 0.34 | A- | A+ | A- | A- | 0.40 | 0.06 | 2.70 | 1.07 | 1.70 | 1.07 |
| 201 | 898179 | 14 | 5479 | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.51 | 0.51 | -0.29 | -0.30 | -0.22 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A- | -0.18 | 0.07 | -4.82 | 0.85 | -4.88 | 0.75 |
| 202 | 898175 | 14 | 5479 | 0.70 | 0.11 | 0.06 | 0.13 | 0.70 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.47 | -0.24 | -0.23 | -0.25 | 0.47 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A- | 0.05 | 0.06 | -2.24 | 0.93 | -2.50 | 0.88 |


| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | $P(A)$ | P(B) | P(C) | P(D) | P(-) | $P(*)$ | ITCorr | $\operatorname{Corr}(\mathrm{A})$ | Corr(B) | Corr(C) | Corr(D) | M/F | W/B | W/H | 0/P | Meas | SEM | z-Infit | MS- <br> Infit | z-Outfit | MS- <br> Outif |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 203 | 891570 | 15 | 5447 | 0.82 | 0.10 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.82 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.41 | -0.31 | -0.13 | -0.20 | 0.41 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | -0.81 | 0.07 | -1.71 | 0.93 | -1.57 | 0.88 |
| 204 | 891567 | 15 | 5447 | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.06 | 0.20 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32 | 0.32 | -0.16 | -0.17 | -0.17 | A- | A+ | A+ | A+ | 0.11 | 0.06 | 4.94 | 1.15 | 4.52 | 1.23 |
| 205 | 891568 | 15 | 5447 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.15 | 0.18 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32 | 0.32 | -0.22 | -0.11 | -0.19 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | 0.35 | 0.06 | 4.05 | 1.11 | 3.47 | 1.15 |
| 206 | 891572 | 15 | 5447 | 0.65 | 0.11 | 0.65 | 0.04 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.30 | -0.06 | 0.30 | -0.31 | -0.15 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | 0.31 | 0.06 | 4.96 | 1.14 | 3.58 | 1.16 |
| 207 | 891564 | 15 | 5447 | 0.64 | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.18 | 0.64 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.28 | -0.15 | -0.23 | -0.07 | 0.28 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A- | 0.37 | 0.06 | 5.45 | 1.15 | 6.04 | 1.27 |
| 208 | 891560 | 15 | 5447 | 0.77 | 0.09 | 0.05 | 0.77 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.43 | -0.29 | -0.21 | 0.43 | -0.19 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | -0.45 | 0.07 | -1.60 | 0.94 | -1.51 | 0.90 |
| 209 | 904537 | 15 | 5447 | 0.84 | 0.08 | 0.84 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.47 | -0.25 | 0.47 | -0.30 | -0.22 | A- | B- | A- | A+ | -0.94 | 0.08 | -2.97 | 0.87 | -2.36 | 0.81 |
| 210 | 897806 | 15 | 5447 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.43 | 0.24 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.16 | -0.14 | -0.21 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | 2.12 | 0.06 | 9.90 | 1.34 | 9.90 | 2.02 |
| 211 | 897807 | 15 | 5447 | 0.78 | 0.14 | 0.78 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.46 | -0.28 | 0.46 | -0.26 | -0.23 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | -0.52 | 0.07 | -1.18 | 0.95 | -1.26 | 0.92 |
| 212 | 897815 | 15 | 5447 | 0.72 | 0.16 | 0.07 | 0.72 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.52 | -0.30 | -0.28 | 0.52 | -0.24 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | -0.09 | 0.06 | -2.05 | 0.93 | -3.10 | 0.84 |
| 213 | 897819 | 15 | 5447 | 0.52 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.35 | 0.52 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.11 | -0.24 | -0.25 | 0.14 | 0.11 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | 0.99 | 0.06 | 9.90 | 1.39 | 9.90 | 1.53 |
| 214 | 897814 | 15 | 5447 | 0.18 | 0.45 | 0.18 | 0.17 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.17 | 0.17 | -0.17 | 0.01 | -0.05 | A+ | A+ | A- | A+ | 2.89 | 0.07 | 9.89 | 1.45 | 9.90 | 3.39 |
| 215 | 891569 | 16 | 5450 | 0.75 | 0.10 | 0.75 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.41 | -0.16 | 0.41 | -0.21 | -0.27 | A+ | A- | C- | A+ | -0.27 | 0.07 | 0.44 | 1.02 | -1.01 | 0.94 |
| 216 | 891566 | 16 | 5450 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.25 | 0.09 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 0.29 | -0.11 | -0.18 | -0.25 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 0.40 | 0.06 | 6.56 | 1.19 | 5.70 | 1.26 |
| 217 | 891571 | 16 | 5450 | 0.71 | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.08 | 0.71 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.41 | -0.21 | -0.14 | -0.28 | 0.41 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.02 | 0.06 | -0.28 | 0.99 | -1.07 | 0.94 |
| 218 | 891563 | 16 | 5450 | 0.81 | 0.12 | 0.03 | 0.81 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.36 | -0.16 | -0.28 | 0.36 | -0.20 | A+ | A- | A+ | A- | -0.67 | 0.07 | 2.48 | 1.11 | 7.35 | 1.68 |
| 219 | 891573 | 16 | 5450 | 0.56 | 0.07 | 0.23 | 0.56 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.49 | -0.23 | -0.29 | 0.49 | -0.17 | A+ | A- | B- | A+ | 0.84 | 0.06 | -3.62 | 0.92 | -3.14 | 0.89 |
| 220 | 891561 | 16 | 5450 | 0.36 | 0.25 | 0.36 | 0.20 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.19 | 0.05 | 0.19 | -0.19 | -0.09 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 1.83 | 0.06 | 8.54 | 1.22 | 8.83 | 1.43 |
| 221 | 897816 | 16 | 5450 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.08 | 0.26 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.39 | 0.39 | -0.23 | -0.16 | -0.19 | A- | A+ | A- | A- | 0.88 | 0.06 | -0.81 | 0.98 | 0.18 | 1.01 |
| 222 | 897812 | 16 | 5450 | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.05 | 0.24 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.24 | 0.24 | -0.24 | -0.07 | -0.18 | A- | B- | B- | A+ | 0.16 | 0.06 | 5.79 | 1.18 | 5.55 | 1.29 |
| 223 | 897809 | 16 | 5450 | 0.54 | 0.26 | 0.15 | 0.54 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.15 | -0.07 | -0.04 | 0.15 | -0.15 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.95 | 0.06 | 9.90 | 1.33 | 9.90 | 1.45 |
| 224 | 897817 | 16 | 5450 | 0.87 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.87 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.49 | -0.25 | -0.28 | 0.49 | -0.27 | A+ | A- | A+ | A- | -1.18 | 0.08 | -3.55 | 0.82 | -4.22 | 0.63 |
| 225 | 897818 | 16 | 5450 | 0.47 | 0.31 | 0.47 | 0.12 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.22 | -0.01 | 0.22 | -0.12 | -0.22 | A+ | A+ | A- | A+ | 1.29 | 0.06 | 7.78 | 1.18 | 8.11 | 1.31 |
| 226 | 897810 | 16 | 5450 | 0.77 | 0.12 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.77 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.48 | -0.21 | -0.31 | -0.26 | 0.48 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | -0.41 | 0.07 | -2.53 | 0.91 | -0.49 | 0.97 |
| 227 | 904784 | 17 | 5497 | 0.81 | 0.02 | 0.16 | 0.81 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.28 | -0.23 | -0.16 | 0.28 | -0.18 | A+ | A- | A+ | A+ | -0.77 | 0.07 | 0.63 | 1.03 | 1.28 | 1.11 |
| 228 | 904785 | 17 | 5497 | 0.47 | 0.18 | 0.19 | 0.15 | 0.47 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.44 | -0.18 | -0.18 | -0.21 | 0.44 | A- | A+ | A- | A+ | 1.23 | 0.06 | -3.56 | 0.92 | -2.06 | 0.93 |
| 229 | 904787 | 17 | 5497 | 0.57 | 0.10 | 0.57 | 0.17 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32 | -0.20 | 0.32 | -0.15 | -0.10 | A+ | A+ | A- | A+ | 0.76 | 0.06 | 3.77 | 1.09 | 4.09 | 1.16 |
| 230 | 904789 | 17 | 5497 | 0.61 | 0.29 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.61 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.42 | -0.25 | -0.20 | -0.22 | 0.42 | A- | A+ | A- | B- | 0.56 | 0.06 | 0.88 | 1.02 | -0.16 | 0.99 |
| 231 | 904794 | 17 | 5497 | 0.52 | 0.12 | 0.29 | 0.52 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.22 | -0.13 | -0.04 | 0.22 | -0.17 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A+ | 1.02 | 0.06 | 9.50 | 1.23 | 7.74 | 1.31 |


| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | $P(A)$ | P(B) | P(C) | P(D) | P(-) | P(*) | ITCorr | Corr(A) | Corr(B) | Corr(C) | Corr(D) | M/F | W/B | W/H | 0/P | Meas | SEM | z-Infit | MS- <br> Infit | z-Outfit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MS- } \\ & \text { Outfit } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 232 | 904786 | 17 | 5497 | 0.62 | 0.31 | 0.62 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.27 | -0.08 | 0.27 | -0.25 | -0.24 | A- | A+ | A- | A- | 0.48 | 0.06 | 7.72 | 1.21 | 7.89 | 1.38 |
| 233 | 896839 | 17 | 5497 | 0.66 | 0.15 | 0.66 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.27 | -0.14 | 0.27 | -0.12 | -0.13 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.28 | 0.06 | 4.16 | 1.12 | 3.06 | 1.15 |
| 234 | 896837 | 17 | 5497 | 0.92 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.92 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.40 | -0.27 | -0.19 | 0.40 | -0.21 | A- | A+ | A- | A+ | -1.93 | 0.10 | -2.94 | 0.79 | -2.62 | 0.65 |
| 235 | 896842 | 17 | 5497 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.10 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.39 | 0.39 | -0.16 | -0.24 | -0.28 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | -0.86 | 0.08 | 0.19 | 1.01 | 0.64 | 1.05 |
| 236 | 896830 | 17 | 5497 | 0.82 | 0.05 | 0.82 | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.54 | -0.31 | 0.54 | -0.30 | -0.28 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | -0.85 | 0.08 | -3.85 | 0.83 | -4.22 | 0.67 |
| 237 | 896836 | 17 | 5497 | 0.85 | 0.03 | 0.85 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.41 | -0.31 | 0.41 | -0.24 | -0.15 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | -1.08 | 0.08 | -2.99 | 0.86 | 1.72 | 1.18 |
| 238 | 896841 | 17 | 5497 | 0.71 | 0.05 | 0.71 | 0.09 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.39 | -0.20 | 0.39 | -0.16 | -0.24 | A+ | A+ | A- | B+ | -0.06 | 0.06 | 0.32 | 1.01 | 0.65 | 1.04 |
| 239 | 904783 | 18 | 5488 | 0.60 | 0.03 | 0.60 | 0.30 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32 | -0.17 | 0.32 | -0.21 | -0.12 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 0.60 | 0.06 | 5.70 | 1.15 | 4.55 | 1.18 |
| 240 | 904781 | 18 | 5488 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.09 | 0.13 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.46 | 0.46 | -0.31 | -0.17 | -0.25 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A- | 0.04 | 0.06 | -4.10 | 0.88 | -3.66 | 0.83 |
| 241 | 904782 | 18 | 5488 | 0.67 | 0.16 | 0.04 | 0.67 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.38 | -0.31 | -0.18 | 0.38 | -0.08 | A- | A+ | A+ | A- | 0.24 | 0.06 | 2.48 | 1.07 | 2.41 | 1.11 |
| 242 | 904795 | 18 | 5488 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.19 | 0.12 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.21 | -0.01 | -0.14 | -0.25 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A+ | 0.36 | 0.06 | 9.05 | 1.27 | 9.46 | 1.44 |
| 243 | 904790 | 18 | 5488 | 0.59 | 0.13 | 0.23 | 0.59 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.27 | -0.23 | -0.05 | 0.27 | -0.16 | A- | B- | A- | A+ | 0.68 | 0.06 | 4.91 | 1.13 | 4.67 | 1.17 |
| 244 | 904791 | 18 | 5488 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.56 | 0.56 | -0.26 | -0.30 | -0.31 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | -0.02 | 0.06 | -5.57 | 0.84 | -5.48 | 0.75 |
| 245 | 896831 | 18 | 5488 | 0.49 | 0.44 | 0.05 | 0.49 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.34 | -0.15 | -0.32 | 0.34 | -0.17 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | 1.17 | 0.06 | 3.27 | 1.08 | 3.93 | 1.14 |
| 246 | 896834 | 18 | 5488 | 0.43 | 0.05 | 0.15 | 0.37 | 0.43 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32 | -0.22 | -0.03 | -0.21 | 0.32 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | 1.49 | 0.06 | 4.11 | 1.10 | 6.21 | 1.25 |
| 247 | 904403 | 18 | 5488 | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.27 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.16 | -0.29 | -0.27 | 0.04 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.17 | 0.06 | 9.90 | 1.35 | 9.90 | 1.58 |
| 248 | 896840 | 18 | 5488 | 0.79 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.79 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.54 | -0.30 | -0.24 | -0.32 | 0.54 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | -0.53 | 0.07 | -4.39 | 0.84 | -5.10 | 0.69 |
| 249 | 896835 | 18 | 5488 | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.18 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.43 | 0.43 | -0.21 | -0.25 | -0.27 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | -0.14 | 0.06 | 0.19 | 1.01 | 1.19 | 1.06 |
| 250 | 896833 | 18 | 5488 | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.12 | 0.05 | 0.46 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.07 | -0.12 | -0.21 | 0.11 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 1.79 | 0.06 | 9.90 | 1.37 | 9.90 | 1.81 |
| 251 | 910731 | 19 | 5480 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.34 | 0.34 | -0.12 | -0.23 | -0.21 | A- | A- | C- | A- | -1.04 | 0.08 | 0.91 | 1.04 | 1.62 | 1.17 |
| 252 | 910709 | 19 | 5480 | 0.80 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.80 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.40 | -0.23 | -0.21 | -0.19 | 0.40 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | -0.62 | 0.07 | -0.26 | 0.99 | 0.19 | 1.01 |
| 253 | 910686 | 19 | 5480 | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.46 | 0.46 | -0.29 | -0.20 | -0.19 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | 0.16 | 0.06 | -0.42 | 0.99 | -0.96 | 0.95 |
| 254 | 910737 | 19 | 5480 | 0.87 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.87 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.44 | -0.23 | -0.30 | 0.44 | -0.20 | B+ | A- | A- | A- | -1.22 | 0.08 | -2.57 | 0.87 | -2.93 | 0.71 |
| 255 | 910699 | 19 | 5480 | 0.79 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.79 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.44 | -0.27 | -0.18 | -0.24 | 0.44 | A+ | A- | B- | A+ | -0.53 | 0.07 | -1.30 | 0.95 | -1.44 | 0.89 |
| 256 | 910703 | 19 | 5480 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.20 | 0.28 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.19 | 0.19 | -0.04 | -0.12 | -0.05 | A- | A+ | A+ | A+ | 1.86 | 0.06 | 8.21 | 1.22 | 9.67 | 1.52 |
| 257 | 928029 | 19 | 5480 | 0.82 | 0.11 | 0.05 | 0.82 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.37 | -0.21 | -0.23 | 0.37 | -0.19 | B- | B- | A- | A+ | -0.76 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.08 |
| 258 | 928031 | 19 | 5480 | 0.52 | 0.38 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.52 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.30 | -0.04 | -0.24 | -0.32 | 0.30 | A+ | A- | A+ | A- | 1.06 | 0.06 | 7.19 | 1.18 | 7.22 | 1.29 |
| 259 | 928032 | 19 | 5480 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 0.50 | -0.25 | -0.28 | -0.30 | A+ | A+ | A- | B+ | -1.75 | 0.10 | -4.27 | 0.74 | -5.20 | 0.42 |
| 260 | 928033 | 19 | 5480 | 0.64 | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.64 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.49 | -0.20 | -0.32 | 0.49 | -0.20 | A- | A+ | A- | A+ | 0.40 | 0.06 | -1.79 | 0.95 | -2.20 | 0.91 |


| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | $P(A)$ | P(B) | P(C) | P(D) | P(-) | P(*) | ITCorr | Corr(A) | Corr(B) | Corr(C) | Corr(D) | M/F | W/B | W/H | 0/P | Meas | SEM | z-Infit | MS- <br> Infit | z-Outfit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MS- } \\ & \text { Outfit } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 261 | 928027 | 19 | 5480 | 0.67 | 0.03 | 0.67 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.39 | -0.29 | 0.39 | -0.18 | -0.18 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.26 | 0.06 | 2.17 | 1.06 | 2.87 | 1.14 |
| 262 | 928073 | 19 | 5480 | 0.86 | 0.06 | 0.86 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.51 | -0.28 | 0.51 | -0.30 | -0.27 | A- | A+ | A+ | A+ | -1.18 | 0.08 | -3.88 | 0.81 | -3.80 | 0.65 |
| 263 | 910722 | 20 | 5499 | 0.54 | 0.32 | 0.54 | 0.03 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.27 | -0.10 | 0.27 | -0.25 | -0.15 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.92 | 0.06 | 5.79 | 1.14 | 5.92 | 1.23 |
| 264 | 910694 | 20 | 5499 | 0.58 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.58 | 0.28 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.10 | -0.14 | -0.14 | 0.10 | 0.06 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A- | 0.74 | 0.06 | 9.90 | 1.41 | 9.90 | 1.65 |
| 265 | 910690 | 20 | 5499 | 0.88 | 0.03 | 0.88 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.37 | -0.18 | 0.37 | -0.21 | -0.21 | B+ | B- | B- | A+ | -1.33 | 0.09 | -1.61 | 0.91 | -0.73 | 0.92 |
| 266 | 910714 | 20 | 5499 | 0.84 | 0.08 | 0.84 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32 | -0.12 | 0.32 | -0.20 | -0.23 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | -0.98 | 0.08 | 2.52 | 1.12 | 3.77 | 1.39 |
| 267 | 910727 | 20 | 5499 | 0.80 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.80 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.43 | -0.28 | -0.19 | 0.43 | -0.22 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | -0.65 | 0.07 | -1.77 | 0.93 | -1.81 | 0.87 |
| 268 | 910741 | 20 | 5499 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.45 | 0.45 | -0.23 | -0.31 | -0.20 | A- | B- | A+ | A+ | -1.23 | 0.08 | -1.80 | 0.91 | -1.70 | 0.83 |
| 269 | 928030 | 20 | 5499 | 0.48 | 0.18 | 0.05 | 0.29 | 0.48 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.23 | -0.25 | -0.24 | 0.08 | 0.23 | A- | A+ | A- | A- | 1.24 | 0.06 | 9.55 | 1.23 | 8.98 | 1.37 |
| 270 | 928026 | 20 | 5499 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.53 | 0.53 | -0.33 | -0.31 | -0.24 | A+ | A- | A+ | A+ | -1.36 | 0.09 | -4.10 | 0.79 | -5.18 | 0.52 |
| 271 | 928034 | 20 | 5499 | 0.88 | 0.03 | 0.88 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.52 | -0.29 | 0.52 | -0.27 | -0.29 | A+ | A- | A+ | A- | -1.40 | 0.09 | -3.51 | 0.81 | -4.28 | 0.58 |
| 272 | 928035 | 20 | 5499 | 0.82 | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.82 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.48 | -0.23 | -0.30 | -0.30 | 0.48 | B+ | A- | A- | A- | -0.79 | 0.07 | -2.56 | 0.89 | -1.87 | 0.85 |
| 273 | 928038 | 20 | 5499 | 0.83 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.83 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.49 | -0.25 | -0.28 | 0.49 | -0.26 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | -0.91 | 0.08 | -3.92 | 0.83 | -4.00 | 0.68 |
| 274 | 928039 | 20 | 5499 | 0.71 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.17 | 0.71 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.46 | -0.23 | -0.28 | -0.23 | 0.46 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A- | -0.01 | 0.06 | -1.05 | 0.97 | -0.84 | 0.95 |

Table J-8. Algebra I Multiple-Choice Item Statistics: Summer

| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | $P(A)$ | P(B) | P(C) | P(D) | P(-) | P(*) | ITCorr | Corr(A) | Corr(B) | Corr(C) | Corr(D) | Meas | SEM | z-Infit | MS-Infit | z-Outit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MS- } \\ & \text { Outfit } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 800166 | 0 | 1302 | 0.84 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.84 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.29 | -0.26 | -0.14 | 0.29 | -0.03 | -1.15 | 0.07 | -4.02 | 0.84 | -4.21 | 0.75 |
| 2 | 713360 | 0 | 1302 | 0.61 | 0.11 | 0.61 | 0.18 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.21 | -0.05 | 0.21 | -0.12 | -0.13 | 0.16 | 0.06 | 1.09 | 1.02 | 0.51 | 1.01 |
| 3 | 673946 | 0 | 1302 | 0.53 | 0.13 | 0.25 | 0.53 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.35 | -0.29 | -0.13 | 0.35 | -0.08 | 0.20 | 0.06 | -2.77 | 0.95 | -2.44 | 0.93 |
| 4 | 700841 | 0 | 1302 | 0.77 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.14 | 0.77 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.28 | -0.17 | -0.12 | -0.17 | 0.28 | -0.52 | 0.06 | -5.73 | 0.85 | -4.15 | 0.83 |
| 5 | 700786 | 0 | 1302 | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.14 | 0.32 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 0.29 | -0.20 | -0.06 | -0.14 | 0.83 | 0.06 | -1.07 | 0.98 | -0.19 | 0.99 |
| 6 | 818383 | 0 | 1302 | 0.50 | 0.25 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.41 | -0.27 | -0.19 | -0.07 | 0.41 | 0.54 | 0.06 | -5.86 | 0.90 | -4.66 | 0.88 |
| 7 | 818385 | 0 | 1302 | 0.47 | 0.24 | 0.47 | 0.09 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.23 | -0.19 | 0.23 | -0.18 | 0.05 | 0.80 | 0.06 | 3.30 | 1.06 | 3.06 | 1.09 |
| 8 | 700845 | 0 | 1302 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.36 | 0.15 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.16 | -0.05 | -0.09 | -0.07 | 1.17 | 0.06 | 4.89 | 1.12 | 6.64 | 1.24 |
| 9 | 818260 | 0 | 1302 | 0.87 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.87 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.28 | -0.18 | -0.09 | 0.28 | -0.18 | -1.28 | 0.08 | -5.62 | 0.76 | -5.21 | 0.68 |
| 10 | 700820 | 0 | 1302 | 0.77 | 0.17 | 0.04 | 0.77 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.38 | -0.29 | -0.19 | 0.38 | -0.08 | -1.02 | 0.07 | -0.34 | 0.99 | -1.59 | 0.91 |
| 11 | 712276 | 0 | 1302 | 0.36 | 0.29 | 0.22 | 0.12 | 0.36 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.30 | -0.02 | -0.13 | -0.22 | 0.30 | 1.13 | 0.06 | -0.20 | 1.00 | -0.04 | 1.00 |
| 12 | 666554 | 0 | 1302 | 0.43 | 0.15 | 0.43 | 0.14 | 0.27 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.18 | -0.08 | 0.18 | -0.18 | 0.02 | 0.87 | 0.06 | 4.36 | 1.09 | 7.06 | 1.22 |
| 13 | 712006 | 0 | 1302 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.08 | 0.16 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.35 | 0.35 | -0.13 | -0.23 | -0.15 | -0.33 | 0.06 | -4.70 | 0.89 | -3.71 | 0.86 |
| 14 | 704000 | 0 | 1302 | 0.41 | 0.19 | 0.18 | 0.21 | 0.41 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.14 | -0.04 | -0.02 | -0.10 | 0.14 | 1.21 | 0.06 | 7.99 | 1.21 | 7.50 | 1.29 |
| 15 | 736768 | 0 | 1302 | 0.51 | 0.20 | 0.12 | 0.51 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.26 | -0.25 | -0.06 | 0.26 | -0.02 | 0.31 | 0.06 | 0.70 | 1.01 | 0.65 | 1.02 |
| 16 | 818274 | 0 | 1302 | 0.49 | 0.07 | 0.32 | 0.11 | 0.49 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.25 | -0.14 | -0.06 | -0.18 | 0.25 | 0.57 | 0.06 | 1.30 | 1.02 | 0.84 | 1.02 |
| 17 | 712395 | 0 | 1302 | 0.44 | 0.18 | 0.44 | 0.23 | 0.14 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.29 | -0.19 | 0.29 | -0.07 | -0.10 | 0.44 | 0.06 | -0.55 | 0.99 | -0.63 | 0.98 |
| 18 | 700842 | 0 | 1302 | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.06 | 0.15 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.36 | 0.36 | -0.23 | -0.21 | -0.10 | -0.80 | 0.07 | 0.27 | 1.01 | 0.10 | 1.00 |
| 19 | 674152 | 0 | 1302 | 0.71 | 0.14 | 0.71 | 0.06 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 | -0.17 | 0.33 | -0.10 | -0.22 | -0.79 | 0.07 | 1.32 | 1.05 | 1.04 | 1.05 |
| 20 | 703977 | 0 | 1302 | 0.68 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.17 | 0.68 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32 | -0.05 | -0.15 | -0.25 | 0.32 | 0.01 | 0.06 | -5.36 | 0.90 | -4.19 | 0.87 |
| 21 | 702490 | 0 | 1302 | 0.52 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.52 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32 | -0.15 | -0.10 | 0.32 | -0.18 | 0.26 | 0.06 | -1.76 | 0.97 | -1.51 | 0.96 |
| 22 | 702475 | 0 | 1302 | 0.51 | 0.10 | 0.23 | 0.51 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.00 | -0.04 | 0.10 | -0.08 | 0.58 | 0.06 | 7.33 | 1.13 | 5.88 | 1.16 |
| 23 | 712488 | 0 | 1302 | 0.58 | 0.19 | 0.58 | 0.14 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.26 | -0.22 | 0.26 | -0.08 | -0.04 | 0.35 | 0.06 | -0.12 | 1.00 | 0.52 | 1.01 |
| 24 | 702476 | 0 | 1302 | 0.35 | 0.15 | 0.27 | 0.22 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.28 | -0.11 | -0.13 | -0.09 | 0.28 | 0.95 | 0.06 | -2.18 | 0.96 | -0.57 | 0.98 |
| 25 | 819081 | 0 | 1302 | 0.31 | 0.16 | 0.36 | 0.17 | 0.31 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.18 | -0.18 | 0.10 | -0.17 | 0.18 | 1.41 | 0.06 | 3.02 | 1.09 | 2.92 | 1.12 |
| 26 | 818294 | 0 | 1302 | 0.39 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.39 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.19 | -0.19 | 0.01 | 0.19 | -0.05 | 0.93 | 0.06 | 2.11 | 1.04 | 2.61 | 1.08 |
| 27 | 816455 | 0 | 1302 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 0.43 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.11 | -0.12 | -0.20 | 0.05 | 0.80 | 0.06 | 6.54 | 1.13 | 5.79 | 1.17 |
| 28 | 724186 | 0 | 1302 | 0.52 | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.23 | 0.52 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.15 | -0.05 | -0.18 | 0.01 | 0.15 | -0.06 | 0.06 | 8.58 | 1.19 | 5.99 | 1.21 |


| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | $P(A)$ | P(B) | P(C) | P(D) | $\mathrm{P}(-)$ | $\mathrm{P}\left({ }^{*}\right)$ | ITCorr | Corr(A) | Corr(B) | Corre( | Corr(D) | Meas | SEM | z-Infit | MS-Infit | $z$-Outift | $\begin{gathered} \text { MS- } \\ \text { Outfit } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29 | 724180 | 0 | 1302 | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.19 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 | 0.33 | -0.20 | -0.19 | -0.10 | -0.51 | 0.06 | 2.84 | 1.08 | 1.34 | 1.06 |
| 30 | 819083 | 0 | 1302 | 0.87 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 0.87 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.25 | -0.12 | -0.18 | 0.25 | -0.11 | -1.42 | 0.08 | -3.32 | 0.84 | -2.17 | 0.84 |
| 31 | 819224 | 0 | 1302 | 0.50 | 0.15 | 0.19 | 0.16 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.34 | -0.09 | -0.14 | -0.23 | 0.34 | 0.23 | 0.06 | -1.55 | 0.97 | -1.77 | 0.95 |
| 32 | 702502 | 0 | 1302 | 0.20 | 0.36 | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.23 | -0.01 | -0.13 | 0.23 | -0.09 | 1.33 | 0.06 | -6.96 | 0.83 | -4.67 | 0.83 |
| 33 | 696806 | 0 | 1302 | 0.68 | 0.15 | 0.68 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.35 | -0.19 | 0.35 | -0.22 | -0.13 | -0.49 | 0.06 | -0.99 | 0.97 | -1.51 | 0.94 |
| 34 | 702569 | 0 | 1302 | 0.50 | 0.09 | 0.36 | 0.50 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.34 | -0.16 | -0.22 | 0.34 | -0.08 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 1.50 | 1.03 | 0.55 | 1.02 |
| 35 | 712560 | 0 | 1302 | 0.53 | 0.14 | 0.53 | 0.10 | 0.23 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.27 | -0.17 | 0.27 | -0.16 | -0.06 | 0.16 | 0.06 | 0.88 | 1.02 | 0.78 | 1.02 |
| 36 | 702478 | 0 | 1302 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 | 0.33 | -0.18 | -0.12 | -0.20 | 0.06 | 0.06 | -0.04 | 1.00 | -0.62 | 0.98 |

