2016-17 Comparability Step-by-Step Guide

Staff to Student Expenditure Comparison

Deadline November 15

STEP 1 – Setting Up:

Go to:

pa.NCLBComparability.com

You may use any browser but you will get the best experience from Chrome or Firefox.

General Workflow:

- District requests an account from the main login page pa.NCLBComparability.com
 - Access is not granted immediately, a DFP Administrator must approve the account
 - Once the DFP Administrator approves the account the requester receives an email to setup their password and login
- Districts complete the comparability wizard
 - At the last step they print, sign, and upload the compliance document
- Districts manage where they are in the process
 - Has the district signed up for access
 - Has the district completed the assurance wizard
 - $\circ~$ Has the district uploaded their assurance documents
- Districts must first complete Staff to Student Expenditure Comparison. If a district does not successfully meet comparability requirements by this method, they need to request access to Student to Staff Ratio method by contacting <u>lcalvello@pa.gov</u> or your regional coordinator.

General Screen Shots:

Please Sign In	
E-mail	
Password	
	Log In
	I can't access my account I want to request an account

Please provide a valid district email address.

Request an Account	×
Email	
Confirm Email	
First Name	
Last Name	
Title	
Phone Number	
LEA Affiliation	
21st Century Cyber CS (124150002)	•
Request an Account	Close

STEP 2 – Comparisons:

Please add information on ALL buildings regardless of Title I status or grade span so the system can run multiple scenarios to check compliance.

Division of Federal Programs: Comparability
☆ Comparability Home
Complete your 2015-2016 Worksheet
Division of Federal Programs: Comparability
☆ Home → ■ Comparability Assurances Worksheet
Exemption Status
Is Your LEA Exempt?
Your LEA is exempt from completing the Comparability Worksheet if either of the below are true:
 Your LEA contains only one building per grade span Your LEA contains only Charter Schools
Does your LEA meet either of the criteria above?
Yes, my LEA is exempt No, my LEA is not exempt

<u>Exempt</u>

Charter schools are exempt but must still submit the Comparability Assurances Worksheet. If you are exempt from demonstrating comparability, you will go straight to the Assurances Worksheet and be certain to attain the required signatures at the bottom.

☆ Home → ■ Comparability Assurances Worksheet

Exemption Status	Comparability Method
------------------	----------------------

Student-to-Staff Ratio Method

DIRECTIONS FOR USING THE STAFF-TO-STUDENT RATIO FORMULA

When calculating the FTE for staff, only count state and locally paid staff within each building who are instructional. For comparability purposes, there are three classes of instructional staff.
1. Direct instruction: Staff members who provide direct instruction to children.
2. Administrativen/structional support: Principasi, Ibarians, guidance and psychological personnel.
3. Auxiliary Staff. Aides, clerical personnel and other paraprofessionals who are employed to assist instructional staff.