Table J-9. Biology Multiple-Choice Item Statistics: Summer

| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})$ | P(B) | P(C) | P(D) | $\mathrm{P}(-)$ | P(*) | ITCorr | Corr(A) | Corr(B) | Corr(C) | Corr(D) | Meas | SEM | z-Infit | MS-Infit | $z$-Outit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MS- } \\ & \text { Outfit } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 681247 | 0 | 886 | 0.59 | 0.59 | 0.16 | 0.06 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.39 | 0.39 | -0.20 | -0.16 | -0.21 | -0.06 | 0.07 | -4.13 | 0.91 | -3.14 | 0.87 |
| 2 | 703524 | 0 | 886 | 0.70 | 0.04 | 0.70 | 0.18 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.26 | -0.11 | 0.26 | -0.15 | -0.15 | -0.55 | 0.08 | -0.99 | 0.97 | -1.44 | 0.92 |
| 3 | 702104 | 0 | 886 | 0.52 | 0.15 | 0.52 | 0.19 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.35 | -0.19 | 0.35 | -0.14 | -0.15 | 0.11 | 0.07 | -1.86 | 0.96 | -1.38 | 0.94 |
| 4 | 810610 | 0 | 886 | 0.59 | 0.59 | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.24 | 0.24 | -0.13 | -0.12 | -0.09 | -0.19 | 0.07 | 1.73 | 1.04 | 1.22 | 1.06 |
| 5 | 678934 | 0 | 886 | 0.27 | 0.24 | 0.21 | 0.27 | 0.28 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.05 | -0.15 | 0.14 | -0.05 | 1.08 | 0.08 | 1.20 | 1.04 | 2.41 | 1.11 |
| 6 | 678874 | 0 | 886 | 0.70 | 0.11 | 0.70 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 | -0.17 | 0.33 | -0.20 | -0.13 | -0.49 | 0.08 | -3.66 | 0.90 | -2.84 | 0.85 |
| 7 | 678940 | 0 | 886 | 0.37 | 0.14 | 0.29 | 0.21 | 0.37 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.40 | -0.11 | -0.20 | -0.15 | 0.40 | 0.88 | 0.08 | -2.47 | 0.93 | -2.16 | 0.91 |
| 8 | 678936 | 0 | 886 | 0.59 | 0.59 | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.38 | 0.38 | -0.19 | -0.19 | -0.18 | -0.53 | 0.08 | 1.52 | 1.04 | -0.15 | 0.99 |
| 9 | 680244 | 0 | 886 | 0.42 | 0.19 | 0.13 | 0.27 | 0.42 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.34 | -0.07 | -0.22 | -0.15 | 0.34 | 0.78 | 0.07 | 0.27 | 1.01 | 0.47 | 1.02 |
| 10 | 702258 | 0 | 886 | 0.54 | 0.26 | 0.05 | 0.54 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.37 | -0.19 | -0.11 | 0.37 | -0.21 | -0.39 | 0.07 | 2.59 | 1.07 | 1.08 | 1.06 |
| 11 | 704373 | 0 | 886 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.08 | 0.21 | 0.40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32 | 0.32 | -0.07 | -0.24 | -0.06 | 1.07 | 0.08 | -0.88 | 0.97 | -0.33 | 0.98 |
| 12 | 704374 | 0 | 886 | 0.29 | 0.12 | 0.51 | 0.08 | 0.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.25 | -0.15 | -0.07 | -0.10 | 0.25 | 1.15 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 1.00 | 0.61 | 1.03 |
| 13 | 702073 | 0 | 886 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.30 | -0.18 | -0.16 | -0.12 | -0.52 | 0.08 | -1.22 | 0.97 | -1.46 | 0.92 |
| 14 | 680194 | 0 | 886 | 0.65 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.65 | 0.23 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 | -0.12 | -0.14 | 0.33 | -0.23 | -0.54 | 0.08 | -0.61 | 0.98 | -1.18 | 0.93 |
| 15 | 702086 | 0 | 886 | 0.34 | 0.14 | 0.31 | 0.34 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.35 | -0.10 | -0.24 | 0.35 | -0.05 | 0.86 | 0.07 | -1.97 | 0.94 | -0.78 | 0.97 |
| 16 | 678543 | 0 | 886 | 0.56 | 0.13 | 0.09 | 0.56 | 0.21 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.26 | -0.13 | -0.17 | 0.26 | -0.08 | -0.13 | 0.07 | 2.02 | 1.05 | 0.64 | 1.03 |
| 17 | 702061 | 0 | 886 | 0.52 | 0.13 | 0.24 | 0.11 | 0.52 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.36 | -0.17 | -0.18 | -0.14 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.07 | -1.69 | 0.96 | -1.77 | 0.93 |
| 18 | 735307 | 0 | 886 | 0.54 | 0.14 | 0.54 | 0.19 | 0.13 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.35 | -0.11 | 0.35 | -0.22 | -0.15 | -0.20 | 0.07 | 0.39 | 1.01 | -0.63 | 0.97 |
| 19 | 702063 | 0 | 886 | 0.59 | 0.59 | 0.26 | 0.10 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.24 | 0.24 | -0.14 | -0.16 | -0.06 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.90 | 1.02 | 0.45 | 1.02 |
| 20 | 702206 | 0 | 886 | 0.56 | 0.06 | 0.22 | 0.15 | 0.56 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.37 | -0.20 | -0.15 | -0.20 | 0.37 | -0.19 | 0.07 | -1.53 | 0.97 | -1.32 | 0.94 |
| 21 | 702070 | 0 | 886 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.25 | 0.20 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.34 | 0.34 | -0.11 | -0.09 | -0.22 | 0.53 | 0.07 | -2.02 | 0.95 | -1.97 | 0.93 |
| 22 | 678865 | 0 | 886 | 0.54 | 0.12 | 0.54 | 0.14 | 0.19 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.34 | -0.11 | 0.34 | -0.15 | -0.20 | 0.12 | 0.07 | -1.70 | 0.97 | -1.73 | 0.93 |
| 23 | 702093 | 0 | 886 | 0.76 | 0.07 | 0.76 | 0.06 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.39 | -0.22 | 0.39 | -0.18 | -0.22 | -1.13 | 0.08 | -1.65 | 0.93 | -2.25 | 0.82 |
| 24 | 819086 | 0 | 886 | 0.67 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 0.15 | 0.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.38 | -0.15 | -0.13 | -0.29 | 0.38 | -0.33 | 0.07 | -5.39 | 0.87 | -3.73 | 0.82 |
| 25 | 677863 | 0 | 886 | 0.37 | 0.23 | 0.17 | 0.22 | 0.37 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.30 | -0.08 | -0.10 | -0.17 | 0.30 | 0.84 | 0.07 | 0.74 | 1.02 | 0.66 | 1.03 |
| 26 | 674147 | 0 | 886 | 0.63 | 0.19 | 0.09 | 0.63 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.35 | -0.19 | -0.21 | 0.35 | -0.12 | -0.28 | 0.07 | -2.54 | 0.94 | -2.32 | 0.89 |
| 27 | 643403 | 0 | 886 | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.07 | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.31 | 0.31 | -0.17 | -0.21 | -0.09 | -0.36 | 0.07 | -3.75 | 0.91 | -2.12 | 0.89 |
| 28 | 742314 | 0 | 886 | 0.59 | 0.10 | 0.59 | 0.06 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.22 | -0.15 | 0.22 | -0.22 | -0.03 | -0.16 | 0.07 | 2.09 | 1.05 | 4.38 | 1.22 |


| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | $P(A)$ | P(B) | P(C) | P(D) | $\mathrm{P}(-)$ | $P(*)$ | ITCorr | Corr(A) | Corr(B) | Corr(C) | Corr(D) | Meas | SEM | z-Infit | MS-Infit | z-Outfit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MS- } \\ & \text { Outfit } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29 | 641191 | 0 | 886 | 0.62 | 0.13 | 0.62 | 0.02 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.27 | -0.21 | 0.27 | -0.09 | -0.12 | -0.10 | 0.07 | -0.61 | 0.99 | 0.12 | 1.00 |
| 30 | 704198 | 0 | 886 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.30 | -0.19 | -0.21 | -0.09 | -0.34 | 0.07 | -1.20 | 0.97 | -1.16 | 0.94 |
| 31 | 680248 | 0 | 886 | 0.59 | 0.16 | 0.12 | 0.59 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.25 | -0.10 | -0.20 | 0.25 | -0.05 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.92 | 1.02 | 0.58 | 1.02 |
| 32 | 679965 | 0 | 886 | 0.44 | 0.16 | 0.14 | 0.25 | 0.44 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.37 | -0.14 | -0.20 | -0.14 | 0.37 | 0.58 | 0.07 | -1.50 | 0.96 | -1.32 | 0.95 |
| 33 | 702726 | 0 | 886 | 0.54 | 0.10 | 0.54 | 0.12 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32 | -0.12 | 0.32 | -0.20 | -0.13 | 0.16 | 0.07 | -0.77 | 0.98 | -0.83 | 0.97 |
| 34 | 702164 | 0 | 886 | 0.31 | 0.09 | 0.31 | 0.42 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.27 | -0.16 | 0.27 | -0.02 | -0.18 | 1.13 | 0.08 | 0.48 | 1.02 | 1.40 | 1.07 |
| 35 | 701417 | 0 | 886 | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.10 | 0.25 | 0.23 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.24 | 0.24 | -0.15 | -0.06 | -0.11 | 0.51 | 0.07 | 1.91 | 1.04 | 1.27 | 1.05 |
| 36 | 701416 | 0 | 886 | 0.49 | 0.14 | 0.30 | 0.07 | 0.49 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32 | -0.13 | -0.13 | -0.20 | 0.32 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.57 | 1.01 | 2.51 | 1.11 |
| 37 | 677881 | 0 | 886 | 0.51 | 0.19 | 0.12 | 0.51 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.26 | -0.16 | -0.09 | 0.26 | -0.10 | 0.20 | 0.07 | 1.75 | 1.04 | 1.29 | 1.05 |
| 38 | 645053 | 0 | 886 | 0.46 | 0.35 | 0.46 | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.27 | -0.07 | 0.27 | -0.18 | -0.16 | 0.63 | 0.07 | 2.29 | 1.06 | 1.38 | 1.05 |
| 39 | 704210 | 0 | 886 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.21 | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.34 | 0.34 | -0.11 | -0.23 | -0.18 | -0.21 | 0.07 | -0.74 | 0.98 | -0.97 | 0.95 |
| 40 | 673882 | 0 | 886 | 0.35 | 0.42 | 0.13 | 0.35 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.34 | -0.10 | -0.20 | 0.34 | -0.16 | 0.62 | 0.07 | -2.96 | 0.93 | -2.60 | 0.90 |
| 41 | 703158 | 0 | 886 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.24 | 0.18 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 | 0.33 | -0.13 | -0.15 | -0.15 | 0.36 | 0.07 | -0.94 | 0.98 | -1.22 | 0.95 |
| 42 | 813651 | 0 | 886 | 0.46 | 0.11 | 0.20 | 0.23 | 0.46 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.21 | -0.15 | -0.05 | -0.08 | 0.21 | 0.35 | 0.07 | 3.55 | 1.08 | 3.72 | 1.15 |
| 43 | 644782 | 0 | 886 | 0.66 | 0.12 | 0.66 | 0.15 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.15 | -0.07 | 0.15 | -0.03 | -0.14 | -0.56 | 0.08 | 2.69 | 1.08 | 9.90 | 1.80 |
| 44 | 677862 | 0 | 886 | 0.58 | 0.14 | 0.07 | 0.58 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.28 | -0.19 | -0.11 | 0.28 | -0.12 | 0.08 | 0.07 | -0.09 | 1.00 | -0.89 | 0.96 |
| 45 | 704789 | 0 | 886 | 0.49 | 0.11 | 0.28 | 0.12 | 0.49 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.30 | -0.18 | -0.11 | -0.14 | 0.30 | -0.04 | 0.07 | 1.67 | 1.04 | 0.22 | 1.01 |
| 46 | 809286 | 0 | 886 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.35 | 0.15 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32 | 0.32 | -0.18 | -0.10 | -0.11 | 0.71 | 0.07 | -0.71 | 0.98 | -0.52 | 0.98 |
| 47 | 811170 | 0 | 886 | 0.64 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.18 | 0.64 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.31 | -0.23 | -0.16 | -0.10 | 0.31 | -0.38 | 0.07 | -1.03 | 0.97 | -1.11 | 0.94 |
| 48 | 700897 | 0 | 886 | 0.56 | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.56 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.42 | -0.19 | -0.20 | 0.42 | -0.19 | -0.19 | 0.07 | -2.85 | 0.94 | -2.58 | 0.88 |

Table J-10. Literature Multiple-Choice Item Statistics: Summer

| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})$ | P(B) | P(C) | P(D) | P(-) | P(*) | ITCorr | $\operatorname{Corr}(\mathrm{A})$ | Corr(B) | Corr(C) | Corr(D) | Meas | SEM | z-Infit | MS-Infit | z-Outfit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MS- } \\ & \text { Outit } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 614648 | 0 | 407 | 0.65 | 0.02 | 0.21 | 0.12 | 0.65 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.11 | -0.14 | 0.04 | -0.14 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 2.05 | 1.08 | 2.97 | 1.15 |
| 2 | 614654 | 0 | 407 | 0.67 | 0.13 | 0.04 | 0.16 | 0.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.15 | -0.10 | -0.19 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.40 | 0.11 | 2.04 | 1.07 | 1.89 | 1.08 |
| 3 | 614651 | 0 | 407 | 0.50 | 0.02 | 0.29 | 0.50 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.15 | -0.09 | -0.08 | 0.15 | -0.07 | 0.83 | 0.11 | 3.28 | 1.11 | 3.38 | 1.17 |
| 4 | 614652 | 0 | 407 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.01 | 0.13 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.10 | -0.10 | -0.03 | -0.07 | -0.55 | 0.12 | -0.13 | 0.99 | 0.80 | 1.06 |
| 5 | 614653 | 0 | 407 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.46 | 0.14 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.15 | -0.08 | -0.09 | 0.00 | 0.87 | 0.11 | 1.24 | 1.04 | 1.40 | 1.07 |
| 6 | 614647 | 0 | 407 | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.28 | 0.28 | -0.07 | -0.18 | -0.15 | -0.09 | 0.11 | 0.57 | 1.02 | 0.34 | 1.02 |
| 7 | 614656 | 0 | 407 | 0.69 | 0.17 | 0.11 | 0.69 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.34 | -0.19 | -0.19 | 0.34 | -0.16 | -0.92 | 0.13 | 3.69 | 1.29 | 2.26 | 1.24 |
| 8 | 614658 | 0 | 407 | 0.76 | 0.04 | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0.76 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.36 | -0.19 | -0.13 | -0.27 | 0.36 | -0.67 | 0.12 | -1.55 | 0.91 | -1.70 | 0.86 |
| 9 | 820424 | 0 | 407 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.24 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.06 | -0.02 | -0.13 | 0.06 | 1.00 | 0.11 | 3.98 | 1.14 | 3.76 | 1.20 |
| 10 | 820426 | 0 | 407 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.07 | 0.13 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.28 | 0.28 | -0.14 | -0.10 | -0.17 | -0.61 | 0.12 | 1.01 | 1.06 | 0.78 | 1.06 |
| 11 | 820430 | 0 | 407 | 0.69 | 0.19 | 0.69 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.29 | -0.06 | 0.29 | -0.26 | -0.21 | -0.22 | 0.11 | -0.94 | 0.96 | -1.23 | 0.92 |
| 12 | 820425 | 0 | 407 | 0.62 | 0.13 | 0.62 | 0.06 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.24 | -0.07 | 0.24 | -0.17 | -0.13 | -0.19 | 0.11 | 1.94 | 1.09 | 1.91 | 1.12 |
| 13 | 820423 | 0 | 407 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.26 | 0.22 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.28 | 0.28 | -0.07 | -0.13 | -0.21 | 0.96 | 0.11 | 0.56 | 1.02 | 0.03 | 1.00 |
| 14 | 820429 | 0 | 407 | 0.48 | 0.07 | 0.23 | 0.22 | 0.48 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.23 | -0.13 | -0.13 | -0.06 | 0.23 | 0.43 | 0.11 | 1.48 | 1.05 | 2.30 | 1.10 |
| 15 | 820427 | 0 | 407 | 0.36 | 0.21 | 0.36 | 0.17 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.21 | -0.16 | 0.21 | 0.05 | -0.12 | 0.63 | 0.11 | 1.10 | 1.03 | 1.68 | 1.07 |
| 16 | 820434 | 0 | 407 | 0.39 | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.39 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.21 | -0.08 | -0.07 | 0.21 | -0.09 | 1.16 | 0.11 | 0.81 | 1.03 | 1.47 | 1.09 |
| 17 | 820428 | 0 | 407 | 0.58 | 0.11 | 0.05 | 0.25 | 0.58 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.20 | -0.09 | -0.13 | -0.09 | 0.20 | 0.16 | 0.11 | 1.78 | 1.07 | 2.53 | 1.13 |
| 18 | 808360 | 0 | 407 | 0.88 | 0.06 | 0.88 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.35 | -0.22 | 0.35 | -0.19 | -0.17 | -1.72 | 0.16 | -0.21 | 0.97 | -0.93 | 0.84 |
| 19 | 808362 | 0 | 407 | 0.83 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.83 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.42 | -0.20 | -0.21 | -0.27 | 0.42 | -1.17 | 0.14 | -1.57 | 0.87 | -2.42 | 0.73 |
| 20 | 808363 | 0 | 407 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.21 | -0.19 | -0.16 | 0.01 | -0.35 | 0.11 | 0.47 | 1.02 | 0.88 | 1.06 |
| 21 | 808371 | 0 | 407 | 0.35 | 0.23 | 0.20 | 0.23 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.16 | -0.14 | 0.02 | -0.06 | 0.16 | 1.32 | 0.11 | 1.58 | 1.07 | 3.87 | 1.27 |
| 22 | 808366 | 0 | 407 | 0.52 | 0.08 | 0.26 | 0.14 | 0.52 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.28 | -0.15 | -0.07 | -0.19 | 0.28 | 0.79 | 0.11 | 0.58 | 1.02 | 0.18 | 1.01 |
| 23 | 808368 | 0 | 407 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.19 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.14 | -0.06 | 0.09 | -0.17 | 1.40 | 0.11 | -0.69 | 0.97 | 3.22 | 1.23 |
| 24 | 808367 | 0 | 407 | 0.88 | 0.06 | 0.88 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.48 | -0.33 | 0.48 | -0.30 | -0.15 | -1.34 | 0.15 | -3.97 | 0.67 | -4.33 | 0.52 |
| 25 | 808361 | 0 | 407 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.14 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 | 0.33 | -0.17 | -0.09 | -0.28 | -0.69 | 0.12 | -2.03 | 0.88 | -1.82 | 0.85 |
| 26 | 808370 | 0 | 407 | 0.87 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.87 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.31 | -0.06 | -0.25 | 0.31 | -0.17 | -0.92 | 0.13 | -4.63 | 0.70 | -3.96 | 0.64 |
| 27 | 640870 | 0 | 407 | 0.61 | 0.29 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.61 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.22 | -0.06 | -0.10 | -0.29 | 0.22 | -0.35 | 0.11 | 3.68 | 1.20 | 3.09 | 1.23 |
| 28 | 640844 | 0 | 407 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.18 | 0.20 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.25 | -0.13 | -0.17 | 0.00 | 1.45 | 0.11 | 2.40 | 1.12 | 1.72 | 1.13 |


| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})$ | P(B) | P(C) | P(D) | P(-) | P(*) | ITCorr | Corr(A) | Corr(B) | Corre( | Corr(D) | Meas | SEM | $z$-Infit | MS-Infit | $z$-Outit | $\begin{gathered} \text { MS- } \\ \text { Outfit } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29 | 640871 | 0 | 407 | 0.55 | 0.17 | 0.07 | 0.55 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.28 | -0.10 | -0.14 | 0.28 | -0.16 | 0.21 | 0.11 | 0.37 | 1.01 | 0.11 | 1.00 |
| 30 | 640847 | 0 | 407 | 0.38 | 0.11 | 0.22 | 0.29 | 0.38 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.27 | 0.00 | -0.17 | -0.12 | 0.27 | 1.23 | 0.11 | 0.34 | 1.01 | 1.17 | 1.07 |
| 31 | 640875 | 0 | 407 | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.11 | 0.03 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.24 | 0.24 | -0.08 | -0.27 | -0.10 | -0.06 | 0.11 | -1.83 | 0.92 | -1.33 | 0.93 |
| 32 | 640867 | 0 | 407 | 0.52 | 0.11 | 0.52 | 0.27 | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.31 | -0.19 | 0.31 | -0.09 | -0.18 | -0.03 | 0.11 | 2.32 | 1.10 | 1.45 | 1.08 |
| 33 | 640843 | 0 | 407 | 0.71 | 0.06 | 0.71 | 0.03 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.19 | -0.16 | 0.19 | -0.12 | -0.06 | -0.11 | 0.11 | -0.29 | 0.99 | -0.36 | 0.98 |
| 34 | 640873 | 0 | 407 | 0.74 | 0.04 | 0.15 | 0.74 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.39 | -0.21 | -0.25 | 0.39 | -0.15 | -0.71 | 0.12 | -0.78 | 0.95 | -1.18 | 0.90 |

Table J-11. Algebra I Constructed-Response Item Statistics: Winter

| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | $\mathrm{P}(0)$ | $\mathrm{P}(1)$ | P(2) | $\mathrm{P}(3)$ | $\mathrm{P}(4)$ | P(B) | ITCorr | Corr(0) | Corr(1) | Corr(2) | Corr(3) | Corr(4) | Meas | SEM | z-Infit | MSInfit | z-Outfit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MS- } \\ & \text { Outfit } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 672731 | 0 | 53759 | 0.12 | 0.68 | 0.15 | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.60 | -0.54 | 0.19 | 0.29 | 0.25 | 0.34 | 1.82 | 0.01 | 2.31 | 1.02 | -9.90 | 0.79 |
| 2 | 724688 | 0 | 53759 | 0.20 | 0.38 | 0.37 | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.57 | -0.53 | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.30 | 0.21 | 1.74 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.08 | 1.66 | 1.01 |
| 3 | 704029 | 0 | 53759 | 0.34 | 0.28 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.18 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.67 | -0.52 | -0.08 | 0.10 | 0.35 | 0.44 | 0.52 | 0.00 | -9.90 | 0.80 | -9.90 | 0.78 |
| 4 | 734699 | 0 | 53759 | 0.21 | 0.34 | 0.47 | 0.10 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.59 | -0.40 | 0.03 | 0.26 | 0.39 | 0.23 | 1.95 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.83 | -9.90 | 0.82 |
| 5 | 640130 | 0 | 53759 | 0.30 | 0.34 | 0.18 | 0.26 | 0.14 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.50 | -0.44 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.26 | 0.33 | 1.24 | 0.00 | 9.90 | 1.28 | 9.90 | 1.36 |
| 6 | 704033 | 0 | 53759 | 0.16 | 0.57 | 0.21 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.67 | -0.58 | 0.19 | 0.22 | 0.32 | 0.42 | 1.23 | 0.00 | -9.90 | 0.68 | -9.90 | 0.57 |

Table J-12. Biology Constructed-Response Item Statistics: Winter

| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | P(0) | $\mathrm{P}(1)$ | P(2) | $\mathrm{P}(3)$ | P(B) | ITCorr | Corr(0) | Corr(1) | Corr(2) | Corr(3) | Meas | SEM | z-Infit | MS-Infit | z-Outfit | MS- Outfit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 735493 | 0 | 40370 | 0.18 | 0.55 | 0.26 | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.60 | -0.51 | 0.18 | 0.36 | 0.34 | 1.19 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.81 | -9.90 | 0.75 |
| 2 | 741444 | 0 | 40370 | 0.37 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.25 | 0.10 | 0.04 | 0.44 | -0.32 | -0.10 | 0.27 | 0.28 | 0.84 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.40 | 9.90 | 1.45 |
| 3 | 714177 | 0 | 40370 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.32 | 0.28 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.62 | -0.46 | -0.08 | 0.49 | 0.32 | 1.22 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.78 | -9.90 | 0.76 |
| 4 | 678296 | 0 | 40370 | 0.59 | 0.09 | 0.21 | 0.39 | 0.26 | 0.04 | 0.55 | -0.36 | -0.22 | 0.02 | 0.47 | -0.19 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.90 | -9.90 | 0.89 |
| 5 | 641227 | 0 | 40370 | 0.08 | 0.72 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.10 | 0.56 | -0.52 | 0.24 | 0.36 | 0.33 | 1.53 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.64 | -9.90 | 0.44 |
| 6 | 737647 | 0 | 40370 | 0.41 | 0.20 | 0.41 | 0.24 | 0.11 | 0.03 | 0.61 | -0.36 | -0.21 | 0.23 | 0.51 | 0.48 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.90 | -9.90 | 0.89 |

Table J-13. Literature Constructed-Response Item Statistics: Winter

| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | $\mathrm{P}(0)$ | $P(1)$ | P(2) | $\mathrm{P}(3)$ | P(B) | ITCorr | Corr(0) | Corrr(1) | Corr(2) | Corr(3) | Meas | SEM | z-Infit | MS-Infit | z-Outfit | $\begin{gathered} \text { MS- } \\ \text { Outit } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 682808 | 0 | 36078 | 0.49 | 0.10 | 0.34 | 0.40 | 0.10 | 0.04 | 0.68 | -0.46 | -0.29 | 0.36 | 0.42 | 0.92 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.74 | -9.90 | 0.74 |
| 2 | 644219 | 0 | 36078 | 0.32 | 0.28 | 0.37 | 0.25 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.69 | -0.58 | 0.02 | 0.50 | 0.27 | 1.29 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.72 | -9.90 | 0.70 |
| 3 | 644220 | 0 | 36078 | 0.32 | 0.27 | 0.33 | 0.22 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.72 | -0.61 | 0.05 | 0.45 | 0.37 | 1.23 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.81 | -9.90 | 0.79 |
| 4 | 705116 | 0 | 36078 | 0.43 | 0.10 | 0.45 | 0.31 | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.68 | -0.44 | -0.26 | 0.41 | 0.40 | 1.33 | 0.01 | -7.80 | 0.95 | -9.35 | 0.94 |
| 5 | 683537 | 0 | 36078 | 0.26 | 0.38 | 0.31 | 0.18 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.68 | -0.60 | 0.14 | 0.45 | 0.32 | 1.48 | 0.01 | 1.22 | 1.01 | -4.64 | 0.97 |
| 6 | 683540 | 0 | 36078 | 0.41 | 0.07 | 0.44 | 0.34 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 0.69 | -0.47 | -0.25 | 0.53 | 0.29 | 0.74 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.69 | -9.90 | 0.69 |

Table J-14. Algebra I Constructed-Response Item Statistics: Spring

| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | P(0) | P (1) | $\mathrm{P}(2)$ | P(3) | P(4) | P(B) | ITCorr | Corr(0) | Corr(1) | Corr(2) | Corr(3) | Corr(4) | M/F | W/B | W/H | 0/P | Meas | SEM | z-Infit | MSInfit | $z$-Outit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MS- } \\ & \text { Outfit } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 818113 | 0 | 161851 | 0.60 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.11 | 0.27 | 0.30 | 0.02 | 0.71 | -0.49 | -0.27 | -0.11 | 0.11 | 0.57 |  |  |  |  | 0.27 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.89 | -6.62 | 0.93 |
| 2 | 724685 | 0 | 161851 | 0.22 | 0.40 | 0.31 | 0.14 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.72 | -0.64 | 0.12 | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.25 |  |  |  |  | 1.95 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.77 | -9.90 | 0.72 |
| 3 | 821569 | 0 | 161851 | 0.24 | 0.31 | 0.39 | 0.16 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.75 | -0.64 | 0.08 | 0.42 | 0.38 | 0.18 |  |  |  |  | 2.00 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.76 | -9.90 | 0.74 |
| 4 | 734697 | 0 | 161851 | 0.41 | 0.12 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.23 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.69 | -0.48 | -0.28 | 0.19 | 0.47 | 0.17 |  |  |  |  | 1.40 | 0.01 | -7.78 | 0.94 | -6.01 | 0.95 |
| 5 | 817339 | 0 | 161851 | 0.32 | 0.30 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.18 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.73 | -0.59 | -0.10 | 0.26 | 0.52 | 0.12 |  |  |  |  | 2.11 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.85 | -9.90 | 0.84 |
| 6 | 673354 | 0 | 161851 | 0.34 | 0.29 | 0.32 | 0.08 | 0.16 | 0.09 | 0.04 | 0.65 | -0.51 | -0.07 | 0.14 | 0.31 | 0.41 |  |  |  |  | 1.05 | 0.01 | 9.90 | 1.22 | 9.90 | 1.22 |
| 7 | 905404 | 1 | 1525 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.50 | 0.12 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.69 | -0.60 | 0.10 | 0.34 | 0.35 | 0.17 | A+ | A+ | A- | A- | 2.51 | 0.04 | -6.20 | 0.77 | -7.10 | 0.75 |
| 8 | 905406 | 1 | 1525 | 0.38 | 0.14 | 0.37 | 0.31 | 0.15 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.66 | -0.46 | -0.26 | 0.28 | 0.38 | 0.18 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 1.78 | 0.04 | -1.35 | 0.95 | -1.86 | 0.94 |
| 9 | 901566 | 2 | 1407 | 0.08 | 0.73 | 0.14 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.48 | -0.50 | 0.33 | 0.26 | 0.20 | 0.10 | A+ | A- | A+ | A- | 3.27 | 0.05 | -0.33 | 0.98 | -1.70 | 0.83 |
| 10 | 714667 | 2 | 1407 | 0.25 | 0.49 | 0.20 | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.68 | -0.64 | 0.10 | 0.29 | 0.37 | 0.31 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 1.95 | 0.03 | -2.94 | 0.88 | -3.48 | 0.80 |
| 11 | 905408 | 3 | 1428 | 0.41 | 0.21 | 0.31 | 0.13 | 0.20 | 0.11 | 0.03 | 0.76 | -0.62 | -0.15 | 0.15 | 0.41 | 0.39 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | 1.19 | 0.03 | -4.56 | 0.84 | -5.38 | 0.79 |
| 12 | 877380 | 3 | 1428 | 0.28 | 0.34 | 0.30 | 0.19 | 0.11 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.72 | -0.68 | 0.08 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.20 | A+ | A- | B- | A+ | 2.00 | 0.03 | -4.81 | 0.83 | -5.86 | 0.77 |
| 13 | 901568 | 4 | 1422 | 0.20 | 0.55 | 0.20 | 0.12 | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.64 | -0.63 | 0.21 | 0.32 | 0.31 | 0.25 | A- | A- | A+ | A- | 2.29 | 0.03 | -1.51 | 0.93 | -3.94 | 0.76 |
| 14 | 821621 | 4 | 1422 | 0.20 | 0.51 | 0.25 | 0.11 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.65 | -0.65 | 0.25 | 0.31 | 0.30 | 0.26 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A- | 2.27 | 0.03 | -2.59 | 0.89 | -4.23 | 0.77 |
| 15 | 879674 | 5 | 1429 | 0.20 | 0.51 | 0.24 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.68 | -0.68 | 0.23 | 0.35 | 0.39 | 0.11 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 2.79 | 0.04 | -3.89 | 0.85 | -5.77 | 0.70 |
| 16 | 892940 | 5 | 1429 | 0.41 | 0.15 | 0.23 | 0.45 | 0.14 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.63 | -0.48 | -0.24 | 0.28 | 0.33 | 0.19 | A- | B- | B- | A- | 1.59 | 0.04 | -1.59 | 0.94 | -1.67 | 0.94 |
| 17 | 892936 | 6 | 1392 | 0.43 | 0.22 | 0.17 | 0.26 | 0.23 | 0.08 | 0.02 | 0.77 | -0.63 | -0.20 | 0.16 | 0.41 | 0.38 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A- | 1.20 | 0.03 | -6.79 | 0.77 | -6.70 | 0.75 |
| 18 | 877383 | 6 | 1392 | 0.57 | 0.13 | 0.12 | 0.22 | 0.32 | 0.20 | 0.01 | 0.72 | -0.56 | -0.25 | -0.03 | 0.25 | 0.44 | A- | B- | A- | A- | 0.46 | 0.03 | -3.17 | 0.88 | -2.97 | 0.89 |
| 19 | 877382 | 7 | 1459 | 0.23 | 0.39 | 0.36 | 0.15 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.71 | -0.66 | 0.18 | 0.39 | 0.34 | 0.16 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 2.62 | 0.04 | -8.32 | 0.71 | -9.11 | 0.66 |
| 20 | 817325 | 7 | 1459 | 0.23 | 0.29 | 0.47 | 0.19 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.70 | -0.63 | 0.15 | 0.45 | 0.23 | 0.08 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A- | 3.04 | 0.04 | -7.43 | 0.75 | -8.23 | 0.73 |
| 21 | 892937 | 8 | 1404 | 0.44 | 0.18 | 0.30 | 0.19 | 0.21 | 0.11 | 0.01 | 0.70 | -0.49 | -0.28 | 0.12 | 0.42 | 0.33 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 1.07 | 0.03 | -1.39 | 0.95 | -2.45 | 0.91 |
| 22 | 821012 | 8 | 1404 | 0.38 | 0.31 | 0.23 | 0.11 | 0.21 | 0.10 | 0.02 | 0.64 | -0.59 | 0.01 | 0.10 | 0.36 | 0.32 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A- | 1.34 | 0.03 | 4.56 | 1.18 | 3.40 | 1.17 |
| 23 | 821018 | 9 | 1442 | 0.36 | 0.18 | 0.38 | 0.25 | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.59 | -0.42 | -0.19 | 0.24 | 0.28 | 0.31 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 1.44 | 0.03 | 2.79 | 1.11 | 2.29 | 1.09 |
| 24 | 817348 | 9 | 1442 | 0.26 | 0.17 | 0.59 | 0.19 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.61 | -0.53 | 0.05 | 0.40 | 0.18 | 0.04 | A+ | B- | B- | A+ | 3.12 | 0.05 | -3.63 | 0.87 | -3.87 | 0.86 |
| 25 | 730204 | 10 | 1449 | 0.23 | 0.44 | 0.33 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.68 | -0.65 | 0.21 | 0.25 | 0.35 | 0.29 | A- | A+ | A- | A- | 2.24 | 0.03 | -4.68 | 0.81 | -5.82 | 0.74 |
| 26 | 714761 | 10 | 1449 | 0.31 | 0.28 | 0.39 | 0.17 | 0.11 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.72 | -0.59 | -0.05 | 0.29 | 0.39 | 0.30 | A- | A- | A+ | A+ | 1.87 | 0.03 | -6.61 | 0.77 | -7.48 | 0.73 |
| 27 | 819906 | 11 | 1404 | 0.07 | 0.72 | 0.19 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.48 | -0.50 | 0.43 | 0.16 | 0.11 | 0.13 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | 3.53 | 0.06 | -2.46 | 0.83 | -4.78 | 0.65 |
| 28 | 892939 | 11 | 1404 | 0.28 | 0.26 | 0.39 | 0.28 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.69 | -0.58 | -0.06 | 0.52 | 0.24 | 0.05 | A- | A- | A+ | A+ | 2.86 | 0.04 | -6.23 | 0.80 | -6.86 | 0.77 |


| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | P(0) | P (1) | $\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $\mathrm{P}(3)$ | $\mathrm{P}(4)$ | P(B) | ITCorr | Corr(0) | Corr(1) | Corr(2) | Corr(3) | Corr(4) | M/F | W/B | W/H | 0/P | Meas | SEM | z-Infit | MS- <br> Infit | z-Outit | MS- Outfit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29 | 821624 | 12 | 1448 | 0.17 | 0.54 | 0.28 | 0.10 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.57 | -0.54 | 0.23 | 0.28 | 0.31 | 0.11 | A- | A+ | A+ | A- | 2.94 | 0.04 | -0.58 | 0.97 | -1.85 | 0.90 |
| 30 | 892938 | 12 | 1448 | 0.21 | 0.46 | 0.28 | 0.17 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.60 | -0.59 | 0.21 | 0.36 | 0.24 | 0.13 | B+ | A- | B+ | A+ | 2.70 | 0.04 | 0.42 | 1.02 | -1.81 | 0.91 |
| 31 | 897706 | 13 | 1433 | 0.31 | 0.32 | 0.31 | 0.18 | 0.13 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.68 | -0.61 | 0.03 | 0.23 | 0.37 | 0.28 | A- | A- | A- | B- | 1.80 | 0.03 | -1.57 | 0.94 | -2.49 | 0.90 |
| 32 | 820072 | 13 | 1433 | 0.24 | 0.44 | 0.28 | 0.16 | 0.09 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.68 | -0.61 | 0.08 | 0.37 | 0.36 | 0.20 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 2.26 | 0.03 | -4.13 | 0.84 | -4.95 | 0.78 |
| 33 | 904777 | 14 | 1440 | 0.30 | 0.47 | 0.16 | 0.13 | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.02 | 0.74 | -0.73 | 0.11 | 0.26 | 0.36 | 0.40 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 1.69 | 0.03 | -6.75 | 0.75 | -7.22 | 0.61 |
| 34 | 906365 | 14 | 1440 | 0.11 | 0.63 | 0.28 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.43 | -0.41 | 0.27 | 0.22 | 0.14 | 0.13 | A- | A- | A+ | A- | 3.19 | 0.05 | 0.49 | 1.03 | 0.57 | 1.03 |
| 35 | 897708 | 15 | 1412 | 0.31 | 0.24 | 0.41 | 0.19 | 0.11 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.71 | -0.62 | -0.02 | 0.34 | 0.35 | 0.23 | A- | B- | A- | A- | 1.94 | 0.03 | -5.43 | 0.81 | -6.64 | 0.77 |
| 36 | 818669 | 15 | 1412 | 0.20 | 0.50 | 0.20 | 0.23 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.62 | -0.53 | 0.02 | 0.48 | 0.25 | 0.15 | A- | A+ | A- | A- | 2.79 | 0.04 | -3.93 | 0.86 | -3.24 | 0.82 |
| 37 | 888090 | 16 | 1424 | 0.28 | 0.27 | 0.44 | 0.18 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.66 | -0.54 | -0.02 | 0.39 | 0.26 | 0.26 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | 1.90 | 0.04 | -3.60 | 0.86 | -4.29 | 0.84 |
| 38 | 905407 | 16 | 1424 | 0.24 | 0.47 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.63 | -0.58 | 0.06 | 0.37 | 0.34 | 0.14 | B- | B- | B- | A+ | 2.39 | 0.03 | 0.85 | 1.03 | -0.58 | 0.97 |
| 39 | 877379 | 17 | 1350 | 0.15 | 0.55 | 0.29 | 0.09 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.66 | -0.62 | 0.30 | 0.40 | 0.24 | 0.16 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | 3.07 | 0.04 | -6.50 | 0.72 | -7.08 | 0.65 |
| 40 | 734691 | 17 | 1350 | 0.47 | 0.16 | 0.22 | 0.28 | 0.18 | 0.15 | 0.02 | 0.71 | -0.47 | -0.30 | 0.06 | 0.30 | 0.46 | A- | B- | B- | B- | 0.86 | 0.03 | -1.50 | 0.95 | -1.50 | 0.94 |
| 41 | 818614 | 18 | 1399 | 0.34 | 0.30 | 0.26 | 0.20 | 0.15 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.67 | -0.55 | -0.11 | 0.25 | 0.36 | 0.30 | A- | A- | A- | B- | 1.59 | 0.03 | -0.45 | 0.98 | -0.86 | 0.96 |
| 42 | 904779 | 18 | 1399 | 0.26 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.19 | 0.08 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.72 | -0.65 | 0.08 | 0.39 | 0.34 | 0.22 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 2.13 | 0.03 | -6.80 | 0.76 | -8.18 | 0.70 |
| 43 | 703736 | 19 | 1437 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.24 | 0.13 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.64 | -0.52 | -0.06 | 0.29 | 0.37 | 0.19 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 2.12 | 0.03 | 0.72 | 1.03 | 0.68 | 1.03 |
| 44 | 903105 | 19 | 1437 | 0.25 | 0.32 | 0.43 | 0.12 | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.65 | -0.57 | 0.10 | 0.30 | 0.34 | 0.21 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 2.22 | 0.04 | -2.87 | 0.88 | -4.17 | 0.84 |
| 45 | 904793 | 20 | 1404 | 0.12 | 0.57 | 0.30 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.60 | -0.61 | 0.41 | 0.31 | 0.16 | 0.15 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A- | 3.11 | 0.05 | -4.56 | 0.78 | -6.45 | 0.67 |
| 46 | 795653 | 20 | 1404 | 0.30 | 0.11 | 0.55 | 0.32 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.59 | -0.36 | -0.28 | 0.53 | 0.11 | 0.06 | B- | B- | A- | A+ | 2.79 | 0.05 | -3.43 | 0.88 | -3.30 | 0.88 |

Table J-15. Biology Constructed-Response Item Statistics: Spring

| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | P(0) | P (1) | P(2) | $\mathrm{P}(3)$ | P(B) | ITCorr | Corr(0) | Corr(1) | Corr(2) | Corr(3) | M/F | W/B | W/H | 0/P | Meas | SEM | z-Infit | MSInfit | z-Outit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MS- } \\ & \text { Outfit } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 812685 | 0 | 139180 | 0.32 | 0.38 | 0.23 | 0.30 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.68 | -0.64 | 0.09 | 0.51 | 0.24 |  |  |  |  | 1.61 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.88 | -9.90 | 0.86 |
| 2 | 735487 | 0 | 139180 | 0.36 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.25 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.64 | -0.53 | -0.01 | 0.37 | 0.36 |  |  |  |  | 0.92 | 0.01 | 9.48 | 1.07 | 8.42 | 1.06 |
| 3 | 677888 | 0 | 139180 | 0.38 | 0.33 | 0.24 | 0.20 | 0.17 | 0.06 | 0.75 | -0.64 | -0.02 | 0.31 | 0.53 |  |  |  |  | 1.22 | 0.01 | -6.67 | 0.95 | -9.90 | 0.89 |
| 4 | 809203 | 0 | 139180 | 0.30 | 0.45 | 0.20 | 0.15 | 0.13 | 0.05 | 0.71 | -0.66 | 0.12 | 0.34 | 0.47 |  |  |  |  | 1.17 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.86 | -9.90 | 0.82 |
| 5 | 741581 | 0 | 139180 | 0.37 | 0.28 | 0.24 | 0.22 | 0.14 | 0.08 | 0.66 | -0.57 | -0.01 | 0.32 | 0.43 |  |  |  |  | 0.92 | 0.01 | 4.89 | 1.04 | 3.16 | 1.03 |
| 6 | 702998 | 0 | 139180 | 0.47 | 0.06 | 0.51 | 0.26 | 0.12 | 0.04 | 0.66 | -0.33 | -0.40 | 0.36 | 0.44 |  |  |  |  | 0.16 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.92 | -9.90 | 0.92 |
| 7 | 878953 | 1 | 1554 | 0.50 | 0.27 | 0.17 | 0.19 | 0.32 | 0.04 | 0.74 | -0.64 | -0.09 | 0.13 | 0.60 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.69 | 0.03 | -3.54 | 0.88 | -2.44 | 0.88 |
| 8 | 821003 | 1 | 1554 | 0.37 | 0.29 | 0.30 | 0.26 | 0.09 | 0.04 | 0.61 | -0.55 | 0.03 | 0.34 | 0.33 | B+ | A- | A- | A+ | 1.45 | 0.03 | 1.59 | 1.05 | 0.55 | 1.02 |
| 9 | 869090 | 2 | 1568 | 0.47 | 0.22 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.23 | 0.04 | 0.78 | -0.65 | -0.14 | 0.27 | 0.56 | A- | A+ | A- | A- | 0.86 | 0.03 | -9.29 | 0.72 | -8.45 | 0.70 |
| 10 | 877375 | 2 | 1568 | 0.54 | 0.20 | 0.19 | 0.27 | 0.30 | 0.03 | 0.69 | -0.60 | -0.15 | 0.21 | 0.49 | A- | B- | B- | A+ | 0.57 | 0.03 | -1.59 | 0.95 | -0.49 | 0.98 |
| 11 | 880296 | 3 | 1563 | 0.55 | 0.15 | 0.23 | 0.33 | 0.25 | 0.03 | 0.68 | -0.53 | -0.24 | 0.22 | 0.47 | A+ | C- | A- | A- | 0.53 | 0.03 | -2.06 | 0.93 | -1.07 | 0.96 |
| 12 | 887613 | 3 | 1563 | 0.28 | 0.49 | 0.19 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.04 | 0.61 | -0.61 | 0.19 | 0.31 | 0.38 | A+ | A- | A+ | A- | 1.77 | 0.03 | 2.36 | 1.09 | 0.70 | 1.04 |
| 13 | 869091 | 4 | 1567 | 0.39 | 0.36 | 0.21 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.04 | 0.67 | -0.55 | -0.05 | 0.21 | 0.53 | A+ | A- | A+ | A+ | 1.26 | 0.03 | -1.08 | 0.96 | -1.49 | 0.93 |
| 14 | 641233 | 4 | 1567 | 0.35 | 0.36 | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.16 | 0.06 | 0.63 | -0.60 | 0.10 | 0.27 | 0.41 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | 1.42 | 0.03 | 2.58 | 1.09 | 0.58 | 1.03 |
| 15 | 808343 | 5 | 1554 | 0.47 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.27 | 0.21 | 0.03 | 0.75 | -0.64 | -0.12 | 0.27 | 0.53 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 0.85 | 0.03 | -7.49 | 0.77 | -6.89 | 0.76 |
| 16 | 869089 | 5 | 1554 | 0.42 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.25 | 0.16 | 0.03 | 0.67 | -0.56 | -0.08 | 0.31 | 0.43 | A+ | A- | A- | B+ | 1.12 | 0.03 | -0.93 | 0.97 | -1.60 | 0.94 |
| 17 | 813190 | 6 | 1579 | 0.53 | 0.19 | 0.25 | 0.23 | 0.29 | 0.03 | 0.68 | -0.53 | -0.16 | 0.11 | 0.55 | A- | A- | B- | B+ | 0.49 | 0.03 | -1.10 | 0.96 | -1.19 | 0.95 |
| 18 | 809859 | 6 | 1579 | 0.46 | 0.26 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.24 | 0.04 | 0.67 | -0.58 | -0.08 | 0.25 | 0.47 | A+ | A- | A+ | A+ | 0.82 | 0.03 | -0.02 | 1.00 | 0.44 | 1.02 |
| 19 | 812928 | 7 | 1563 | 0.52 | 0.20 | 0.23 | 0.29 | 0.25 | 0.03 | 0.65 | -0.54 | -0.15 | 0.20 | 0.46 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | 0.68 | 0.03 | 0.56 | 1.02 | 0.51 | 1.02 |
| 20 | 809440 | 7 | 1563 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.20 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.05 | 0.69 | -0.65 | 0.06 | 0.30 | 0.47 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | 1.34 | 0.03 | -4.00 | 0.86 | -4.34 | 0.79 |
| 21 | 812686 | 8 | 1566 | 0.27 | 0.49 | 0.20 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.04 | 0.66 | -0.65 | 0.21 | 0.34 | 0.39 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A+ | 1.77 | 0.03 | -1.89 | 0.93 | -3.43 | 0.81 |
| 22 | 887612 | 8 | 1566 | 0.67 | 0.05 | 0.17 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.04 | 0.53 | -0.38 | -0.25 | 0.03 | 0.40 | A- | C- | A- | A+ | -0.11 | 0.03 | 3.62 | 1.13 | 6.03 | 1.26 |
| 23 | 869885 | 9 | 1580 | 0.34 | 0.36 | 0.29 | 0.17 | 0.13 | 0.04 | 0.68 | -0.61 | 0.08 | 0.32 | 0.43 | A+ | A+ | A- | A- | 1.40 | 0.03 | -3.79 | 0.87 | -4.47 | 0.82 |
| 24 | 641305 | 9 | 1580 | 0.30 | 0.44 | 0.18 | 0.27 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.55 | -0.48 | -0.01 | 0.37 | 0.31 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 1.77 | 0.03 | 4.06 | 1.15 | 2.03 | 1.10 |
| 25 | 877366 | 10 | 1570 | 0.48 | 0.10 | 0.36 | 0.40 | 0.09 | 0.03 | 0.64 | -0.40 | -0.31 | 0.39 | 0.36 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | 0.93 | 0.04 | -3.25 | 0.90 | -3.07 | 0.90 |
| 26 | 877365 | 10 | 1570 | 0.44 | 0.23 | 0.29 | 0.28 | 0.16 | 0.03 | 0.60 | -0.52 | -0.06 | 0.29 | 0.35 | A+ | A+ | A- | A- | 1.03 | 0.03 | 3.02 | 1.10 | 2.84 | 1.10 |
| 27 | 869034 | 11 | 1581 | 0.06 | 0.81 | 0.14 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.39 | -0.38 | 0.33 | 0.18 | 0.05 | A- | A- | A+ | A- | 4.50 | 0.07 | -1.38 | 0.92 | -2.45 | 0.77 |
| 28 | 892556 | 11 | 1581 | 0.28 | 0.48 | 0.18 | 0.16 | 0.12 | 0.04 | 0.68 | -0.67 | 0.20 | 0.35 | 0.41 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | 1.70 | 0.03 | -3.22 | 0.88 | -4.34 | 0.76 |


| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | P(0) | $\mathrm{P}(1)$ | P(2) | $\mathrm{P}(3)$ | P(B) | ITCorr | Corr(0) | Corr(1) | Corr(2) | Corr(3) | M/F | W/B | W/H | 0/P | Meas | SEM | z-Infit | MS- <br> Infit | z-Outfit | $\begin{gathered} \text { MS- } \\ \text { Outfit } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29 | 892558 | 12 | 1571 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.21 | 0.20 | 0.16 | 0.03 | 0.68 | -0.61 | 0.02 | 0.33 | 0.45 | A- | A- | A+ | A+ | 1.35 | 0.03 | -2.93 | 0.90 | -3.24 | 0.85 |
| 30 | 810558 | 12 | 1571 | 0.57 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.37 | 0.04 | 0.67 | -0.54 | -0.18 | 0.10 | 0.54 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | 0.42 | 0.03 | 0.32 | 1.01 | 3.16 | 1.16 |
| 31 | 892557 | 13 | 1581 | 0.44 | 0.22 | 0.31 | 0.29 | 0.15 | 0.03 | 0.61 | -0.55 | -0.07 | 0.36 | 0.31 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 1.01 | 0.03 | 3.42 | 1.12 | 2.89 | 1.10 |
| 32 | 809439 | 13 | 1581 | 0.43 | 0.20 | 0.31 | 0.35 | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.65 | -0.53 | -0.12 | 0.37 | 0.36 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 1.16 | 0.04 | -1.94 | 0.94 | -2.43 | 0.92 |
| 33 | 880295 | 14 | 1569 | 0.26 | 0.52 | 0.17 | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.05 | 0.66 | -0.63 | 0.16 | 0.30 | 0.46 | A- | A- | A- | A+ | 1.77 | 0.03 | -4.29 | 0.83 | -3.81 | 0.76 |
| 34 | 869088 | 14 | 1569 | 0.30 | 0.41 | 0.27 | 0.21 | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.73 | -0.70 | 0.16 | 0.46 | 0.34 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | 1.78 | 0.04 | -7.59 | 0.75 | -8.13 | 0.68 |
| 35 | 892554 | 15 | 1553 | 0.40 | 0.26 | 0.33 | 0.24 | 0.13 | 0.03 | 0.73 | -0.60 | -0.09 | 0.41 | 0.43 | A+ | A- | A- | $B+$ | 1.21 | 0.03 | -6.90 | 0.78 | -7.40 | 0.76 |
| 36 | 880342 | 15 | 1553 | 0.38 | 0.33 | 0.22 | 0.24 | 0.15 | 0.04 | 0.63 | -0.51 | -0.09 | 0.32 | 0.42 | A+ | A- | B- | A+ | 1.29 | 0.03 | 2.52 | 1.09 | 2.16 | 1.10 |
| 37 | 893659 | 16 | 1556 | 0.49 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.29 | 0.22 | 0.05 | 0.72 | -0.61 | -0.12 | 0.26 | 0.49 | A- | A- | A- | A- | 0.81 | 0.03 | -4.49 | 0.86 | -3.65 | 0.87 |
| 38 | 869033 | 16 | 1556 | 0.52 | 0.10 | 0.31 | 0.36 | 0.18 | 0.03 | 0.51 | -0.36 | -0.18 | 0.14 | 0.39 | B+ | A- | A+ | A+ | 0.63 | 0.04 | 5.83 | 1.20 | 6.05 | 1.21 |
| 39 | 880294 | 17 | 1551 | 0.45 | 0.19 | 0.41 | 0.20 | 0.17 | 0.02 | 0.56 | -0.47 | -0.08 | 0.23 | 0.37 | A+ | B- | A- | B+ | 0.99 | 0.03 | 5.14 | 1.18 | 5.81 | 1.22 |
| 40 | 877377 | 17 | 1551 | 0.55 | 0.18 | 0.24 | 0.20 | 0.34 | 0.03 | 0.72 | -0.52 | -0.27 | 0.10 | 0.61 | A+ | A- | A- | $\mathrm{A}+$ | 0.51 | 0.03 | -2.99 | 0.90 | -3.46 | 0.85 |
| 41 | 887611 | 18 | 1578 | 0.20 | 0.55 | 0.23 | 0.11 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.62 | -0.60 | 0.28 | 0.37 | 0.29 | A+ | A- | A- | B+ | 2.32 | 0.04 | -4.84 | 0.81 | -6.11 | 0.68 |
| 42 | 880297 | 18 | 1578 | 0.44 | 0.30 | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.04 | 0.72 | -0.65 | -0.04 | 0.29 | 0.50 | A+ | A- | A+ | A- | 0.99 | 0.03 | -4.95 | 0.84 | -5.04 | 0.79 |
| 43 | 892446 | 19 | 1549 | 0.27 | 0.46 | 0.24 | 0.16 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.65 | -0.63 | 0.20 | 0.36 | 0.35 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | 1.82 | 0.03 | -1.66 | 0.94 | -3.88 | 0.81 |
| 44 | 880343 | 19 | 1549 | 0.34 | 0.36 | 0.30 | 0.16 | 0.13 | 0.04 | 0.58 | -0.51 | 0.05 | 0.28 | 0.37 | B- | A- | A+ | A+ | 1.42 | 0.03 | 3.17 | 1.12 | 2.08 | 1.09 |
| 45 | 877376 | 20 | 1542 | 0.27 | 0.51 | 0.18 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.04 | 0.70 | -0.70 | 0.22 | 0.35 | 0.44 | A- | A+ | A- | A+ | 1.74 | 0.03 | -6.36 | 0.77 | -6.72 | 0.63 |
| 46 | 810556 | 20 | 1542 | 0.26 | 0.43 | 0.30 | 0.16 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.56 | -0.53 | 0.20 | 0.29 | 0.30 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A+ | 1.98 | 0.04 | 2.41 | 1.09 | 0.11 | 1.00 |

Table J-16. Literature Constructed-Response Item Statistics: Spring

| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | P(0) | P (1) | P (2) | $\mathrm{P}(3)$ | P(B) | ITCorr | Corr(0) | Corr(1) | Corr(2) | Corr(3) | M/F | W/B | W/H | 0/P | Meas | SEM | z-Infit | MSInfit | $z$-Outit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MS- } \\ & \text { Outfit } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 703955 | 0 | 127042 | 0.46 | 0.17 | 0.28 | 0.40 | 0.11 | 0.03 | 0.70 | -0.62 | -0.11 | 0.43 | 0.31 |  |  |  |  | 1.23 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.79 | -9.90 | 0.79 |
| 2 | 824935 | 0 | 127042 | 0.52 | 0.09 | 0.31 | 0.43 | 0.12 | 0.03 | 0.73 | -0.56 | -0.29 | 0.41 | 0.37 |  |  |  |  | 0.76 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.75 | -9.90 | 0.75 |
| 3 | 824936 | 0 | 127042 | 0.49 | 0.10 | 0.30 | 0.39 | 0.13 | 0.07 | 0.73 | -0.58 | -0.24 | 0.40 | 0.40 |  |  |  |  | 0.95 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.80 | -9.90 | 0.80 |
| 4 | 683204 | 0 | 127042 | 0.58 | 0.05 | 0.29 | 0.42 | 0.20 | 0.02 | 0.68 | -0.47 | -0.34 | 0.23 | 0.43 |  |  |  |  | 0.49 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.77 | -9.90 | 0.77 |
| 5 | 826264 | 0 | 127042 | 0.52 | 0.13 | 0.23 | 0.45 | 0.15 | 0.04 | 0.76 | -0.63 | -0.23 | 0.40 | 0.40 |  |  |  |  | 0.93 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.78 | -9.90 | 0.79 |
| 6 | 826283 | 0 | 127042 | 0.51 | 0.10 | 0.26 | 0.43 | 0.13 | 0.07 | 0.74 | -0.60 | -0.24 | 0.41 | 0.38 |  |  |  |  | 0.81 | 0.01 | -9.90 | 0.88 | -9.90 | 0.88 |
| 7 | 916349 | 1 | 1507 | 0.51 | 0.07 | 0.39 | 0.41 | 0.11 | 0.01 | 0.69 | -0.49 | -0.35 | 0.44 | 0.33 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | 0.97 | 0.04 | -8.27 | 0.74 | -8.30 | 0.74 |
| 8 | 912094 | 1 | 1507 | 0.51 | 0.10 | 0.33 | 0.43 | 0.11 | 0.02 | 0.71 | -0.56 | -0.27 | 0.42 | 0.34 | A+ | A+ | A+ | B- | 1.08 | 0.04 | -8.32 | 0.74 | -8.50 | 0.73 |
| 9 | 916348 | 2 | 1504 | 0.53 | 0.09 | 0.30 | 0.45 | 0.13 | 0.02 | 0.73 | -0.57 | -0.29 | 0.37 | 0.38 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A- | 0.86 | 0.04 | -8.79 | 0.72 | -8.84 | 0.72 |
| 10 | 912092 | 2 | 1504 | 0.50 | 0.12 | 0.30 | 0.45 | 0.10 | 0.02 | 0.74 | -0.60 | -0.25 | 0.45 | 0.35 | $\mathrm{C}_{+}$ | B+ | A+ | A- | 1.13 | 0.04 | -9.79 | 0.69 | -9.76 | 0.69 |
| 11 | 928784 | 3 | 1503 | 0.51 | 0.09 | 0.33 | 0.45 | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.74 | -0.57 | -0.29 | 0.43 | 0.35 | C+ | A+ | A+ | A- | 0.99 | 0.04 | -9.90 | 0.69 | -9.90 | 0.69 |
| 12 | 912113 | 3 | 1503 | 0.53 | 0.09 | 0.31 | 0.40 | 0.16 | 0.02 | 0.73 | -0.57 | -0.27 | 0.32 | 0.43 | B+ | A- | A- | A+ | 0.82 | 0.04 | -8.70 | 0.73 | -8.92 | 0.72 |
| 13 | 928785 | 4 | 1514 | 0.50 | 0.06 | 0.44 | 0.39 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.67 | -0.50 | -0.33 | 0.44 | 0.29 | C+ | A- | A- | A+ | 1.09 | 0.04 | -7.19 | 0.77 | -7.25 | 0.77 |
| 14 | 912223 | 4 | 1514 | 0.54 | 0.07 | 0.32 | 0.47 | 0.13 | 0.01 | 0.70 | -0.53 | -0.33 | 0.38 | 0.34 | B+ | B+ | A- | A+ | 0.89 | 0.04 | -7.58 | 0.76 | -7.62 | 0.76 |
| 15 | 928826 | 5 | 1495 | 0.54 | 0.09 | 0.29 | 0.44 | 0.15 | 0.02 | 0.74 | -0.55 | -0.33 | 0.36 | 0.41 | A+ | A+ | A- | A- | 0.93 | 0.04 | -9.60 | 0.70 | -9.62 | 0.70 |
| 16 | 892530 | 5 | 1495 | 0.49 | 0.09 | 0.39 | 0.42 | 0.08 | 0.02 | 0.69 | -0.55 | -0.25 | 0.46 | 0.27 | $\mathrm{B}_{+}$ | A+ | A+ | A- | 1.24 | 0.04 | -6.91 | 0.78 | -6.94 | 0.78 |
| 17 | 928823 | 6 | 1495 | 0.40 | 0.31 | 0.23 | 0.29 | 0.13 | 0.02 | 0.73 | -0.67 | 0.01 | 0.40 | 0.41 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | 1.58 | 0.03 | -9.90 | 0.67 | -9.90 | 0.63 |
| 18 | 892537 | 6 | 1495 | 0.46 | 0.14 | 0.36 | 0.38 | 0.09 | 0.02 | 0.66 | -0.49 | -0.23 | 0.41 | 0.34 | B+ | A- | A- | A+ | 1.33 | 0.04 | -4.56 | 0.85 | -4.91 | 0.84 |
| 19 | 928824 | 7 | 1496 | 0.53 | 0.06 | 0.37 | 0.41 | 0.13 | 0.02 | 0.70 | -0.46 | -0.40 | 0.41 | 0.37 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | 0.82 | 0.04 | -7.76 | 0.76 | -7.83 | 0.76 |
| 20 | 928178 | 7 | 1496 | 0.45 | 0.15 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.11 | 0.03 | 0.71 | -0.61 | -0.11 | 0.41 | 0.34 | B+ | A- | A+ | A- | 1.38 | 0.04 | -6.92 | 0.78 | -7.30 | 0.77 |
| 21 | 928827 | 8 | 1503 | 0.55 | 0.07 | 0.29 | 0.43 | 0.17 | 0.02 | 0.74 | -0.57 | -0.32 | 0.34 | 0.42 | B+ | A- | A- | A+ | 0.81 | 0.04 | -9.38 | 0.71 | -9.37 | 0.71 |
| 22 | 928181 | 8 | 1503 | 0.49 | 0.12 | 0.35 | 0.40 | 0.11 | 0.02 | 0.70 | -0.58 | -0.20 | 0.42 | 0.32 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | 1.22 | 0.04 | -6.89 | 0.78 | -7.11 | 0.77 |
| 23 | 916572 | 9 | 1505 | 0.52 | 0.11 | 0.31 | 0.40 | 0.15 | 0.02 | 0.75 | -0.58 | -0.29 | 0.37 | 0.41 | B+ | A- | A- | A- | 0.99 | 0.04 | -9.90 | 0.67 | -9.90 | 0.67 |
| 24 | 904396 | 9 | 1505 | 0.57 | 0.04 | 0.32 | 0.46 | 0.15 | 0.01 | 0.68 | -0.47 | -0.39 | 0.32 | 0.38 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | 0.60 | 0.04 | -7.36 | 0.77 | -7.27 | 0.77 |
| 25 | 916574 | 10 | 1512 | 0.53 | 0.11 | 0.29 | 0.40 | 0.16 | 0.02 | 0.77 | -0.60 | -0.31 | 0.40 | 0.42 | B+ | A- | A- | A- | 0.92 | 0.04 | -9.90 | 0.66 | -9.90 | 0.66 |
| 26 | 904397 | 10 | 1512 | 0.53 | 0.08 | 0.31 | 0.43 | 0.14 | 0.02 | 0.75 | -0.57 | -0.32 | 0.41 | 0.39 | A+ | A- | A- | A+ | 0.91 | 0.04 | -9.75 | 0.70 | -9.72 | 0.70 |
| 27 | 928786 | 11 | 1507 | 0.54 | 0.06 | 0.32 | 0.49 | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.70 | -0.49 | -0.38 | 0.43 | 0.32 | B+ | A+ | A- | A+ | 0.88 | 0.04 | -8.12 | 0.74 | -8.03 | 0.74 |
| 28 | 905083 | 11 | 1507 | 0.48 | 0.11 | 0.37 | 0.43 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.69 | -0.58 | -0.19 | 0.45 | 0.27 | C+ | A+ | A+ | A- | 1.36 | 0.04 | -7.29 | 0.77 | -7.42 | 0.76 |


| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | P(0) | $\mathrm{P}(1)$ | P(2) | P(3) | P(B) | ITCorr | Corr(0) | Corr(1) | Corr(2) | Corr(3) | M/F | W/B | W/H | 0/P | Meas | SEM | z-Infit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MS- } \\ & \text { Infit } \end{aligned}$ | z-Outfit | MS- <br> Outfit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29 | 928821 | 12 | 1493 | 0.55 | 0.07 | 0.31 | 0.45 | 0.15 | 0.01 | 0.72 | -0.51 | -0.37 | 0.37 | 0.39 | B+ | A+ | B+ | A- | 0.75 | 0.04 | -8.77 | 0.73 | -8.85 | 0.73 |
| 30 | 904944 | 12 | 1493 | 0.53 | 0.08 | 0.31 | 0.44 | 0.14 | 0.02 | 0.73 | -0.55 | -0.31 | 0.38 | 0.39 | A+ | A+ | A+ | A- | 0.90 | 0.04 | -9.62 | 0.70 | -9.47 | 0.71 |
| 31 | 928834 | 13 | 1508 | 0.51 | 0.09 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.12 | 0.02 | 0.71 | -0.53 | -0.30 | 0.40 | 0.37 | A+ | A+ | B+ | C- | 1.02 | 0.04 | -8.34 | 0.74 | -8.43 | 0.74 |
| 32 | 904155 | 13 | 1508 | 0.54 | 0.09 | 0.29 | 0.44 | 0.15 | 0.02 | 0.71 | -0.54 | -0.30 | 0.36 | 0.38 | B+ | A+ | A+ | A- | 0.88 | 0.04 | -6.95 | 0.78 | -7.06 | 0.78 |
| 33 | 928841 | 14 | 1485 | 0.45 | 0.13 | 0.39 | 0.37 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.68 | -0.61 | -0.10 | 0.43 | 0.27 | B+ | A+ | A- | A- | 1.48 | 0.04 | -7.25 | 0.77 | -7.39 | 0.77 |
| 34 | 904157 | 14 | 1485 | 0.56 | 0.06 | 0.29 | 0.44 | 0.17 | 0.02 | 0.71 | -0.51 | -0.35 | 0.30 | 0.42 | $\mathrm{C}+$ | A+ | A+ | A+ | 0.71 | 0.04 | -8.28 | 0.74 | -8.22 | 0.74 |
| 35 | 892646 | 15 | 1503 | 0.57 | 0.05 | 0.29 | 0.50 | 0.13 | 0.01 | 0.73 | -0.51 | -0.42 | 0.41 | 0.35 | B+ | A+ | A- | A- | 0.69 | 0.04 | -9.31 | 0.70 | -9.19 | 0.71 |
| 36 | 904546 | 15 | 1503 | 0.54 | 0.06 | 0.35 | 0.42 | 0.14 | 0.02 | 0.72 | -0.49 | -0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 | B+ | A- | A+ | A- | 0.82 | 0.04 | -9.31 | 0.71 | -9.33 | 0.72 |
| 37 | 892640 | 16 | 1501 | 0.50 | 0.11 | 0.35 | 0.41 | 0.11 | 0.01 | 0.73 | -0.58 | -0.25 | 0.41 | 0.36 | B+ | A- | B- | A- | 1.18 | 0.04 | -9.68 | 0.70 | -9.69 | 0.70 |
| 38 | 904549 | 16 | 1501 | 0.52 | 0.11 | 0.30 | 0.42 | 0.14 | 0.02 | 0.73 | -0.60 | -0.24 | 0.38 | 0.37 | A+ | A- | A- | A- | 1.06 | 0.04 | -9.29 | 0.71 | -9.51 | 0.71 |
| 39 | 913210 | 17 | 1502 | 0.50 | 0.10 | 0.37 | 0.41 | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.66 | -0.51 | -0.24 | 0.37 | 0.33 | B+ | A+ | A- | A- | 1.15 | 0.04 | -5.60 | 0.82 | -5.56 | 0.82 |
| 40 | 904400 | 17 | 1502 | 0.54 | 0.06 | 0.32 | 0.51 | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.68 | -0.50 | -0.33 | 0.38 | 0.33 | B+ | A+ | A+ | A- | 0.91 | 0.04 | -7.15 | 0.76 | -7.07 | 0.76 |
| 41 | 913211 | 18 | 1511 | 0.47 | 0.11 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.66 | -0.49 | -0.27 | 0.45 | 0.29 | B+ | A+ | A+ | A- | 1.30 | 0.04 | -6.29 | 0.80 | -6.40 | 0.80 |
| 42 | 904399 | 18 | 1511 | 0.52 | 0.05 | 0.37 | 0.46 | 0.09 | 0.02 | 0.65 | -0.47 | -0.33 | 0.40 | 0.29 | B+ | A+ | A- | A+ | 0.94 | 0.04 | -5.23 | 0.83 | -5.19 | 0.83 |
| 43 | 915897 | 19 | 1493 | 0.55 | 0.07 | 0.30 | 0.48 | 0.13 | 0.01 | 0.69 | -0.49 | -0.35 | 0.35 | 0.38 | C+ | A+ | A+ | A- | 0.86 | 0.04 | -7.38 | 0.76 | -7.49 | 0.76 |
| 44 | 928710 | 19 | 1493 | 0.55 | 0.10 | 0.30 | 0.38 | 0.19 | 0.01 | 0.74 | -0.59 | -0.28 | 0.31 | 0.44 | B+ | A+ | A+ | A+ | 0.88 | 0.04 | -9.71 | 0.70 | -9.67 | 0.70 |
| 45 | 913286 | 20 | 1512 | 0.53 | 0.06 | 0.38 | 0.40 | 0.14 | 0.01 | 0.70 | -0.51 | -0.35 | 0.37 | 0.37 | B+ | A+ | A+ | A- | 0.82 | 0.04 | -8.91 | 0.73 | -8.83 | 0.73 |
| 46 | 928712 | 20 | 1512 | 0.59 | 0.05 | 0.28 | 0.43 | 0.21 | 0.01 | 0.74 | -0.53 | -0.38 | 0.26 | 0.45 | B+ | A+ | A+ | A- | 0.53 | 0.04 | -9.90 | 0.69 | -9.90 | 0.69 |