Building Administrators Bingual Teachers Bus Montors AT Teachers Special Education Consultants Classroom Teachers Title 1 "Like" Staff Crossing Guards Guidance Counselors Teacher Ades (instructional)/Julaitenance Staff Special Education Staft Librarians Gifted Security Staff Physical Education Teachers Special Education Federal staff Physical Education Teachers Special Education Federal staff Physical Education Teachers Special Education Special Education Special Education Teachers Special Education Special Education Physical Education Teachers Special Education Special Education Social Workers Special Education Special Education Narse (inor ratiot for instructional dultary Special Education Teachers in Special Education Teachers Athough the LEX has the discretion to Journ on count these by sort staff/expenditures. It must be done consistently across the grade spans being compared.	Must Include	Optional*	Not Included
Classroom Teachers Title "Luke" Staff Crossing Guards Guidance Counselso Teacher Ardes (instruction)Autimenance Staff Staff Librarians Of rPT Federal staff Music Teachers Of rPT Federal staff Prysical Education Teachers Speech Therapists Federal staff Prysical Education Teachers Speech Therapists Federal staff Social Workers Nusk reachers Speech Therapists	Building Administrators	Bilingual Teachers	Bus Monitors
Guidance Counselors Teacher Aides (instructional)Maintenance Staff Staff Lbrartans Gited Security Staff Wusic Teachers OT/PT Federal staff Physical Education Teachers Speech Thirapists Poject Directors (non-federality funded) Federal staff Social Workers Speech Thirapists	Art Teachers	Special Education	Consultants
Staff Librarians Gifed Security Staff Wask Teachers OT/PF Federal staff Physical Education Teachers Speech Therapists Image: Comparison of the staff Syclab Workers Numeric Comparison of the staff Image: Comparison of the staff Wask Teachers Social Workers Image: Comparison of the staff Wase (pro-rated for instructional duties) Image: Comparison of the staff Image: Comparison of the staff	Classroom Teachers	Title I "Like" Staff	Crossing Guards
Music Teachers OT/PT Federal staff Physical Education Teachers Speech Therapists Project Directors (non-federality funde) Spechologistis Social Workers Using (pro-rate for instructional duties)	Guidance Counselors	Teacher Aides (instructional)	Maintenance Staff
Thysical Education Teachers Speech Therapists Project Directors (non-federally funded)	Staff Librarians	Gifted	Security Staff
Project Directors (non-federally funded) sychologists Social Workers Uruse (pro-rated for instructional duties)	Music Teachers	OT/PT	Federal staff
Paychologists Social Workers Uruse (pro-rated for instructional duties)	Physical Education Teachers	Speech Therapists	
Social Workers Nurse (pro-rated for instructional duties)	Project Directors (non-federally funded)		
Nurse (pro-rated for instructional duties)	Psychologists		
	Social Workers		
Although the LEA has the discretion to count or not count these types of staff/expenditures, it must be done consistently across the grade spans being compared.	lurse (pro-rated for instructional duties		
	*Although the LEA has the discretion to	count or not count these type	es of staff/expenditu
	Begin Entering Data		

☆ Home → ■ Comparability Assurances Worksheet

School Name*	School Code*	Classification*	Grade Span*	Title I* (current year October reports)	Enrollment* (current year October reports)	Free & Reduced %* (current year October reports) (1)	t
ington Heights HS	5091	Secondary	10 🔍 - 12 💟	No 💌	100	75	Û
ington Heights MS	6839	Middle School	7 9 9	Yes 💌	125	45	Ē
arks Summit El Sch	7570	Elementary		No	222	56	Û
wton-Ransom Sch	2407	Elementary	К. 💌 - 6. 💌	Yes 💌	432	76	Û
uth Abington Sch	6398	Elementary	К. 💌 - 4. 💌	No	221	66	â
averly Sch	2402	Elementary	К. 🖌 - 6. 🖌	No	653	23	â

Unless you need to split a particular grade span into separate large school and small school comparisons, please leave as Default.

☆ Home → ■ Comparability Assurances Worksheet

Exemption Status Comparability Method School Buildings Staff							
Student to Staff Ratio Method							
Save Next: Run Compliance Check Cancel							
School Name	Classification	Title I	Enroliment	Free & Reduced %	Size Split Grouping 🕄	Non-Federal FTE Staff (current year October filled assignments)	Student To Staff Ratio
Abington Heights HS	Secondary	No	100	75%	Default	23	4.35
Abington Heights MS	Middle School	Yes	125	45%	Default	23	5.43
Clarks Summit El Sch	Elementary	No	222	56%	Default	23	9.65
Newton-Ransom Sch	Elementary	Yes	432	76%	Default	36	12.00
South Abington Sch	Elementary	No	221	66%	Default	23	9.61
Waverly Sch	Elementary	No	653	23%	Default	23	28.39
Save Next: Run Compliance Check Cancel							