## Table J-17. Algebra I Constructed-Response Item Statistics: Summer

| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | P(0) | $\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $\mathrm{P}(2)$ | P(3) | $\mathrm{P}(4)$ | P(B) | ITCorr | Corr(0) | Corr(1) | Corr(2) | Corr(3) | Corr(4) | Meas | SEM | z-Infit | MS- <br> Infit | z-Outfit | MSOutfit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 795492 | 0 | 1302 | 0.51 | 0.07 | 0.25 | 0.33 | 0.26 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.50 | -0.33 | -0.24 | 0.03 | 0.20 | 0.31 | 0.82 | 0.03 | -1.86 | 0.94 | -1.31 | 0.95 |
| 2 | 673351 | 0 | 1302 | 0.44 | 0.12 | 0.31 | 0.30 | 0.21 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.49 | -0.29 | -0.22 | 0.05 | 0.27 | 0.28 | 0.83 | 0.03 | -2.59 | 0.91 | -2.60 | 0.91 |
| 3 | 711789 | 0 | 1302 | 0.14 | 0.53 | 0.37 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.51 | -0.45 | 0.27 | 0.25 | 0.19 | 0.18 | 2.23 | 0.04 | -7.62 | 0.69 | -7.88 | 0.67 |
| 4 | 701634 | 0 | 1302 | 0.50 | 0.04 | 0.26 | 0.41 | 0.21 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.45 | -0.20 | -0.22 | -0.07 | 0.24 | 0.30 | 0.39 | 0.03 | -3.79 | 0.87 | -3.61 | 0.87 |
| 5 | 704027 | 0 | 1302 | 0.31 | 0.28 | 0.25 | 0.35 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.48 | -0.41 | 0.01 | 0.17 | 0.30 | 0.16 | 1.52 | 0.03 | -4.61 | 0.85 | -4.19 | 0.85 |
| 6 | 714557 | 0 | 1302 | 0.18 | 0.53 | 0.23 | 0.15 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.51 | -0.46 | 0.14 | 0.24 | 0.28 | 0.19 | 1.97 | 0.03 | -5.84 | 0.79 | -5.97 | 0.72 |

Table J-18. Biology Constructed-Response Item Statistics: Summer

| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | P(0) | $\mathrm{P}(1)$ | P(2) | $\mathrm{P}(3)$ | P(B) | ITCorr | Corr(0) | Corr(1) | Corr(2) | Corr(3) | Meas | SEM | z-Infit | MS-Infit | z-Outfit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MS- } \\ & \text { Outit } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 736837 | 0 | 886 | 0.36 | 0.43 | 0.17 | 0.28 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.42 | -0.32 | -0.01 | 0.03 | 0.45 | 1.05 | 0.04 | 5.42 | 1.27 | 7.46 | 1.58 |
| 2 | 741444 | 0 | 886 | 0.45 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.34 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.38 | -0.25 | -0.14 | 0.13 | 0.31 | 0.84 | 0.04 | 7.07 | 1.33 | 7.02 | 1.37 |
| 3 | 808704 | 0 | 886 | 0.26 | 0.34 | 0.57 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.53 | -0.32 | 0.05 | 0.13 | 0.47 | 1.41 | 0.06 | -1.56 | 0.91 | -1.44 | 0.92 |
| 4 | 736549 | 0 | 886 | 0.29 | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.18 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.46 | -0.30 | -0.05 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 1.08 | 0.05 | -1.27 | 0.94 | -1.57 | 0.93 |
| 5 | 737644 | 0 | 886 | 0.36 | 0.27 | 0.41 | 0.22 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.45 | -0.28 | -0.12 | 0.21 | 0.37 | 1.01 | 0.04 | -0.44 | 0.98 | -0.03 | 1.00 |
| 6 | 810325 | 0 | 886 | 0.25 | 0.49 | 0.28 | 0.17 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.58 | -0.46 | 0.06 | 0.29 | 0.44 | 1.33 | 0.04 | -4.18 | 0.80 | -3.25 | 0.80 |

Table J-19. Literature Constructed-Response Item Statistics: Summer

| Ref | ID | Form | $N$ | PVal | $\mathrm{P}(0)$ | P (1) | P(2) | $\mathrm{P}(3)$ | P(B) | ITCorr | Corr(0) | Corrr(1) | Corr(2) | Corr(3) | Meas | SEM | z-Infit | MS-Infit | z-Outfit | $\begin{gathered} \text { MS- } \\ \text { Outit } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 614660 | 0 | 407 | 0.54 | 0.06 | 0.32 | 0.53 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.55 | -0.38 | -0.28 | 0.31 | 0.28 | 0.72 | 0.07 | -2.74 | 0.83 | -2.76 | 0.82 |
| 2 | 820824 | 0 | 407 | 0.48 | 0.08 | 0.38 | 0.46 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.59 | -0.43 | -0.26 | 0.42 | 0.24 | 0.86 | 0.07 | -5.52 | 0.68 | -5.21 | 0.68 |
| 3 | 820826 | 0 | 407 | 0.36 | 0.12 | 0.55 | 0.26 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.51 | -0.42 | -0.03 | 0.40 | 0.06 | 1.14 | 0.07 | -3.37 | 0.80 | -3.39 | 0.79 |
| 4 | 824988 | 0 | 407 | 0.48 | 0.05 | 0.47 | 0.40 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.57 | -0.45 | -0.22 | 0.37 | 0.23 | 0.97 | 0.07 | -6.60 | 0.63 | -6.37 | 0.64 |
| 5 | 643189 | 0 | 407 | 0.48 | 0.10 | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.10 | 0.04 | 0.54 | -0.45 | -0.14 | 0.31 | 0.25 | 0.72 | 0.06 | -4.98 | 0.72 | -5.01 | 0.71 |
| 6 | 643188 | 0 | 407 | 0.41 | 0.08 | 0.54 | 0.27 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.52 | -0.42 | -0.09 | 0.32 | 0.23 | 0.80 | 0.07 | -6.61 | 0.64 | -6.57 | 0.64 |

## APPENDIX K: RAW-TO-SCALE SCORE CONVERSION TABLES

Table K-1. Raw-to-Scaled Score Conversion Tables

| Column Heading | Definition |
| :--- | :--- |
| Raw | Raw score |
| SS | Scaled score |
| CSEM | Conditional standard error of measurement |
| LCI | Lower confidence interval |
| UCI | Upper confidence interval |

## WINTER

Table K-2. Algebra I Raw-to-Scaled Score Conversion Table

| Raw | SS | CSEM | LCI | UCI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1223 | 92 | 1200 | 1315 |
| 1 | 1285 | 51 | 1234 | 1336 |
| 2 | 1321 | 37 | 1284 | 1358 |
| 3 | 1343 | 30 | 1313 | 1373 |
| 4 | 1360 | 27 | 1333 | 1387 |
| 5 | 1373 | 24 | 1349 | 1397 |
| 6 | 1384 | 23 | 1361 | 1407 |
| 7 | 1393 | 21 | 1372 | 1414 |
| 8 | 1402 | 20 | 1382 | 1422 |
| 9 | 1409 | 19 | 1390 | 1428 |
| 10 | 1416 | 18 | 1398 | 1434 |
| 11 | 1423 | 18 | 1405 | 1441 |
| 12 | 1429 | 17 | 1412 | 1446 |
| 13 | 1435 | 17 | 1418 | 1452 |
| 14 | 1440 | 16 | 1424 | 1456 |
| 15 | 1445 | 16 | 1429 | 1461 |
| 16 | 1450 | 16 | 1434 | 1466 |
| 17 | 1455 | 15 | 1440 | 1470 |
| 18 | 1459 | 15 | 1444 | 1474 |
| 19 | 1464 | 15 | 1449 | 1479 |
| 20 | 1468 | 15 | 1453 | 1483 |
| 21 | 1472 | 14 | 1458 | 1486 |
| 22 | 1476 | 14 | 1462 | 1490 |
| 23 | 1480 | 14 | 1466 | 1494 |
| 24 | 1484 | 14 | 1470 | 1498 |
| 25 | 1488 | 14 | 1474 | 1502 |
| 26 | 1492 | 14 | 1478 | 1506 |
| 27 | 1496 | 14 | 1482 | 1510 |
| 28 | 1499 | 14 | 1485 | 1513 |
| 29 | 1503 | 14 | 1489 | 1517 |
| 30 | 1507 | 13 | 1494 | 1520 |
| 31 | 1510 | 13 | 1497 | 1523 |
| 32 | 1514 | 13 | 1501 | 1527 |
| 33 | 1517 | 13 | 1504 | 1530 |
| 34 | 1521 | 14 | 1507 | 1535 |
| 35 | 1525 | 14 | 1511 | 1539 |
| 36 | 1528 | 14 | 1514 | 1542 |


| Raw | SS | CSEM | LCI | UCI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37 | 1532 | 14 | 1518 | 1546 |
| 38 | 1536 | 14 | 1522 | 1550 |
| 39 | 1540 | 14 | 1526 | 1554 |
| 40 | 1544 | 14 | 1530 | 1558 |
| 41 | 1548 | 14 | 1534 | 1562 |
| 42 | 1552 | 14 | 1538 | 1566 |
| 43 | 1556 | 15 | 1541 | 1571 |
| 44 | 1560 | 15 | 1545 | 1575 |
| 45 | 1565 | 15 | 1550 | 1580 |
| 46 | 1570 | 16 | 1554 | 1586 |
| 47 | 1575 | 16 | 1559 | 1591 |
| 48 | 1580 | 16 | 1564 | 1596 |
| 49 | 1586 | 17 | 1569 | 1603 |
| 50 | 1592 | 18 | 1574 | 1610 |
| 51 | 1598 | 19 | 1579 | 1617 |
| 52 | 1606 | 20 | 1586 | 1626 |
| 53 | 1614 | 21 | 1593 | 1635 |
| 54 | 1623 | 23 | 1600 | 1646 |
| 55 | 1634 | 25 | 1609 | 1659 |
| 56 | 1648 | 28 | 1620 | 1676 |
| 57 | 1665 | 32 | 1633 | 1697 |
| 58 | 1689 | 38 | 1651 | 1727 |
| 59 | 1728 | 53 | 1675 | 1781 |
| 60 | 1793 | 93 | 1700 | 1800 |

Table K-3. Biology Raw-to-Scaled Score Conversion Table

| Raw | SS | CSEM | LCI | UCI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1216 | 92 | 1200 | 1308 |
| 1 | 1277 | 51 | 1226 | 1328 |
| 2 | 1313 | 36 | 1277 | 1349 |
| 3 | 1334 | 30 | 1304 | 1364 |
| 4 | 1350 | 26 | 1324 | 1376 |
| 5 | 1362 | 24 | 1338 | 1386 |
| 6 | 1372 | 22 | 1350 | 1394 |
| 7 | 1381 | 20 | 1361 | 1401 |
| 8 | 1389 | 19 | 1370 | 1408 |
| 9 | 1396 | 18 | 1378 | 1414 |
| 10 | 1402 | 18 | 1384 | 1420 |
| 11 | 1408 | 17 | 1391 | 1425 |
| 12 | 1414 | 16 | 1398 | 1430 |
| 13 | 1419 | 16 | 1403 | 1435 |
| 14 | 1424 | 16 | 1408 | 1440 |
| 15 | 1429 | 15 | 1414 | 1444 |
| 16 | 1433 | 15 | 1418 | 1448 |
| 17 | 1437 | 15 | 1422 | 1452 |
| 18 | 1442 | 14 | 1428 | 1456 |
| 19 | 1446 | 14 | 1432 | 1460 |
| 20 | 1450 | 14 | 1436 | 1464 |
| 21 | 1453 | 14 | 1439 | 1467 |
| 22 | 1457 | 14 | 1443 | 1471 |
| 23 | 1461 | 13 | 1448 | 1474 |
| 24 | 1464 | 13 | 1451 | 1477 |
| 25 | 1468 | 13 | 1455 | 1481 |
| 26 | 1471 | 13 | 1458 | 1484 |
| 27 | 1475 | 13 | 1462 | 1488 |
| 28 | 1478 | 13 | 1465 | 1491 |
| 29 | 1482 | 13 | 1469 | 1495 |
| 30 | 1485 | 13 | 1472 | 1498 |
| 31 | 1488 | 13 | 1475 | 1501 |
| 32 | 1492 | 13 | 1479 | 1505 |
| 33 | 1495 | 13 | 1482 | 1508 |
| 34 | 1499 | 13 | 1486 | 1512 |
| 35 | 1502 | 13 | 1489 | 1515 |
| 36 | 1505 | 13 | 1492 | 1518 |
| 37 | 1509 | 13 | 1496 | 1522 |


| Raw | SS | CSEM | LCI | UCI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 38 | 1512 | 13 | 1499 | 1525 |
| 39 | 1515 | 13 | 1502 | 1528 |
| 40 | 1519 | 13 | 1506 | 1532 |
| 41 | 1522 | 13 | 1509 | 1535 |
| 42 | 1526 | 13 | 1513 | 1539 |
| 43 | 1529 | 13 | 1516 | 1542 |
| 44 | 1533 | 14 | 1519 | 1547 |
| 45 | 1537 | 14 | 1523 | 1551 |
| 46 | 1540 | 14 | 1526 | 1554 |
| 47 | 1544 | 14 | 1530 | 1558 |
| 48 | 1548 | 14 | 1534 | 1562 |
| 49 | 1552 | 14 | 1538 | 1566 |
| 50 | 1557 | 15 | 1542 | 1572 |
| 51 | 1561 | 15 | 1546 | 1576 |
| 52 | 1566 | 15 | 1551 | 1581 |
| 53 | 1570 | 16 | 1554 | 1586 |
| 54 | 1576 | 16 | 1560 | 1592 |
| 55 | 1581 | 17 | 1564 | 1598 |
| 56 | 1587 | 17 | 1570 | 1604 |
| 57 | 1593 | 18 | 1575 | 1611 |
| 58 | 1600 | 19 | 1581 | 1619 |
| 59 | 1608 | 20 | 1588 | 1628 |
| 60 | 1617 | 22 | 1595 | 1639 |
| 61 | 1628 | 24 | 1604 | 1652 |
| 62 | 1640 | 27 | 1613 | 1667 |
| 63 | 1656 | 30 | 1626 | 1686 |
| 64 | 1679 | 37 | 1642 | 1716 |
| 65 | 1716 | 51 | 1665 | 1767 |
| 66 | 1778 | 92 | 1686 | 1800 |

Table K-4 . Literature Raw-to-Scaled Score Conversion Table

| Raw | SS | CSEM | LCI | UCI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1207 | 92 | 1200 | 1299 |
| 1 | 1268 | 51 | 1217 | 1319 |
| 2 | 1305 | 37 | 1268 | 1342 |
| 3 | 1327 | 30 | 1297 | 1357 |
| 4 | 1343 | 27 | 1316 | 1370 |
| 5 | 1356 | 24 | 1332 | 1380 |
| 6 | 1367 | 23 | 1344 | 1390 |
| 7 | 1377 | 21 | 1356 | 1398 |
| 8 | 1386 | 20 | 1366 | 1406 |
| 9 | 1393 | 19 | 1374 | 1412 |
| 10 | 1401 | 19 | 1382 | 1420 |
| 11 | 1407 | 18 | 1389 | 1425 |
| 12 | 1414 | 18 | 1396 | 1432 |
| 13 | 1420 | 17 | 1403 | 1437 |
| 14 | 1426 | 17 | 1409 | 1443 |
| 15 | 1431 | 17 | 1414 | 1448 |
| 16 | 1437 | 16 | 1421 | 1453 |
| 17 | 1442 | 16 | 1426 | 1458 |
| 18 | 1447 | 16 | 1431 | 1463 |
| 19 | 1452 | 16 | 1436 | 1468 |
| 20 | 1457 | 16 | 1441 | 1473 |
| 21 | 1462 | 16 | 1446 | 1478 |
| 22 | 1467 | 15 | 1452 | 1482 |
| 23 | 1471 | 15 | 1456 | 1486 |
| 24 | 1476 | 15 | 1461 | 1491 |
| 25 | 1481 | 15 | 1466 | 1496 |
| 26 | 1486 | 15 | 1471 | 1501 |
| 27 | 1490 | 15 | 1475 | 1505 |
| 28 | 1495 | 16 | 1479 | 1511 |
| 29 | 1500 | 16 | 1484 | 1516 |
| 30 | 1505 | 16 | 1489 | 1521 |
| 31 | 1510 | 16 | 1494 | 1526 |
| 32 | 1515 | 16 | 1499 | 1531 |
| 33 | 1520 | 16 | 1504 | 1536 |
| 34 | 1525 | 16 | 1509 | 1541 |
| 35 | 1531 | 17 | 1514 | 1548 |
| 36 | 1537 | 17 | 1520 | 1554 |
| 37 | 1543 | 17 | 1526 | 1560 |


| Raw |  | SS | CSEM | LCI |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 38 | 1549 | 18 | 1531 | UCI |
| 39 | 1555 | 18 | 1537 | 1573 |
| 40 | 1562 | 19 | 1543 | 1581 |
| 41 | 1569 | 19 | 1550 | 1588 |
| 42 | 1577 | 20 | 1557 | 1597 |
| 43 | 1585 | 21 | 1564 | 1606 |
| 44 | 1595 | 22 | 1573 | 1617 |
| 45 | 1605 | 23 | 1582 | 1628 |
| 46 | 1616 | 24 | 1592 | 1640 |
| 47 | 1628 | 26 | 1602 | 1654 |
| 48 | 1643 | 28 | 1615 | 1671 |
| 49 | 1661 | 32 | 1629 | 1693 |
| 50 | 1686 | 38 | 1648 | 1724 |
| 51 | 1724 | 52 | 1672 | 1776 |
| 52 | 1787 | 92 | 1695 | 1800 |

## SPRING

Table K-5. Algebra I Raw-to-Scaled Score Conversion Table

| Raw | SS | CSEM | LCI | UCI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1214 | 92 | 1200 | 1306 |
| 1 | 1275 | 51 | 1224 | 1326 |
| 2 | 1311 | 36 | 1275 | 1347 |
| 3 | 1333 | 30 | 1303 | 1363 |
| 4 | 1349 | 26 | 1323 | 1375 |
| 5 | 1361 | 24 | 1337 | 1385 |
| 6 | 1372 | 22 | 1350 | 1394 |
| 7 | 1381 | 21 | 1360 | 1402 |
| 8 | 1389 | 20 | 1369 | 1409 |
| 9 | 1396 | 19 | 1377 | 1415 |
| 10 | 1403 | 18 | 1385 | 1421 |
| 11 | 1409 | 17 | 1392 | 1426 |
| 12 | 1415 | 17 | 1398 | 1432 |
| 13 | 1421 | 16 | 1405 | 1437 |
| 14 | 1426 | 16 | 1410 | 1442 |
| 15 | 1431 | 15 | 1416 | 1446 |
| 16 | 1435 | 15 | 1420 | 1450 |
| 17 | 1440 | 15 | 1425 | 1455 |
| 18 | 1444 | 15 | 1429 | 1459 |
| 19 | 1449 | 14 | 1435 | 1463 |
| 20 | 1453 | 14 | 1439 | 1467 |
| 21 | 1457 | 14 | 1443 | 1471 |
| 22 | 1461 | 14 | 1447 | 1475 |
| 23 | 1464 | 14 | 1450 | 1478 |
| 24 | 1468 | 14 | 1454 | 1482 |
| 25 | 1472 | 14 | 1458 | 1486 |
| 26 | 1476 | 14 | 1462 | 1490 |
| 27 | 1479 | 14 | 1465 | 1493 |
| 28 | 1483 | 14 | 1469 | 1497 |
| 29 | 1487 | 14 | 1473 | 1501 |
| 30 | 1491 | 14 | 1477 | 1505 |
| 31 | 1494 | 14 | 1480 | 1508 |
| 32 | 1498 | 14 | 1484 | 1512 |
| 33 | 1502 | 14 | 1488 | 1516 |
| 34 | 1506 | 14 | 1492 | 1520 |
| 35 | 1510 | 14 | 1496 | 1524 |
| 36 | 1514 | 14 | 1500 | 1528 |


| Raw | SS | CSEM | LCI | UCI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37 | 1518 | 14 | 1504 | 1532 |
| 38 | 1522 | 15 | 1507 | 1537 |
| 39 | 1526 | 15 | 1511 | 1541 |
| 40 | 1531 | 15 | 1516 | 1546 |
| 41 | 1535 | 15 | 1520 | 1550 |
| 42 | 1540 | 16 | 1524 | 1556 |
| 43 | 1545 | 16 | 1529 | 1561 |
| 44 | 1550 | 16 | 1534 | 1566 |
| 45 | 1556 | 17 | 1539 | 1573 |
| 46 | 1562 | 17 | 1545 | 1579 |
| 47 | 1568 | 18 | 1550 | 1586 |
| 48 | 1575 | 19 | 1556 | 1594 |
| 49 | 1582 | 19 | 1563 | 1601 |
| 50 | 1590 | 20 | 1570 | 1610 |
| 51 | 1598 | 21 | 1577 | 1619 |
| 52 | 1608 | 23 | 1585 | 1631 |
| 53 | 1619 | 24 | 1595 | 1643 |
| 54 | 1632 | 26 | 1606 | 1658 |
| 55 | 1647 | 29 | 1618 | 1676 |
| 56 | 1666 | 33 | 1633 | 1699 |
| 57 | 1690 | 38 | 1652 | 1728 |
| 58 | 1724 | 45 | 1679 | 1769 |
| 59 | 1776 | 58 | 1718 | 1800 |
| 60 | 1800 | 67 | 1753 | 1800 |

Table K-6. Biology Raw-to-Scaled Score Conversion Table

| Raw | SS | CSEM | LCI | UCI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1205 | 92 | 1200 | 1297 |
| 1 | 1267 | 51 | 1216 | 1318 |
| 2 | 1304 | 37 | 1267 | 1341 |
| 3 | 1326 | 30 | 1296 | 1356 |
| 4 | 1342 | 27 | 1315 | 1369 |
| 5 | 1355 | 24 | 1331 | 1379 |
| 6 | 1366 | 22 | 1344 | 1388 |
| 7 | 1375 | 21 | 1354 | 1396 |
| 8 | 1384 | 20 | 1364 | 1404 |
| 9 | 1391 | 19 | 1372 | 1410 |
| 10 | 1398 | 18 | 1380 | 1416 |
| 11 | 1405 | 18 | 1387 | 1423 |
| 12 | 1411 | 17 | 1394 | 1428 |
| 13 | 1416 | 17 | 1399 | 1433 |
| 14 | 1422 | 16 | 1406 | 1438 |
| 15 | 1427 | 16 | 1411 | 1443 |
| 16 | 1431 | 15 | 1416 | 1446 |
| 17 | 1436 | 15 | 1421 | 1451 |
| 18 | 1441 | 15 | 1426 | 1456 |
| 19 | 1445 | 15 | 1430 | 1460 |
| 20 | 1449 | 14 | 1435 | 1463 |
| 21 | 1453 | 14 | 1439 | 1467 |
| 22 | 1457 | 14 | 1443 | 1471 |
| 23 | 1461 | 14 | 1447 | 1475 |
| 24 | 1465 | 14 | 1451 | 1479 |
| 25 | 1469 | 14 | 1455 | 1483 |
| 26 | 1472 | 13 | 1459 | 1485 |
| 27 | 1476 | 13 | 1463 | 1489 |
| 28 | 1480 | 13 | 1467 | 1493 |
| 29 | 1483 | 13 | 1470 | 1496 |
| 30 | 1487 | 13 | 1474 | 1500 |
| 31 | 1490 | 13 | 1477 | 1503 |
| 32 | 1493 | 13 | 1480 | 1506 |
| 33 | 1497 | 13 | 1484 | 1510 |
| 34 | 1500 | 13 | 1487 | 1513 |
| 35 | 1504 | 13 | 1491 | 1517 |
| 36 | 1507 | 13 | 1494 | 1520 |
| 37 | 1510 | 13 | 1497 | 1523 |


| Raw | SS | CSEM | LCI | UCI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 38 | 1514 | 13 | 1501 | 1527 |
| 39 | 1517 | 13 | 1504 | 1530 |
| 40 | 1521 | 13 | 1508 | 1534 |
| 41 | 1524 | 13 | 1511 | 1537 |
| 42 | 1528 | 13 | 1515 | 1541 |
| 43 | 1531 | 13 | 1518 | 1544 |
| 44 | 1535 | 14 | 1521 | 1549 |
| 45 | 1539 | 14 | 1525 | 1553 |
| 46 | 1543 | 14 | 1529 | 1557 |
| 47 | 1546 | 14 | 1532 | 1560 |
| 48 | 1551 | 14 | 1537 | 1565 |
| 49 | 1555 | 15 | 1540 | 1570 |
| 50 | 1559 | 15 | 1544 | 1574 |
| 51 | 1564 | 15 | 1549 | 1579 |
| 52 | 1568 | 16 | 1552 | 1584 |
| 44 | 1727 | 16 | 1551 | 1583 |
| 53 | 1573 | 16 | 1557 | 1589 |
| 54 | 1579 | 17 | 1562 | 1596 |
| 55 | 1584 | 17 | 1567 | 1601 |
| 56 | 1590 | 18 | 1572 | 1608 |
| 57 | 1597 | 19 | 1578 | 1616 |
| 58 | 1605 | 20 | 1585 | 1625 |
| 59 | 1613 | 21 | 1592 | 1634 |
| 60 | 1622 | 22 | 1600 | 1644 |
| 61 | 1633 | 24 | 1609 | 1657 |
| 62 | 1646 | 27 | 1619 | 1673 |
| 63 | 1662 | 31 | 1631 | 1693 |
| 64 | 1685 | 37 | 1648 | 1722 |
| 65 | 1723 | 51 | 1672 | 1774 |
| 66 | 1785 | 92 | 1693 | 1800 |

Table K-7. Literature Raw-to-Scaled Score Conversion Table

| Raw | SS | CSEM | LCI | UCI |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 1201 | 92 | 1200 | 1293 |
| 1 | 1263 | 51 | 1212 | 1314 |
| 2 | 1299 | 37 | 1262 | 1336 |
| 3 | 1321 | 30 | 1291 | 1351 |
| 4 | 1337 | 27 | 1310 | 1364 |
| 5 | 1350 | 24 | 1326 | 1374 |
| 6 | 1361 | 22 | 1339 | 1383 |
| 7 | 1371 | 21 | 1350 | 1392 |
| 8 | 1379 | 20 | 1359 | 1399 |
| 9 | 1387 | 19 | 1368 | 1406 |
| 10 | 1394 | 19 | 1375 | 1413 |
| 34 | 1401 | 18 | 1383 | 1419 |
| 37 | 153 | 1516 | 16 | 1500 |


| Raw | SS |  | CSEM | LCI |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 38 | 1539 | 18 | 1521 | 1557 |
| 39 | 1545 | 18 | 1527 | 1563 |
| 40 | 1552 | 19 | 1533 | 1571 |
| 41 | 1559 | 19 | 1540 | 1578 |
| 42 | 1567 | 20 | 1547 | 1587 |
| 43 | 1575 | 21 | 1554 | 1596 |
| 44 | 1584 | 22 | 1562 | 1606 |
| 45 | 1594 | 23 | 1571 | 1617 |
| 46 | 1605 | 24 | 1581 | 1629 |
| 47 | 1617 | 26 | 1591 | 1643 |
| 48 | 1632 | 28 | 1604 | 1660 |
| 49 | 1650 | 32 | 1618 | 1682 |
| 50 | 1674 | 38 | 1636 | 1712 |
| 51 | 1712 | 52 | 1660 | 1764 |
| 52 | 1775 | 92 | 1683 | 1800 |

## SUMMER

Table K-8. Algebra Raw-to-Scaled Score Conversion Table

| Raw | SS | CSEM | LCI | UCI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1210 | 92 | 1200 | 1302 |
| 1 | 1272 | 51 | 1221 | 1323 |
| 2 | 1308 | 37 | 1271 | 1345 |
| 3 | 1330 | 30 | 1300 | 1360 |
| 4 | 1346 | 27 | 1319 | 1373 |
| 5 | 1359 | 24 | 1335 | 1383 |
| 6 | 1370 | 22 | 1348 | 1392 |
| 7 | 1380 | 21 | 1359 | 1401 |
| 8 | 1388 | 20 | 1368 | 1408 |
| 9 | 1396 | 19 | 1377 | 1415 |
| 10 | 1403 | 18 | 1385 | 1421 |
| 11 | 1409 | 18 | 1391 | 1427 |
| 12 | 1416 | 17 | 1399 | 1433 |
| 13 | 1421 | 17 | 1404 | 1438 |
| 14 | 1427 | 16 | 1411 | 1443 |
| 15 | 1432 | 16 | 1416 | 1448 |
| 16 | 1437 | 16 | 1421 | 1453 |
| 17 | 1442 | 15 | 1427 | 1457 |
| 18 | 1446 | 15 | 1431 | 1461 |
| 19 | 1451 | 15 | 1436 | 1466 |
| 20 | 1455 | 15 | 1440 | 1470 |
| 21 | 1460 | 15 | 1445 | 1475 |
| 22 | 1464 | 14 | 1450 | 1478 |
| 23 | 1468 | 14 | 1454 | 1482 |
| 24 | 1472 | 14 | 1458 | 1486 |
| 25 | 1476 | 14 | 1462 | 1490 |
| 26 | 1480 | 14 | 1466 | 1494 |
| 27 | 1484 | 14 | 1470 | 1498 |
| 28 | 1487 | 14 | 1473 | 1501 |
| 29 | 1491 | 14 | 1477 | 1505 |
| 30 | 1495 | 14 | 1481 | 1509 |
| 31 | 1499 | 14 | 1485 | 1513 |
| 32 | 1503 | 14 | 1489 | 1517 |
| 33 | 1507 | 14 | 1493 | 1521 |
| 34 | 1510 | 14 | 1496 | 1524 |
| 35 | 1514 | 14 | 1500 | 1528 |
| 36 | 1518 | 14 | 1504 | 1532 |


| Raw | SS | CSEM | LCI | UCI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37 | 1522 | 14 | 1508 | 1536 |
| 38 | 1526 | 14 | 1512 | 1540 |
| 39 | 1531 | 15 | 1516 | 1546 |
| 40 | 1535 | 15 | 1520 | 1550 |
| 41 | 1539 | 15 | 1524 | 1554 |
| 42 | 1544 | 15 | 1529 | 1559 |
| 43 | 1548 | 15 | 1533 | 1563 |
| 44 | 1553 | 16 | 1537 | 1569 |
| 45 | 1558 | 16 | 1542 | 1574 |
| 46 | 1564 | 17 | 1547 | 1581 |
| 47 | 1569 | 17 | 1552 | 1586 |
| 48 | 1575 | 18 | 1557 | 1593 |
| 49 | 1582 | 18 | 1564 | 1600 |
| 50 | 1588 | 19 | 1569 | 1607 |
| 51 | 1596 | 20 | 1576 | 1616 |
| 52 | 1604 | 21 | 1583 | 1625 |
| 53 | 1613 | 22 | 1591 | 1635 |
| 54 | 1623 | 23 | 1600 | 1646 |
| 55 | 1635 | 25 | 1610 | 1660 |
| 56 | 1649 | 28 | 1621 | 1677 |
| 57 | 1667 | 32 | 1635 | 1699 |
| 58 | 1691 | 38 | 1653 | 1729 |
| 59 | 1729 | 52 | 1677 | 1781 |
| 60 | 1793 | 93 | 1700 | 1800 |

Table K-9. Biology Raw-to-Scaled Score Conversion Table

| Raw | SS | CSEM | LCI | UCI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1220 | 92 | 1200 | 1312 |
| 1 | 1281 | 51 | 1230 | 1332 |
| 2 | 1317 | 36 | 1281 | 1353 |
| 3 | 1338 | 30 | 1308 | 1368 |
| 4 | 1354 | 26 | 1328 | 1380 |
| 5 | 1366 | 24 | 1342 | 1390 |
| 6 | 1376 | 22 | 1354 | 1398 |
| 7 | 1385 | 20 | 1365 | 1405 |
| 8 | 1393 | 19 | 1374 | 1412 |
| 9 | 1400 | 18 | 1382 | 1418 |
| 10 | 1407 | 18 | 1389 | 1425 |
| 11 | 1413 | 17 | 1396 | 1430 |
| 12 | 1418 | 16 | 1402 | 1434 |
| 13 | 1424 | 16 | 1408 | 1440 |
| 14 | 1429 | 16 | 1413 | 1445 |
| 15 | 1433 | 15 | 1418 | 1448 |
| 16 | 1438 | 15 | 1423 | 1453 |
| 17 | 1442 | 15 | 1427 | 1457 |
| 18 | 1447 | 14 | 1433 | 1461 |
| 19 | 1451 | 14 | 1437 | 1465 |
| 20 | 1455 | 14 | 1441 | 1469 |
| 21 | 1458 | 14 | 1444 | 1472 |
| 22 | 1462 | 14 | 1448 | 1476 |
| 23 | 1466 | 14 | 1452 | 1480 |
| 24 | 1470 | 13 | 1457 | 1483 |
| 25 | 1473 | 13 | 1460 | 1486 |
| 26 | 1477 | 13 | 1464 | 1490 |
| 27 | 1480 | 13 | 1467 | 1493 |
| 28 | 1483 | 13 | 1470 | 1496 |
| 29 | 1487 | 13 | 1474 | 1500 |
| 30 | 1490 | 13 | 1477 | 1503 |
| 31 | 1493 | 13 | 1480 | 1506 |
| 32 | 1497 | 13 | 1484 | 1510 |
| 33 | 1500 | 13 | 1487 | 1513 |
| 34 | 1503 | 13 | 1490 | 1516 |
| 35 | 1507 | 13 | 1494 | 1520 |
| 36 | 1510 | 13 | 1497 | 1523 |
| 37 | 1513 | 13 | 1500 | 1526 |


| Raw | SS | CSEM | LCI | UCI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 38 | 1516 | 13 | 1503 | 1529 |
| 39 | 1520 | 13 | 1507 | 1533 |
| 40 | 1523 | 13 | 1510 | 1536 |
| 41 | 1526 | 13 | 1513 | 1539 |
| 42 | 1530 | 13 | 1517 | 1543 |
| 43 | 1533 | 13 | 1520 | 1546 |
| 44 | 1537 | 13 | 1524 | 1550 |
| 45 | 1540 | 14 | 1526 | 1554 |
| 46 | 1544 | 14 | 1530 | 1558 |
| 47 | 1548 | 14 | 1534 | 1562 |
| 48 | 1552 | 14 | 1538 | 1566 |
| 49 | 1556 | 14 | 1542 | 1570 |
| 50 | 1560 | 15 | 1545 | 1575 |
| 51 | 1564 | 15 | 1549 | 1579 |
| 52 | 1569 | 15 | 1554 | 1584 |
| 53 | 1574 | 16 | 1558 | 1590 |
| 54 | 1579 | 16 | 1563 | 1595 |
| 55 | 1584 | 17 | 1567 | 1601 |
| 56 | 1590 | 17 | 1573 | 1607 |
| 57 | 1596 | 18 | 1578 | 1614 |
| 58 | 1603 | 19 | 1584 | 1622 |
| 59 | 1610 | 20 | 1590 | 1630 |
| 60 | 1618 | 21 | 1597 | 1639 |
| 61 | 1628 | 23 | 1605 | 1651 |
| 62 | 1640 | 25 | 1615 | 1665 |
| 63 | 1655 | 29 | 1626 | 1684 |
| 64 | 1675 | 36 | 1639 | 1711 |
| 65 | 1710 | 50 | 1660 | 1760 |
| 66 | 1770 | 91 | 1679 | 1800 |

Table K-10. Literature Raw-to-Scaled Score Conversion Table

| Raw | SS | CSEM | LCI | UCI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1200 | 90 | 1200 | 1290 |
| 1 | 1259 | 51 | 1208 | 1310 |
| 2 | 1296 | 37 | 1259 | 1333 |
| 3 | 1318 | 30 | 1288 | 1348 |
| 4 | 1334 | 27 | 1307 | 1361 |
| 5 | 1347 | 24 | 1323 | 1371 |
| 6 | 1358 | 23 | 1335 | 1381 |
| 7 | 1368 | 21 | 1347 | 1389 |
| 8 | 1376 | 20 | 1356 | 1396 |
| 9 | 1384 | 19 | 1365 | 1403 |
| 10 | 1391 | 19 | 1372 | 1410 |
| 11 | 1398 | 18 | 1380 | 1416 |
| 12 | 1404 | 18 | 1386 | 1422 |
| 13 | 1410 | 17 | 1393 | 1427 |
| 14 | 1416 | 17 | 1399 | 1433 |
| 15 | 1421 | 16 | 1405 | 1437 |
| 16 | 1427 | 16 | 1411 | 1443 |
| 17 | 1432 | 16 | 1416 | 1448 |
| 18 | 1437 | 16 | 1421 | 1453 |
| 19 | 1442 | 16 | 1426 | 1458 |
| 20 | 1447 | 16 | 1431 | 1463 |
| 21 | 1451 | 15 | 1436 | 1466 |
| 22 | 1456 | 15 | 1441 | 1471 |
| 23 | 1461 | 15 | 1446 | 1476 |
| 24 | 1465 | 15 | 1450 | 1480 |
| 25 | 1470 | 15 | 1455 | 1485 |
| 26 | 1475 | 15 | 1460 | 1490 |
| 27 | 1480 | 15 | 1465 | 1495 |
| 28 | 1484 | 15 | 1469 | 1499 |
| 29 | 1489 | 16 | 1473 | 1505 |
| 30 | 1494 | 16 | 1478 | 1510 |
| 31 | 1499 | 16 | 1483 | 1515 |
| 32 | 1504 | 16 | 1488 | 1520 |
| 33 | 1509 | 16 | 1493 | 1525 |
| 34 | 1514 | 16 | 1498 | 1530 |
| 35 | 1520 | 17 | 1503 | 1537 |
| 36 | 1526 | 17 | 1509 | 1543 |
| 37 | 1531 | 17 | 1514 | 1548 |


| Raw | SS | CSEM | LCI | UCI |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 38 | 1538 | 18 | 1520 | 1556 |
| 39 | 1544 | 18 | 1526 | 1562 |
| 40 | 1551 | 19 | 1532 | 1570 |
| 41 | 1558 | 19 | 1539 | 1577 |
| 42 | 1566 | 20 | 1546 | 1586 |
| 43 | 1574 | 21 | 1553 | 1595 |
| 44 | 1583 | 22 | 1561 | 1605 |
| 45 | 1593 | 23 | 1570 | 1616 |
| 46 | 1604 | 24 | 1580 | 1628 |
| 47 | 1616 | 26 | 1590 | 1642 |
| 48 | 1631 | 29 | 1602 | 1660 |
| 49 | 1650 | 32 | 1618 | 1682 |
| 50 | 1674 | 38 | 1636 | 1712 |
| 51 | 1713 | 52 | 1661 | 1765 |
| 52 | 1776 | 92 | 1684 | 1800 |

## APPENDIX L: POST-EQUATING CHECK ANALYSES RESULTS

## ITEM LEVEL

Table L-1. Evaluation of Algebra I Item Difficulty Stability: Winter

| Item ID | Item Type | Sequence Change | Old $N$ | New N | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Old } \\ \text { Logit } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Old } \\ & \text { SEM } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { New } \\ & \text { Logit } \end{aligned}$ | New SEM | Equated Logit | Displacement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 640535 | MC | -9 | 6,493 | 53,547 | -0.82 | 0.06 | -0.87 | 0.01 | -0.46 | 0.30 |
| 657749 | MC | -10 | 4,114 | 53,547 | -0.57 | 0.06 | -0.68 | 0.01 | -0.26 | 0.25 |
| 736794 | MC | -8 | 4,931 | 53,547 | 1.23 | 0.06 | 0.69 | 0.01 | 1.10 | -0.13 |
| 640544 | MC | -6 | 6,540 | 53,547 | 0.89 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.52 | -0.40 |
| 674491 | MC | -9 | 6,135 | 53,547 | 0.65 | 0.05 | -0.19 | 0.01 | 0.22 | -0.47 |
| 703997 | MC | -7 | 5,003 | 53,547 | 1.71 | 0.05 | 1.15 | 0.01 | 1.56 | -0.13 |
| 674456 | MC | -7 | 4,146 | 53,547 | -0.51 | 0.06 | -0.65 | 0.01 | -0.24 | 0.21 |
| 703177 | MC | -3 | 5,021 | 53,547 | 1.20 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.50 | -0.71 |
| 674455 | MC | -2 | 6,155 | 53,547 | 0.67 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.50 | -0.19 |
| 674454 | MC | 4 | 6,146 | 53,547 | 1.15 | 0.05 | 0.44 | 0.01 | 0.85 | -0.30 |
| 736720 | MC | 4 | 4,942 | 53,547 | -0.01 | 0.06 | -0.24 | 0.01 | 0.18 | 0.15 |
| 640609 | MC | 6 | 6,471 | 53,547 | 0.76 | 0.05 | 0.39 | 0.01 | 0.80 | 0.03 |
| 700802 | MC | 6 | 5,000 | 53,547 | -0.11 | 0.05 | -0.56 | 0.01 | -0.14 | -0.09 |
| 703346 | MC | 9 | 5,021 | 53,547 | 0.21 | 0.05 | -0.14 | 0.01 | 0.27 | 0.03 |
| 674396 | MC | 11 | 4,191 | 53,547 | 0.55 | 0.06 | 0.36 | 0.01 | 0.77 | 0.21 |
| 712255 | MC | 13 | 4,958 | 53,547 | 1.42 | 0.06 | 1.05 | 0.01 | 1.46 | 0.05 |
| 713139 | MC | 13 | 4,944 | 53,547 | -1.32 | 0.08 | -1.26 | 0.01 | -0.85 | 0.39 |
| 736775 | MC | 14 | 4,988 | 53,547 | 1.46 | 0.06 | 0.85 | 0.01 | 1.26 | -0.18 |
| 678777 | MC | -9 | 6,182 | 53,547 | -0.37 | 0.06 | -0.80 | 0.01 | -0.39 | -0.08 |
| 673951 | MC | -9 | 6,146 | 53,547 | -0.65 | 0.06 | -0.87 | 0.01 | -0.45 | 0.13 |
| 681814 | MC | -8 | 6,214 | 53,547 | 0.75 | 0.05 | 0.49 | 0.01 | 0.90 | 0.15 |
| 696804 | MC | -7 | 4,942 | 53,547 | 1.07 | 0.06 | 0.75 | 0.01 | 1.16 | 0.10 |
| 678781 | MC | -7 | 4,191 | 53,547 | 0.33 | 0.06 | -0.07 | 0.01 | 0.34 | -0.02 |
| 702472 | MC | -4 | 4,944 | 53,547 | 1.20 | 0.06 | 0.46 | 0.01 | 0.88 | -0.33 |
| 645199 | MC | -7 | 6,494 | 53,547 | -1.20 | 0.06 | -1.37 | 0.01 | -0.96 | 0.15 |
| 703171 | MC | -6 | 5,003 | 53,547 | 0.04 | 0.05 | -0.50 | 0.01 | -0.09 | -0.19 |
| 678728 | MC | -1 | 4,155 | 53,547 | -0.30 | 0.06 | -0.60 | 0.01 | -0.18 | 0.06 |
| 724179 | MC | 4 | 4,990 | 53,547 | 0.54 | 0.06 | -0.03 | 0.01 | 0.38 | -0.18 |
| 712485 | MC | 6 | 4,958 | 53,547 | 1.81 | 0.06 | 1.46 | 0.01 | 1.88 | 0.10 |
| 678802 | MC | 7 | 4,131 | 53,547 | -0.06 | 0.06 | -0.23 | 0.01 | 0.18 | 0.20 |
| 713818 | MC | 9 | 4,944 | 53,547 | -0.01 | 0.06 | -0.58 | 0.01 | -0.17 | -0.21 |
| 682100 | MC | 10 | 4,155 | 53,547 | 0.35 | 0.06 | -0.05 | 0.01 | 0.36 | -0.03 |
| 674153 | MC | 9 | 6,148 | 53,547 | -0.29 | 0.06 | -0.37 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.28 |
| 678766 | MC | 11 | 6,146 | 53,547 | 0.66 | 0.05 | 0.50 | 0.01 | 0.91 | 0.24 |


| Item ID | Item <br> Type | Sequence Change | Old $N$ | New $N$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Old } \\ \text { Logit } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Old } \\ & \text { SEM } \end{aligned}$ | New <br> Logit | New SEM | Equated Logit | Displacement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 678753 | MC | 11 | 4,155 | 53,547 | 1.25 | 0.06 | 0.47 | 0.01 | 0.88 | -0.37 |
| 736728 | MC | 12 | 4,949 | 53,547 | 1.37 | 0.06 | 0.74 | 0.01 | 1.15 | -0.22 |
| 672731 | CR | -1 | 1,640 | 53,547 | 1.82 | 0.03 | 1.27 | 0.01 | 1.68 | -0.06 |
| 724688 | CR | 10 | 1,456 | 53,547 | 1.74 | 0.03 | 1.27 | 0.01 | 1.68 | 0.05 |
| 704029 | CR | 11 | 1,993 | 53,547 | 0.52 | 0.02 | 0.40 | 0.00 | 0.81 | 0.24 |
| 734699 | CR | -1 | 1,462 | 53,547 | 1.95 | 0.03 | 1.32 | 0.01 | 1.73 | -0.05 |
| 640130 | CR | 10 | 1,963 | 53,547 | 1.24 | 0.02 | 0.75 | 0.00 | 1.17 | -0.27 |
| 704033 | CR | 11 | 2,010 | 53,547 | 1.23 | 0.02 | 1.06 | 0.01 | 1.47 | 0.35 |

Table L-2. Evaluation of Biology Item Difficulty Stability: Winter

| Item ID | Item <br> Type | Sequence Change | Old N | New $N$ | Old Logit | Old SEM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { New } \\ & \text { Logit } \end{aligned}$ | New SEM | Equated Logit | Displacement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 714642 | MC | -16 | 4,787 | 40,010 | 1.02 | 0.06 | 0.64 | 0.01 | 0.73 | -0.25 |
| 635767 | MC | -18 | 4,803 | 40,010 | -0.86 | 0.06 | -1.44 | 0.01 | -1.35 | -0.51 |
| 642837 | MC | -13 | 5,341 | 40,010 | 0.34 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.17 | -0.13 |
| 673854 | MC | -14 | 5,798 | 40,010 | 0.47 | 0.06 | 0.39 | 0.01 | 0.48 | 0.04 |
| 714648 | MC | -13 | 4,787 | 40,010 | 0.12 | 0.06 | -0.17 | 0.01 | -0.08 | -0.18 |
| 678875 | MC | -14 | 5,845 | 40,010 | -0.85 | 0.06 | -1.09 | 0.01 | -1.01 | -0.15 |
| 674343 | MC | -9 | 5,845 | 40,010 | -0.41 | 0.06 | -0.62 | 0.01 | -0.53 | -0.10 |
| 678417 | MC | -11 | 3,990 | 40,010 | 0.74 | 0.05 | 0.37 | 0.01 | 0.45 | -0.25 |
| 741372 | MC | -11 | 4,787 | 40,010 | 1.00 | 0.06 | 0.68 | 0.01 | 0.77 | -0.19 |
| 702097 | MC | -10 | 4,834 | 40,010 | -0.12 | 0.06 | -0.74 | 0.01 | -0.66 | -0.53 |
| 714149 | MC | -2 | 4,822 | 40,010 | -0.84 | 0.06 | -0.97 | 0.01 | -0.89 | -0.03 |
| 714153 | MC | -2 | 4,823 | 40,010 | 0.42 | 0.06 | 0.54 | 0.01 | 0.62 | 0.24 |
| 735309 | MC | 5 | 4,803 | 40,010 | 1.36 | 0.06 | 0.69 | 0.01 | 0.77 | -0.55 |
| 739667 | MC | 9 | 4,822 | 40,010 | 0.79 | 0.06 | 0.21 | 0.01 | 0.29 | -0.47 |
| 735102 | MC | 10 | 4,803 | 40,010 | -0.17 | 0.06 | -0.11 | 0.01 | -0.02 | 0.18 |
| 739690 | MC | 6 | 4,752 | 40,010 | -0.69 | 0.06 | -0.77 | 0.01 | -0.69 | 0.02 |
| 735312 | MC | 9 | 4,756 | 40,010 | -0.07 | 0.06 | -0.25 | 0.01 | -0.16 | -0.06 |
| 677992 | MC | 11 | 4,018 | 40,010 | 0.58 | 0.05 | 0.29 | 0.01 | 0.37 | -0.17 |
| 739693 | MC | 9 | 4,782 | 40,010 | -0.47 | 0.06 | -0.19 | 0.01 | -0.10 | 0.40 |
| 739675 | MC | 14 | 4,756 | 40,010 | 0.15 | 0.06 | 0.21 | 0.01 | 0.30 | 0.19 |
| 703519 | MC | 12 | 4,799 | 40,010 | -0.64 | 0.06 | -0.50 | 0.01 | -0.42 | 0.25 |
| 721607 | MC | 14 | 4,834 | 40,010 | -0.29 | 0.06 | -0.02 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.39 |
| 721611 | MC | 18 | 4,752 | 40,010 | 0.06 | 0.06 | -0.04 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.01 |
| 680242 | MC | 15 | 5,842 | 40,010 | -0.11 | 0.06 | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.18 | 0.33 |
| 674108 | MC | -19 | 5,798 | 40,010 | -0.35 | 0.06 | -0.56 | 0.01 | -0.47 | -0.10 |
| 742325 | MC | -16 | 4,830 | 40,010 | 1.03 | 0.06 | 0.53 | 0.01 | 0.61 | -0.37 |
| 635603 | MC | -13 | 4,804 | 40,010 | 0.87 | 0.06 | 0.65 | 0.01 | 0.74 | -0.09 |
| 739784 | MC | -14 | 4,776 | 40,010 | 0.25 | 0.06 | 0.16 | 0.01 | 0.25 | 0.03 |
| 678888 | MC | -10 | 4,752 | 40,010 | -0.14 | 0.06 | -0.17 | 0.01 | -0.09 | 0.08 |
| 679963 | MC | -12 | 4,019 | 40,010 | 0.44 | 0.05 | 0.36 | 0.01 | 0.45 | 0.05 |
| 673885 | MC | -11 | 4,039 | 40,010 | 0.51 | 0.05 | 0.47 | 0.01 | 0.55 | 0.08 |
| 713512 | MC | -11 | 4,823 | 40,010 | 0.96 | 0.06 | 0.44 | 0.01 | 0.53 | -0.39 |
| 678896 | MC | -7 | 4,752 | 40,010 | 0.18 | 0.06 | 0.38 | 0.01 | 0.46 | 0.32 |
| 611464 | MC | -6 | 4,824 | 40,010 | 0.31 | 0.06 | 0.62 | 0.01 | 0.71 | 0.44 |
| 684511 | MC | -3 | 5,869 | 40,010 | -0.57 | 0.06 | -0.46 | 0.01 | -0.37 | 0.23 |
| 682405 | MC | -2 | 5,845 | 40,010 | 0.39 | 0.06 | 0.23 | 0.01 | 0.32 | -0.04 |
| 741662 | MC | 8 | 4,737 | 40,010 | -0.01 | 0.06 | -0.04 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.09 |


| Item ID | Item <br> Type | Sequence <br> Change | Old $N$ | New N | Old Logit | Old SEM | New <br> Logit | New SEM | Equated Logit | Displacement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 735092 | MC | 8 | 4,823 | 40,010 | -0.57 | 0.06 | -0.59 | 0.01 | -0.50 | 0.09 |
| 742294 | MC | 6 | 4,803 | 40,010 | -0.92 | 0.06 | -0.91 | 0.01 | -0.82 | 0.11 |
| 681523 | MC | 10 | 5,797 | 40,010 | -0.06 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.14 | 0.24 |
| 739687 | MC | 11 | 4,799 | 40,010 | 0.78 | 0.06 | 0.51 | 0.01 | 0.59 | -0.15 |
| 742292 | MC | 10 | 4,779 | 40,010 | -0.12 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.12 | 0.27 |
| 610531 | MC | 14 | 8,072 | 40,010 | 0.72 | 0.05 | 0.54 | 0.01 | 0.63 | -0.05 |
| 702152 | MC | 14 | 4,811 | 40,010 | -0.35 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.13 | 0.52 |
| 734729 | MC | 11 | 4,824 | 40,010 | -0.03 | 0.06 | -0.16 | 0.01 | -0.08 | -0.02 |
| 741020 | MC | 17 | 4,791 | 40,010 | 0.48 | 0.06 | 0.35 | 0.01 | 0.44 | 0.00 |
| 642376 | MC | 13 | 5,347 | 40,010 | 0.58 | 0.05 | 0.35 | 0.01 | 0.44 | -0.10 |
| 678976 | MC | 15 | 4,018 | 40,010 | -0.43 | 0.06 | -0.14 | 0.01 | -0.05 | 0.40 |
| 735493 | CR | -1 | 1,636 | 40,010 | 1.19 | 0.03 | 1.51 | 0.01 | 1.60 | 0.34 |
| 741444 | CR | 0 | 1,641 | 40,010 | 0.84 | 0.03 | 0.44 | 0.01 | 0.53 | -0.35 |
| 714177 | CR | 13 | 1,652 | 40,010 | 1.22 | 0.03 | 1.22 | 0.01 | 1.30 | -0.05 |
| 678296 | CR | -1 | 1,717 | 40,010 | -0.19 | 0.03 | -0.56 | 0.01 | -0.47 | -0.25 |
| 641227 | CR | 0 | 1,646 | 40,010 | 1.53 | 0.03 | 2.15 | 0.01 | 2.24 | 0.67 |
| 737647 | CR | 13 | 1,648 | 40,010 | 0.48 | 0.03 | 0.25 | 0.01 | 0.33 | -0.10 |

Table L-3. Evaluation of Literature Item Difficulty Stability: Winter

| Item ID | Item <br> Type | Sequence Change | Old $N$ | New N | Old Logit | Old SEM | New <br> Logit | New SEM | Equated Logit | Displacement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 678064 | MC | -9 | 5,556 | 35,891 | 1.06 | 0.05 | 0.48 | 0.01 | 0.64 | -0.40 |
| 682760 | MC | -9 | 5,578 | 35,891 | -1.29 | 0.08 | -1.46 | 0.01 | -1.30 | -0.09 |
| 678060 | MC | -9 | 5,578 | 35,891 | -1.02 | 0.07 | -1.23 | 0.01 | -1.07 | -0.12 |
| 678056 | MC | -9 | 5,578 | 35,891 | 0.68 | 0.05 | -0.12 | 0.01 | 0.04 | -0.65 |
| 682762 | MC | -8 | 5,556 | 35,891 | 0.51 | 0.05 | -0.05 | 0.01 | 0.11 | -0.40 |
| 678062 | MC | -8 | 5,556 | 35,891 | -0.33 | 0.06 | -0.63 | 0.01 | -0.47 | -0.18 |
| 678061 | MC | -5 | 5,556 | 35,891 | 1.11 | 0.05 | 0.72 | 0.01 | 0.88 | -0.19 |
| 678065 | MC | -6 | 5,578 | 35,891 | 0.65 | 0.05 | 0.24 | 0.01 | 0.40 | -0.24 |
| 678063 | MC | -6 | 5,578 | 35,891 | -1.28 | 0.08 | -1.50 | 0.01 | -1.35 | -0.15 |
| 643240 | MC | 8 | 5,623 | 35,891 | -1.36 | 0.08 | -0.95 | 0.01 | -0.79 | 0.52 |
| 643237 | MC | 9 | 5,545 | 35,891 | -0.52 | 0.06 | -0.56 | 0.01 | -0.40 | 0.09 |
| 643241 | MC | 8 | 5,623 | 35,891 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.23 | 0.01 | 0.39 | 0.33 |
| 643236 | MC | 9 | 5,545 | 35,891 | -0.45 | 0.06 | -0.66 | 0.01 | -0.50 | -0.10 |
| 643238 | MC | 9 | 5,623 | 35,891 | 1.36 | 0.05 | 1.08 | 0.01 | 1.24 | -0.06 |
| 643239 | MC | 9 | 5,623 | 35,891 | 0.75 | 0.05 | 0.52 | 0.01 | 0.68 | -0.04 |
| 643259 | MC | 9 | 5,545 | 35,891 | -0.57 | 0.07 | -0.30 | 0.01 | -0.14 | 0.41 |
| 643289 | MC | 10 | 5,623 | 35,891 | 1.35 | 0.05 | 0.96 | 0.01 | 1.12 | -0.18 |
| 704153 | MC | -9 | 4,781 | 35,891 | 0.49 | 0.05 | 0.50 | 0.01 | 0.66 | 0.20 |
| 704150 | MC | -9 | 4,772 | 35,891 | 0.34 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.23 | -0.11 |
| 704156 | MC | -9 | 4,781 | 35,891 | 0.50 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.01 | 0.30 | -0.19 |
| 704147 | MC | -9 | 4,781 | 35,891 | 0.44 | 0.05 | 0.13 | 0.01 | 0.29 | -0.15 |
| 704157 | MC | -7 | 4,772 | 35,891 | -0.96 | 0.07 | -0.96 | 0.01 | -0.80 | 0.10 |
| 704158 | MC | -7 | 4,772 | 35,891 | 0.91 | 0.05 | 0.59 | 0.01 | 0.75 | -0.13 |
| 704154 | MC | -7 | 4,781 | 35,891 | 1.01 | 0.05 | 0.64 | 0.01 | 0.80 | -0.17 |
| 704149 | MC | -6 | 4,772 | 35,891 | -0.60 | 0.06 | -0.68 | 0.01 | -0.53 | 0.03 |
| 683439 | MC | 6 | 5,532 | 35,891 | 0.87 | 0.05 | 0.60 | 0.01 | 0.76 | -0.08 |
| 683441 | MC | 7 | 5,544 | 35,891 | 0.85 | 0.05 | 0.42 | 0.01 | 0.58 | -0.25 |
| 683442 | MC | 7 | 5,532 | 35,891 | 1.45 | 0.05 | 0.90 | 0.01 | 1.06 | -0.33 |
| 683443 | MC | 8 | 5,544 | 35,891 | 0.61 | 0.05 | 0.45 | 0.01 | 0.61 | 0.03 |
| 683437 | MC | 8 | 5,544 | 35,891 | -0.21 | 0.06 | -0.13 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.23 |
| 683444 | MC | 9 | 5,532 | 35,891 | -0.28 | 0.06 | -0.25 | 0.01 | -0.09 | 0.17 |
| 683435 | MC | 8 | 5,532 | 35,891 | -0.03 | 0.06 | -0.03 | 0.01 | 0.13 | 0.16 |
| 683433 | MC | 8 | 5,544 | 35,891 | 0.09 | 0.06 | -0.18 | 0.01 | -0.02 | -0.12 |
| 683434 | MC | 9 | 5,532 | 35,891 | 1.69 | 0.06 | 1.04 | 0.01 | 1.20 | -0.42 |
| 682808 | CR | -6 | 1,747 | 35,891 | 0.92 | 0.03 | 0.46 | 0.01 | 0.61 | -0.29 |
| 644219 | CR | 10 | 1,705 | 35,891 | 1.29 | 0.03 | 1.55 | 0.01 | 1.71 | 0.28 |
| 644220 | CR | 11 | 1,644 | 35,891 | 1.23 | 0.03 | 1.35 | 0.01 | 1.51 | 0.40 |


| Item ID | Item Type | Sequence Change | Old $N$ | New $N$ | Old Logit | Old SEM | New <br> Logit | New SEM | Equated Logit | Displacement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 705116 | CR | -7 | 1,957 | 35,891 | 1.33 | 0.03 | 0.72 | 0.01 | 0.88 | -0.42 |
| 683537 | CR | 9 | 1,685 | 35,891 | 1.48 | 0.04 | 1.68 | 0.01 | 1.84 | 0.67 |
| 683540 | CR | 10 | 1,686 | 35,891 | 0.74 | 0.04 | 1.10 | 0.01 | 1.26 | 0.38 |