Exemption Status Compare	ability Method School Building	s Staff Compliance Res	ults						
Compliance Results									
Results									
District: Abington Heights St School Year: 2016-2017 Comparability Method: Stur Compliance Status: COM Next Steps: • Review your results be Next: Assurances Doct Compare Group: District Wide	lent to Staff Ratio Method IPLIANT Iow, then click Next to submit you	2016-2017 Comparability Assura	nces Worksheet.						
School Name	Classification	Title I	Enrollment	Free & Reduced %	Grade	Non-Federal FTE Staff	Student To Staff Ratio	Compliance Status	
Abington Heights MS	Middle School	Yes	125	45.00	7-9	23.00	5.43	Yes 🖻	
Newton-Ransom Sch	Elementary	Yes	432	76.00	K-6	36.00	12.00	Yes 🗹	
Compare to:									
Abington Heights HS	Secondary	No	100	75.00	10-12	23.00	4.35		
Clarks Summit El Sch	Elementary	No	222	56.00	1-6	23.00	9.65		
South Abington Sch	Elementary	No	221	66.00	K-4	23.00	9.61		
Waverly Sch	Elementary	No	653	23.00	K-6	23.00	28.39		
Compare to Total			1,196			92.00	<u>13.00</u> 110% 14.30		

STEP 3 – Compliance:

If you are not compliant, contact your Regional Coordinator in the Division of Federal Programs for assistance.

When you are successfully Compliant, continue to Assurances Document. Click on Submit Worksheet & Print Assurances, *sign all 3 lines (Superintendent, Business Manager and Title I Coordinator),* save in PDF format and Upload by choosing file and clicking on green Upload key.

npanbity x		
C beverenube stand rout un guzzoore	una de la construcción de la con	-
	Comparability Assurances	
	2015-2016	
	09152015	
	le I funds only if it uses State and local funds to provide services in schools that are substantially comparable. This requirement is met by submitting the following written assurance document to the Pennsylvania Depar	iment d
 Division of Federal Programs by November 15 of e 	aca year.	
LEA Name:	Alquippa SD	
Address		
Auren		
AJN	127040503	
Contact Person		
Telephone Number:		
ĸ		
 The signatures below verify that the above named 	s school district or charter school is exempt from comparability requirements because it does not have more than one building per grade span or is a charter school.	
The signatures below verify that the above named	school district does not meet the oriteria described in option #1 and has documented the comparable per-grapit expenditures in the district. This documentation is maintained at our school district.	
	Research many and subscription reproduced in the second	
3. The signatures below verify that the above named	school district does not meet the oriteria described in option #1 or #2 and has documented the comparable staff to pupil ratio in the district. This documentation is maintained at our school district.	
Superintendent/CEO's Signature:		
Business Manager's Signature.		
Business Manager's Signature: Tible I Coordinator's Signature:		
	PDE S	137 (08
	After printing this form, you may return to this website to upload the signed form.	137 (Dé
		137 (08
	After printing this form, you may return to this website to upload the signed form.	137 (06/

NCL3 Comparability ×	Imparticle dall concentrations from longic Minister Room		
← → C [] parclbcomparability.com/Home.aspx?LoggedInAsDistrict=true&LealD=51	\$		
Division of Federal Programs: Comparability		🛔 Alquipja SD 🔻	

Comparability Home

Comparability Assurances Worksheet (2015-2016)

Section 1120A(c) of ESEA scales that an LEA may receive Title Thirds only fit uses State and local funds to provide services in schools that are substantially comparable. This requirement is mell by completing a Comparability Assurances Worksheel and submitting the written assurance document to the Pernsylvaria Department of Education. Division of Federal Programs by November 15 of each year.

Uplcad Signed Comparability Assurances Document

Congratulations on frishing your Comparability Worksheet! To complete the 2015-2016 Comparability Assurances requirement; please upload your signed Assurances Document below.

Choose File No file chosen

1 Upload Signed Assurances

Note: You do not need to mail in the signed assurance after uploading.

Se View/Re-Print Unsigned Assurances Document



10