Table L-4. Evaluation of Algebra I Item Difficulty Stability: Spring

| Item ID | Item <br> Type | Sequence Change | Old $N$ | New $N$ | Old Logit | Old SEM | New <br> Logit | New SEM | Equated Logit | Displacement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 819876 | MC | -12 | 5,845 | 161,066 | -0.53 | 0.06 | -0.87 | 0.01 | -0.79 | -0.29 |
| 674460 | MC | -8 | 4,205 | 161,066 | 0.06 | 0.06 | -0.06 | 0.01 | 0.03 | -0.03 |
| 713270 | MC | -9 | 4,886 | 161,066 | -0.07 | 0.06 | -0.14 | 0.01 | -0.06 | 0.01 |
| 700812 | MC | -8 | 5,008 | 161,066 | 0.41 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 0.01 | 0.21 | -0.20 |
| 736722 | MC | -6 | 4,975 | 161,066 | 0.51 | 0.06 | 0.24 | 0.01 | 0.33 | -0.17 |
| 674501 | MC | -4 | 6,155 | 161,066 | 0.68 | 0.05 | 0.44 | 0.01 | 0.53 | -0.14 |
| 736727 | MC | -7 | 5,877 | 161,066 | -0.52 | 0.06 | -0.65 | 0.01 | -0.57 | -0.06 |
| 818906 | MC | -2 | 5,817 | 161,066 | -0.21 | 0.05 | -0.50 | 0.01 | -0.42 | -0.22 |
| 818910 | MC | -3 | 5,877 | 161,066 | -0.31 | 0.05 | -0.66 | 0.01 | -0.57 | -0.28 |
| 818265 | MC | 4 | 5,775 | 161,066 | 0.58 | 0.05 | 0.45 | 0.01 | 0.54 | -0.03 |
| 817701 | MC | 5 | 5,817 | 161,066 | -0.61 | 0.06 | -0.63 | 0.01 | -0.54 | 0.06 |
| 681999 | MC | 8 | 4,114 | 161,066 | 1.16 | 0.06 | 1.00 | 0.01 | 1.09 | -0.04 |
| 818258 | MC | 10 | 5,797 | 161,066 | 0.61 | 0.05 | 0.49 | 0.01 | 0.58 | -0.01 |
| 736769 | MC | 9 | 4,975 | 161,066 | -0.28 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.10 | 0.39 |
| 714012 | MC | 11 | 4,949 | 161,066 | 0.12 | 0.06 | -0.09 | 0.01 | 0.00 | -0.11 |
| 703347 | MC | 10 | 4,921 | 161,066 | 1.21 | 0.06 | 1.12 | 0.01 | 1.21 | 0.02 |
| 700855 | MC | 11 | 4,978 | 161,066 | -0.09 | 0.05 | -0.11 | 0.01 | -0.03 | 0.06 |
| 700844 | MC | 14 | 5,876 | 161,066 | -0.25 | 0.05 | -0.15 | 0.01 | -0.07 | 0.19 |
| 702537 | MC | -10 | 5,082 | 161,066 | -0.27 | 0.05 | -0.30 | 0.01 | -0.21 | 0.06 |
| 819215 | MC | -9 | 5,841 | 161,066 | 0.04 | 0.05 | -0.07 | 0.01 | 0.01 | -0.03 |
| 657752 | MC | -9 | 4,991 | 161,066 | -0.47 | 0.05 | -0.44 | 0.01 | -0.35 | 0.11 |
| 702481 | MC | -7 | 4,876 | 161,066 | 0.17 | 0.05 | -0.32 | 0.01 | -0.23 | -0.41 |
| 818388 | MC | -5 | 5,903 | 161,066 | 0.15 | 0.05 | -0.28 | 0.01 | -0.19 | -0.35 |
| 820457 | MC | -4 | 5,797 | 161,066 | 0.33 | 0.05 | 0.21 | 0.01 | 0.30 | -0.01 |
| 817736 | MC | -4 | 5,846 | 161,066 | 0.60 | 0.05 | 0.51 | 0.01 | 0.59 | 0.01 |
| 817160 | MC | -5 | 5,876 | 161,066 | 0.37 | 0.05 | -0.02 | 0.01 | 0.07 | -0.29 |
| 818256 | MC | -1 | 5,817 | 161,066 | -1.24 | 0.07 | -1.28 | 0.01 | -1.19 | 0.01 |
| 704003 | MC | 4 | 5,082 | 161,066 | -0.79 | 0.06 | -0.94 | 0.01 | -0.85 | -0.08 |
| 724133 | MC | 5 | 5,846 | 161,066 | 0.29 | 0.05 | 0.42 | 0.01 | 0.51 | 0.24 |
| 712985 | MC | 7 | 4,946 | 161,066 | 0.23 | 0.06 | 0.26 | 0.01 | 0.35 | 0.13 |
| 703976 | MC | 7 | 5,003 | 161,066 | 0.19 | 0.05 | -0.04 | 0.01 | 0.05 | -0.13 |
| 678738 | MC | 9 | 4,152 | 161,066 | 0.67 | 0.06 | 0.81 | 0.01 | 0.90 | 0.26 |
| 702528 | MC | 9 | 5,003 | 161,066 | -0.16 | 0.05 | -0.20 | 0.01 | -0.11 | 0.05 |
| 605117 | MC | 13 | 3,697 | 161,066 | 0.44 | 0.05 | 0.44 | 0.01 | 0.53 | 0.11 |
| 817164 | MC | 10 | 5,918 | 161,066 | 1.46 | 0.05 | 1.32 | 0.01 | 1.40 | -0.02 |
| 816628 | MC | 15 | 5,849 | 161,066 | 0.10 | 0.05 | -0.12 | 0.01 | -0.03 | -0.13 |
| 818113 | CR | -1 | 1,985 | 161,066 | 0.27 | 0.02 | -0.04 | 0.00 | -0.52 | -0.19 |


| Item ID | Item <br> Type | Sequence Change | Old $N$ | New $N$ | Old Logit | Old SEM | New <br> Logit | New SEM | Equated Logit | Displacement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 724685 | CR | 10 | 1,985 | 161,066 | 1.95 | 0.03 | 2.04 | 0.00 | 0.54 | 0.16 |
| 821569 | CR | 11 | 1,983 | 161,066 | 2.00 | 0.03 | 2.11 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.19 |
| 734697 | CR | -1 | 1,457 | 161,066 | 1.40 | 0.04 | 1.35 | 0.00 | -1.07 | 0.07 |
| 817339 | CR | 10 | 1,988 | 161,066 | 2.11 | 0.03 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.03 |
| 673354 | CR | 11 | 2,002 | 161,066 | 1.05 | 0.02 | 1.17 | 0.00 | -0.03 | 0.21 |

Table L-5. Evaluation of Biology Item Difficulty Stability: Spring

| Item ID | Item <br> Type | Sequence Change | Old $N$ | New $N$ | Old Logit | Old SEM | New <br> Logit | New SEM | Equated Logit | Displacement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 702074 | MC | -14 | 4,826 | 138,308 | -0.99 | 0.06 | -1.10 | 0.01 | -1.09 | -0.12 |
| 703237 | MC | -16 | 4,730 | 138,308 | 1.55 | 0.05 | 1.37 | 0.01 | 1.38 | -0.14 |
| 680246 | MC | -15 | 4,769 | 138,308 | 0.80 | 0.05 | 0.55 | 0.01 | 0.56 | -0.22 |
| 703488 | MC | -12 | 4,787 | 138,308 | 0.09 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.01 | 0.12 | 0.03 |
| 702259 | MC | -10 | 4,754 | 138,308 | 0.12 | 0.05 | 0.31 | 0.01 | 0.32 | 0.22 |
| 702087 | MC | -9 | 4,797 | 138,308 | 0.34 | 0.05 | 0.40 | 0.01 | 0.41 | 0.09 |
| 740931 | MC | -8 | 4,823 | 138,308 | -0.98 | 0.07 | -1.01 | 0.01 | -1.00 | -0.04 |
| 703516 | MC | -12 | 4,754 | 138,308 | 0.97 | 0.05 | 0.70 | 0.01 | 0.71 | -0.23 |
| 679205 | MC | -11 | 4,785 | 138,308 | -0.50 | 0.05 | -0.62 | 0.01 | -0.61 | -0.12 |
| 703495 | MC | -9 | 4,751 | 138,308 | -0.97 | 0.06 | -1.03 | 0.01 | -1.03 | -0.07 |
| 679673 | MC | -3 | 5,823 | 138,308 | -0.17 | 0.06 | -0.25 | 0.01 | -0.24 | -0.07 |
| 679671 | MC | -2 | 3,986 | 138,308 | 0.67 | 0.05 | 0.25 | 0.01 | 0.26 | -0.40 |
| 703708 | MC | 4 | 4,776 | 138,308 | 0.84 | 0.05 | 0.56 | 0.01 | 0.57 | -0.25 |
| 677969 | MC | 5 | 5,843 | 138,308 | 0.18 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.03 | -0.14 |
| 678542 | MC | 6 | 4,754 | 138,308 | -0.12 | 0.05 | -0.08 | 0.01 | -0.07 | 0.05 |
| 703874 | MC | 9 | 4,809 | 138,308 | -1.60 | 0.07 | -1.24 | 0.01 | -1.24 | 0.34 |
| 703871 | MC | 10 | 4,776 | 138,308 | -0.81 | 0.06 | -0.80 | 0.01 | -0.79 | 0.01 |
| 702204 | MC | 10 | 4,804 | 138,308 | 0.18 | 0.05 | 0.42 | 0.01 | 0.42 | 0.26 |
| 673871 | MC | 14 | 4,776 | 138,308 | 0.24 | 0.05 | 0.31 | 0.01 | 0.32 | 0.10 |
| 810612 | MC | 12 | 5,697 | 138,308 | -0.58 | 0.06 | -0.56 | 0.01 | -0.55 | 0.03 |
| 705267 | MC | 17 | 4,759 | 138,308 | -0.36 | 0.05 | -0.13 | 0.01 | -0.12 | 0.25 |
| 703517 | MC | 15 | 4,823 | 138,308 | 0.47 | 0.05 | 0.58 | 0.01 | 0.59 | 0.14 |
| 673866 | MC | 14 | 4,769 | 138,308 | 0.51 | 0.05 | 0.40 | 0.01 | 0.40 | -0.09 |
| 683613 | MC | 14 | 4,787 | 138,308 | 0.34 | 0.05 | 0.22 | 0.01 | 0.23 | -0.10 |
| 679976 | MC | -14 | 5,797 | 138,308 | 0.20 | 0.05 | -0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | -0.19 |
| 705228 | MC | -17 | 4,826 | 138,308 | -0.25 | 0.05 | -0.29 | 0.01 | -0.28 | -0.03 |
| 679253 | MC | -17 | 4,756 | 138,308 | -0.32 | 0.05 | -0.48 | 0.01 | -0.47 | -0.17 |
| 704204 | MC | -12 | 4,751 | 138,308 | -0.01 | 0.05 | -0.16 | 0.01 | -0.15 | -0.14 |
| 742312 | MC | -11 | 4,745 | 138,308 | 1.17 | 0.06 | 0.85 | 0.01 | 0.86 | -0.27 |
| 702150 | MC | -14 | 4,787 | 138,308 | -0.39 | 0.05 | -0.62 | 0.01 | -0.61 | -0.23 |
| 702147 | MC | -9 | 4,776 | 138,308 | -0.84 | 0.06 | -1.13 | 0.01 | -1.13 | -0.32 |
| 739785 | MC | -10 | 4,807 | 138,308 | 1.34 | 0.06 | 1.25 | 0.01 | 1.25 | -0.06 |
| 739682 | MC | -9 | 4,816 | 138,308 | 1.01 | 0.06 | 0.72 | 0.01 | 0.72 | -0.26 |
| 678968 | MC | -6 | 5,869 | 138,308 | -0.76 | 0.06 | -0.81 | 0.01 | -0.80 | -0.05 |
| 702141 | MC | -2 | 4,776 | 138,308 | -0.30 | 0.05 | -0.46 | 0.01 | -0.45 | -0.16 |
| 702138 | MC | -2 | 4,776 | 138,308 | -0.59 | 0.06 | -0.61 | 0.01 | -0.60 | -0.02 |
| 742293 | MC | 5 | 4,824 | 138,308 | 0.87 | 0.06 | 0.87 | 0.01 | 0.88 | 0.03 |


| Item ID | Item <br> Type | Sequence Change | Old $N$ | New $N$ | Old Logit | Old SEM | New <br> Logit | New SEM | Equated Logit | Displacement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 678985 | MC | 7 | 4,028 | 138,308 | -0.64 | 0.06 | -0.58 | 0.01 | -0.57 | 0.07 |
| 702735 | MC | 5 | 4,837 | 138,308 | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.04 | -0.04 |
| 742300 | MC | 7 | 4,834 | 138,308 | 0.67 | 0.06 | 0.48 | 0.01 | 0.49 | -0.16 |
| 677885 | MC | 11 | 5,795 | 138,308 | 0.68 | 0.06 | 0.67 | 0.01 | 0.68 | 0.02 |
| 809059 | MC | 11 | 5,686 | 138,308 | -0.52 | 0.06 | -0.44 | 0.01 | -0.43 | 0.09 |
| 703154 | MC | 12 | 4,769 | 138,308 | 0.28 | 0.05 | 0.38 | 0.01 | 0.39 | 0.13 |
| 681520 | MC | 11 | 5,823 | 138,308 | 0.82 | 0.05 | 0.43 | 0.01 | 0.44 | -0.35 |
| 673878 | MC | 16 | 5,843 | 138,308 | -0.62 | 0.06 | -0.58 | 0.01 | -0.57 | 0.04 |
| 678893 | MC | 15 | 4,028 | 138,308 | -0.29 | 0.06 | -0.16 | 0.01 | -0.15 | 0.15 |
| 703162 | MC | 15 | 4,785 | 138,308 | 0.62 | 0.05 | 0.75 | 0.01 | 0.76 | 0.16 |
| 674169 | MC | 19 | 4,809 | 138,308 | 0.74 | 0.05 | 0.53 | 0.01 | 0.54 | -0.18 |
| 812685 | CR | -1 | 1,964 | 138,308 | 1.61 | 0.03 | 1.71 | 0.00 | 0.63 | 0.04 |
| 735487 | CR | 0 | 1,631 | 138,308 | 0.92 | 0.03 | 1.28 | 0.00 | -0.04 | 0.36 |
| 677888 | CR | 13 | 1,701 | 138,308 | 1.22 | 0.03 | 0.99 | 0.00 | 0.40 | -0.15 |
| 809203 | CR | -1 | 1,950 | 138,308 | 1.17 | 0.03 | 1.37 | 0.00 | 1.08 | 0.19 |
| 741581 | CR | 0 | 1,638 | 138,308 | 0.92 | 0.03 | 1.05 | 0.00 | 0.39 | 0.12 |
| 702998 | CR | 13 | 1,993 | 138,308 | 0.16 | 0.03 | 0.48 | 0.00 | -1.98 | 0.30 |

Table L-6. Evaluation of Literature Item Difficulty Stability: Spring

| Item ID | Item Type | Sequence Change | Old $N$ | New N | Old Logit | Old SEM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { New } \\ & \text { Logit } \end{aligned}$ | New SEM | Equated Logit | Displacement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 701626 | MC | -11 | 4,735 | 126,607 | 0.33 | 0.05 | 0.15 | 0.01 | 0.26 | -0.07 |
| 703953 | MC | -10 | 4,756 | 126,607 | -0.78 | 0.06 | -0.95 | 0.01 | -0.84 | -0.13 |
| 701628 | MC | -10 | 4,735 | 126,607 | -0.50 | 0.06 | -0.62 | 0.01 | -0.51 | -0.04 |
| 701617 | MC | -9 | 4,756 | 126,607 | 1.09 | 0.05 | 1.13 | 0.01 | 1.24 | 0.20 |
| 701627 | MC | -6 | 4,735 | 126,607 | -1.35 | 0.08 | -1.42 | 0.01 | -1.31 | -0.05 |
| 701623 | MC | -8 | 4,756 | 126,607 | 0.69 | 0.05 | 0.46 | 0.01 | 0.57 | -0.09 |
| 701624 | MC | -9 | 4,756 | 126,607 | 0.45 | 0.05 | 0.19 | 0.01 | 0.30 | -0.14 |
| 701619 | MC | -7 | 4,756 | 126,607 | -0.81 | 0.07 | -1.12 | 0.01 | -1.01 | -0.29 |
| 824173 | MC | 5 | 5,561 | 126,607 | -0.07 | 0.06 | -0.02 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 0.16 |
| 824170 | MC | 6 | 5,550 | 126,607 | 0.19 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 0.01 | 0.23 | 0.05 |
| 824168 | MC | 6 | 5,550 | 126,607 | 0.34 | 0.05 | 0.27 | 0.01 | 0.38 | 0.06 |
| 824172 | MC | 7 | 5,561 | 126,607 | 1.27 | 0.05 | 1.12 | 0.01 | 1.23 | 0.02 |
| 824219 | MC | 7 | 5,561 | 126,607 | 1.59 | 0.05 | 1.39 | 0.01 | 1.50 | -0.02 |
| 824175 | MC | 8 | 5,550 | 126,607 | -1.40 | 0.08 | -1.04 | 0.01 | -0.93 | 0.40 |
| 824177 | MC | 8 | 5,550 | 126,607 | -0.63 | 0.06 | -0.62 | 0.01 | -0.51 | 0.08 |
| 824169 | MC | 9 | 5,561 | 126,607 | 0.17 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.20 | 0.03 |
| 824171 | MC | 9 | 5,550 | 126,607 | 0.91 | 0.05 | 0.70 | 0.01 | 0.81 | -0.06 |
| 683201 | MC | -10 | 3,972 | 126,607 | -0.80 | 0.07 | -1.04 | 0.01 | -0.93 | -0.20 |
| 673034 | MC | -10 | 3,972 | 126,607 | -0.88 | 0.07 | -0.96 | 0.01 | -0.84 | -0.02 |
| 673046 | MC | -9 | 3,885 | 126,607 | 1.46 | 0.05 | 1.35 | 0.01 | 1.46 | 0.07 |
| 673051 | MC | -9 | 3,885 | 126,607 | 0.29 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.13 | -0.16 |
| 673049 | MC | -9 | 3,972 | 126,607 | -0.45 | 0.06 | -0.69 | 0.01 | -0.57 | -0.17 |
| 673052 | MC | -9 | 3,885 | 126,607 | -0.45 | 0.06 | -0.84 | 0.01 | -0.73 | -0.35 |
| 673047 | MC | -7 | 3,885 | 126,607 | 0.96 | 0.05 | 0.54 | 0.01 | 0.65 | -0.28 |
| 673050 | MC | -7 | 3,972 | 126,607 | 1.04 | 0.05 | 0.56 | 0.01 | 0.67 | -0.33 |
| 673045 | MC | -7 | 3,885 | 126,607 | 0.10 | 0.06 | -0.35 | 0.01 | -0.24 | -0.36 |
| 809472 | MC | 8 | 5,550 | 126,607 | 1.12 | 0.05 | 0.84 | 0.01 | 0.95 | -0.12 |
| 809475 | MC | 8 | 5,561 | 126,607 | 0.86 | 0.05 | 0.99 | 0.01 | 1.10 | 0.28 |
| 809480 | MC | 9 | 5,550 | 126,607 | -0.21 | 0.06 | -0.32 | 0.01 | -0.21 | -0.02 |
| 809474 | MC | 9 | 5,550 | 126,607 | 0.54 | 0.05 | 0.54 | 0.01 | 0.65 | 0.14 |
| 809481 | MC | 9 | 5,561 | 126,607 | -0.42 | 0.06 | -0.29 | 0.01 | -0.18 | 0.23 |
| 809479 | MC | 9 | 5,550 | 126,607 | -0.11 | 0.06 | -0.25 | 0.01 | -0.14 | -0.05 |
| 809477 | MC | 10 | 5,561 | 126,607 | -0.12 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.13 | 0.26 |
| 809473 | MC | 10 | 5,550 | 126,607 | -0.08 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.15 | 0.23 |
| 703955 | CR | -8 | 1,990 | 126,607 | 1.23 | 0.03 | 1.12 | 0.00 | -0.13 | 0.02 |
| 824935 | CR | 9 | 1,951 | 126,607 | 0.76 | 0.03 | 0.75 | 0.00 | -0.97 | 0.09 |
| 824936 | CR | 10 | 1,934 | 126,607 | 0.95 | 0.03 | 0.88 | 0.00 | -0.55 | 0.04 |


| Item ID | Item <br> Type | Sequence Change | Old $N$ | New N | Old Logit | Old SEM | New <br> Logit | New SEM | Equated Logit | Displacement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 683204 | CR | -7 | 1,680 | 126,607 | 0.49 | 0.03 | 0.27 | 0.00 | -1.51 | -0.13 |
| 826264 | CR | 10 | 1,934 | 126,607 | 0.93 | 0.03 | 0.80 | 0.00 | -0.27 | 0.01 |
| 826283 | CR | 11 | 1,951 | 126,607 | 0.81 | 0.04 | 0.86 | 0.00 | -0.42 | 0.20 |

Table L-7. Evaluation of Algebra I Item Difficulty Stability: Summer

| Item ID | Item <br> Type | Sequence Change | Old $N$ | New $N$ | Old Logit | Old SEM | New <br> Logit | New SEM | Equated Logit | Displacement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 666554 | MC | 5 | 4,155 | 1,291 | 0.87 | 0.06 | 0.53 | 0.06 | 0.70 | -0.14 |
| 673946 | MC | -11 | 5,026 | 1,291 | 0.20 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.06 | 0.28 | 0.11 |
| 674152 | MC | -11 | 5,027 | 1,291 | -0.79 | 0.06 | -0.74 | 0.06 | -0.57 | 0.25 |
| 696806 | MC | 9 | 4,982 | 1,291 | -0.49 | 0.06 | -0.56 | 0.06 | -0.39 | 0.12 |
| 700786 | MC | -6 | 5,026 | 1,291 | 0.83 | 0.05 | 0.64 | 0.06 | 0.81 | 0.01 |
| 700820 | MC | 5 | 5,046 | 1,291 | -1.02 | 0.06 | -1.05 | 0.07 | -0.88 | 0.16 |
| 700841 | MC | -7 | 5,003 | 1,291 | -0.52 | 0.06 | -1.10 | 0.07 | -0.93 | -0.40 |
| 700842 | MC | 12 | 5,023 | 1,291 | -0.80 | 0.06 | -0.80 | 0.07 | -0.63 | 0.19 |
| 700845 | MC | -3 | 4,876 | 1,291 | 1.17 | 0.05 | 0.79 | 0.06 | 0.96 | -0.17 |
| 702475 | MC | -7 | 4,993 | 1,291 | 0.58 | 0.05 | 0.21 | 0.06 | 0.38 | -0.16 |
| 702476 | MC | -4 | 5,003 | 1,291 | 0.95 | 0.05 | 0.90 | 0.06 | 1.07 | 0.15 |
| 702478 | MC | 11 | 5,013 | 1,291 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.13 | 0.06 | 0.30 | 0.27 |
| 702490 | MC | -10 | 4,978 | 1,291 | 0.26 | 0.05 | 0.17 | 0.06 | 0.34 | 0.11 |
| 702502 | MC | 7 | 5,021 | 1,291 | 1.33 | 0.05 | 1.73 | 0.07 | 1.90 | 0.62 |
| 702569 | MC | 13 | 4,964 | 1,291 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.24 | 0.06 | 0.41 | 0.42 |
| 703977 | MC | -11 | 5,042 | 1,291 | 0.01 | 0.05 | -0.59 | 0.06 | -0.42 | -0.42 |
| 704000 | MC | 11 | 4,964 | 1,291 | 1.21 | 0.05 | 0.64 | 0.06 | 0.81 | -0.36 |
| 712006 | MC | 10 | 4,886 | 1,291 | -0.33 | 0.06 | -0.67 | 0.06 | -0.50 | -0.15 |
| 712276 | MC | 7 | 4,989 | 1,291 | 1.13 | 0.06 | 0.86 | 0.06 | 1.03 | -0.06 |
| 712395 | MC | 14 | 4,957 | 1,291 | 0.44 | 0.06 | 0.51 | 0.06 | 0.68 | 0.27 |
| 712488 | MC | -5 | 4,975 | 1,291 | 0.35 | 0.06 | -0.10 | 0.06 | 0.07 | -0.24 |
| 712560 | MC | 14 | 4,942 | 1,291 | 0.16 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.26 | 0.13 |
| 713360 | MC | -12 | 4,944 | 1,291 | 0.16 | 0.06 | -0.26 | 0.06 | -0.09 | -0.23 |
| 724180 | MC | 6 | 4,975 | 1,291 | -0.51 | 0.07 | -0.36 | 0.06 | -0.19 | 0.35 |
| 724186 | MC | 4 | 4,927 | 1,291 | -0.06 | 0.06 | 0.16 | 0.06 | 0.33 | 0.42 |
| 736768 | MC | 8 | 4,938 | 1,291 | 0.31 | 0.06 | 0.20 | 0.06 | 0.37 | 0.09 |
| 800166 | MC | -12 | 5,891 | 1,291 | -1.15 | 0.06 | -1.55 | 0.08 | -1.38 | -0.23 |
| 816455 | MC | -2 | 5,862 | 1,291 | 0.80 | 0.05 | 0.48 | 0.06 | 0.65 | -0.11 |
| 818260 | MC | -3 | 5,903 | 1,291 | -1.28 | 0.07 | -1.83 | 0.09 | -1.66 | -0.38 |
| 818274 | MC | 9 | 5,841 | 1,291 | 0.57 | 0.05 | 0.28 | 0.06 | 0.45 | -0.08 |
| 818294 | MC | -2 | 5,841 | 1,291 | 0.93 | 0.05 | 0.77 | 0.06 | 0.93 | 0.04 |
| 818383 | MC | -5 | 5,883 | 1,291 | 0.54 | 0.05 | 0.26 | 0.06 | 0.43 | -0.08 |
| 818385 | MC | -6 | 5,918 | 1,291 | 0.80 | 0.05 | 0.36 | 0.06 | 0.53 | -0.24 |
| 819081 | MC | -3 | 5,845 | 1,291 | 1.41 | 0.05 | 1.11 | 0.06 | 1.28 | -0.08 |
| 819083 | MC | 5 | 5,903 | 1,291 | -1.42 | 0.07 | -1.80 | 0.08 | -1.63 | -0.20 |
| 819224 | MC | 9 | 5,775 | 1,291 | 0.23 | 0.05 | 0.23 | 0.06 | 0.40 | 0.20 |
| 673351 | CR | 10 | 1,686 | 1,291 | 0.83 | 0.03 | 0.55 | 0.03 | 0.72 | -0.15 |


| Item ID | Item <br> Type | Sequence Change | Old $N$ | New $N$ | Old Logit | Old SEM | New <br> Logit | New SEM | Equated Logit | Displacement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 701634 | CR | -1 | 1,999 | 1,291 | 0.39 | 0.03 | 0.17 | 0.03 | 0.34 | 0.03 |
| 704027 | CR | 10 | 1,440 | 1,291 | 1.52 | 0.03 | 1.63 | 0.03 | 1.80 | 0.13 |
| 711789 | CR | 11 | 1,443 | 1,291 | 2.23 | 0.03 | 2.35 | 0.05 | 2.52 | 0.25 |
| 714557 | CR | 11 | 1,456 | 1,291 | 1.97 | 0.03 | 2.05 | 0.03 | 2.22 | 0.14 |
| 795492 | CR | -1 | 1,987 | 1,291 | 0.82 | 0.03 | 0.19 | 0.03 | 0.36 | -0.35 |

Table L-8. Evaluation of Biology Item Difficulty Stability: Summer

| Item ID | Item Type | Sequence Change | Old $N$ | New $N$ | Old Logit | Old SEM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { New } \\ & \text { Logit } \end{aligned}$ | New SEM | Equated Logit | Displacement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 641191 | MC | -14 | 4,039 | 873 | -0.10 | 0.06 | -0.44 | 0.07 | -0.33 | -0.20 |
| 643403 | MC | -16 | 5,786 | 873 | -0.36 | 0.06 | -0.81 | 0.08 | -0.69 | -0.31 |
| 644782 | MC | 14 | 4,028 | 873 | -0.56 | 0.06 | -0.64 | 0.08 | -0.53 | 0.06 |
| 645053 | MC | 7 | 4,754 | 873 | 0.63 | 0.05 | 0.30 | 0.07 | 0.42 | -0.18 |
| 673882 | MC | 8 | 5,795 | 873 | 0.62 | 0.06 | 0.80 | 0.08 | 0.92 | 0.34 |
| 674147 | MC | -15 | 4,823 | 873 | -0.28 | 0.05 | -0.49 | 0.07 | -0.37 | -0.06 |
| 677862 | MC | 10 | 4,769 | 873 | 0.08 | 0.05 | -0.27 | 0.07 | -0.16 | -0.20 |
| 677863 | MC | -18 | 5,869 | 873 | 0.84 | 0.05 | 0.68 | 0.08 | 0.79 | -0.01 |
| 677881 | MC | 4 | 4,730 | 873 | 0.20 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.15 | -0.01 |
| 678543 | MC | 11 | 4,785 | 873 | -0.13 | 0.05 | -0.17 | 0.07 | -0.05 | 0.12 |
| 678865 | MC | 16 | 4,769 | 873 | 0.12 | 0.05 | -0.07 | 0.07 | 0.05 | -0.03 |
| 678874 | MC | -11 | 5,798 | 873 | -0.49 | 0.06 | -0.82 | 0.08 | -0.70 | -0.18 |
| 678934 | MC | -12 | 3,990 | 873 | 1.08 | 0.06 | 1.29 | 0.08 | 1.41 | 0.37 |
| 678936 | MC | -10 | 5,843 | 873 | -0.53 | 0.06 | -0.31 | 0.07 | -0.19 | 0.38 |
| 678940 | MC | -13 | 5,843 | 873 | 0.88 | 0.05 | 0.73 | 0.08 | 0.84 | 0.00 |
| 679965 | MC | -9 | 4,776 | 873 | 0.58 | 0.05 | 0.37 | 0.07 | 0.49 | -0.06 |
| 680194 | MC | 7 | 4,751 | 873 | -0.54 | 0.05 | -0.62 | 0.08 | -0.50 | 0.08 |
| 680244 | MC | -10 | 4,028 | 873 | 0.78 | 0.06 | 0.50 | 0.07 | 0.61 | -0.13 |
| 680248 | MC | -12 | 4,028 | 873 | 0.02 | 0.05 | -0.30 | 0.07 | -0.18 | -0.17 |
| 681247 | MC | -14 | 5,809 | 873 | -0.06 | 0.06 | -0.30 | 0.07 | -0.18 | -0.09 |
| 700897 | MC | 15 | 4,730 | 873 | -0.19 | 0.05 | -0.16 | 0.07 | -0.04 | 0.19 |
| 701416 | MC | -2 | 4,806 | 873 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.16 | 0.07 | 0.28 | 0.29 |
| 701417 | MC | -2 | 4,806 | 873 | 0.51 | 0.05 | 0.50 | 0.07 | 0.62 | 0.15 |
| 702061 | MC | 10 | 4,806 | 873 | 0.36 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.16 | -0.17 |
| 702063 | MC | 14 | 4,769 | 873 | 0.05 | 0.05 | -0.32 | 0.07 | -0.20 | -0.22 |
| 702070 | MC | 11 | 4,776 | 873 | 0.53 | 0.05 | 0.65 | 0.07 | 0.77 | 0.28 |
| 702073 | MC | 3 | 4,730 | 873 | -0.52 | 0.06 | -0.73 | 0.08 | -0.61 | -0.06 |
| 702086 | MC | 8 | 4,742 | 873 | 0.86 | 0.05 | 0.84 | 0.08 | 0.96 | 0.14 |
| 702093 | MC | 15 | 4,837 | 873 | -1.13 | 0.06 | -1.18 | 0.08 | -1.06 | 0.10 |
| 702104 | MC | -14 | 4,787 | 873 | 0.11 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.14 | 0.07 |
| 702164 | MC | -8 | 4,769 | 873 | 1.13 | 0.05 | 1.01 | 0.08 | 1.13 | 0.03 |
| 702206 | MC | 13 | 4,826 | 873 | -0.19 | 0.05 | -0.18 | 0.07 | -0.07 | 0.16 |
| 702258 | MC | -7 | 4,773 | 873 | -0.39 | 0.05 | -0.08 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.47 |
| 702726 | MC | -11 | 4,776 | 873 | 0.16 | 0.05 | -0.07 | 0.07 | 0.05 | -0.07 |
| 703158 | MC | 8 | 4,742 | 873 | 0.36 | 0.05 | 0.31 | 0.07 | 0.43 | 0.11 |
| 703524 | MC | -15 | 4,775 | 873 | -0.55 | 0.06 | -0.82 | 0.08 | -0.70 | -0.12 |
| 704198 | MC | -9 | 4,754 | 873 | -0.34 | 0.05 | -0.55 | 0.07 | -0.43 | -0.06 |


| Item ID | Item <br> Type | Sequence Change | Old $N$ | New $N$ | Old Logit | Old SEM | New <br> Logit | New SEM | Equated Logit | Displacement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 704210 | MC | 10 | 4,776 | 873 | -0.21 | 0.05 | -0.24 | 0.07 | -0.12 | 0.12 |
| 704373 | MC | -3 | 4,752 | 873 | 1.07 | 0.05 | 1.00 | 0.08 | 1.12 | 0.09 |
| 704374 | MC | -1 | 4,730 | 873 | 1.15 | 0.05 | 1.15 | 0.08 | 1.27 | 0.16 |
| 704789 | MC | 16 | 4,751 | 873 | -0.04 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.07 | 0.26 | 0.34 |
| 735307 | MC | 10 | 4,791 | 873 | -0.20 | 0.06 | -0.06 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.30 |
| 742314 | MC | -14 | 4,822 | 873 | -0.16 | 0.06 | -0.32 | 0.07 | -0.20 | -0.01 |
| 809286 | MC | 12 | 5,717 | 873 | 0.71 | 0.05 | 0.63 | 0.07 | 0.75 | 0.08 |
| 810610 | MC | -15 | 5,682 | 873 | -0.19 | 0.05 | -0.27 | 0.07 | -0.16 | 0.07 |
| 811170 | MC | 15 | 5,602 | 873 | -0.38 | 0.05 | -0.52 | 0.07 | -0.40 | 0.01 |
| 813651 | MC | 12 | 5,686 | 873 | 0.35 | 0.05 | 0.29 | 0.07 | 0.41 | 0.10 |
| 819086 | MC | 16 | 5,682 | 873 | -0.33 | 0.05 | -0.69 | 0.08 | -0.58 | -0.22 |
| 736549 | CR | -1 | 1,933 | 873 | 1.08 | 0.03 | 1.39 | 0.05 | 1.51 | 0.27 |
| 736837 | CR | -1 | 1,645 | 873 | 1.05 | 0.03 | 0.70 | 0.04 | 0.81 | -0.30 |
| 737644 | CR | 0 | 1,652 | 873 | 1.01 | 0.03 | 0.84 | 0.05 | 0.96 | -0.08 |
| 741444 | CR | 0 | 1,641 | 873 | 0.84 | 0.03 | 0.38 | 0.04 | 0.50 | -0.38 |
| 808704 | CR | 13 | 1,923 | 873 | 1.41 | 0.03 | 1.25 | 0.06 | 1.37 | -0.04 |
| 810325 | CR | 13 | 1,923 | 873 | 1.33 | 0.03 | 1.33 | 0.05 | 1.45 | -0.06 |

Table L-9. Evaluation of Literature Item Difficulty Stability: Summer

| Item ID | Item <br> Type | Sequence Change | Old $N$ | New $N$ | Old Logit | Old SEM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { New } \\ & \text { Logit } \end{aligned}$ | New SEM | Equated Logit | Displacement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 614647 | MC | -8 | 5,593 | 400 | -0.09 | 0.06 | -0.03 | 0.11 | 0.02 | 0.11 |
| 614648 | MC | -9 | 5,526 | 400 | 0.11 | 0.06 | -0.17 | 0.11 | -0.12 | -0.24 |
| 614651 | MC | -8 | 5,593 | 400 | 0.83 | 0.05 | 0.52 | 0.11 | 0.57 | -0.24 |
| 614652 | MC | -8 | 5,526 | 400 | -0.55 | 0.07 | -0.89 | 0.13 | -0.84 | -0.32 |
| 614653 | MC | -8 | 5,593 | 400 | 0.87 | 0.05 | 1.18 | 0.11 | 1.23 | 0.38 |
| 614654 | MC | -9 | 5,526 | 400 | 0.40 | 0.06 | -0.27 | 0.11 | -0.22 | -0.63 |
| 614656 | MC | -7 | 5,526 | 400 | -0.92 | 0.07 | -0.40 | 0.12 | -0.35 | 0.56 |
| 614658 | MC | -7 | 5,526 | 400 | -0.67 | 0.07 | -0.76 | 0.12 | -0.71 | -0.06 |
| 640843 | MC | 9 | 7,067 | 400 | -0.11 | 0.06 | -0.47 | 0.12 | -0.43 | -0.34 |
| 640844 | MC | 9 | 7,067 | 400 | 1.45 | 0.05 | 1.07 | 0.11 | 1.12 | -0.31 |
| 640847 | MC | 10 | 7,067 | 400 | 1.23 | 0.05 | 1.02 | 0.11 | 1.06 | -0.14 |
| 640867 | MC | 9 | 7,067 | 400 | -0.03 | 0.06 | 0.46 | 0.11 | 0.50 | 0.54 |
| 640870 | MC | 6 | 7,124 | 400 | -0.35 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0.43 |
| 640871 | MC | 10 | 7,124 | 400 | 0.21 | 0.05 | 0.30 | 0.11 | 0.35 | 0.14 |
| 640873 | MC | 10 | 7,124 | 400 | -0.71 | 0.06 | -0.65 | 0.12 | -0.60 | 0.08 |
| 640875 | MC | 10 | 7,067 | 400 | -0.06 | 0.06 | -0.58 | 0.12 | -0.53 | -0.49 |
| 808360 | MC | -9 | 5,572 | 400 | -1.72 | 0.09 | -1.63 | 0.16 | -1.58 | 0.10 |
| 808361 | MC | -6 | 5,487 | 400 | -0.69 | 0.06 | -0.86 | 0.13 | -0.81 | -0.15 |
| 808362 | MC | -8 | 5,487 | 400 | -1.17 | 0.07 | -1.22 | 0.14 | -1.17 | -0.04 |
| 808363 | MC | -8 | 5,487 | 400 | -0.35 | 0.06 | -0.42 | 0.12 | -0.37 | -0.04 |
| 808366 | MC | -7 | 5,487 | 400 | 0.79 | 0.05 | 0.47 | 0.11 | 0.52 | -0.26 |
| 808367 | MC | -5 | 5,572 | 400 | -1.34 | 0.08 | -1.63 | 0.16 | -1.58 | -0.29 |
| 808368 | MC | -8 | 5,572 | 400 | 1.40 | 0.05 | 1.56 | 0.12 | 1.61 | 0.24 |
| 808370 | MC | -6 | 5,487 | 400 | -0.92 | 0.07 | -1.56 | 0.15 | -1.51 | -0.64 |
| 808371 | MC | -9 | 5,572 | 400 | 1.32 | 0.05 | 1.19 | 0.11 | 1.24 | -0.06 |
| 820423 | MC | 7 | 5,609 | 400 | 0.96 | 0.05 | 0.76 | 0.11 | 0.80 | -0.14 |
| 820424 | MC | 6 | 5,543 | 400 | 1.00 | 0.05 | 0.95 | 0.11 | 1.00 | 0.01 |
| 820425 | MC | 7 | 5,543 | 400 | -0.19 | 0.06 | -0.03 | 0.11 | 0.02 | 0.21 |
| 820426 | MC | 6 | 5,543 | 400 | -0.61 | 0.06 | -0.47 | 0.12 | -0.43 | 0.17 |
| 820427 | MC | 8 | 5,609 | 400 | 0.63 | 0.05 | 1.13 | 0.11 | 1.18 | 0.57 |
| 820428 | MC | 9 | 5,543 | 400 | 0.16 | 0.05 | 0.17 | 0.11 | 0.21 | 0.06 |
| 820429 | MC | 7 | 5,543 | 400 | 0.43 | 0.05 | 0.60 | 0.11 | 0.65 | 0.23 |
| 820430 | MC | 5 | 5,543 | 400 | -0.22 | 0.06 | -0.38 | 0.12 | -0.33 | -0.12 |
| 820434 | MC | 8 | 5,609 | 400 | 1.16 | 0.05 | 1.03 | 0.11 | 1.08 | -0.07 |
| 614660 | CR | -7 | 1,659 | 400 | 0.72 | 0.04 | 0.38 | 0.08 | 0.42 | -0.33 |
| 643188 | CR | 11 | 1,867 | 400 | 0.80 | 0.03 | 0.96 | 0.08 | 1.01 | 0.23 |
| 643189 | CR | 10 | 1,884 | 400 | 0.72 | 0.03 | 0.64 | 0.07 | 0.69 | -0.04 |


| Item ID | Item Type | Sequence Change | Old $N$ | New $N$ | Old Logit | Old SEM | New <br> Logit | New SEM | Equated Logit | Displacement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 820824 | CR | 9 | 1,934 | 400 | 0.86 | 0.03 | 0.75 | 0.08 | 0.79 | -0.02 |
| 820826 | CR | 10 | 1,940 | 400 | 1.14 | 0.04 | 1.78 | 0.08 | 1.83 | 0.43 |
| 824988 | CR | -6 | 1,932 | 400 | 0.97 | 0.03 | 0.61 | 0.08 | 0.65 | -0.14 |

FORM LEVEL
Table L-10. Raw-to-Scaled Score Comparison for Algebra I: Winter

| RS | Preequating SS | Preequating SEM | Post-equating All Items SS | Post-equating All Items SEM | Post-equating Outlier Removed | Post-equating Outlier Removed SEM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1223 | 92 | 1229 | 92 | 1228 | 92 |
| 1 | 1285 | 51 | 1290 | 51 | 1290 | 51 |
| 2 | 1321 | 37 | 1326 | 36 | 1326 | 36 |
| 3 | 1343 | 30 | 1348 | 30 | 1348 | 30 |
| 4 | 1360 | 27 | 1364 | 27 | 1364 | 27 |
| 5 | 1373 | 24 | 1377 | 24 | 1376 | 24 |
| 6 | 1384 | 23 | 1388 | 22 | 1387 | 22 |
| 7 | 1393 | 21 | 1397 | 21 | 1396 | 21 |
| 8 | 1402 | 20 | 1405 | 20 | 1405 | 20 |
| 9 | 1409 | 19 | 1413 | 19 | 1412 | 19 |
| 10 | 1416 | 18 | 1419 | 18 | 1419 | 18 |
| 11 | 1423 | 18 | 1426 | 17 | 1425 | 17 |
| 12 | 1429 | 17 | 1432 | 17 | 1431 | 17 |
| 13 | 1435 | 17 | 1437 | 16 | 1436 | 16 |
| 14 | 1440 | 16 | 1442 | 16 | 1442 | 16 |
| 15 | 1445 | 16 | 1447 | 16 | 1447 | 16 |
| 16 | 1450 | 16 | 1452 | 15 | 1451 | 15 |
| 17 | 1455 | 15 | 1457 | 15 | 1456 | 15 |
| 18 | 1459 | 15 | 1461 | 15 | 1460 | 15 |
| 19 | 1464 | 15 | 1465 | 15 | 1465 | 15 |
| 20 | 1468 | 15 | 1469 | 14 | 1469 | 14 |
| 21 | 1472 | 14 | 1473 | 14 | 1473 | 14 |
| 22 | 1476 | 14 | 1477 | 14 | 1477 | 14 |
| 23 | 1480 | 14 | 1481 | 14 | 1481 | 14 |
| 24 | 1484 | 14 | 1485 | 14 | 1485 | 14 |
| 25 | 1488 | 14 | 1489 | 14 | 1488 | 14 |
| 26 | 1492 | 14 | 1493 | 14 | 1492 | 14 |
| 27 | 1496 | 14 | 1496 | 14 | 1496 | 14 |
| 28 | 1499 | 14 | 1500 | 13 | 1499 | 13 |
| 29 | 1503 | 14 | 1504 | 13 | 1503 | 13 |
| 30 | 1507 | 13 | 1507 | 13 | 1507 | 13 |
| 31 | 1510 | 13 | 1511 | 13 | 1510 | 13 |
| 32 | 1514 | 13 | 1514 | 13 | 1514 | 13 |
| 33 | 1517 | 13 | 1518 | 13 | 1517 | 13 |
| 34 | 1521 | 14 | 1521 | 13 | 1521 | 13 |


| RS | Preequating SS | Preequating <br> SEM | Post-equating <br> All Items SS | Post-equating <br> All Items SEM |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Post-equating |  |  |  |  |
| Outlier Removed |  |  |  |  |
| SS |  |  |  |  | | Post-equating <br> Outlier Removed <br> SEM |
| ---: |
| 35 |
| 36 |

Table L-11. Raw-to-Scaled Score Comparison for Biology: Winter

| RS | Preequating SS | Preequating <br> SEM | Post-equating <br> All Items SS | Post-equating <br> All Items SEM |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Putlier Removed |  |  |  |  |
| SS |  |  |  |  | | Post-equating <br> Outlier Removed <br> SEM |
| ---: |
| 0 |


| RS | Preequating SS | Preequating SEM | Post-equating All Items SS | Post-equating All Items SEM | Post-equating Outlier Removed | Post-equating Outlier Removed SEM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37 | 1509 | 13 | 1507 | 13 | 1508 | 13 |
| 38 | 1512 | 13 | 1511 | 13 | 1512 | 13 |
| 39 | 1515 | 13 | 1514 | 13 | 1515 | 13 |
| 40 | 1519 | 13 | 1518 | 13 | 1519 | 13 |
| 41 | 1522 | 13 | 1522 | 14 | 1522 | 14 |
| 42 | 1526 | 13 | 1525 | 14 | 1526 | 14 |
| 43 | 1529 | 13 | 1529 | 14 | 1530 | 14 |
| 44 | 1533 | 14 | 1533 | 14 | 1534 | 14 |
| 45 | 1537 | 14 | 1537 | 14 | 1538 | 14 |
| 46 | 1540 | 14 | 1541 | 14 | 1542 | 14 |
| 47 | 1544 | 14 | 1545 | 15 | 1546 | 15 |
| 48 | 1548 | 14 | 1549 | 15 | 1550 | 15 |
| 49 | 1552 | 14 | 1554 | 15 | 1555 | 15 |
| 50 | 1557 | 15 | 1558 | 15 | 1559 | 15 |
| 51 | 1561 | 15 | 1563 | 16 | 1564 | 16 |
| 52 | 1566 | 15 | 1568 | 16 | 1569 | 16 |
| 53 | 1570 | 16 | 1573 | 16 | 1574 | 16 |
| 54 | 1576 | 16 | 1579 | 17 | 1579 | 17 |
| 55 | 1581 | 17 | 1584 | 17 | 1585 | 17 |
| 56 | 1587 | 17 | 1591 | 18 | 1591 | 18 |
| 57 | 1593 | 18 | 1597 | 19 | 1598 | 19 |
| 58 | 1600 | 19 | 1605 | 20 | 1605 | 20 |
| 59 | 1608 | 20 | 1613 | 21 | 1614 | 21 |
| 60 | 1617 | 22 | 1622 | 22 | 1623 | 22 |
| 61 | 1628 | 24 | 1633 | 24 | 1634 | 24 |
| 62 | 1640 | 27 | 1646 | 27 | 1647 | 27 |
| 63 | 1656 | 30 | 1662 | 31 | 1663 | 31 |
| 64 | 1679 | 37 | 1686 | 38 | 1686 | 38 |
| 65 | 1716 | 51 | 1724 | 52 | 1725 | 52 |
| 66 | 1778 | 92 | 1787 | 93 | 1788 | 93 |

Table L-12. Raw-to-Scaled Score Comparison for Literature: Winter

| RS | Preequating SS | Preequating SEM | Post-equating All Items SS | Post-equating All Items SEM | Post-equating Outlier Removed SS | Post-equating Outlier Removed SEM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1207 | 92 | 1207 | 92 | 1208 | 92 |
| 1 | 1268 | 51 | 1268 | 51 | 1269 | 51 |
| 2 | 1305 | 37 | 1305 | 37 | 1306 | 37 |
| 3 | 1327 | 30 | 1327 | 30 | 1328 | 30 |
| 4 | 1343 | 27 | 1343 | 27 | 1344 | 27 |
| 5 | 1356 | 24 | 1356 | 24 | 1357 | 24 |
| 6 | 1367 | 23 | 1367 | 23 | 1368 | 23 |
| 7 | 1377 | 21 | 1377 | 21 | 1378 | 21 |
| 8 | 1386 | 20 | 1385 | 20 | 1386 | 20 |
| 9 | 1393 | 19 | 1393 | 19 | 1394 | 19 |
| 10 | 1401 | 19 | 1401 | 19 | 1402 | 19 |
| 11 | 1407 | 18 | 1407 | 18 | 1408 | 18 |
| 12 | 1414 | 18 | 1414 | 18 | 1415 | 18 |
| 13 | 1420 | 17 | 1420 | 17 | 1421 | 17 |
| 14 | 1426 | 17 | 1426 | 17 | 1427 | 17 |
| 15 | 1431 | 17 | 1431 | 17 | 1432 | 17 |
| 16 | 1437 | 16 | 1437 | 16 | 1438 | 16 |
| 17 | 1442 | 16 | 1442 | 16 | 1443 | 16 |
| 18 | 1447 | 16 | 1447 | 16 | 1448 | 16 |
| 19 | 1452 | 16 | 1452 | 16 | 1453 | 16 |
| 20 | 1457 | 16 | 1457 | 16 | 1458 | 16 |
| 21 | 1462 | 16 | 1462 | 16 | 1463 | 16 |
| 22 | 1467 | 15 | 1467 | 15 | 1468 | 15 |
| 23 | 1471 | 15 | 1471 | 15 | 1472 | 15 |
| 24 | 1476 | 15 | 1476 | 15 | 1477 | 15 |
| 25 | 1481 | 15 | 1481 | 15 | 1482 | 15 |
| 26 | 1486 | 15 | 1486 | 15 | 1487 | 15 |
| 27 | 1490 | 15 | 1490 | 15 | 1491 | 15 |
| 28 | 1495 | 16 | 1495 | 15 | 1496 | 15 |
| 29 | 1500 | 16 | 1500 | 16 | 1501 | 16 |
| 30 | 1505 | 16 | 1505 | 16 | 1506 | 16 |
| 31 | 1510 | 16 | 1510 | 16 | 1511 | 16 |
| 32 | 1515 | 16 | 1515 | 16 | 1516 | 16 |
| 33 | 1520 | 16 | 1520 | 16 | 1521 | 16 |
| 34 | 1525 | 16 | 1525 | 16 | 1526 | 16 |
| 35 | 1531 | 17 | 1530 | 17 | 1531 | 17 |
| 36 | 1537 | 17 | 1536 | 17 | 1537 | 17 |


| RS | Preequating SS | Preequating SEM | Post-equating All Items SS | Post-equating All Items SEM | Post-equating Outlier Removed SS | Post-equating Outlier Removed SEM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37 | 1543 | 17 | 1542 | 17 | 1543 | 17 |
| 38 | 1549 | 18 | 1548 | 18 | 1549 | 18 |
| 39 | 1555 | 18 | 1554 | 18 | 1555 | 18 |
| 40 | 1562 | 19 | 1561 | 19 | 1562 | 19 |
| 41 | 1569 | 19 | 1568 | 19 | 1570 | 19 |
| 42 | 1577 | 20 | 1576 | 20 | 1577 | 20 |
| 43 | 1585 | 21 | 1585 | 21 | 1586 | 21 |
| 44 | 1595 | 22 | 1594 | 22 | 1595 | 22 |
| 45 | 1605 | 23 | 1604 | 23 | 1605 | 23 |
| 46 | 1616 | 24 | 1616 | 25 | 1617 | 25 |
| 47 | 1628 | 26 | 1629 | 27 | 1630 | 27 |
| 48 | 1643 | 28 | 1644 | 29 | 1645 | 29 |
| 49 | 1661 | 32 | 1663 | 33 | 1664 | 33 |
| 50 | 1686 | 38 | 1688 | 39 | 1689 | 39 |
| 51 | 1724 | 52 | 1728 | 53 | 1729 | 53 |
| 52 | 1787 | 92 | 1792 | 93 | 1793 | 93 |

Table L-13. Raw-to-Scaled Score Comparison for Algebra I: Spring

| RS | Preequating SS | Preequating SEM | Post-equating SS | Post-equating SEM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1214 | 92 | 1213 | 92 |
| 1 | 1275 | 51 | 1274 | 51 |
| 2 | 1311 | 36 | 1310 | 36 |
| 3 | 1333 | 30 | 1331 | 30 |
| 4 | 1349 | 26 | 1347 | 26 |
| 5 | 1361 | 24 | 1360 | 24 |
| 6 | 1372 | 22 | 1370 | 22 |
| 7 | 1381 | 21 | 1379 | 21 |
| 8 | 1389 | 20 | 1387 | 20 |
| 9 | 1396 | 19 | 1395 | 19 |
| 10 | 1403 | 18 | 1401 | 18 |
| 11 | 1409 | 17 | 1408 | 17 |
| 12 | 1415 | 17 | 1413 | 17 |
| 13 | 1421 | 16 | 1419 | 16 |
| 14 | 1426 | 16 | 1424 | 16 |
| 15 | 1431 | 15 | 1429 | 16 |
| 16 | 1435 | 15 | 1434 | 15 |
| 17 | 1440 | 15 | 1438 | 15 |
| 18 | 1444 | 15 | 1443 | 15 |
| 19 | 1449 | 14 | 1447 | 15 |
| 20 | 1453 | 14 | 1451 | 14 |
| 21 | 1457 | 14 | 1455 | 14 |
| 22 | 1461 | 14 | 1459 | 14 |
| 23 | 1464 | 14 | 1463 | 14 |
| 24 | 1468 | 14 | 1467 | 14 |
| 25 | 1472 | 14 | 1471 | 14 |
| 26 | 1476 | 14 | 1475 | 14 |
| 27 | 1479 | 14 | 1479 | 14 |
| 28 | 1483 | 14 | 1483 | 14 |
| 29 | 1487 | 14 | 1486 | 14 |
| 30 | 1491 | 14 | 1490 | 14 |
| 31 | 1494 | 14 | 1494 | 14 |
| 32 | 1498 | 14 | 1498 | 14 |
| 33 | 1502 | 14 | 1502 | 14 |
| 34 | 1506 | 14 | 1506 | 14 |
| 35 | 1510 | 14 | 1510 | 14 |
| 36 | 1514 | 14 | 1515 | 14 |


| RS | Preequating SS | Preequating SEM | Post-equating SS | Post-equating SEM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37 | 1518 | 14 | 1519 | 15 |
| 38 | 1522 | 15 | 1523 | 15 |
| 39 | 1526 | 15 | 1528 | 15 |
| 40 | 1531 | 15 | 1532 | 15 |
| 41 | 1535 | 15 | 1537 | 15 |
| 42 | 1540 | 16 | 1542 | 16 |
| 43 | 1545 | 16 | 1547 | 16 |
| 44 | 1550 | 16 | 1552 | 16 |
| 45 | 1556 | 17 | 1558 | 17 |
| 46 | 1562 | 17 | 1563 | 17 |
| 47 | 1568 | 18 | 1570 | 18 |
| 48 | 1575 | 19 | 1576 | 19 |
| 49 | 1582 | 19 | 1584 | 19 |
| 50 | 1590 | 20 | 1592 | 20 |
| 51 | 1598 | 21 | 1600 | 21 |
| 52 | 1608 | 23 | 1610 | 23 |
| 53 | 1619 | 24 | 1621 | 24 |
| 54 | 1632 | 26 | 1634 | 27 |
| 55 | 1647 | 29 | 1650 | 29 |
| 56 | 1666 | 33 | 1669 | 33 |
| 57 | 1690 | 38 | 1693 | 37 |
| 58 | 1724 | 45 | 1726 | 44 |
| 59 | 1776 | 58 | 1775 | 57 |
| 60 | 1800 | 96 | 1800 | 67 |

Table L-14. Raw-to-Scaled Score Comparison for Biology: Spring

| RS | Preequating SS | Preequating SEM | Post-equating SS | Post-equating SEM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1205 | 92 | 1208 | 92 |
| 1 | 1267 | 51 | 1269 | 51 |
| 2 | 1304 | 37 | 1305 | 36 |
| 3 | 1326 | 30 | 1327 | 30 |
| 4 | 1342 | 27 | 1343 | 26 |
| 5 | 1355 | 24 | 1356 | 24 |
| 6 | 1366 | 22 | 1366 | 22 |
| 7 | 1375 | 21 | 1376 | 21 |
| 8 | 1384 | 20 | 1384 | 20 |
| 9 | 1391 | 19 | 1391 | 19 |
| 10 | 1398 | 18 | 1398 | 18 |
| 11 | 1405 | 18 | 1404 | 17 |
| 12 | 1411 | 17 | 1410 | 17 |
| 13 | 1416 | 17 | 1416 | 16 |
| 14 | 1422 | 16 | 1421 | 16 |
| 15 | 1427 | 16 | 1426 | 16 |
| 16 | 1431 | 15 | 1431 | 15 |
| 17 | 1436 | 15 | 1435 | 15 |
| 18 | 1441 | 15 | 1440 | 15 |
| 19 | 1445 | 15 | 1444 | 15 |
| 20 | 1449 | 14 | 1448 | 14 |
| 21 | 1453 | 14 | 1452 | 14 |
| 22 | 1457 | 14 | 1456 | 14 |
| 23 | 1461 | 14 | 1460 | 14 |
| 24 | 1465 | 14 | 1464 | 14 |
| 25 | 1469 | 14 | 1468 | 14 |
| 26 | 1472 | 13 | 1471 | 13 |
| 27 | 1476 | 13 | 1475 | 13 |
| 28 | 1480 | 13 | 1479 | 13 |
| 29 | 1483 | 13 | 1482 | 13 |
| 30 | 1487 | 13 | 1486 | 13 |
| 31 | 1490 | 13 | 1489 | 13 |
| 32 | 1493 | 13 | 1493 | 13 |
| 33 | 1497 | 13 | 1496 | 13 |
| 34 | 1500 | 13 | 1499 | 13 |
| 35 | 1504 | 13 | 1503 | 13 |
| 36 | 1507 | 13 | 1506 | 13 |


| RS | Preequating SS | Preequating SEM | Post-equating SS | Post-equating SEM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37 | 1510 | 13 | 1510 | 13 |
| 38 | 1514 | 13 | 1513 | 13 |
| 39 | 1517 | 13 | 1517 | 13 |
| 40 | 1521 | 13 | 1520 | 13 |
| 41 | 1524 | 13 | 1524 | 13 |
| 42 | 1528 | 13 | 1527 | 13 |
| 43 | 1531 | 13 | 1531 | 14 |
| 44 | 1535 | 14 | 1535 | 14 |
| 45 | 1539 | 14 | 1538 | 14 |
| 46 | 1543 | 14 | 1542 | 14 |
| 47 | 1546 | 14 | 1546 | 14 |
| 48 | 1551 | 14 | 1550 | 14 |
| 49 | 1555 | 15 | 1554 | 15 |
| 50 | 1559 | 15 | 1559 | 15 |
| 51 | 1564 | 15 | 1563 | 15 |
| 52 | 1568 | 16 | 1568 | 16 |
| 53 | 1573 | 16 | 1573 | 16 |
| 54 | 1579 | 17 | 1579 | 17 |
| 55 | 1584 | 17 | 1584 | 17 |
| 56 | 1590 | 18 | 1591 | 18 |
| 57 | 1597 | 19 | 1597 | 19 |
| 58 | 1605 | 20 | 1605 | 20 |
| 59 | 1613 | 21 | 1613 | 21 |
| 60 | 1622 | 22 | 1623 | 23 |
| 61 | 1633 | 24 | 1634 | 25 |
| 62 | 1646 | 27 | 1648 | 27 |
| 63 | 1662 | 31 | 1665 | 31 |
| 64 | 1685 | 37 | 1688 | 38 |
| 65 | 1723 | 51 | 1727 | 52 |
| 66 | 1785 | 92 | 1790 | 93 |

Table L-15. Raw-to-Scaled Score Comparison for Literature: Spring

| RS | Preequating SS | Preequating SEM | Post-equating SS | Post-equating SEM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1201 | 92 | 1203 | 92 |
| 1 | 1263 | 51 | 1265 | 51 |
| 2 | 1299 | 37 | 1301 | 37 |
| 3 | 1321 | 30 | 1323 | 30 |
| 4 | 1337 | 27 | 1339 | 27 |
| 5 | 1350 | 24 | 1352 | 24 |
| 6 | 1361 | 22 | 1362 | 22 |
| 7 | 1371 | 21 | 1372 | 21 |
| 8 | 1379 | 20 | 1380 | 20 |
| 9 | 1387 | 19 | 1388 | 19 |
| 10 | 1394 | 19 | 1395 | 18 |
| 11 | 1401 | 18 | 1402 | 18 |
| 12 | 1407 | 17 | 1408 | 17 |
| 13 | 1413 | 17 | 1414 | 17 |
| 14 | 1418 | 17 | 1419 | 17 |
| 15 | 1424 | 16 | 1425 | 16 |
| 16 | 1429 | 16 | 1430 | 16 |
| 17 | 1434 | 16 | 1435 | 16 |
| 18 | 1439 | 16 | 1440 | 16 |
| 19 | 1444 | 16 | 1444 | 15 |
| 20 | 1449 | 15 | 1449 | 15 |
| 21 | 1454 | 15 | 1454 | 15 |
| 22 | 1458 | 15 | 1458 | 15 |
| 23 | 1463 | 15 | 1463 | 15 |
| 24 | 1468 | 15 | 1468 | 15 |
| 25 | 1472 | 15 | 1472 | 15 |
| 26 | 1477 | 15 | 1477 | 15 |
| 27 | 1481 | 15 | 1481 | 15 |
| 28 | 1486 | 15 | 1486 | 15 |
| 29 | 1491 | 15 | 1491 | 15 |
| 30 | 1496 | 16 | 1495 | 15 |
| 31 | 1501 | 16 | 1500 | 16 |
| 32 | 1506 | 16 | 1505 | 16 |
| 33 | 1511 | 16 | 1510 | 16 |
| 34 | 1516 | 16 | 1515 | 16 |
| 35 | 1521 | 17 | 1521 | 17 |
| 36 | 1527 | 17 | 1526 | 17 |


| RS | Preequating SS | Preequating <br> SEM | Post-equating <br> SS | Post-equating <br> SEM |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 37 | 1533 | 17 | 1532 | 17 |
| 38 | 1539 | 18 | 1538 | 18 |
| 39 | 1545 | 18 | 1544 | 18 |
| 40 | 1552 | 19 | 1551 | 19 |
| 41 | 1559 | 19 | 1558 | 19 |
| 42 | 1567 | 20 | 1566 | 20 |
| 43 | 1575 | 21 | 1574 | 21 |
| 44 | 1594 | 22 | 1583 | 22 |
| 45 | 1605 | 23 | 1593 | 23 |
| 46 | 1617 | 24 | 1604 | 24 |
| 47 | 1632 | 26 | 1616 | 26 |
| 48 | 1650 | 28 | 1630 | 28 |
| 49 | 1674 | 32 | 1648 | 32 |
| 50 | 1712 | 38 | 1672 | 38 |
| 51 | 1775 | 52 | 1710 | 52 |
| 52 |  | 92 | 1773 | 92 |

Table L-16. Raw-to-Scaled Score Comparison for Algebra I: Summer

| RS | Preequating SS | Preequating SEM | Post-equating All Items SS | Post-equating All Items SEM | Post-equating <br> Outlier <br> Removed SS | Post-equating Outlier Removed SEM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1210 | 92 | 1202 | 92 | 1202 | 92 |
| 1 | 1272 | 51 | 1263 | 51 | 1264 | 51 |
| 2 | 1308 | 37 | 1300 | 37 | 1300 | 37 |
| 3 | 1330 | 30 | 1322 | 31 | 1323 | 31 |
| 4 | 1346 | 27 | 1339 | 27 | 1339 | 27 |
| 5 | 1359 | 24 | 1352 | 25 | 1352 | 25 |
| 6 | 1370 | 22 | 1363 | 23 | 1364 | 23 |
| 7 | 1380 | 21 | 1373 | 21 | 1373 | 21 |
| 8 | 1388 | 20 | 1382 | 20 | 1382 | 20 |
| 9 | 1396 | 19 | 1389 | 19 | 1390 | 19 |
| 10 | 1403 | 18 | 1397 | 19 | 1397 | 19 |
| 11 | 1409 | 18 | 1403 | 18 | 1404 | 18 |
| 12 | 1416 | 17 | 1410 | 18 | 1410 | 18 |
| 13 | 1421 | 17 | 1416 | 17 | 1416 | 17 |
| 14 | 1427 | 16 | 1422 | 17 | 1422 | 17 |
| 15 | 1432 | 16 | 1427 | 16 | 1427 | 16 |
| 16 | 1437 | 16 | 1432 | 16 | 1433 | 16 |
| 17 | 1442 | 15 | 1437 | 16 | 1438 | 16 |
| 18 | 1446 | 15 | 1442 | 16 | 1443 | 16 |
| 19 | 1451 | 15 | 1447 | 15 | 1447 | 15 |
| 20 | 1455 | 15 | 1451 | 15 | 1452 | 15 |
| 21 | 1460 | 15 | 1456 | 15 | 1456 | 15 |
| 22 | 1464 | 14 | 1460 | 15 | 1461 | 15 |
| 23 | 1468 | 14 | 1465 | 15 | 1465 | 15 |
| 24 | 1472 | 14 | 1469 | 15 | 1470 | 15 |
| 25 | 1476 | 14 | 1473 | 14 | 1474 | 14 |
| 26 | 1480 | 14 | 1477 | 14 | 1478 | 14 |
| 27 | 1484 | 14 | 1482 | 14 | 1482 | 14 |
| 28 | 1487 | 14 | 1486 | 14 | 1486 | 14 |
| 29 | 1491 | 14 | 1490 | 14 | 1490 | 14 |
| 30 | 1495 | 14 | 1494 | 14 | 1494 | 14 |
| 31 | 1499 | 14 | 1498 | 14 | 1499 | 14 |
| 32 | 1503 | 14 | 1502 | 14 | 1503 | 14 |
| 33 | 1507 | 14 | 1506 | 14 | 1507 | 14 |
| 34 | 1510 | 14 | 1511 | 14 | 1511 | 14 |
| 35 | 1514 | 14 | 1515 | 15 | 1515 | 15 |
| 36 | 1518 | 14 | 1519 | 15 | 1519 | 15 |


| RS | Preequating SS | Preequating SEM | Post-equating All Items SS | Post-equating All Items SEM | Post-equating Outlier Removed SS | Post-equating Outlier Removed SEM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37 | 1522 | 14 | 1523 | 15 | 1524 | 15 |
| 38 | 1526 | 14 | 1528 | 15 | 1528 | 15 |
| 39 | 1531 | 15 | 1532 | 15 | 1533 | 15 |
| 40 | 1535 | 15 | 1537 | 15 | 1537 | 15 |
| 41 | 1539 | 15 | 1542 | 16 | 1542 | 16 |
| 42 | 1544 | 15 | 1547 | 16 | 1547 | 16 |
| 43 | 1548 | 15 | 1552 | 16 | 1552 | 16 |
| 44 | 1553 | 16 | 1557 | 16 | 1557 | 16 |
| 45 | 1558 | 16 | 1563 | 17 | 1563 | 17 |
| 46 | 1564 | 17 | 1568 | 17 | 1569 | 17 |
| 47 | 1569 | 17 | 1574 | 18 | 1575 | 18 |
| 48 | 1575 | 18 | 1581 | 18 | 1581 | 18 |
| 49 | 1582 | 18 | 1588 | 19 | 1588 | 19 |
| 50 | 1588 | 19 | 1595 | 19 | 1595 | 19 |
| 51 | 1596 | 20 | 1603 | 20 | 1603 | 20 |
| 52 | 1604 | 21 | 1611 | 21 | 1612 | 21 |
| 53 | 1613 | 22 | 1621 | 22 | 1622 | 22 |
| 54 | 1623 | 23 | 1632 | 24 | 1632 | 24 |
| 55 | 1635 | 25 | 1644 | 26 | 1645 | 26 |
| 56 | 1649 | 28 | 1659 | 29 | 1660 | 29 |
| 57 | 1667 | 32 | 1678 | 33 | 1679 | 33 |
| 58 | 1691 | 38 | 1704 | 39 | 1704 | 39 |
| 59 | 1729 | 52 | 1744 | 53 | 1745 | 53 |
| 60 | 1793 | 93 | 1800 | 93 | 1800 | 93 |

Table L-17. Raw-to-Scaled Score Comparison for Biology: Summer

| RS | Preequating SS | Preequating SEM | Post-equating SS | Post-equating SEM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1220 | 92 | 1218 | 92 |
| 1 | 1281 | 51 | 1279 | 51 |
| 2 | 1317 | 36 | 1315 | 36 |
| 3 | 1338 | 30 | 1337 | 30 |
| 4 | 1354 | 26 | 1352 | 26 |
| 5 | 1366 | 24 | 1364 | 24 |
| 6 | 1376 | 22 | 1375 | 22 |
| 7 | 1385 | 20 | 1383 | 20 |
| 8 | 1393 | 19 | 1391 | 19 |
| 9 | 1400 | 18 | 1398 | 18 |
| 10 | 1407 | 18 | 1405 | 18 |
| 11 | 1413 | 17 | 1411 | 17 |
| 12 | 1418 | 16 | 1416 | 16 |
| 13 | 1424 | 16 | 1422 | 16 |
| 14 | 1429 | 16 | 1427 | 16 |
| 15 | 1433 | 15 | 1431 | 15 |
| 16 | 1438 | 15 | 1436 | 15 |
| 17 | 1442 | 15 | 1440 | 15 |
| 18 | 1447 | 14 | 1444 | 14 |
| 19 | 1451 | 14 | 1448 | 14 |
| 20 | 1455 | 14 | 1452 | 14 |
| 21 | 1458 | 14 | 1456 | 14 |
| 22 | 1462 | 14 | 1460 | 14 |
| 23 | 1466 | 14 | 1464 | 13 |
| 24 | 1470 | 13 | 1467 | 13 |
| 25 | 1473 | 13 | 1471 | 13 |
| 26 | 1477 | 13 | 1474 | 13 |
| 27 | 1480 | 13 | 1478 | 13 |
| 28 | 1483 | 13 | 1481 | 13 |
| 29 | 1487 | 13 | 1484 | 13 |
| 30 | 1490 | 13 | 1488 | 13 |
| 31 | 1493 | 13 | 1491 | 13 |
| 32 | 1497 | 13 | 1494 | 13 |
| 33 | 1500 | 13 | 1498 | 13 |
| 34 | 1503 | 13 | 1501 | 13 |
| 35 | 1507 | 13 | 1504 | 13 |
| 36 | 1510 | 13 | 1508 | 13 |


| RS | Preequating SS | Preequating SEM | Post-equating SS | Post-equating SEM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37 | 1513 | 13 | 1511 | 13 |
| 38 | 1516 | 13 | 1515 | 13 |
| 39 | 1520 | 13 | 1518 | 13 |
| 40 | 1523 | 13 | 1522 | 13 |
| 41 | 1526 | 13 | 1525 | 13 |
| 42 | 1530 | 13 | 1529 | 14 |
| 43 | 1533 | 13 | 1533 | 14 |
| 44 | 1537 | 13 | 1536 | 14 |
| 45 | 1540 | 14 | 1540 | 14 |
| 46 | 1544 | 14 | 1544 | 14 |
| 47 | 1548 | 14 | 1548 | 14 |
| 48 | 1552 | 14 | 1553 | 15 |
| 49 | 1556 | 14 | 1557 | 15 |
| 50 | 1560 | 15 | 1562 | 15 |
| 51 | 1564 | 15 | 1566 | 16 |
| 52 | 1569 | 15 | 1571 | 16 |
| 53 | 1574 | 16 | 1576 | 16 |
| 54 | 1579 | 16 | 1582 | 17 |
| 55 | 1584 | 17 | 1588 | 17 |
| 56 | 1590 | 17 | 1594 | 18 |
| 57 | 1596 | 18 | 1600 | 18 |
| 58 | 1603 | 19 | 1607 | 19 |
| 59 | 1610 | 20 | 1615 | 20 |
| 60 | 1618 | 21 | 1624 | 22 |
| 61 | 1628 | 23 | 1634 | 24 |
| 62 | 1640 | 25 | 1647 | 26 |
| 63 | 1655 | 29 | 1662 | 30 |
| 64 | 1675 | 36 | 1684 | 36 |
| 65 | 1710 | 50 | 1720 | 51 |
| 66 | 1770 | 91 | 1782 | 92 |

Table L-18. Raw-to-Scaled Score Comparison for Literature: Summer

| RS | Preequating SS | Preequating SEM | Post-equating All Items SS | Post-equating All Items SEM | Post-equating Outlier Removed SS | Post-equating Outlier Removed SEM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1200 | 90 | 1200 | 92 | 1200 | 92 |
| 1 | 1259 | 51 | 1250 | 51 | 1251 | 51 |
| 2 | 1296 | 37 | 1287 | 37 | 1288 | 37 |
| 3 | 1318 | 30 | 1309 | 31 | 1310 | 31 |
| 4 | 1334 | 27 | 1326 | 27 | 1326 | 27 |
| 5 | 1347 | 24 | 1339 | 25 | 1340 | 25 |
| 6 | 1358 | 23 | 1350 | 23 | 1351 | 23 |
| 7 | 1368 | 21 | 1360 | 22 | 1361 | 22 |
| 8 | 1376 | 20 | 1369 | 21 | 1370 | 21 |
| 9 | 1384 | 19 | 1377 | 20 | 1378 | 20 |
| 10 | 1391 | 19 | 1385 | 19 | 1385 | 19 |
| 11 | 1398 | 18 | 1392 | 18 | 1392 | 18 |
| 12 | 1404 | 18 | 1398 | 18 | 1399 | 18 |
| 13 | 1410 | 17 | 1404 | 18 | 1405 | 18 |
| 14 | 1416 | 17 | 1411 | 17 | 1411 | 17 |
| 15 | 1421 | 16 | 1416 | 17 | 1417 | 17 |
| 16 | 1427 | 16 | 1422 | 17 | 1423 | 17 |
| 17 | 1432 | 16 | 1428 | 17 | 1428 | 17 |
| 18 | 1437 | 16 | 1433 | 16 | 1433 | 16 |
| 19 | 1442 | 16 | 1438 | 16 | 1439 | 16 |
| 20 | 1447 | 16 | 1443 | 16 | 1444 | 16 |
| 21 | 1451 | 15 | 1449 | 16 | 1449 | 16 |
| 22 | 1456 | 15 | 1454 | 16 | 1454 | 16 |
| 23 | 1461 | 15 | 1459 | 16 | 1459 | 16 |
| 24 | 1465 | 15 | 1464 | 16 | 1464 | 16 |
| 25 | 1470 | 15 | 1469 | 16 | 1469 | 16 |
| 26 | 1475 | 15 | 1474 | 16 | 1474 | 16 |
| 27 | 1480 | 15 | 1479 | 16 | 1480 | 16 |
| 28 | 1484 | 15 | 1484 | 16 | 1485 | 16 |
| 29 | 1489 | 16 | 1489 | 16 | 1490 | 16 |
| 30 | 1494 | 16 | 1495 | 16 | 1495 | 16 |
| 31 | 1499 | 16 | 1500 | 16 | 1500 | 16 |
| 32 | 1504 | 16 | 1505 | 17 | 1506 | 17 |
| 33 | 1509 | 16 | 1511 | 17 | 1511 | 17 |
| 34 | 1514 | 16 | 1517 | 17 | 1517 | 17 |
| 35 | 1520 | 17 | 1522 | 17 | 1523 | 17 |
| 36 | 1526 | 17 | 1528 | 18 | 1529 | 18 |


| RS | Preequating SS | Preequating <br> SEM | Post-equating <br> All Items SS |  | Post-equating <br> All Items SEM |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Post-equating |  |  |  |  |  |
| Outlier |  |  |  |  |  |
| Removed SS |  |  |  |  |  | | Post-equating <br> Outlier <br> Removed SEM |
| :--- |
| 37 |
| 38 |

## APPENDIX M: RELIABILITIES

Table M-1. Reliabilities

| Column Heading | Definition |
| :--- | :--- |
| Level | Total test or module level |
| Group | Student group: all students or subgroup |
| Pts. | Max points possible |
| Len. | Test length |
| N | Number of students |
| Mean | Mean of raw score |
| SD | Standard deviation of raw score |
| r | Reliability coefficient: Cronbach's alpha |
| SEM | Standard error of measurement |

Note: "DNR" in the tables below represents "Do Not Report". This happened only when the $N$ count was small.

Table M-2. Winter: Algebra I Reliabilities


Table M-2. Winter: Algebra I Reliabilities

|  | Level | Group | Pts. | Len. | $N$ | Mean | SD | r | SEM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELL | Total | All | 60 | 42 | 2,779 | 14.24 | 6.89 | 0.79 | 3.18 |
|  | Module 1 | All | 30 | 21 | 2,779 | 7.01 | 3.94 | 0.68 | 2.24 |
|  | Module 2 | All | 30 | 21 | 2,779 | 7.23 | 3.71 | 0.63 | 2.25 |
|  | Level | Group | Pts. | Len. | $N$ | Mean | SD | r | SEM |
| IEP | Total | All | 60 | 42 | 9,611 | 15.81 | 7.41 | 0.80 | 3.29 |
|  | Module 1 | All | 30 | 21 | 9,611 | 7.70 | 4.06 | 0.67 | 2.33 |
|  | Module 2 | All | 30 | 21 | 9,611 | 8.11 | 4.09 | 0.68 | 2.32 |
|  | Level | Group | Pts. | Len. | $N$ | Mean | SD | r | SEM |
| ED | Total | All | 60 | 42 | 28,344 | 18.57 | 8.38 | 0.83 | 3.45 |
|  | Module 1 | All | 30 | 21 | 28,344 | 9.13 | 4.63 | 0.72 | 2.45 |
|  | Module 2 | All | 30 | 21 | 28,344 | 9.44 | 4.49 | 0.71 | 2.43 |

Table M-3. Winter: Biology Reliabilities


Table M-3. Winter: Biology Reliabilities

|  | Level | Group | Pts. | Len. | $N$ | Mean | SD | r | SEM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELL | Total | All | 60 | 42 | 1,762 | 18.10 | 7.03 | 0.75 | 3.51 |
|  | Module 1 | All | 30 | 21 | 1,762 | 9.37 | 4.21 | 0.65 | 2.49 |
|  | Module 2 | All | 30 | 21 | 1,762 | 8.73 | 3.65 | 0.54 | 2.47 |
|  | Level | Group | Pts. | Len. | $N$ | Mean | SD | r | SEM |
| IEP | Total | All | 60 | 42 | 7,883 | 21.28 | 8.47 | 0.82 | 3.60 |
|  | Module 1 | All | 30 | 21 | 7,883 | 10.95 | 4.83 | 0.72 | 2.54 |
|  | Module 2 | All | 30 | 21 | 7,883 | 10.33 | 4.44 | 0.67 | 2.54 |
|  | Level | Group | Pts. | Len. | $N$ | Mean | SD | r | SEM |
| ED | Total | All | 60 | 42 | 20,563 | 24.32 | 10.00 | 0.87 | 3.66 |
|  | Module 1 | All | 30 | 21 | 20,563 | 12.47 | 5.46 | 0.78 | 2.59 |
|  | Module 2 | All | 30 | 21 | 20,563 | 11.85 | 5.25 | 0.76 | 2.58 |

Table M-4. Winter: Literature Reliabilities


Table M-4. Winter: Literature Reliabilities

|  | Level | Group | Pts. | Len. | $N$ | Mean | SD | r | SEM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELL | Total | All | 60 | 42 | 1,617 | 16.19 | 6.69 | 0.80 | 3.03 |
|  | Module 1 | All | 30 | 21 | 1,617 | 8.54 | 3.86 | 0.69 | 2.14 |
|  | Module 2 | All | 30 | 21 | 1,617 | 7.65 | 3.54 | 0.64 | 2.14 |
|  | Level | Group | Pts. | Len. | $N$ | Mean | SD | $r$ | SEM |
| IEP | Total | All | 60 | 42 | 7,806 | 18.78 | 8.03 | 0.86 | 3.05 |
|  | Module 1 | All | 30 | 21 | 7,806 | 9.98 | 4.46 | 0.76 | 2.17 |
|  | Module 2 | All | 30 | 21 | 7,806 | 8.79 | 4.18 | 0.74 | 2.14 |
|  | Level | Group | Pts. | Len. | N | Mean | SD | r | SEM |
| ED | Total | All | 60 | 42 | 18,036 | 22.38 | 9.22 | 0.89 | 3.12 |
|  | Module 1 | All | 30 | 21 | 18,036 | 11.88 | 5.00 | 0.81 | 2.20 |
|  | Module 2 | All | 30 | 21 | 18,036 | 10.50 | 4.80 | 0.79 | 2.21 |

Table M-5. Spring: Algebra Reliabilities


Table M-5. Spring: Algebra Reliabilities

|  | Level | Group | Pts. | Len. | $N$ | Mean | SD | $r$ | SEM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELL | Total | All | 60 | 42 | 6,789 | 17.26 | 9.41 | 0.88 | 3.30 |
|  | Module 1 | All | 30 | 21 | 6,789 | 8.70 | 5.13 | 0.79 | 2.38 |
|  | Module 2 | All | 30 | 21 | 6,789 | 8.57 | 4.84 | 0.78 | 2.29 |
|  | Level | Group | Pts. | Len. | $N$ | Mean | SD | r | SEM |
| IEP | Total | All | 60 | 42 | 26,135 | 18.75 | 9.91 | 0.88 | 3.39 |
|  | Module 1 | All | 30 | 21 | 26,135 | 9.23 | 5.26 | 0.79 | 2.42 |
|  | Module 2 | All | 30 | 21 | 26,135 | 9.52 | 5.21 | 0.79 | 2.37 |
|  | Level | Group | Pts. | Len. | $N$ | Mean | SD | r | SEM |
| ED | Total | All | 60 | 42 | 76,628 | 24.25 | 11.74 | 0.91 | 3.56 |
|  | Module 1 | All | 30 | 21 | 76,628 | 12.09 | 6.20 | 0.83 | 2.53 |
|  | Module 2 | All | 30 | 21 | 76,628 | 12.16 | 6.05 | 0.83 | 2.51 |

Table M-6. Spring: Biology Reliabilities


Table M-6. Spring: Biology Reliabilities

|  | Level | Group | Pts. | Len. | $N$ | Mean | SD | r | SEM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELL | Total | All | 60 | 42 | 5,215 | 19.70 | 9.32 | 0.86 | 3.46 |
|  | Module 1 | All | 30 | 21 | 5,215 | 9.74 | 5.24 | 0.78 | 2.44 |
|  | Module 2 | All | 30 | 21 | 5,215 | 9.95 | 4.75 | 0.73 | 2.45 |
|  | Level | Group | Pts. | Len. | $N$ | Mean | SD | r | SEM |
| IEP | Total | All | 60 | 42 | 22,889 | 22.87 | 11.13 | 0.90 | 3.53 |
|  | Module 1 | All | 30 | 21 | 22,889 | 11.32 | 6.03 | 0.83 | 2.50 |
|  | Module 2 | All | 30 | 21 | 22,889 | 11.56 | 5.70 | 0.81 | 2.49 |
|  | Level | Group | Pts. | Len. | $N$ | Mean | SD | r | SEM |
| ED | Total | All | 60 | 42 | 63,353 | 27.94 | 13.18 | 0.92 | 3.63 |
|  | Module 1 | All | 30 | 21 | 63,353 | 13.90 | 7.06 | 0.87 | 2.55 |
|  | Module 2 | All | 30 | 21 | 63,353 | 14.05 | 6.66 | 0.85 | 2.58 |

Table M-7. Spring: Literature Reliabilities


Table M-7. Spring: Literature Reliabilities

|  | Level | Group | Pts. | Len. | $N$ | Mean | SD | $r$ | SEM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELL | Total | All | 60 | 42 | 4,282 | 17.81 | 8.21 | 0.85 | 3.21 |
|  | Module 1 | All | 30 | 21 | 4,282 | 8.72 | 4.25 | 0.72 | 2.25 |
|  | Module 2 | All | 30 | 21 | 4,282 | 9.09 | 4.60 | 0.75 | 2.29 |
|  | Level | Group | Pts. | Len. | $N$ | Mean | SD | r | SEM |
| IEP | Total | All | 60 | 42 | 21,725 | 20.99 | 9.53 | 0.89 | 3.16 |
|  | Module 1 | All | 30 | 21 | 21,725 | 10.50 | 4.91 | 0.79 | 2.24 |
|  | Module 2 | All | 30 | 21 | 21,725 | 10.49 | 5.18 | 0.81 | 2.23 |
|  | Level | Group | Pts. | Len. | $N$ | Mean | SD | r | SEM |
| ED | Total | All | 60 | 42 | 57,488 | 26.86 | 10.75 | 0.91 | 3.18 |
|  | Module 1 | All | 30 | 21 | 57,488 | 13.35 | 5.47 | 0.83 | 2.25 |
|  | Module 2 | All | 30 | 21 | 57,488 | 13.51 | 5.79 | 0.85 | 2.24 |

Table M-8. Summer: Algebra Reliabilities


Table M-8. Summer: Algebra Reliabilities

|  | Level | Group | Pts. | Len. | $N$ | Mean | SD | r | SEM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELL | Total | All | 60 | 42 | 17 | 25.88 | 7.60 | 0.78 | 3.57 |
|  | Module 1 | All | 30 | 21 | 17 | 13.06 | 4.21 | 0.67 | 2.42 |
|  | Module 2 | All | 30 | 21 | 17 | 12.82 | 4.16 | 0.60 | 2.63 |
|  | Level | Group | Pts. | Len. | $N$ | Mean | SD | r | SEM |
| IEP | Total | All | 60 | 42 | 178 | 22.58 | 8.71 | 0.85 | 3.41 |
|  | Module 1 | All | 30 | 21 | 178 | 11.46 | 4.67 | 0.73 | 2.41 |
|  | Module 2 | All | 30 | 21 | 178 | 11.12 | 4.78 | 0.75 | 2.40 |
|  | Level | Group | Pts. | Len. | $N$ | Mean | SD | r | SEM |
| ED | Total | All | 60 | 42 | 400 | 27.11 | 8.38 | 0.83 | 3.48 |
|  | Module 1 | All | 30 | 21 | 400 | 14.01 | 4.55 | 0.72 | 2.40 |
|  | Module 2 | All | 30 | 21 | 400 | 13.11 | 4.57 | 0.70 | 2.51 |

Table M-9. Summer: Biology Reliabilities

|  | Level | Group | Pts. | Len. | N | Mean | SD | r | SEM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall | Total | All | 60 | 42 | 886 | 31.03 | 11.01 | 0.88 | 3.79 |
|  | Module 1 | All | 30 | 21 | 886 | 15.90 | 5.99 | 0.80 | 2.69 |
|  | Module 2 | All | 30 | 21 | 886 | 15.13 | 5.76 | 0.79 | 2.66 |
|  | Level | Group | Pts. | Len. | $N$ | Mean | SD | r | SEM |
| Gender | Total | Female | 60 | 42 | 435 | 31.78 | 10.94 | 0.88 | 3.80 |
|  |  | Male | 60 | 42 | 420 | 30.60 | 11.04 | 0.88 | 3.78 |
|  | Module 1 | Female | 30 | 21 | 435 | 16.18 | 5.96 | 0.80 | 2.69 |
|  |  | Male | 30 | 21 | 420 | 15.78 | 5.99 | 0.80 | 2.70 |
|  | Module 2 | Female | 30 | 21 | 435 | 15.60 | 5.75 | 0.78 | 2.68 |
|  |  | Male | 30 | 21 | 420 | 14.82 | 5.74 | 0.79 | 2.64 |
|  | Level | Group | Pts. | Len. | $N$ | Mean | SD | r | SEM |
| Ethnicity | Total | African American | 60 | 42 | 68 | 27.62 | 9.18 | 0.83 | 3.76 |
|  |  | American Indian | 60 | 42 |  | DNR | DNR | DNR | DNR |
|  |  | Asian | 60 | 42 | 47 | 46.00 | 15.21 | 0.95 | 3.41 |
|  |  | Hispanic | 60 | 42 | 109 | 29.41 | 9.67 | 0.85 | 3.80 |
|  |  | Multi-racial | 60 | 42 | 23 | 30.17 | 10.27 | 0.86 | 3.82 |
|  |  | Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander | 60 | 42 | 3 | DNR | DNR | DNR | DNR |
|  |  | White | 60 | 42 | 608 | 30.79 | 10.19 | 0.86 | 3.80 |
|  | Module 1 | African American | 30 | 21 | 68 | 14.37 | 5.32 | 0.75 | 2.68 |
|  | - | American Indian | 30 | 21 |  | DNR | DNR | DNR | DNR |
|  |  | Asian | 30 | 21 | 47 | 23.83 | 7.56 | 0.90 | 2.37 |
|  | - | Hispanic | 30 | 21 | 109 | 15.12 | 5.55 | 0.76 | 2.70 |
|  |  | Multi-racial | 30 | 21 | 23 | 15.52 | 5.27 | 0.74 | 2.68 |
|  |  | Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander | 30 | 21 | 3 | DNR | DNR | DNR | DNR |
|  |  | White | 30 | 21 | 608 | 15.71 | 5.57 | 0.76 | 2.70 |
|  | Module 2 | African American | 30 | 21 | 68 | 13.25 | 4.93 | 0.72 | 2.61 |
|  | - | American Indian | 30 | 21 |  | DNR | DNR | DNR | DNR |
|  |  | Asian | 30 | 21 | 47 | 22.17 | 7.92 | 0.90 | 2.46 |
|  | - | Hispanic | 30 | 21 | 109 | 14.29 | 5.04 | 0.72 | 2.66 |
|  |  | Multi-racial | 30 | 21 | 23 | 14.65 | 5.97 | 0.79 | 2.70 |
|  |  | Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander | 30 | 21 | 3 | DNR | DNR | DNR | DNR |
|  |  | White | 30 | 21 | 608 | 15.08 | 5.39 | 0.76 | 2.66 |

Table M-9. Summer: Biology Reliabilities

|  | Level | Group | Pts. | Len. | $N$ | Mean | SD | r | SEM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELL | Total | All | 60 | 42 | 21 | 25.86 | 9.70 | 0.85 | 3.74 |
|  | Module 1 | All | 30 | 21 | 21 | 14.00 | 6.25 | 0.82 | 2.68 |
|  | Module 2 | All | 30 | 21 | 21 | 11.86 | 4.08 | 0.59 | 2.60 |
|  | Level | Group | Pts. | Len. | $N$ | Mean | SD | r | SEM |
| IEP | Total | All | 60 | 42 | 142 | 27.82 | 10.34 | 0.87 | 3.76 |
|  | Module 1 | All | 30 | 21 | 142 | 14.52 | 5.96 | 0.80 | 2.68 |
|  | Module 2 | All | 30 | 21 | 142 | 13.30 | 5.25 | 0.75 | 2.61 |
|  | Level | Group | Pts. | Len. | $N$ | Mean | SD | r | SEM |
| ED | Total | All | 60 | 42 | 239 | 28.79 | 9.37 | 0.84 | 3.77 |
|  | Module 1 | All | 30 | 21 | 239 | 14.98 | 5.39 | 0.75 | 2.68 |
|  | Module 2 | All | 30 | 21 | 239 | 13.81 | 4.87 | 0.71 | 2.64 |

Table M-10. Summer: Literature Reliabilities

|  | Level | Group | Pts. | Len. | $N$ | Mean | SD | r | SEM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall | Total | All | 60 | 42 | 407 | 28.61 | 7.44 | 0.83 | 3.07 |
|  | Module 1 | All | 30 | 21 | 407 | 13.85 | 3.89 | 0.67 | 2.22 |
|  | Module 2 | All | 30 | 21 | 407 | 14.77 | 4.21 | 0.75 | 2.11 |
|  | Level | Group | Pts. | Len. | $N$ | Mean | SD | r | SEM |
| Gender | Total | Female | 60 | 42 | 154 | 29.68 | 7.34 | 0.83 | 3.03 |
|  |  | Male | 60 | 42 | 244 | 27.96 | 7.51 | 0.83 | 3.09 |
|  | Module 1 | Female | 30 | 21 | 154 | 14.47 | 3.95 | 0.69 | 2.20 |
|  |  | Male | 30 | 21 | 244 | 13.43 | 3.84 | 0.66 | 2.23 |
|  | Module 2 | Female | 30 | 21 | 154 | 15.21 | 4.04 | 0.74 | 2.08 |
|  |  | Male | 30 | 21 | 244 | 14.53 | 4.33 | 0.76 | 2.13 |
|  | Level | Group | Pts. | Len. | $N$ | Mean | SD | r | SEM |
| Ethnicity | Total | African American | 60 | 42 | 37 | 24.81 | 7.52 | 0.82 | 3.16 |
|  |  | American Indian | 60 | 42 | 1 | DNR | DNR | DNR | DNR |
|  |  | Asian | 60 | 42 | 8 | 33.13 | 4.12 | 0.46 | 3.02 |
|  |  | Hispanic | 60 | 42 | 37 | 29.76 | 6.08 | 0.74 | 3.08 |
|  |  | Multi-racial | 60 | 42 | 8 | 32.25 | 7.63 | 0.84 | 3.09 |
|  |  | Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander | 60 | 42 | 0 | DNR | DNR | DNR | DNR |
|  |  | White | 60 | 42 | 310 | 28.68 | 7.53 | 0.84 | 3.06 |
|  | Module 1 | African American | 30 | 21 | 37 | 12.16 | 3.75 | 0.64 | 2.24 |
|  | - | American Indian | 30 | 21 |  | DNR | DNR | DNR | DNR |
|  |  | Asian | 30 | 21 | 8 | 15.50 | 2.67 | 0.27 | 2.28 |
|  | - | Hispanic | 30 | 21 | 37 | 14.68 | 3.46 | 0.60 | 2.19 |
|  |  | Multi-racial | 30 | 21 | 8 | 15.50 | 4.11 | 0.72 | 2.16 |
|  |  | Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander | 30 | 21 | 0 | DNR | DNR | DNR | DNR |
|  |  | White | 30 | 21 | 310 | 13.83 | 3.95 | 0.68 | 2.22 |
|  | Module 2 | African American | 30 | 21 | 37 | 12.65 | 4.46 | 0.75 | 2.23 |
|  | - | American Indian | 30 | 21 |  | DNR | DNR | DNR | DNR |
|  |  | Asian | 30 | 21 | 8 | 17.63 | 2.83 | 0.52 | 1.96 |
|  | - | Hispanic | 30 | 21 | 37 | 15.08 | 3.46 | 0.61 | 2.16 |
|  |  | Multi-racial | 30 | 21 | 8 | 16.75 | 3.81 | 0.66 | 2.23 |
|  |  | Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander | 30 | 21 | 0 | DNR | DNR | DNR | DNR |
|  |  | White | 30 | 21 | 310 | 14.85 | 4.23 | 0.76 | 2.09 |

Table M-10. Summer: Literature Reliabilities

|  | Level | Group | Pts. | Len. | $N$ | Mean | SD | r | SEM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELL | Total | All | 60 | 42 | 4 | DNR | DNR | DNR | DNR |
|  | Module 1 | All | 30 | 21 | 4 | DNR | DNR | DNR | DNR |
|  | Module 2 | All | 30 | 21 | 4 | DNR | DNR | DNR | DNR |
|  | Level | Group | Pts. | Len. | $N$ | Mean | SD | r | SEM |
| IEP | Total | All | 60 | 42 | 96 | 26.44 | 7.33 | 0.82 | 3.15 |
|  | Module 1 | All | 30 | 21 | 96 | 12.71 | 3.78 | 0.65 | 2.23 |
|  | Module 2 | All | 30 | 21 | 96 | 13.73 | 4.17 | 0.72 | 2.23 |
|  | Level | Group | Pts. | Len. | $N$ | Mean | SD | r | SEM |
| ED | Total | All | 60 | 42 | 121 | 27.05 | 7.33 | 0.82 | 3.14 |
|  | Module 1 | All | 30 | 21 | 121 | 13.20 | 3.98 | 0.68 | 2.26 |
|  | Module 2 | All | 30 | 21 | 121 | 13.85 | 4.01 | 0.71 | 2.17 |
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[^0]:    *Projected/scheduled tasks and activities

[^1]:    1 While font size follows specific requirements during online setup of an exam, the screen resolution used at the local level can impact whether the effective font size is visible to the student.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ The scale score for the Total Test reflects the highest score computed as the combination of the two highest module scores to date. Given that modules contain varying item content and difficulty, the total scale score may not equal the average of the modules.
    ${ }^{2}$ Students who do not score Proficient on the Total Test may choose to take the test more than once.

[^3]:    4 Some prefer the following interpretation: if a student were tested an infinite number of times, the $\pm 1$ SEM confidence intervals constructed for each score would capture the student's true score 68 percent of the time.

[^4]:    you leave a box blank on the Item Rating Sheet, it will be recorded to indicate that you did not have any specific feedback for that item or

    - If you object to the consensus of the committee, please note this on the item rating sheet and then record a brief explanation of the dissenting view on the back of the Item Rating Sheet.

